

ERGODIC ACTION OF THE MAPPING CLASS GROUP ON MODULI SPACES OF REPRESENTATIONS OF NON-ORIENTABLE SURFACES

FREDERIC PALESI

ABSTRACT. Let M be a non-orientable surface with $\chi(M) < -1$. We consider the moduli space of flat $SU(2)$ -connections, or equivalently the moduli space of representations

$$\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), SU(2)) / SU(2).$$

Let Γ_M be the mapping class group of M . There is a natural action of Γ_M on $\mathcal{X}(M)$ and we show here that this action is ergodic with respect to the natural measure given by the pushforward of Haar measure. This result is an extension of earlier results of Goldman for orientable surfaces (see [6]).

1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a closed surface with $\chi(M) < 0$ and let π denote its fundamental group. Let G be a Lie group and consider the space of homomorphisms $\pi \rightarrow G$, denoted $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)$, and called the *representation variety*. The set of G -conjugacy classes of such homomorphisms, called the *character variety* is denoted $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi, G) / G$. Geometrically, we can identify $\mathcal{X}(M)$ with the moduli space of flat principal G -bundles over M . The mapping class group Γ_M is defined as the group of isotopy classes of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms on M when the surface is oriented, and as the full group of isotopy classes of diffeomorphisms when the surface is non-orientable. A classical result of Nielsen [15] shows that in the case of an oriented surface Γ_M is isomorphic to the group $\text{Out}^+(\pi)$ of positive outer automorphisms of π . Similarly, in the case of a non-orientable surface we have an isomorphism between Γ_M and $\text{Out}(\pi)$, the full group of outer automorphisms. When the surface is oriented, the character variety admits a natural

Date: November 27, 2018.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 57M05; Secondary: 22D40, 20F34.

Key words and phrases. Non-orientable surface, Mapping class group, fundamental group, representation variety, Dehn twist, Ergodic theory.

symplectic structure ([7, 4]). This structure induces a natural volume form μ on this space. The Γ_M -action preserves this symplectic structure and hence the induced measure. In [6], Goldman showed that:

Theorem 1. (*Goldman*) : *Let M be a closed oriented surface with $\chi(M) < 0$. The mapping class group Γ_M acts ergodically on $\mathcal{X}(M)$, with respect to this natural measure.*

This result was extended to the general case of a compact Lie group G by Pickrell and Xia [16, 17] using sewing techniques on the representation variety.

The topological point of view is more delicate as we do not ignore the subsets of null measure. We can hope that if a representation $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{SU}(2))$ has dense image in $\text{SU}(2)$, then the Γ_M -orbit of $[\rho]$ is dense in $\mathcal{X}(M)$. This result is true if the genus of M is strictly positive ([18, 19]). However in genus 0, there are representations ρ with dense image but whose orbit $\Gamma_M \cdot [\rho]$ consists only of two points.

A non-orientable surface is the connected sum of k projective planes, also denoted crosscaps, where k is called the *non-orientable genus of M* . Let m be the number of boundary components of the surface, so that the Euler characteristic of the surface is $\chi(M) = 2 - k - m$. We denote by $N_{k,m,-}$ the non-orientable surface of non-orientable genus k with m boundary components.

In the case of a non-orientable surface, a symplectic structure does not exist on the moduli space as the dimension of this space might even be odd. However, we can still construct a natural measure on this space. In [21] Witten defined and computed a volume on this space using the Reidemeister-Ray-Singer torsion (see e.g. [1]). In the case of an orientable surface, Witten proved that this volume is equal to the symplectic volume on the moduli space. In [9], Jeffrey and Ho used the natural pushforward of Haar measure to prove Witten's formula in the case of a non-orientable surface. A more general treatment of this volume arising as the pushforward of Haar measure associated to a presentation of the group can be found in [10]. In this paper we will use this natural measure which is naturally invariant by the mapping class group action. The main result of this paper is the following :

Theorem 2. : *Let M be a non-orientable surface such that $\chi(M) \leq -2$. Then the mapping class group Γ_M acts ergodically on $\mathcal{X}(M)$.*

The Theorem 1 is proved by gluing together smaller surfaces, which are necessarily surfaces with boundary. We then have to generalize the theorem to non-orientable surfaces with boundary.

Assume M has m boundary components $\partial_1 M, \dots, \partial_m M$, then the inclusion maps $\partial_i M \hookrightarrow M$ induce the application :

$$\partial^\# : \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(\partial M) = \prod_{i=1}^m \mathcal{X}(\partial_i M).$$

Moreover $\mathcal{X}(M)$ may be viewed as a family of character varieties relatives to $\mathcal{X}(\partial M)$.

As each $\mathcal{X}(\partial_i M)$ is identified to the set $[G]$ of conjugacy classes in G , the base of such a family is a product of copies of $[G]$. Specifically, let $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_m\} \subset \pi$ be elements of the fundamental group corresponding to the m boundary components. Let c_i denote a conjugacy class in $SU(2)$ and $\mathcal{C} = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$. Assign to each C_i a conjugacy class c_i and define the character variety relative to \mathcal{C} as :

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M) = \{[\rho] \in \mathcal{X}(M) \mid \rho(C_i) = c_i, 1 \leq i \leq m\}.$$

For a surface with boundary, the mapping class group Γ_M is identified with the group $\text{Out}(\pi, \partial M)$ of outer automorphisms of π which preserve the conjugacy class of every cyclic subgroup corresponding to a boundary component. Then Γ_M acts on $\mathcal{X}(M)$ by outer automorphism of π and, in particular, on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$.

Theorem 3. :

Let $M = N_{k,m,-}$ be a non-orientable surface with boundary such that $\chi(M) \leq -2$. Then the mapping class group action of Γ_M on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ is ergodic for all boundary data \mathcal{C} .

This theorem includes theorem 2 as the special case when M has no boundary.

Remark 4. The theorem does not hold in the case of a non-orientable surface of Euler characteristic -1 . There is only three non-orientable surfaces with $\chi(M) = -1$, which are $N_{1,2,-}$ (two-holed projective plane), $N_{2,1,-}$ (One-holed Klein bottle) and $N_{3,0,-}$.

In each of these cases, there is an essential curve which is invariant under the action of the mapping class group (see [3]). However, for orientable surfaces with $\chi(M) = -1$, namely the three-holed sphere and the one-holed torus, Goldman's theorem is true. So this exhibits very different behavior for different surfaces with the same Euler characteristic, depending on their orientability.

Structure of the proof. In section 2, we review some basic knowledge about non-orientable surfaces, mapping class groups and moduli spaces. In section 3 we define the *Goldman flow* on non-orientable surfaces following Klein [11]. This is a circle action on a dense open subspace of the character variety of M . This action corresponds in the case of an orientable closed surface to the circle action defined by L. Jeffrey and J. Weitsman in [8].

The flow is associated with an oriented cylinder embedded in the surface corresponding to a simple two-sided closed curve X . The Dehn twist τ_X about X acts as a rotation on the orbit of the flow.

In section 4 we study the case where the non-orientable genus is even. In this case we split M along a non-separating curve X to obtain an orientable surface with two more boundary components. We use the Dehn twist about X and the Goldman flow associated, and then restrict ourselves to the case where the surface is orientable. The remaining argument of the proof in this case is given by the study of the particular case of the two-holed Klein bottle. In this case, we make explicit calculations with traces of representations and see how a particular Dehn twist acts. These calculations allow us to conclude the proof of the theorem in even genus.

The case of odd non-orientable genus is slightly more complicated. It is impossible to split M along a curve X to have only orientable surfaces anymore, as in the previous case. Instead of that, we split M along a separating curve C such that one of the two connected components obtained by this splitting is orientable and the other is either $N_{3,1,-}$ or $N_{1,3,-}$. Section 5 is dedicated to the study of the particular cases of $N_{1,3,-}$ and $N_{3,1,-}$. And section 6 corresponds to the study of the gluing of the surface $N_{1,3,-}$ or $N_{3,1,-}$ with some orientable surface using Goldman flow along the curve C .

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Mapping class group of non-orientable surfaces. We summarize some basic knowledge on non-orientable surfaces and their mapping class groups. For details and proof, we refer to [12, 13, 20].

Let $M = N_{g,m,-}$ be a compact non-orientable surface of genus $g \geq 1$ and with m boundary components, denoted $\partial M = C_1, \dots, C_m$. Recall that N_g is a connected sum of g projective planes, and that $N_{g,m,-}$ is

obtained by removing m open discs in the interior of N_g . The fundamental group $\pi_1(N_g)$ admits two important presentations that we recall here. The first is the natural presentation which exhibits the fact that N_g is a connected sum of projective planes.

$$\pi_1(M) = \langle A_1, \dots, A_g \mid A_1^2 \dots A_g^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

Another presentation can be obtained by transforming a connected sum of three projective planes to the connected sum of a handle with only one projective plane. The presentation depends on the parity of g .

$$\pi_1(N_{2k+1}) = \langle A_1, B_1, \dots, A_k, B_k, C \mid [A_1, B_1] \dots [A_k, B_k] C^2 = 1 \rangle$$

$$\pi_1(N_{2k+2}) = \langle A_1, B_1, \dots, A_k, B_k, C, D \mid [A_1, B_1] \dots [A_k, B_k] C^2 D^2 = 1 \rangle.$$

The mapping class group Γ_M is defined to be the group of isotopy classes of all diffeomorphisms $\phi : M \rightarrow M$ such that the restriction maps to a boundary component is the identity. $\phi|_{C_i} = Id|_{C_i}$ for all i . For an orientable surface S , the mapping class group Γ_S is the group of isotopy classes of orientation preserving homeomorphism of S . Γ_S is generated by Dehn twists, and the number of generators can be chosen to be finite.[13, 15]


On a non-orientable surface, Dehn twists can only be made along two-sided curves. A simple closed curve is called two-sided if a regular neighborhood of it is an annulus. Similarly, a simple closed curve is called one-sided if a regular neighborhood of it is a Mobius strip. So if the surface M is non-orientable, then the Dehn twists about two-sided simple closed curves do not generate the mapping class group Γ_M . Instead, the *twist subgroup* generated by Dehn twists is an index 2 subgroup in the mapping class group. Besides Dehn twists, one also needs a crosscap slide, that we define below, to generate the full group Γ_M .

A *circle* on M is a closed connected one-dimensional submanifold of M . We denote by $M|X$ the surface obtained by cutting S along X . A circle X is called *non-separating* if $M|X$ is connected, and *separating* otherwise. If X is separating, then $M|X$ has two connected components. A circle is *trivial* if one of the two components is either a disc, a cylinder, or a Mobius band. We call these circles trivial because Dehn twists about them are trivial in the mapping class group.

Consider a two-sided circle X on M and an oriented regular neighborhood of it. There is a well-known diffeomorphism τ_X supported in this

neighborhood of X which is called a *Dehn twist* about X . To have a generating set for the mapping class group of non-orientable surfaces, we also have to define crosscap slides.

Consider a Mobius band M with one hole, or equivalently a projective plane from which the interior of two discs have been removed. Attach another Mobius band N along one of the boundary components. The resulting surface K is a Klein bottle with one hole. By sliding N once along the core of M , we get a diffeomorphism of K fixing the boundary of K (cf figure below). Assume that this diffeomorphism is the identity in a neighborhood of the boundary of K . If K is embedded in a surface S , it can be extended to a diffeomorphism y of S by the identity. We call the resulting diffeomorphism y and its class a *crosscap slide* or a *y -homeomorphism*. It is clear that the mapping class y^2 is equal to a Dehn twist about the boundary of K .



Crosscap Slide

2.2. Measure on the moduli spaces. We define here the measure that we will use on the moduli spaces, using a pushforward of the Haar measure, in a general setting. For a detailed study of this measure see [9, 10].

Let Π be a finitely presented group, $\Pi = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \mid q_1, \dots, q_r \rangle$ and let G be a compact Lie group (for example $SU(2)$.) The set of relators of Π defines the *presentation map*

$$q : G^k \longrightarrow G^r$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \longmapsto (q_1(x), \dots, q_r(x))$$

The set of group homomorphisms from Π to G is then naturally identified with

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Hom}(\Pi, G) = q^{-1}(1)$$

which makes $\text{Hom}(\Pi, G)$ a real analytic subvariety of G^k . We denote by μ a left and right invariant Haar measure on G . This defines a product measure on G^k . We take the push-forward of this measure

through the identification (2.1).

This measure is invariant by the action of the cartesian product $\text{Aut}(\Pi) \times \text{Aut}(G)$ by composition (see [10]). In particular G acts on $\text{Hom}(\Pi, G)$ by inner automorphism. The measure passes to the quotient, $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\Pi, G)/G$ and this defines our measure on the moduli space. On the other side, we have an induced action of $\text{Out}(\Pi)$ on this space. With this construction, we see that this measure is naturally invariant by the action of $\text{Out}(\Pi)$.

A non-orientable surface group $\pi_1(M)$ is just a special case of a finitely presented group. So our construction hold, and the measure is invariant by the action of the mapping class group $\Gamma_M = \text{Out}(\Pi)$.

2.3. Lemma of ergodic decomposition. Throughout this paper, we will use a classical result of measure theory as a tool to prove ergodicity. We recall this result here

Lemma 5. *(of ergodic decomposition)*

Let (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) a measured space, Y, Z Borel spaces, and $F : X \rightarrow Y$ a measurable map. Suppose that Γ is a group of automorphisms of (X, \mathfrak{B}, μ) such that F is Γ -invariant. Let μ_y be the measures on $F^{-1}(y)$ obtained by disintegrating μ over F . Let $h : X \rightarrow Z$ be a measurable Γ invariant function.

Suppose that the action of Γ is ergodic on every fiber $(F^{-1}(y), \mu_y)$ for almost all $y \in Y$.


Then there exists a measurable function $H : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that $h = H \circ F$ almost everywhere.

Proof. see [2]

□

3. SURFACES DECOMPOSITIONS AND GOLDMAN'S FLOW

3.1. Non-separating curves. We consider the surface $N_{2g+2,m,-}$, i.e. the non-orientable surface obtained by gluing an orientable surface of genus g with m boundary components with 2 projective planes (or equivalently with a Klein bottle). The m boundary components are denoted (C_1, \dots, C_m) . The closed curved X which is represented in the Fig. 1 is a two-sided circle. We denote by U a tubular neighborhood of X and we set a base point p on X .



Non orientable surface of even genus

Let $M|X$ be the surface M split along the curve X , defined as the surface with boundary for which there is an identification map $i_X : M|X \rightarrow M$ satisfying:

- the restriction of i_X to $i_X^{-1}(M - X)$ is a diffeomorphism ;
- $i_X^{-1}(X)$ consists of two components $X_+, X_- \subset \partial(M|X)$, to each of which the restriction of i_X is a diffeomorphism onto X .

In our particular case, the resulting surface $A = M - X$ is orientable of genus g with $m + 2$ boundary components. The two new boundary components are represented by X_+, X_- .

Hence the boundary character of A is $\mathcal{C}' = (x, x, c_1, \dots, c_m)$. We denote by $\mathcal{X}(A)$ the character variety of A , and $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A)$ the variety relative to the boundary character.

$M|X$ being connected, we choose a curve β that crosses X exactly once, transitively at p . The curve β possesses a non-orientable tubular neighborhood (which is a Mobius band). We note M^+ the reunion of tubular neighborhood of X and β , which is a one-holed Klein bottle, whose single boundary component is denoted δ .

$$\delta = \beta^{-1}X^{-1}\beta X^{-1} \in \pi_1(M^+, p).$$

Let M^- be the surface obtained by deleting the interior of M^+ from M . The surface M^- has a boundary component which is the curve δ , and gluing together M^+ and M^- along δ recovers M . M^- is an orientable surface with $m + 1$ boundary components.

The fundamental group of M is as follows :

$$\pi_1(M, p) = \langle X, \beta, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2k}, C_1, \dots, C_m \mid \beta^{-1}X^{-1}\beta X^{-1} = [\alpha_1\alpha_2] \cdots [\alpha_{2k-1}\alpha_{2k}]C_1 \cdots C_k \rangle$$

Now we consider the following subsets of $G^{2-\chi(M)}$:

$$\mathcal{R} = \langle (x, b, \mathbb{A}) \mid b^{-1}x^{-1}bx^{-1} = [a_1a_2] \cdots [a_{2k-1}a_{2k}]c_1 \cdots c_k \rangle$$

where $\mathbb{A} = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k}, c_1, \dots, c_m)$. Then we have the well known identification :

$$\mathcal{X}(M) = \mathcal{R}/G$$

where G acts by conjugation $g \cdot (x, b, \mathbb{A}) = (g x g^{-1}, g b g^{-1}, g \mathbb{A} g^{-1})$.

We define an invariant function $f : g \mapsto \text{Arccos}(\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(g))$. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g \in G \setminus \{\pm 1\}$ we set

$$\zeta_t(g) = \exp(tF(g))$$

where F is the variation of the function f . Then we define a flow on $\mathcal{R}_\gamma = \{(x, b, \mathbb{A}) \in \mathcal{R} \mid c \neq 1\}$ which is an open dense subset of \mathcal{R} . We define the \mathbb{R} -action $\{\Theta_t^+\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ by :

$$\{\Theta_t^+\}(c, b, \mathbb{A}) = (x, (\zeta_t(c))^{-1}b, \mathbb{A})$$

The projection of this \mathbb{R} -action is a flow Θ_t on $\mathcal{X}(M)$ which is 2π -periodic (cf [11]) This flow is naturally measure preserving on \mathcal{R}/G as it acts by translation.

Proposition 6. *The orbits of this action are exactly the fibers of the application*

$$\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(M|X).$$

Proof. We use a similar argument that the one that could be found in Goldman [6] , (3.1). We just have to adapt the arguments to match with the non-orientability of the surface. We have the non-separating curve X , so M is obtained from A by the gluing of the two boundary components X_+, X_- of A . So $\pi_1(M)$ can be constructed from $\pi_1(A)$ by a method similar to an HNN-construction.

$\pi_1(M)$ is the quotient

$$\pi_1(M) = (\pi_1(A)\Pi\langle\beta\rangle) / N$$

of the free product $\pi_1(A)\Pi\langle\beta\rangle$, of $\pi_1(A)$ with a cyclic group $\langle\beta\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$, by the normal subgroup generated by the set

$$N = \{i_-(\gamma)\beta i_+(\gamma)\beta^{-1} \mid \gamma \in \pi_1(X)\}$$

where i_\pm are the embeddings induced by inclusion $X \hookrightarrow X_\pm \hookrightarrow M$.

$$i_\pm : \pi_1(X) \longrightarrow \pi_1(X_\pm) \xrightarrow{i_X} \pi_1(M)$$

The new generator β corresponds to a loop which crosses X and which is one-sided (that explains the difference that appeared in the relation of the normal subgroup N between the orientable and the non-orientable cases. In the orientable case, the new generator correspond to a two-sided curve). Its preimage in A is an arc β_0 with one endpoint

on X_+ and one endpoint on X_- . Choose for a basepoint x_0 the endpoint of β_0 on X_- .

Let γ_- be the element of $\pi_1(A, x_0)$ corresponding to X_- , and γ_+ the element of $\pi_1(A, x_0)$ corresponding to the loop $\beta_0^{-1} \star X_+ \star \beta_0$ where X_+ is regarded as a loop based on the endpoint of β_0 on X_+ . A representation $\rho_A : \pi_1(A) \rightarrow G$ extends to a representation of $\pi_1(M)$ if and only if there exists $b \in G$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \rho_A(\gamma_-)^{-1} = b\rho_A(\gamma_+)b^{-1}$$

which means that $\rho_A(\gamma_-)^{-1}$ is conjugate to $\rho_A(\gamma_+)$.

The choice of b corresponds to the choice of the image of the new generator β . Since two elements of $G = \text{SU}(2)$ are conjugate if and only if they have the same trace, the image of the restriction $\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$ consists of the set of $[\rho]$ such that $\text{Tr}(\rho(\gamma_-)^{-1}) = \text{Tr}(\rho(\gamma_+))$. Also in $G = \text{SU}(2)$ an element and its inverse have the same trace. So combining the arguments if $[\rho_A]$ is such that $\text{Tr}(\rho_A(\gamma_-)) = \text{Tr}(\rho_A(\gamma_+))$, we define ρ on $\pi_1(A)\Pi\langle\beta\rangle$ by $\rho|_A = \rho_A$ and $\rho(\beta) = b$.

Then we deduce that the image of ϕ , denoted $\mathcal{X}'(A)$, consists in the set of elements such that :

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Tr}(\rho(\gamma_-)) = \text{Tr}(\rho(\gamma_+))$$

Then the fibers of the application ϕ identify with the set of $b \in G$ satisfying (3.2). If we have such a b , we find $g \in G$ such that $\rho_A(\gamma_-) = g\rho_A(\gamma_+)g^{-1}$ (as an element and its inverse are conjugate in $\text{SU}(2)$). So we have

$$\phi^{-1}([\rho_A]) = \{b \cdot g \mid b \in Z(\rho_A(\gamma_-))\}$$

where $\phi^{-1}([\rho_A])$ is a right coset of the centralizer of $(\rho_A(\gamma_-))$. Moreover if $b \in Z(\rho_A(\gamma_-))$ then there exists $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\zeta_t(\rho(\gamma_-)) = \exp(tF(\rho(\gamma_-))) = b$. This ends the proof of the proposition. \square

3.2. Separating curves. Suppose that the surface $M \mid \gamma$ is not connected. $M \mid \gamma$ is composed of two surfaces A and B . Suppose without loss of generalities that A is non-orientable. We place a base point p on A . Then we have two possible cases, depending on the orientability of B . M is obtained by gluing A and B along the curve γ , which means we can construct $\pi_1(M)$ from $\pi_1(A)$ and $\pi_1(B)$.

$$\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(A) \coprod_{\gamma} \pi_1(B).$$

The fundamental group of M is as follows depending of the orientability of B :

$$\begin{aligned} (i), \pi_1(M, p) &= \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_l \mid \alpha_1^2 \dots \alpha_k^2 = \beta_1^2 \dots \beta_l^2 \rangle \\ (ii), \pi_1(M, p) &= \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{2l} \mid \alpha_1^2 \dots \alpha_k^2 = [\beta_1 \beta_2] \dots [\beta_{2l-1} \beta_{2l}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We consider the following subsets of $G^{2-\chi(M)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} (i), \quad \mathcal{R} &= \langle (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \mid a_1^2 \dots a_k^2 = b_1^2 \dots b_l^2 \rangle \\ (ii), \quad \mathcal{R} &= \langle (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \mid a_1^2 \dots a_k^2 = [b_1 b_2] \dots [b_{2l-1} b_{2l}] \rangle \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{A} = (a_1, \dots, a_k)$ and $\mathbb{B} = (b_1, \dots, b_l)$ with $k = 2 - \chi(A)$ and $l = 2 - \chi(B)$.

We define the \mathbb{R} -action $\{\Theta_t^+\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ by :

$$\{\Theta_t^+\}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = (\mathbb{A}, (\zeta_t(c))^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{B} \cdot \zeta_t(c))$$

This \mathbb{R} -action projects on a flow Θ_t on $\mathcal{X}(M)$ which is π -periodic. As previously this flow is naturally measure preserving on \mathcal{R}/G .

We then define $\mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$ as the pull-back in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{X}(C) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X}(A) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{X}(B) & \longleftarrow & \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) \end{array}$$

$\mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$ is the set of pairs $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \mathcal{X}(A) \times \mathcal{X}(B)$ such that

$$[\alpha|_{\pi_1(C)}] = [\beta|_{\pi_1(C)}] \in \mathcal{X}(C)$$

We have a natural map

$$\begin{aligned} j : \mathcal{X}(M) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) \\ [\rho] &\longmapsto ([\rho|_{\pi_1(A)}], [\rho|_{\pi_1(B)}]). \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 7. *The orbits of the \mathbb{R} -action are exactly the fibers of the map*

$$j : \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C).$$

Proof. The map j is surjective : let $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$ and let α, β be some lift. There exists $g \in G$ such that $\alpha|_{\pi_1(C)} = g \cdot \beta|_{\pi_1(C)} \cdot g^{-1}$. We then define $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)$ on $\pi_1(A)$ and $\pi_1(B)$ by

$$\rho(\gamma) \mapsto \begin{cases} \alpha(\gamma) & \text{if } \gamma \in \pi_1(A) \\ g \cdot \beta(\gamma) \cdot g^{-1} & \text{if } \gamma \in \pi_1(B) \end{cases}$$

ρ is defined on $\pi_1(C)$ in a coherent way. It is easy to check that $j([\rho]) = ([\alpha], [\beta])$.


We then study the generic fiber of this application. Let $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$ and α, β be representants such that $\alpha|_{\pi_1(C)} = \beta|_{\pi_1(C)}$. Let $\rho \in \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), G)$, we have $j([\rho]) = ([\alpha], [\beta])$ if and only if there exists h_1, h_2 in G such that $\rho|_{\pi_1(A)} = h_1 \alpha h_1^{-1}$ and $\rho|_{\pi_1(B)} = h_2 \beta h_2^{-1}$. We choose ρ such that $h_1 = 1$, then we have $\alpha(C) = h_2 \beta(C) h_2^{-1} = h_2 \alpha(C) h_2^{-1}$. It follows that h_2 is in the centralizer of $\alpha(C)$ denoted

$Z(\alpha(C)) = Z(\beta(C))$. The fiber identifies with the set $Z(\alpha(C))$. If $\alpha(C) \neq \pm Id$ then $Z(\alpha(C))$ is a maximal torus in $SU(2)$.

As in the case of a separating curve, we write an element of the centralizer $Z(\alpha(C))$ as an element $\zeta_t(\rho(\alpha))$, which ends the proof of the proposition. \square

4. SURFACE OF EVEN GENUS

In this section, we prove the theorem (2) in the case of a non-orientable surface of even genus. We look at the surface $N_{2g+2,m,-}$ and a non-separating curve X as in the previous section, as indicated in the figure below.



The curve X

4.1. Action of the Dehn twist about X . The Dehn twist τ_X about the curve X acts on $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)$:

$$\tau_C \cdot \rho : \gamma \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \pi_1(A) \\ \rho(X) \cdot \gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \langle \tau \rangle \end{cases}$$

We also have $\rho(X) = \exp(f(\rho(X))F(\rho(X))) = \zeta_{f(\rho(X))}(X)$. The Dehn twist can be expressed with the Goldman flow $\tau_X = \Theta_{f(\rho(X))}$. So the twist acts on the fiber of the application $\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$ as the rotation of angle $f(\rho(X))$, which is an irrational multiple of π , except for finitely many values of $f(\rho(X))$. The set of representations such that $f(\rho(X))$ is a rational multiple of π is a null-measure subset of $\mathcal{X}(M)$. So on a full-measure set of $\mathcal{X}(M)$ the rotation is irrational, which means that the action of the twist is ergodic on the fiber $Z(\rho(X)) = \phi^{-1}([\alpha])$ for almost all $[\alpha]$.

So we have a measurable map $\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$ such that ϕ is τ_C -invariant. Moreover the action of τ_C on the fiber $\phi^{-1}([\alpha])$ is ergodic for almost all $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{X}(A)$. So we apply the lemma of ergodic decomposition.

Let $h : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable function which is τ_X -invariant. There exists a function $H : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h = H \circ \phi$ almost everywhere. So we have shown that a Γ_M -invariant function is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only on $\mathcal{X}(A)$.

4.2. Ergodicity on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}'}(A)$. The surface $A = M|C$ is an orientable surface with boundaries. An element g_A of the mapping class group $\Gamma_A \subset \text{Out}(\pi_1(A))$ can be naturally extended in an element g of $\Gamma_M \subset \text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. To do that, we define naturally g_A on the free product $\pi_1(A)\Pi\langle\tau\rangle$ with g_A acting identically on $\langle\tau\rangle$. We check that g_A passes to the quotient as g_A leaves invariant γ_- and γ_+ (in fact, g_A is the identity on the boundary components). So we have a mapping $\Gamma_A \hookrightarrow \Gamma_M$. We recall that Goldman showed that for the symplectic measure on $\mathcal{X}(A)$

Proposition 8. *A measurable function $f : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_A -invariant is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only of the traces of the boundary (x, x, c_1, \dots, c_m) .*


Proof. See [6] □

In our case, where two of the boundary components are equals, we have a function $G : [-2, 2]^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f([\rho]) = G(x, c_1, \dots, c_m)$ almost everywhere.

To conclude the proof of the theorem (2), we have to "eliminate" the coordinate x . It is at this point that we will use the hypothesis on g and m , because the surface needs to have a sufficiently complex mapping class group in order to be able to find a Dehn twist that acts non-trivially on the trace x of X . Thanks to the hypothesis on M , there is a two-holed Klein bottle $N_{2,2,-}$ embedded in M . So we have to study the particular case of $N_{2,2,-}$.

4.3. Two-holed Klein Bottle.

4.3.1. *Character variety.* Let M be a two-holed Klein bottle.



Two-holed Klein bottle

Its fundamental group is as follows :

$$\pi = \pi_1(M) = \langle A, B, C, K \mid A^2B^2C = K \rangle$$

So π is a free group in three generators A, B, C . The elements $C, K \in \pi$ correspond to the boundary components of the surface. Then we have the coordinates on the space $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{SU}(2))/\text{SU}(2)$ given by

$$a = \text{Tr}(A); \quad b = \text{Tr}(B); \quad c = \text{Tr}(C); \quad x = \text{Tr}(AB);$$

$$y = \text{Tr}(BC); \quad z = \text{Tr}(CA); \quad d = \text{Tr}(ABC).$$

These seven coordinates satisfy the Fricke relation :

$$(4.4) \quad a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2 - \\ ((ab + cd)x + (bc + da)y + (ca + bd)z) + xyz + abcd - 4 = 0$$

The character variety of the boundary of M is :

$$\mathcal{X}(\partial M) = \{(c, k) \in [-2, 2]^2\}$$

where $k = \text{Tr}(K) = \text{Tr}(A^2B^2C) = adb - az - by + c$.

We split the surface along the curve X to obtain a surface A which is a four-holed sphere. According to the proposition 8, a measurable function $f : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_A -invariant, is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only on the boundary traces (x, c, k) .

We just have to eliminate the variable x to show the theorem in this case.

4.3.2. *Action of the twist about BBC.* The curve represented by $U = BBC \in \pi_1(M)$ is a two-sided curve, so the Dehn twist could be defined about it . The Dehn twist τ_U about the curve U is given by the automorphism of $\pi_1(M)$:

$$A \mapsto A$$

$$B \mapsto BBCBC^{-1}B^{-1}B^{-1}$$

$$C \mapsto BBCB^{-1}B^{-1}$$

The elements corresponding to X, Y, Z, D and K maps onto :

$$X = AB \mapsto ABBCBC^{-1}B^{-1}B^{-1}$$

$$Y = BC \mapsto BBCB^{-1}$$

$$Z = CA \mapsto BBCB^{-1}B^{-1}A$$

$$D = ABC \mapsto ABBCB^{-1}$$

$$K = AABBC \mapsto AABBC$$

We check that τ_U leaves invariant $(c, k) = \mathcal{C}$. In fact the transformation leaves invariant the conjugacy class of the elements corresponding to

the boundary components, which means C and K .

τ_U induces an action on $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ which can be seen on the coordinates $(a, b, x, y, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^6$ as :

$$\begin{aligned}
 a &\mapsto a \\
 b &\mapsto b \\
 x &\mapsto b^2xy^2 + b^2yz - b^3dy - aby^2 + b^2cd + c^2x \\
 &\quad - 2bcxy + 2bdy - bcz + acy - yz - cd + ab - x \\
 y &\mapsto y \\
 d &\mapsto b^2d - bxy - bz + cx + ay - d \\
 z &\mapsto b \times \tau_U(d) - bd + z
 \end{aligned}$$

We express the variable d in function of k and the other coordinates, to replace the coordinate d with the coordinate k which is τ_U -invariant. For non-zero a, b , we can write :

$$(4.5) \quad d = \frac{az + by - c + k}{ab}.$$

Then we can rewrite (4.4) in the following way

$$(4.6) \quad x^2 + (by - c)x \left(\frac{z}{b}\right) + \left(\frac{z}{b}\right)^2 + 2Dx + 2Ez + F = 0$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
 D &= \frac{a^2b^2 - c^2 + ck + bcy}{-2ab}, E = \frac{2c - 2k - 2by - b^2c + b^2k + b^3y + a^2by}{-2ab^2} \\
 F &= \frac{k^2 + (by - c)^2 - (a^2 - 2)(by - c)k + a^2b^2(a^2 + b^2 + ck - 4) - a^2ck + a^2bcy}{a^2b^2}
 \end{aligned}$$

We make a change of variable $z' = \frac{z}{b}$, and the equation (4.6) becomes

$$(4.7) \quad x^2 + (by - c)xz' + z'^2 + 2D'x + 2E'z + F = 0$$

with

$$D' = D, E' = \frac{(b^2 - 2)(by + k - c) + a^2by}{-2ab}.$$

This equation can be seen as a quadratic equation in (x, z') where we denote $u = \text{Tr}(\rho(U)) = by - c$.

$$\frac{2 + u}{4}((x + z') - (x_0(u) + z'_0(u)))^2 + \frac{2 - u}{4}((x - z') - (x_0(u) - z'_0(u)))^2 = R$$

where x_0 and z_0 are functions on a, b, c, y, k and

$$R = \frac{(b^2 + c^2 + y^2 - bcy - 4)((a^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (a^2 - 2)uk - 4)}{a^2(4 - u^2)}$$

R is also τ_U -invariant. For a particular value of $-2 < u < 2$, the left term of the equation is a quadratic function on x, z' with positive coefficients. In the right term R we recognize that (b, c, y) and $(a^2 - 2, u, k)$ are the characters of representations in $SU(2)$ of the free groups $\langle B, C \rangle$ and $\langle AA, BBC \rangle$ respectively, as we have $BC = Y$ and $AABBC = K$. So according to Fricke's relation :

$$(4.8) \quad (b^2 + c^2 + y^2 - bcy - 4) < 0$$

$$(4.9) \quad ((a^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (a^2 - 2)uk - 4) < 0$$

Moreover $4 - u^2 > 0$, so we deduce that $R > 0$. So the equation corresponds to an ellipse. We can write the equation in the form

$$Q_u(x - x_0(u), z' - z'_0(u)) = R.$$

This exhibits $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ as a family of ellipses $E_C(M)(a, b, y)$ that are parametrized by (c, k, a, b, y) . Now we express the action of τ_U on x, z' using x_0, z'_0 , which gives us :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ z' \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x_0(u) \\ z'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} u^2 - 1 & u \\ -u & -1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ z' \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x_0(u) \\ z'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is a rotation of angle $2\theta_U = -2\cos^{-1}(U/2)$ on the ellipse $E_C(M)(a, b, y)$ defined earlier for fixed (c, k, a, b, y) . In fact, for boundary traces (c, k) and for almost all (a, b, y) , θ_U is an irrational multiple of π . So for almost all (a, b, y) , the action of τ_U is ergodic on the set $E_C(M)(a, b, y)$.

We then deduce that a τ_U -invariant function is almost everywhere equal to a function which depends only on (c, k, a, b, y) . So there exists a function $H : [-2, 2]^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is such that $f([\rho]) = H(c, k, a, b, y)$ almost everywhere. On the other hand, we have $f([\rho]) = G(c, k, x)$ almost everywhere according to proposition 8. Then the function f which is Γ_M -invariant depends only on the traces of the boundary components (c, k) . This ends the proof of the theorem 2 in the case of a two-holed Klein bottle.


4.4. Conclusion. In the general case of a non-orientable surface of even genus, the hypothesis on g and m are sufficient for us to find an embedding of the two-holed Klein bottle $A = N_{2,2,-}$ in the surface $M = N_{g,m,-}$. The mapping class group Γ_A of the two-holed Klein bottle can be seen as a subgroup of Γ_M . The restriction map $\mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$ is Γ_A -equivariant. We have a function $G : [-2, 2]^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $f([\rho]) = G(x, c_1, \dots, c_m)$ almost everywhere.

The particular case of the two-holed Klein bottle A implies that Γ_A is

ergodic for almost every fibers of the mapping $[\rho] \rightarrow (c_1, \dots, c_m)$. Then the lemma of ergodic decomposition proves that G is equal to a function depending only of the traces of the boundaries, almost everywhere. This proves that the action of Γ_M is ergodic on $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ which ends the proof of the theorem (2) in the case of a surface of even genus.

5. THREE-HOLED PROJECTIVE PLANE AND $N_{3,1,-}$

5.1. Character Variety. In this section, we consider the case where M is a surface of non-orientable genus 1 with three boundary components, (a three-holed projective plane $N_{1,3,-}$). We denote by B, C, K its three boundary components.



Three-holed projective plane

Its fundamental group admits the following presentation :

$$\pi = \pi_1(M) = \langle A, B, C, K \mid A^2BC = K \rangle$$

We see that π is a free group on three generators A, B, C . By Magnus [14], we have coordinates on the space $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{SU}(2))/\text{SU}(2)$ given by the seven functions a, b, c, d, x, y, z defined on $\mathcal{X}(M)$ by :

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \text{Tr}(A); & b &= \text{Tr}(B); & c &= \text{Tr}(C); & x &= \text{Tr}(AB); \\ y &= \text{Tr}(BC); & z &= \text{Tr}(CA); & d &= \text{Tr}(ABC). \end{aligned}$$

These seven coordinates satisfy the Fricke relation (4.4).

The character of the boundary of M is :

$$\mathcal{X}(\partial M) = (b, c, k)$$

where $k = \text{Tr}(K) = \text{Tr}(A^2BC) = ad - y$. So we can define new coordinates depending on k , by replacing y by its expression in a, d and k ($y = ad - k$). The equation (4.4) then becomes :

$$a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + d^2 + x^2 + z^2 + k^2 -$$

$$(5.10) \quad ((ab + cd)x + (xz - bc - da)k + (ca + bd)z) + adxz - 4 = 0$$

For fixed boundary data (b, c, k) , the character variety relative to $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k)$ is given by:

$$\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M) = \{(a, x, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^4 \mid (a, x, z, d) \text{ satisfies (5.10)}\}$$

The complete character variety can be expressed as :

$$\mathcal{X}(M) = \bigcup_{-2 \leq b, c, k \leq 2} \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$$


In this case, the theorem 2 can be written as follows :

Proposition 9. *Let $M = N_{(1,3,-)}$ a three-holed projective plane. For all boundary components $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k) \in]-2, 2[^3$, the action of Γ_M on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ is ergodic.*

We are going to prove this proposition.

5.2. Action of Dehn twists.

5.2.1. Twist about $T = AAB$.



The curve T

The curve represented by $T \in \pi_1(M)$ is a two-sided circle, the right Dehn twist about this curve is well-defined. The Dehn twist τ_T about the curve T is given by the automorphism of $\pi_1(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto AABAB^{-1}A^{-1}A^{-1} \\ B &\mapsto AABA^{-1}A^{-1} \\ C &\mapsto C \end{aligned}$$

The curves corresponding to X, K, Z and D transform into :

$$\begin{aligned} X = AB &\mapsto AABA^{-1} \\ Z = CA &\mapsto CAABAB^{-1}A^{-1}A^{-1} \\ D = ABC &\mapsto AABA^{-1}C \\ K = AABC &\mapsto AABC \end{aligned}$$

We easily check that the transformation leaves invariant the conjugacy class of elements corresponding to the boundary components, which means B, C and K . So τ_T is constant on the boundary variety $\mathcal{X}(\partial M) = (b, c, k) = \mathcal{C}$. τ_T induces an action on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ which can be seen on the coordinates $(a, x, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto a \\ x &\mapsto x \\ z &\mapsto a^2x^2z - a^2kx - a^2cx + b^2z - 2abxz \\ &\quad + axd + bcx + abk + kx - bc + ac - z \\ d &\mapsto ak - axz + cx + bz - d \end{aligned}$$

a and x are τ_T -invariant. We also have that $t := \text{Tr } T = ax - b$ is τ_T -invariant (as expected) and when $t \notin \{-2, 2\}$, we can rewrite the equation (5.10) in the following way :

$$(5.11) \quad \frac{2+t}{4} \left((d+z) - \frac{(a+x)(c+k)}{t+2} \right)^2 + \frac{2-t}{4} \left((d-z) - \frac{(a-x)(k-c)}{t-2} \right)^2 = R$$

with

$$R := \frac{(t^2 + c^2 + k^2 - tck - 4)(a^2 + b^2 + x^2 - abx - 4)}{4 - t^2}$$

R is also τ_T -invariant. For fixed value of $-2 < t < 2$, the left term of the equation (5.11) is a quadratic function of d and z with positive coefficients.

We have to show that $R > 0$. For that we recognize the characters (a, b, x) and (t, c, k) in the term R . These are the characters of the representation in $\text{SU}(2)$ (up to conjugacy), of the free groups on two generators given by $\langle A, B \rangle$ and $\langle T, C \rangle$ respectively as we have $AB = X$ and $TC = K$. So according to Fricke Sum Relation, we have :

$$(5.12) \quad (a^2 + b^2 + x^2 - abx - 4) < 0$$

$$(5.13) \quad (t^2 + c^2 + k^2 - tck - 4) < 0$$

Moreover $4 - t^2 > 0$, so that $R > 0$. So the set of coordinates d and z satisfying the equation (5.11) is an ellipse. For fixed values of b, c, k, a, x , the intersection

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\mathcal{C}} &:= \mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M) \cap (\{a, x\} \times \mathbb{R}^2) \\ &= \{a, x\} \times \{(d, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid (d, z) \text{ satisfies 5.11}\} \end{aligned}$$

is an ellipse preserved by τ_T .

We can rewrite the equation in the following way :

$$Q_t(d - d_0(t), z - z_0(t)) = R$$

with

$$(5.14) \quad d_0(\nu) = ac + xk - \nu(ak + cx)$$

$$(5.15) \quad z_0(\nu) = ak + xc$$

$$(5.16) \quad Q_\nu(\eta, \zeta) = \frac{\eta^2 + \zeta^2 - \nu\eta\zeta}{4 - \nu^2}$$


This exhibits $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ as a family of ellipses $E_C(M)(a, x)$ parametrized by (b, c, k, a, x) .

Now we express the transformation τ_T on d, z in terms of d_0, z_0 , which gives us (after simplification) :

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{bmatrix} d \\ z \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_0(t) \\ z_0(t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -t \\ t & t^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} d \\ z \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} d_0(t) \\ z_0(t) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is the rotation of angle $-\theta_T = -2 \cos^{-1}(t/2)$ on the ellipse $E_C(M)(a, x)$ defined earlier for (b, c, k, a, x) fixed. In particular, for fixed boundary traces (b, c, k) and for almost all (a, x) , θ_T is an irrational multiple of π . So for this full-measure set of (a, x) , the action of τ_T is ergodic on the set $E_C(M)(a, x)$.

5.2.2. *Twist about $U = CAA$.*



The curve U

We use the same method as previously for the twist τ_U which is well defined (U being also a two-sided curve). We study the corresponding automorphism of $\pi_1(M)$.

$$A \mapsto CAAAA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1} = CAC^{-1}$$

$$B \mapsto B$$

$$C \mapsto CAACA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}$$

The curves corresponding to X, K, Z and D transform into :

$$\begin{aligned} X &= AB \mapsto CAC^{-1}B \\ Z &= CA \mapsto CAACA^{-1}C^{-1} \\ D &= ABC \mapsto CAC^{-1}BCAACA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1} \\ K &= AABC \mapsto CAAC^{-1}BCAACA^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

So τ_U leaves invariant $(b, c, k) = \mathcal{C}$. τ_U induces an action on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ which can be seen on the coordinates $(a, x, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^3$

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto a \\ x &\mapsto ab - zy + cd - x \\ z &\mapsto z \\ d &\mapsto ad - ay + abc - acx + abz - axz + acdz - acyz + \\ &\quad a^2d + ac^2d - ayz^2 \end{aligned}$$

a and z are invariant by τ_U . We can rewrite the equation (5.10) in the following way:

$$Q_u(d - d_0(u), x - x_0(u)) = R$$

with $u := \text{Tr } U = az - c$ which is τ_U -invariant and

$$(5.18) \quad d_0(u) = ab + zk - \nu(ak + bz)$$

$$(5.19) \quad x_0(u) = ak + zb$$

$$(5.20) \quad R := \frac{(u^2 + b^2 + k^2 - ubk - 4)(a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4)}{4 - u^2}$$

R is also τ_U -invariant. For fixed value of $-2 < u < 2$, the left term of the equation is a quadratic function of d, x with positive coefficients. In the right term R we recognize (a, c, z) and (u, b, k) as the character of representation in $\text{SU}(2)$ of the free groups $\langle C, A \rangle$ and $\langle U, B \rangle$ respectively (as we have $CA = Z$ and $UB = CKC^{-1}$ which is conjugate to K). So according to the Fricke relation :

$$(5.21) \quad (a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4) < 0$$

$$(5.22) \quad (u^2 + b^2 + k^2 - ubk - 4) < 0$$


Moreover $4 - u^2 > 0$, so that $R > 0$ and hence the equation defines an ellipse.

This exhibits $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$ as a family of ellipses $E_{\mathcal{C}}(M)(a, x)$ parametrized by (b, c, k, a, x) . Now we express the transformation τ_U on the coordinates d, x in terms of d_0, x_0 , which gives us :

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{bmatrix} d \\ x \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} d_0(u) \\ x_0(u) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -u \\ u & u^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} d \\ x \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} d_0(u) \\ x_0(u) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is the rotation of angle $-\theta_U = -2 \cos^{-1}(u/2)$ on the ellipse $E_C(M)(a, z)$ defined earlier for fixed (b, c, k, a, z) . In particular, for fixed boundary traces (b, c, k) and for almost all (a, z) , θ_s is an irrational multiple of π . So for almost all (a, z) , the action of τ_U is ergodic on the set $E_C(M)(a, z)$.

5.2.3. Twist about W .



The curve W

The two preceding twists left the one-sided curve A invariant. In order to show ergodicity, we have to find an element of Γ_M acting in a non trivial way on the coordinate a , i.e. an element that don't send A on one of its conjugate. The most "simple" curve to realize that is the curve denoted $W = CAB^{-1}A^{-1}$ and represented on the picture above. The curve represented by $W \in \pi_1(M)$ is a two-sided curve, so the Dehn Twist about it, is well defined and is given by the automorphism of $\pi_1(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto CAB^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}AB \\ B &\mapsto (B^{-1}A^{-1}CA)B(A^{-1}C^{-1}AB) \\ C &\mapsto CAB^{-1}A^{-1}CABA^{-1}C^{-1} = WCW^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

The curves corresponding to X, K, Z and D transform into :

$$\begin{aligned} X = AB &\mapsto AB \\ Z = CA &\mapsto CA \\ D = ABC &\mapsto ABCAB^{-1}A^{-1}CABA^{-1}C^{-1} \\ K = AABC &\mapsto (CAB^{-1}A^{-1}C^{-1}AB)ABCA(B^{-1}A^{-1}CABA^{-1}C^{-1}) \end{aligned}$$

We check easily that the transformation leaves the conjugacy class of elements corresponding to boundary components invariant, which means B, C and K . τ_W leaves $(b, c, k) = C$ invariant. So τ_W induces

an action on $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ which can be seen on the coordinates $(a, x, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^3$:

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto w(xc - d) - (x(cw - b) - (zc - a)) \\ x &\mapsto x \\ z &\mapsto z \\ d &\mapsto w(dw - (zc - a)) - (x(wb - c) - (zb - d)) \end{aligned}$$

Where $w = \text{Tr } W = xz - k$ is naturally τ_W -invariant. a and z are also τ_W -invariant. We can rewrite the equation (5.10) in the following way:

$$\frac{2+w}{4} \left(a + d - \frac{(b+c)(x+z)}{w+2} \right)^2 + \frac{2-w}{4} \left(a - d - \frac{(b-c)(x-z)}{w-2} \right)^2 = R$$

with

$$R := \frac{(x^2 + z^2 + k^2 - xzk - 4)(b^2 + c^2 + w^2 - bcw - 4)}{4 - w^2}$$

R is still τ_W -invariant. For fixed value of $-2 < w < 2$, the left term of the equation is a quadratic function of a and d with positive coefficients. In the right term R we recognize (x, z, k) and (b, c, w) as characters of representations in $\text{SU}(2)$ of the free groups $\langle X, Z \rangle$ and $\langle C, AB^{-1}A^{-1} \rangle$ respectively, because $XZ = ABCA = A^{-1}WA$ and $CAB^{-1}A^{-1} = W$. So according to Fricke relation :

$$(5.24) \quad (x^2 + z^2 + k^2 - xzk - 4) < 0$$

$$(5.25) \quad (b^2 + c^2 + w^2 - bcw - 4) < 0$$

Moreover $4 - w^2 > 0$, which implies $R > 0$. So we deduce from the preceding facts that the equation defines an ellipse. We can rewrite the equation in the form :

$$Q_w(a - a_0(w), d - d_0(w)) = R$$

with

$$(5.26) \quad a_0(\nu) = bx + cz - \nu(bz + cx)$$

$$(5.27) \quad d_0(\nu) = bz + cx$$


This exhibits $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ as a family of ellipses $E_C(M)(b, c)$ parametrized by (b, c, k, x, z) . Then we express the transformation τ_W on the coordinates a and d in terms of a_0 and d_0 , which gives us :

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} a_0(w) \\ d_0(w) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -w \\ w & w^2 - 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} a \\ d \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} a_0(w) \\ d_0(w) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This transformation is the rotation of angle $-\theta_W = -2 \cos^{-1}(w/2)$ on the ellipse $E_C(M)(x, z)$ defined earlier for fixed (b, c, k, x, z) . In particular, for fixed boundary traces (b, c, k) and for almost all (x, z) , θ_W is an irrational multiple of π . So for almost all (x, z) , the action of τ_W is ergodic on the set $E_C(M)(x, z)$.

5.2.4. *Conclusion.* Let $f : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a measurable Γ_M -invariant function. In particular f is τ_T, τ_U and τ_W -invariant. We know, thanks to the ergodicity of these twists actions, that a function τ_T -invariant (resp. τ_U et τ_W) only depends on the coordinates (a, x) (resp. (a, z) and (x, z)) and on the traces of the boundary components $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k)$, almost everywhere. We then deduce that the function f that maps (a, x, z, d, b, c, k) on \mathbb{R} only depends on $\mathcal{C} = (b, c, k)$ for almost all (a, d, x, z) . This means that f is almost everywhere constant on $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$, and this proves the ergodicity of the Γ_M action on $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$, and then the proposition 9 .

5.3. **The case of $N_{3,1,-}$.** To show the theorem in the case where $M = N_{3,1,-}$, we use a similar argument to the case of the two-holed Klein bottle.



The surface $N_{3,1,-}$

Its fundamental group is as follows :

$$\pi = \pi_1(M) = \langle A, B, C, K \mid A^2 B^2 C^2 = K \rangle$$

So π is a free group in three generators A, B, C . The element $K \in \pi$ corresponds to the boundary component of the surface. Then we have the seven coordinates on the space $\mathcal{X}(M) = \text{Hom}(\pi, \text{SU}(2)) / \text{SU}(2)$ satisfying the Fricke relation. The character variety of the boundary of M is :

$$\mathcal{X}(\partial M) = \{k \in [-2, 2]\}$$

where $k = \text{Tr}(K) = \text{Tr}(A^2 B^2 C^2) = abcd - bcy - acz - bax + a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2$.

We split the surface along the curve X to obtain a surface A which is a three holed projective plane. According to the proposition 9, a measurable function $f : \mathcal{X}(A) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_A -invariant, is almost everywhere equal to a function depending only on the boundary traces (x, k) .

We just have to eliminate the variable x to show the theorem in the case $M = N_{3,1,-}$ using the Dehn twist about the curve $U = AAB B$.

The curve represented by $U = AAC C \in \pi_1(M)$ is a two-sided curve, so the Dehn twist could be defined about it. The Dehn twist τ_U about the curve U is given by the automorphism of $\pi_1(M)$:

$$\begin{aligned} A &\mapsto A \\ B &\mapsto A^{-2}C^{-2}BC^2A^2 \\ C &\mapsto C \end{aligned}$$

The elements corresponding to X, Y, Z, D and K maps onto :

$$\begin{aligned} X = AB &\mapsto A^{-1}C^{-2}BC^2AA \\ Y = BC &\mapsto A^{-2}C^{-2}BC^2A^2C \\ Z = CA &\mapsto CA \\ D = ABC &\mapsto A^{-1}C^{-2}BC^2A^2C \\ K = AABBC &\mapsto AABBC \end{aligned}$$

We check that τ_U leaves invariant the conjugacy class of the elements corresponding to the boundary component K . We also have $u = \text{Tr } U = \text{Tr}(A^2C^2) = acz - a^2 - c^2 + 2$

τ_U induces an action on $\mathcal{X}_c(M)$ which can be seen on the coordinates $(a, b, c, x, y, z, d) \in [-2, 2]^7$ as :

$$\begin{aligned} a &\mapsto a \\ b &\mapsto b \\ c &\mapsto c \\ z &\mapsto z \\ x &\mapsto c^3d - c^2yz - c^2x - 2cd + cbz + cay + x \\ y &\mapsto \tau_U(y) \\ d &\mapsto \tau_U(d) \end{aligned}$$

The explicit calculations for $\tau_U(y)$ and $\tau_U(d)$ are given in 6.2, as well as other calculations of this section which are too long to fit in here.

We express the variable d in function of k and the other coordinates, to replace the coordinate d with the coordinate k which is τ_U -invariant. For non-zero a, b, c , we can write :

$$(5.29) \quad d = \frac{bcy + acz + abx - a^2 + b^2 + c^2 + 2}{abc}.$$

Then we can rewrite (4.4) in the following way

$$(5.30) \quad \left(\frac{x}{c}\right)^2 + (acz - a^2 - c^2 + 2) \left(\frac{xy}{ca}\right) + \left(\frac{y}{a}\right)^2 + 2Dx + 2Ey + F = 0$$

with constants D, E, F depending on the invariant coordinates a, b, c, z, k .

We make a change of variable $y' = \frac{y}{a}$ and $x' = \frac{x}{c}$ and the equation (5.30) becomes

$$(5.31) \quad x'^2 + (acz - a^2 - c^2 + 2)x'y' + y'^2 + 2D'x + 2E'y + F = 0$$

with $D', E' \in \mathbb{R}$. (see Annex for explicit expressions)

This equation can be seen as a quadratic equation in (x', y') where we denote $u = \text{Tr}(\rho(U)) = acz - a^2 - c^2 + 2$.

$$\frac{2+u}{4}((x'+y') - (x'_0(u) + y'_0(u)))^2 + \frac{2-u}{4}((x'-y') - (x'_0(u) - y'_0(u)))^2 = R$$

where x_0 and y_0 are invariant functions on a, b, c, z, k (see Annex) and

$$R = \frac{(a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4)((b^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (b^2 - 2)uk - 4)}{b^2(4 - u^2)}$$

R is also τ_U -invariant. For a particular value of $-2 < u < 2$, the left term of the equation is a quadratic function on x', z' with positive coefficients. In the right term R we recognize that (a, c, z) and $(b^2 - 2, u, k)$ are the characters of representations in $SU(2)$ of the free groups $\langle A, C \rangle$ and $\langle BB, CCAA \rangle$ respectively, as we have $AC = Z$ and $CCAABB = K$. So according to Fricke's relation :

$$(5.32) \quad (a^2 + c^2 + z^2 - acz - 4) < 0$$

$$(5.33) \quad ((b^2 - 2)^2 + u^2 + k^2 - (b^2 - 2)uk - 4) < 0$$

Moreover $4 - u^2 > 0$, so we deduce that $R > 0$. So the equation corresponds to an ellipse. We can write the equation in the form

$$Q_u(x - x_0(u), y - y_0(u)) = R.$$

This exhibits $\mathcal{X}_C(M)$ as a family of ellipses $E_C(M)(a, b, c, z)$ that are parametrized by (k, a, b, c, z) . Now we express the action of τ_U on x', z' using x'_0, z'_0 , which gives us :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} x'_0(u) \\ y'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -u \\ (u^2 - 1) & u \end{bmatrix} \cdot \left(\begin{bmatrix} x' \\ y' \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} x'_0(u) \\ y'_0(u) \end{bmatrix} \right)$$


This transformation is a rotation of angle $2\theta_U = -2\cos^{-1}(U/2)$ on the ellipse $E_C(M)(a, b, c, z)$ defined earlier for fixed (k, a, b, c, z) . In fact, for boundary trace k and for almost all (a, b, c, z) , θ_U is an irrational multiple of π . So for almost all (a, b, c, z) , the action of τ_U is ergodic on the set $E_C(M)(a, b, c, z)$.

We then deduce that a τ_U -invariant function is almost everywhere equal to a function which depends only on (k, a, b, c, z) . So there exists a function $H : [-2, 2]^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is such that $f([\rho]) = H(k, a, b, c, z)$ almost everywhere. On the other hand, the Dehn twist τ_X acts ergodically on almost every fibers of the application $\mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M | X)$, using the Goldman flow about X . So a function $\mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ only depends on its restriction to $\mathcal{X}(M | X)$ almost everywhere. So we have $f([\rho]) = G(k, x)$ almost everywhere. Combining the two arguments, the function f which is Γ_M -invariant depends only on the traces of the boundary component k . This ends the proof of the theorem 2 in the case of $M = N_{3,1,-}$.

6. THE CASE OF ODD GENUS

In the general case, we cut the surface into two subsurfaces such that the result holds for both subsurfaces. Two cases appears, $g = 1$ and $g \geq 3$, which are done in a similar way.

6.1. The case $g = 1$. By hypothesis, if $g = 1$ we must have $m > 3$ (the case $m = 3$ has already been proven). We then cut M along a curve C that splits M in two subsurfaces, such that one of them is a three-holed projective plane denoted A , and the other is a $(m - 1)$ -holed sphere denoted B . We note D and E the two other boundary components of A .



m-holed projective plane

6.1.1. *twist about C*. The Dehn twist τ_C about the curve C acts on $\text{Hom}(\pi, G)$ by :

$$\tau_C \cdot \rho : \gamma \mapsto \begin{cases} \gamma & \text{if } \gamma \in \pi_1(A) \\ \rho(C)\gamma\rho(C)^{-1} & \text{if } \gamma \in \pi_1(B) \end{cases}$$

We also have $\rho(C) = \exp(f(\rho(C))F(\rho(C))) = \zeta_{f(\rho(C))}(C)$. The Dehn twist can be expressed with the Goldman flow $\tau_C = \Theta_{f(\rho(C))}$. So the twist acts on the fiber of the application $\phi : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(A)$ as a rotation of angle $2f(\rho(C))$. The coefficient 2 comes from the fact that the Goldman flow is π -periodic in the case where the curve is separating (contrary to the non-separating case where it is 2π -periodic). For almost all representations, $2f(\rho(C))$ is an irrational multiple of π . So for almost all representations, this rotation is irrational, and the twist action is ergodic on the fiber $Z(\rho(C)) = j^{-1}([\alpha], [\beta])$.

So we have a measurable map $j : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$ such that j is τ_C -invariant. Moreover the twist action of τ_C on the fibers $j^{-1}([\alpha], [\beta])$ is ergodic for almost all $([\alpha], [\beta]) \in \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$. So we apply the ergodic decomposition lemma to show that if $h : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a measurable τ_C -invariant function, there exists a measurable function $H : \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $h = H \circ j$ almost everywhere.

To summarize, we have shown that a function Γ_M -invariant only depends on its restriction to $\mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$

6.1.2. *Ergodicity on A*. A is a three-holed projective plane. One of the boundary components is the curve C , and we denote the two other by D and E . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(B) \times [-2, 2]^2 \\ ([\alpha], [\beta]) &\longmapsto ([\beta], \text{Tr}(\alpha(D)), \text{Tr}(\alpha(E))) \end{aligned}$$

be the application corresponding to the restriction of a representation on $\pi_1(B)$ and on the boundary characters of A . Let $v = ([\beta], d, e) \in \mathcal{X}(B) \times [-2, 2]^2$. We have the generic fiber :

$$\Phi^{-1}(v) = \{([\alpha], [\beta]) \mid [\alpha|_{\pi_1(C)}] = [\beta|_{\pi_1(C)}], \text{Tr}(\alpha(D)) = d, \text{Tr}(\alpha(E)) = e\}$$

The fiber of the map Φ is then isomorphic to $\mathcal{X}_C(A)$ where we denote $\mathcal{C} = (\text{Tr}(\beta(C)), d, e)$.

We consider the action of the mapping class group of A , Γ_A , on $\mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$. Clearly, Φ is Γ_A -invariant. We know, according to the proposition 9 that the action of Γ_A is ergodic on $\mathcal{X}_C(A)$.

We then apply the ergodic decomposition lemma, and we show that if we have a function $h : \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_A -invariant then there is a function $H : \mathcal{X}(B) \times [-2, 2]^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that h can be decomposed into $h = H \circ \Phi$ almost everywhere.

6.1.3. *Ergodicity on B.* We continue the reduction by considering the restriction map

$$\Psi : \mathcal{X}(B) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(\partial B).$$

Fibers of this map are exactly the sets $\mathcal{X}_C(B)$. The mapping class group action of Γ_B is ergodic on $\mathcal{X}_C(B)$ for all $C \in [-2, 2]^{m-1}$. We apply the ergodic decomposition Lemma a last time, to show that a function $h : \mathcal{X}(B) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_B -invariant can be decomposed into $h = H \circ \Psi$ almost everywhere.

So a function $h : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_M -invariant is in particular τ_C , Γ_A and Γ_B invariant. We use the preceding results to show that h can be decomposed in the following way :

$$h = H \circ \tilde{\Psi} \circ \Phi \circ j$$

where $\tilde{\Psi}$ is the map $\Psi \times Id$ on $\mathcal{X}(B) \times [-2, 2]^2$. $\tilde{\Psi} \circ \Phi \circ j$ is in fact the restriction map :

$$q : \mathcal{X}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}(\partial M) \times \mathcal{X}(C).$$

We then just have to show that a Γ_M -invariant function $H : \mathcal{X}(\partial M) \times \mathcal{X}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ does not depend on its value on $\mathcal{X}(C)$.

There is a four-holed sphere S embedded in M such that C is an essential curve in this sphere. For example, we could use a P-S decomposition (pants and skirts decomposition, see [20]) of the surface such that the curve C is one of the curves of the decomposition and such that the two adjacent subsurfaces to C are three-holed spheres. The mapping class group of S , denoted Γ_S acts on $\mathcal{X}(M)$. We use Goldman result on the four-holed sphere, to show that a Γ_S -invariant function does not depend on the coordinate $c = \text{Tr}(\rho(C))$ almost everywhere.

Finally, we deduce from the previous results that a measurable function $h : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_M -invariant only depends on its value on $\mathcal{X}(\partial M)$ almost everywhere. We can rephrase it by saying that for all boundary traces C a measurable function $h : \mathcal{X}_C(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is Γ_M -invariant is almost everywhere constant.

This ends the proof of the theorem 2 in the case of the m -holed projective plane.

6.2. The case $g \geq 3$. We cut the surface M in two subsurfaces A and B along a curve C such that A is the surface $N_{3,1,-}$ and B is an orientable surface of negative Euler characteristic with $m+1$ boundary components, where m is the number of boundary components of M . We can then proceed the rest of the demonstration in the same way that in the case $g = 1$.

The Dehn twist about the curve C is ergodic on the fiber of the map $j : \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$. So a Γ_M -invariant function only depends on its restriction to $\mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C)$.

Next we consider, the projection $\Phi : \mathcal{X}(M; A, B, C) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(B)$. We can see that the fiber $\Phi^{-1}([\rho_B])$ is equal to $\mathcal{X}_c(A)$ where $c = \text{Tr}(\rho_B(C))$. So the mapping class group Γ_A acts ergodically on the fibers of Φ . Hence a Γ_M -invariant function only depends on its restriction to $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}'}(B)$ with $\mathcal{C}' = (c, k_1, \dots, k_m)$ where $(k_1, \dots, k_m) = \mathcal{C}$ corresponds to the boundary traces of M .

We also have Γ_B acting ergodically on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}'}(B)$ so a Γ_M -invariant function only depends on its restriction to $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}'}(\partial B) = \mathcal{C}' = (c, \mathcal{C})$.

Finally we can find a four-holed sphere S embedded in M as we have $g \geq 3$ and $\chi(M) < 2$ such that C is an essential curve in this sphere. We can then use the mapping class group Γ_S to show that a Γ_M -invariant function does not depend on $c = \text{Tr} \rho(C)$, which means that Γ_M acts ergodically on $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{C}}(M)$. This ends the proof of the Main Theorem \square

ANNEX : CALCULATIONS IN SMALL GENUS

We give here the computer calculations made for the case of the surface $N_{3,1,-}$.

To compute the trace coordinates of words in $A, B, C, A^{-1}, B^{-1}, C^{-1}$ we use a recursive program able to simplify the trace of a word.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\tau_U(Y)) = \text{Tr}(A^{-2}C^{-2}BC^2A^2C) = & -a^4bc^3 + a^4bc + a^4c^2y + a^3bc^4z - \\ & a^3bc^2z + a^3c^3x - a^3c^3yz - a^3c^2d - 2a^3cx + a^3d - a^2bc^5 - a^2bc^3z^2 + 4a^2bc^3 + \\ & a^2bcz^2 - 2a^2bc - a^2c^4xz + a^2c^4y + a^2c^3dz + 3a^2c^2xz + a^2c^2yz^2 - 3a^2c^2y - \\ & 2a^2cdz - a^2xz - a^2y + abc^4z - 3abc^2z + abz + ac^5x - ac^4d - 5ac^3x - \\ & ac^3yz + 4ac^2d + 5acx + 2acyz - 2ad + y \end{aligned}$$

And also

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Tr}(\tau_U(D)) &= \mathrm{Tr}(A^{-1}C^{-2}BC^2A^2C) = -a^3bc^3 + a^3bc + a^3c^2y + a^2bc^4z - \\ &a^2bc^2z + a^2c^3x - a^2c^3yz - a^2c^2d - 2a^2cx + a^2d - abc^5 - abc^3z^2 + 3abc^3 + \\ &abcz^2 - abc - ac^4xz + ac^4y + ac^3dz + 3ac^2xz + ac^2yz^2 - 2ac^2y - 2acd - \\ &axz - ay + bc^4z - 2bc^2z + bz + c^5x - c^4d - 4c^3x - c^3yz + 3c^2d + 3cx + cyz - d \end{aligned}$$

In the equation 5.30, the coefficients D', E', F are equal to :

$$D' = \frac{1}{abc}(a^2c^2 - 2a^2 - ab^2cz - ac^3z + 2acz + b^2c^2 - 2b^2 + c^4 - c^2k - 4c^2 + 2k + 4)$$

$$E' = \frac{1}{abc}(a^4 - a^3cz + a^2b^2 + a^2c^2 - a^2k - 4a^2 - ab^2cz + 2acz - 2b^2 - 2c^2 + 2k + 4)$$

$$F = \frac{1}{c^2b^2a^2}(a^4 + a^3b^2cz - 2a^3cz + a^2b^2c^2k - 2a^2b^2c^2 + 2a^2b^2 + a^2c^2z^2 + 2a^2c^2 - 2a^2k - 4a^2 + ab^4cz + ab^2c^3z - ab^2ckz - 4ab^2cz - 2ac^3z + 2ackz + 4acz + b^4 + 2b^2c^2 - 2b^2k - 4b^2 + c^4 - 2c^2k - 4c^2 + k^2 + 4k + 4)$$

The variables x'_0 and y'_0 can be easily computed using D' and E' .
 $x'_0 = \frac{1}{abc(u^2-4)}(-a^4u + a^3cuz - a^2b^2u - a^2c^2u + 2a^2c^2 + a^2ku + 4a^2u - 4a^2 + ab^2cuz - 2ab^2cz - 2ac^3z - 2acuz + 4acz + 2b^2c^2 + 2b^2u - 4b^2 + 2c^4 - 2c^2k + 2c^2u - 8c^2 - 2ku + 4k - 4u + 8)$

$$y'_0 = \frac{1}{abc(u^2-4)}(2a^4 - 2a^3cz + 2a^2b^2 - a^2c^2u + 2a^2c^2 - 2a^2k + 2a^2u - 8a^2 + ab^2cuz - 2ab^2cz + ac^3uz - 2acuz + 4acz - b^2c^2u + 2b^2u - 4b^2 - c^4u + c^2ku + 4c^2u - 4c^2 - 2ku + 4k - 4u + 8)$$

REFERENCES

- [1] FREED D., *Reidemeister torsion, spectral sequences, and Brieskorn spheres*, J. Reine Angew. Math. , **429** (1992), 75–89.
- [2] FURSTENBERG H., *Recurrence in Ergodic Theory and Combinatorial Number Theory*, Princeton University Press (1981)
- [3] GENDULPHE M., *Paysage Systolique Des Surfaces Hyperboliques Compactes De Caractéristique -1*, e-print arXiv:math/0508036v1 .
- [4] GOLDMAN W., *The symplectic nature of fundamental groups of surfaces*, Adv. Math. , **85** (1984),200–225.
- [5] GOLDMAN W., *Invariant functions on Lie groups and Hamiltonian flows of surface group representations*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), no. 2, 263–302.
- [6] GOLDMAN W., *Ergodic theory on moduli spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **146** (1997), no. 3, 475–507.
- [7] GOLDMAN W., *The complex-symplectic geometry of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ -characters over surfaces*, Algebraic groups and arithmetic, 375–407, Tata Inst. Fund. Res., Mumbai, 2004.

- [8] JEFFREY L., WEITSMAN J., *Bohr-Sommerfeld orbits in the moduli space of flat connections and the Verlinde dimension formula*, Commun. Math. Phys. **150** (1992), 595–620.
- [9] HO N., JEFFREY L. *The volume of the moduli space of flat connections on a nonorientable 2-manifold*, Commun. Math. Phys. **256** (2005), 539–564.
- [10] MULASE M., PENKAVA M., *Volume of representation varieties*, e-print arXiv:math/0212012v1
- [11] KLEIN D., *Goldman flows on non-orientable surfaces*, e-print arXiv:0710.5265v1 .
- [12] KORKMAZ M., *Mapping class group of nonorientable surfaces*, Geom. Dedicata V **89** (2002) 109–133.
- [13] LICKORISH W.B.R., *Homeomorphism on non-orientable two-manifolds*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **59** (1963), 307–317.
- [14] MAGNUS W., *Rings of Fricke characters and automorphism group of free groups*, Math. Zeit **170** (1980), 91–103.
- [15] NIELSEN J., *Die isomorphismen der allgemeinen unendlichen Gruppen mit zwei Erzeugenden*, Math. Ann. **78** (1918) , 385–397.
- [16] PICKRELL D., XIA E., *Ergodicity of Mapping Class Group Actions on representation Variety, I. Closed Surfaces*, Comment. Math. Helv. **77** (2001), 339–362.
- [17] PICKRELL D., XIA E., *Ergodicity of Mapping Class Group Actions on representation Variety, II. Surfaces with Boundaries*, Transformation Groups **8** (2003), 397–402.
- [18] PREVITE J., XIA E., *Topological dynamics on Moduli Spaces I*, Pac. J. Math. **193** (2000), 397–417.
- [19] PREVITE J., XIA E., *Topological dynamics on Moduli Spaces II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 2475–2494.
- [20] STUKOW M., *The twist subgroup of the mapping class group of a nonorientable surface.*, e-print arXiv:0709.2798v1
- [21] WITTEN E., *On quantum gauge theory in two dimensions*, Commun. Math. Phy. **141** (1991), 153–209.

INSTITUT FOURIER,, 100 RUE DES MATHS, 38452 ST MARTIN D'HERES
E-mail address: fpalesi@ujf-grenoble.fr