ON THE COMPLETENESS OF GRADIENT RICCI SOLITONS

ZHU-HONG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. A gradient Ricci soliton is a triple (M, g, f) satisfying $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \lambda g_{ij}$ for some real number λ . In this paper, we will show that the completeness of the metric g implies that of the vector field ∇f .

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1. Let (M, g, X) be a smooth Riemannian manifold with X a smooth vector field. We call M a Ricci soliton if $Ric + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_X g = \lambda g$ for some real number λ . It is called shrinking when $\lambda > 0$, steady when $\lambda = 0$, and expanding when $\lambda < 0$. If (M, g, f) is a smooth Riemannian manifold where f is a smooth function, such that $(M, g, \nabla f)$ is a Ricci soliton, i.e. $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \lambda g_{ij}$, we call (M, g, f) a gradient Ricci soliton and f the soliton function.

On the other hand, there has the following definition (see chapter 2 of [3]).

Definition 1.2. Let (M, g(t), X) be a smooth Riemannian manifold with a solution g(t) of the Ricci flow on a time interval (a, b) containing 0, where X is smooth vector field. We call (M, g(t), X) self-similar solution if there exist scalars $\sigma(t)$ such that $g(t) = \sigma(t)\varphi_t^*(g_0)$, where the diffeomorphisms φ_t is generated by X. If the vector field X comes from a gradient of a smooth function f, then we call (M, g(t), f) a gradient self-similar solution.

It is easy to see that if (M, g(t), f) is a complete gradient self-similar solution, then (M, g(0), f) must be a complete gradient Ricci soliton. Conversely, when (M, g, f) is a complete gradient Ricci soliton and in addition, the vector field ∇f is complete, it is well known (see for example Theorem 4.1 of [2]) that there is a complete gradient self-similar solution $(M, g(t), f), t \in (a, b)$ (with $0 \in (a, b)$), such that g(0) = g. Here we say that a vector field ∇f is complete if it generates a family of diffeomorphisms φ_t of M for $t \in (a, b)$.

So when the vector field is complete, the definitions of gradient Ricci soliton and gradient self-similar solution are equivalent. In literature, people sometimes confuse the gradient Ricci solitons with the gradient self-similar solutions. Indeed, if the gradient Ricci soliton has bounded curvature, then it is not hard to see that the vector field ∇f is complete. But, in general the soliton does not have bounded curvature.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the completeness of the metric g of a gradient Ricci soliton (M, g, f) implies that of the vector field ∇f , even though the soliton does not have bounded curvature. Our main result is the following

Date: July 10, 2008.

Key words and phrases. completeness, gradient Ricci soliton, gradient self-similar solution.

Theorem 1.3. Let (M, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton. Suppose the metric g is complete, then we have:

- (i) ∇f is complete;
- (ii) $R \geq 0$, if the soliton is steady or shrinking;
- (iii) $\exists C \geq 0$, such that $R \geq -C$, if the soliton is expanding.

Indeed, we will show that the vector field ∇f grows at most linearly and so it is integrable. Hence the above Definition 1.1 and 1.2 are equivalent when the metric is complete.

Acknowledgement I would like to thank my advisor Professor X.P.Zhu for many helpful suggestions and discussions.

2. Gradient Ricci Solitons

Let (M, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton, i.e., $R_{ij} + \nabla_i \nabla_j f = \lambda g_{ij}$. By using the contracted second Bianchi identity we get the equation $R + |\nabla f|^2 - 2\lambda f = const$.

Definition 2.1. Let (M, g, f) be a gradient shrinking or expanding soliton. By rescaling g and changing f by a constant we can assume $\lambda \in \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 - 2\lambda f = 0$. We call such a soliton normalized, and f a normalized soliton function.

Proposition 2.2. Let (M, g, f) be a gradient Ricci soliton. Fix p on M, and define $d(x) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} d(p, x)$, then the following hold

- (i) $\triangle R = \langle \nabla f, \nabla R \rangle + 2\lambda R |Ric|^2;$
- (ii) Suppose $Ric \leq (n-1)K$ on $B_{r_0}(p)$, for some positive numbers r_0 and K. Then for arbitrary point x, outside $B_{r_0}(p)$, we have

$$\triangle d - \langle \nabla f, \nabla d \rangle \leq -\lambda d(x) + (n-1) \left\{ \frac{2}{3} K r_0 + r_0^{-1} \right\} + |\nabla f|(p).$$

Proof. (i) By using the soliton equation and the contracted second Bianchi identity $\nabla_i R = 2g^{jk} \nabla_j R_{ik}$, we have

$$\begin{split} \triangle R &= g^{ij} \nabla_i \nabla_j R = g^{ij} \nabla_i (2g^{kl} R_{jk} \nabla_l f) = 2g^{ij} g^{kl} \nabla_i (R_{jk} \nabla_l f) \\ &= 2g^{ij} g^{kl} \nabla_i (R_{jk}) \nabla_l f + 2g^{ij} g^{kl} R_{jk} \nabla_i \nabla_l f \\ &= g^{kl} \nabla_k R \nabla_l f + 2g^{ij} g^{kl} R_{jk} (\lambda g_{il} - R_{il}) \\ &= \langle \nabla f, \nabla R \rangle + 2\lambda R - 2|Ric|^2. \end{split}$$

(ii) Let $\gamma:[0,d(x)]\to M$ be a shortest normal geodesic from p to x. We may assume that x and p are not conjugate to each other, otherwise we can understand the differential inequality in the barrier sense. Let $\{\dot{\gamma}(0),e_1,\cdots,e_{n-1}\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_pM . Extend this basis parallel along γ to form a parallel orthonormal basis $\{\dot{\gamma}(t),e_1(t),\cdots,e_{n-1}(t)\}$ along γ .

Let $X_i(t)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, be the Jacobian fields along γ with $X_i(0) = 0$ and $X_i(d(x)) = e_i(d(x))$. Then it is well-known that (see for example [4])

$$\triangle d(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{d(x)} [|\dot{X}_i|^2 - R(\dot{\gamma}, X_i, \dot{\gamma}, X_i)] dt.$$

Define vector fields Y_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, along γ as follows

$$Y_i(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{r_0} e_i(t), & \text{if } t \in [0, r_0]; \\ e_i(t), & \text{if } t \in [r_0, d(x)]. \end{cases}$$

Then by using the standard index comparison theorem we have

$$\Delta d(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{d(x)} [|\dot{X}_i|^2 - R(\dot{\gamma}, X_i, \dot{\gamma}, X_i)] dt$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \int_0^{d(x)} [|\dot{Y}_i|^2 - R(\dot{\gamma}, Y_i, \dot{\gamma}, Y_i)] dt$$

$$= \int_0^{r_0} [\frac{n-1}{r_0^2} - \frac{t^2}{r_0^2} Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})] dt + \int_{r_0}^{d(x)} [-Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})] dt$$

$$= -\int_0^{d(x)} Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) dt + \int_0^{r_0} [\frac{n-1}{r_0^2} + (1 - \frac{t^2}{r_0^2}) Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})] dt$$

$$\leq -\int_{\gamma} Ric(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) dt + (n-1) \left\{ \frac{2}{3} Kr_0 + r_0^{-1} \right\}.$$

On the other hand,

$$<\nabla f, \nabla d>(x)=\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}f(x)=\int_0^{d(x)}(\frac{d}{dt}\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}f)dt+\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}f(p)\geq \int_{\gamma}(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}}f)dt-|\nabla f|(p).$$

Using the soliton equation, we have

Now we are ready to prove the theorem 1.3.

Proof. Fix a point p on M, and define $d(x) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} d(p, x)$. We divide the argument into three steps.

Step 1 We want to prove a curvature estimate in the following assertion.

Claim For any gradient Ricci soliton, we have:

- (i) If the soliton is shrinking or steady, then $R \geq 0$;
- (ii) If the soliton is expanding, then there exist a nonnegative constant C = C(n) such that $R \ge \lambda C$.

We only prove the case (i), $\lambda \geq 0$. Note that there is a positive constant r_0 , such that $Ric \leq (n-1)r_0^{-2}$ on $B_{r_0}(p)$, and $|\nabla f|(p) \leq (n-1)r_0^{-1}$, then by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\triangle d - \langle \nabla f, \nabla d \rangle \leq \frac{8}{3}(n-1)r_0^{-1},$$

for any $x \notin B_{r_0}(p)$.

For any fixed constant A > 2, we consider the function $u(x) = \varphi(\frac{d(x)}{Ar_0})R(x)$, where φ is a fixed smooth nonnegative decreasing function such that $\varphi = 1$ on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2}]$, and $\varphi = 0$ on $[1, \infty)$.

Then by Proposition 2.2, we have

$$\Delta u = R \Delta \varphi + \varphi \Delta R + 2 < \nabla \varphi, \nabla R >$$

$$= R(\varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} + \varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0} \Delta d) + \varphi(< \nabla f, \nabla R > +2\lambda R - |Ric|^2) + 2 < \nabla \varphi, \nabla R > .$$

If $\min_{x\in M}u\geq 0$, then $R\geq 0$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}Ar_0}(p)$. Otherwise, $\min_{x\in M}u<0$, then there exist some point $x_1\in B_{Ar_0}(p)$, such that $u(x_1)=\varphi R(x_1)=\min_{x\in M}u<0$. Because $u(x_1)$ is the minimum of the function u(x), we have $\varphi'R(x_1)>0$, $\nabla u(x_1)=0$, and $\triangle u(x_1)\geq 0$.

Let us first consider the case that $x_1 \notin B_{r_0}(p)$. Then by direct computation, we have

$$\begin{split} \triangle u(x_1) &= (\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi} \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} + \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0} \triangle d) u(x_1) - \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0} < \nabla f, \nabla d > u(x_1) \\ &+ 2\lambda u(x_1) - \varphi |Ric|^2 - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi^2} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2} u(x_1) \\ &\leq (\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi} \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi^2} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2}) u(x_1) - \frac{2}{n} \varphi R^2 \\ &+ \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0} u(x_1) (\triangle d - < \nabla f, \nabla d >). \\ &\leq (\frac{\varphi''}{\varphi} \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi^2} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2}) u(x_1) - \frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{\varphi} u(x_1)^2 \\ &+ \frac{8}{3} (n-1) \frac{\varphi'}{\varphi} \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} u(x_1) \\ &= \frac{u(x_1)}{\varphi} \left\{ (\varphi'' \frac{1}{(Ar_0)^2} - \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi} \frac{2}{(Ar_0)^2}) + \frac{8}{3} (n-1) \varphi' \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} - \frac{2}{n} u(x_1) \right\} \\ &\leq \frac{|u(x_1)|}{\varphi} \left\{ \frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi} \frac{2}{Ar_0^2} + \frac{8(n-1)}{3} (-\varphi') \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} + |\varphi''| \frac{1}{Ar_0^2} - \frac{2}{n} |u(x_1)| \right\}. \end{split}$$

Note that there exist a constant $\widetilde{C} = \widetilde{C}(\varphi)$, such that $|\varphi'| \leq \widetilde{C}$, $\frac{\varphi'^2}{\varphi} \leq \widetilde{C}$, and $|\varphi''| \leq \widetilde{C}$. So

$$|u(x_1)| \le \frac{C}{Ar_0^2},$$

where the constant $C=C(\varphi,n)$, i.e., $R\geq -\frac{C}{Ar_0^2}$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}Ar_0}(p)$.

We now consider the remaining case that $x_1 \in B_{r_0}(p)$. Then $\varphi'(x_1) = \varphi''(x_1) = 0$, and we have

$$\Delta u(x_1) = 2\lambda u(x_1) - \varphi |Ric|^2 \le |u(x_1)|[-2\lambda - \frac{2}{n}|u(x_1)|].$$

Since $\lambda \geq 0$, we have $|u(x_1)| \leq 0$, i.e., $u(x_1) = 0$. This is a contradiction.

Combining the above two cases, we have $R \ge -\frac{C}{Ar_0^2}$ on $B_{\frac{1}{2}Ar_0}(p)$ for any A > 2, which implies that $R \ge 0$ on M.

The proof of (ii) is similar.

Step 2 We next want to show that the gradient field grows at most linearly.

Claim For any gradient Ricci soliton, there exist constants a and b depending only on the soliton, such that

- (i) $|\nabla f|(x) \le |\lambda| d(x) + a;$

(ii) $|f|(x) \le \frac{|\lambda|}{2} d(x)^2 + ad(x) + b$. For any point x on M, we connect p and x by a shortest normal geodesic $\gamma(t), t \in$

We first consider that the soliton is steady, then $R \ge 0$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 = C \ge 0$, so we have $|\nabla f| < \sqrt{C}$.

Secondly, We consider that the soliton is shrinking. Without loss of generality, we may assume the soliton is normalized. So $R \ge 0$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 - f = 0$, these imply $f \geq |\nabla f|^2$. Let $h(t) = f(\gamma(t))$, then

$$|h'|(t) = |\langle \nabla f, \dot{\gamma} \rangle|(t) \le |\nabla f|(\gamma(t)) \le \sqrt{f(\gamma(t))} = \sqrt{h(t)}.$$

By integrating above inequality, we get $|\sqrt{h(d(x))} - \sqrt{h(0)}| \le \frac{1}{2}d(x)$. Thus $|\nabla f|(x) \le \frac{1}{2}d(x) + \sqrt{f(p)}.$

Finally, we consider that the soliton is expanding. Similarly we only need to show the normalized case. So $R \ge -\frac{C}{2}$ and $R + |\nabla f|^2 + f = 0$, we obtain $-f + \frac{C}{2} \ge |\nabla f|^2$. Let $h(t) = -f(\gamma(t)) + \frac{C}{2}$, thus

$$|h'|(t) = |\langle \nabla f, \dot{\gamma} \rangle|(t) \le |\nabla f|(\gamma(t)) \le \sqrt{h(t)}.$$

By integrating above inequality, we get $|\sqrt{h(d(x))} - \sqrt{h(0)}| \le \frac{1}{2}d(x)$. Thus $|\nabla f|(x) \le \frac{1}{2}d(x) + \sqrt{-f(p) + \frac{C}{2}}.$

Therefore we have proved (i).

The conclusion (ii) follows from (i) immediately.

Step 3 Since the gradient field ∇f grows at most linearly, it must be integrable. Thus we have proved theorem 1.3.

References

- 1. S. Bando, On three-dimensional compact Kähler manifolds of nonnegative bisectional curvature, J. Diff. Geom. 19, (1984), 283-297.
- 2. B.Chow, P.Lu, and L.Ni, Hamilton's Ricci flow, Graduate studies in Mathematics, AMS, Providence, RI(2006).
- 3. B.Chow, and D.Knopf, The Ricci flow: An Introduction, American Mathematical Society, Mathematical surveys and monographs, ISSN 0076-5376, V.110.
- 4. R.Schoen and S.T.Yau, Lectures on differential geometry, Conference Proceedings and Lecture Notes in Geometry and Topology, 1, International Press Publication, 1994.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SUN YAT-SEN UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU, P.R.CHINA 510275 E-mail address: juhoncheung@sina.com