

# Heralded quantum memory for single-photon polarization qubits

G. W. Lin<sup>1</sup>, X. B. Zou<sup>1,\*</sup>, X. M. Lin<sup>2,†</sup> and G. C. Guo<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory of Quantum Information, Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, People's Republic of China and

<sup>2</sup>School of Physics and Optoelectronics Technology, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350007, People's Republic of China

We propose a scheme to implement a heralded quantum memory for single-photon polarization qubits with a single atom trapped in an optical cavity. In this scheme, an injected photon only exchanges quantum state with the atom, so that the heralded storage can be achieved by detecting the output photon. We also demonstrate that the scheme can be used for realizing the heralded quantum state transfer, exchange and entanglement distribution between distant nodes. The ability to detect whether the operation has succeeded or not is crucial for practical application.

*Introduction.*—A quantum memory, a storage device that can faithfully store and retrieve quantum state of traveling light pulse, is requisite to long-distance quantum communication networks and distributed quantum computers [1–9]. By following the seminal protocol proposed by Duan et al. [3], significant advance has been made in storing single photon by using atomic ensembles as storage mediums [10–25]. Early experiments using atomic ensembles mainly focused on the storage and retrieval of single photon with the fixed polarization [12, 13]. Recent experiments show that single-photon polarization qubits can be stored in two atomic ensembles [16, 17]. However, above studies are thwarted by photon losses since one can't determine whether or not the incoming photon has been stored or lost. Fortunately, such unpredictable failure may be largely remedied by a heralding feature that announces photon arrival and successful storage without destroying the stored quantum state. In Ref. [26], a heralded storage has been achieved by means of a spontaneous Raman process that can absorb a single-photon with arbitrary polarization and simultaneously emit a photon with fixed polarization. However, due to small spontaneous Raman scattering probability, the heralded storage occurs rarely, while its polarization state is restored with high fidelity. Cavity quantum electrodynamics (QED) provides another ideal interface between atoms and photons [4, 27–32]. In the initial proposal for the implementation of quantum networks in cavity QED [4], the quantum information of photons is encoded in the Fock basis, i.e., the zero- and one-photon Fock states [4, 30]. Ref. [32] proposed a robust method for transmitting entangled polarization state over long distances and teleportation of atomic state via measurements of all four Bell states, using a novel method of sequential elimination.

In this paper, we propose a heralded quantum memory for arbitrary polarization state with a single atom trapped in an optical cavity. Our scheme is based on quantum-state swap between single-photon pulse and




FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) Schematic setup to reflect a single photon pulse from one-sided cavity. (b) The relevant atomic level structure and transitions.

trapped atom. The heralded storage can be achieved by detecting the output photon. Numerical simulation results show that our scheme has a high success probability and the retrieved photon has so well-defined waveforms that it is easy to interfere with other photons. We also show that the scheme can allow the heralded quantum state transfer, exchange and entanglement distribution between distant nodes. The ability to detect whether the operation has succeeded or not, is crucial for practical application [2, 26].

*The building block and numerical simulations.*—As shown in Fig.1(a), our model consists of a single atom inside a one-sided optical cavity. The relevant atomic levels are depicted in Fig. 1(b), the states  $|l\rangle$  and  $|r\rangle$  correspond to two stable ground states, and  $|e\rangle$  denotes an excited state. The cavity supports two degenerate cavity modes  $a^h$  and  $a^v$  with different polarizations  $h$  and  $v$ . We assume that the transitions  $|l\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$  and  $|r\rangle \leftrightarrow |e\rangle$  are resonantly coupled to two cavity modes  $a^h$  and  $a^v$  with the strength  $g_h$  and  $g_v$ , respectively. The interaction between the atom and cavity modes is described by  $H_I = g_h |e\rangle \langle l| a^h + g_v |e\rangle \langle r| a^v + H.c.$ . The time evolutions of cavity modes  $a^h$  and  $a^v$  are given by [4, 33, 34]

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}^{h(v)}(t) = & -i \left[ a^{h(v)}(t), H_I' \right] - \frac{\kappa}{2} a^{h(v)}(t) \\ & - \sqrt{\kappa} a_{in}^{h(v)}(t), \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where  $H_I' = H_I - i\frac{\gamma_e}{2} |e\rangle \langle e|$  [31, 35],  $\kappa$  is the cavity decay rate for two cavity modes, and  $\gamma_e$  is spontaneous-emission rate of the excited state. The output operator  $a_{out}^{h(v)}(t)$  is connected with the input operator  $a_{in}^{h(v)}(t)$  by the input-

\*Electronic address: xzb@ustc.edu.cn

†Electronic address: xmli@fjnu.edu.cn

output relation  $a_{out}^{h(v)}(t) = a_{in}^{h(v)}(t) + \sqrt{\kappa}a^{h(v)}(t)$ .

In this paper, two cases are considered: (i) the atom is prepared in the state  $|l\rangle$  (or  $|r\rangle$ ), and a v-polarized (h-polarized) photon  $|v\rangle$  ( $|h\rangle$ ) is injected. In this case, the Hamiltonian  $H'_I$  does not work and the injected photon sees an empty cavity. Thus, the polarization of the input photon is not changed and we have [33, 34]

$$a_{out}(\omega) = \frac{-\kappa/2 - i\delta}{\kappa/2 - i\delta} a_{in}(\omega), \quad (2)$$

where we assume that the center frequency of input photon pulse  $\omega_0$  is resonant with the cavity mode and  $\delta = \omega - \omega_0$ . If  $\kappa \gg \delta$ , we have  $a_{out}(\omega) \approx -a_{in}(\omega)$ . Next we consider the case (ii): the atom is prepared in the state  $|l\rangle$  (or  $|r\rangle$ ), and a h-polarized (v-polarized) photon  $|h\rangle$  ( $|v\rangle$ ) is injected. In this case, taking the adiabatic limit [31, 35], we can obtain the input-output relation

$$a_{out}(\omega) = a_{out}^{h(v)}(\omega) + a_{out}^{v(h)}(\omega), \quad (3)$$

with

$$a_{out}^{h(v)}(\omega) = \left[1 - \frac{\kappa}{\kappa/2 - i\delta + 2g_{h(v)}^2 \kappa / (4g_{v(h)}^2 + i\kappa\gamma_e)}\right] a_{in}^{h(v)}(\omega), \quad (4)$$

and

$$a_{out}^{v(h)}(\omega) = \frac{g_{h(v)}\kappa/g_{v(h)}}{[\kappa/2 - i\delta + g_{h(v)}^2 \kappa / (2g_{v(h)}^2 + i\kappa\gamma_e/2)]} a_{in}^{v(h)}(\omega). \quad (5)$$

It is seen from Eq. (3-5) that if conditions  $\kappa \gg \delta$ ,  $4g_{v(h)}^2 \gg \kappa\gamma_e$ , and  $g_h \approx g_v$  are satisfied, we have  $a_{out}^{v(h)}(\omega) \approx a_{in}^{v(h)}(\omega)$ . Therefore, the polarization of the input photon is changed and we realize state flip operation  $|l\rangle|h\rangle \leftrightarrow |r\rangle|v\rangle$ .

Now, we give a detailed analysis of this building block through numerical simulation with the Hamiltonian [31, 35]

$$H = H'_I + \sum_{F=h,v} \int_{-\omega_b}^{+\omega_b} \omega d\omega \Theta_F^\dagger(\omega) \Theta_F(\omega) + i\sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{2\pi}} \sum_{F=h,v} \int_{-\omega_b}^{+\omega_b} d\omega [a^F \Theta_F^\dagger(\omega) - a^{F\dagger} \Theta_F(\omega)], \quad (6)$$

where  $\Theta_F(\omega)$  with the standard relation  $[\Theta_F(\omega), \Theta_F^\dagger(\omega')] = \delta(\omega - \omega')$  denotes the one-dimensional free-space mode coupled to the cavity modes.

Assuming that the input single-photon pulse is Gaussian pulse of the form  $f(t) \propto \exp[-(t - \frac{T}{2})^2 / (\frac{T}{5})^2]$ , where  $T$  is the pulse duration. We first consider above-mentioned case (ii) that a polarized photon  $|h\rangle$  ( $|v\rangle$ ) is injected into cavity and the atom is prepared in state  $|l\rangle$  ( $|r\rangle$ ). Let  $P$  be the success probability for the flip  $|l\rangle|h\rangle \leftrightarrow |r\rangle|v\rangle$ . In Fig. 2, we plot  $P$  versus the normalized cavity coupling rate  $g/\kappa$ , assuming  $\gamma_e = \kappa$ , with different the pulse width:  $\kappa T = \{10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 120\}$ .




FIG. 2: (Color online) The success probability  $P$  for the flip  $|l\rangle|h\rangle \leftrightarrow |r\rangle|v\rangle$  as a function of  $g/\kappa$  for  $\kappa T = \{10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 120\}$ , on the assumption that  $\gamma_e = \kappa$  and  $g_h = g_v = g$ .




FIG. 3: (Color online) The shape functions  $|f(t)|$  for the input pulse (solid curve) and the output pulse (dashed curve) for the case  $\kappa T =$  (a) 10, (b) 30, (c) 60, and (d) 90. Other common parameters:  $\gamma_e = \kappa$ ,  $g_h = g_v = g$ , and  $g = 2\kappa$ .

From Fig. 2, we see that when  $g \geq 2\kappa$  and  $\kappa T \geq 60$  the success probability can be up to 90%. Fig. 3 shows that when  $\kappa T \geq 60$  the output pulse shapes  $|f(t)|$  almost completely overlap with the input pulse shapes. Then, we consider the case (i) that a polarized photon  $|h\rangle$  ( $|v\rangle$ ) is injected into cavity and the atom is in the state  $|r\rangle$  ( $|l\rangle$ ). In this case, the atom is decoupled from the cavity field, thus the influence of the atomic spontaneous emission can be ignored and the dominant noise is the distortion between the output and the input pulse. In Fig. 4, we plot the output and the input pulses shapes with different the pulse width:  $\kappa T = \{30, 60, 90, 120\}$ .

*Quantum swap gate and Quantum memory.*—Assume that the injected photon and the atom are initially in arbitrary superposition of two polarized states and two ground states, respectively, i.e.,  $(\alpha|h\rangle + \beta|v\rangle) \otimes (\zeta|l\rangle + \eta|r\rangle)$ , where coefficients  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\zeta$ , and  $\eta$  satisfy relations




FIG. 4: (Color online) The shape functions  $|f(t)|$  for the input pulse (solid curve) and the output pulse (dashed curve) for the case  $\kappa T =$  (a) 30, (b) 60, (c) 90, and (d) 120.




FIG. 5: (Color online) The fidelity of the quantum state exchange operation with  $g/g_0$ . Here we have assumed a Gaussian shape for the input pulse with  $f(t) \propto \exp[-(t - \frac{T}{2})^2 / (\frac{T}{5})^2]$ , and chosen the parameters  $T = 5\mu s$ ,  $(g_0, \kappa, \gamma_e)/2\pi = (27, 4.8, 6)MHz$ ,  $g_h = g_v = g$ .

$|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$  and  $|\zeta|^2 + |\eta|^2 = 1$ . After the photon pulse is reflected by the cavity, the state of total system in the ideal case will evolve into  $(-\eta|h\rangle + \zeta|v\rangle) \otimes (-\beta|l\rangle + \alpha|r\rangle)$ , which corresponds to quantum state exchange operation between photon and atom, apart from phase factors that can be eliminated by the appropriate subsequent logic operations [36]. We quantify the quality of swap gate through a numerical simulation, and the parameters are referred to Ref. [37], i.e.,  $(g_0, \kappa, \gamma_e)/2\pi = (27, 4.8, 6)MHz$ . Suppose that the initial state of system is given by  $|\Psi(0)\rangle = (|h\rangle + |v\rangle) \otimes (|l\rangle + |r\rangle)/2$ , Fig. 5 shows that the swap gate has a high fidelity and the variation of fidelity is about 0.01 for  $g$  varying from  $g_0$  to  $g_0/2$ .

Based on above-mentioned swap operation, we consider the heralded quantum storage. Suppose that a pho-




FIG. 6: (Color online) (a) Quantum state transfer from cavity A to cavity B. (b) Creation of entanglement between two atomic memories. Where D, PBS, C, BS, and M denote single photon detector, polarizing beam splitter, circulator, 50/50 beam splitter, and mirror, respectively.

ton is initially in arbitrary polarized state  $(\alpha|h\rangle + \beta|v\rangle)$  and atom is prepared in the state  $|r\rangle$ . After the photon pulse is reflected by the cavity, the state of system becomes

$$(\alpha|h\rangle + \beta|v\rangle) \otimes |r\rangle \rightarrow |h\rangle \otimes (\beta|l\rangle - \alpha|r\rangle). \quad (7)$$

Detection of the reflected photon in the state  $|h\rangle$  heralds the mapping of the input polarization state onto atom. We note that Fleischhauer et al. have proposed an interesting scheme for storing single-photon quantum states by adiabatic evolution of dark states, which has a high success probability, but not be heralded [31]. For transmitting entangled polarization state over long distances in realistic noisy channels, Ref. [32] proposed a robust method for capturing photon in optical cavities, and storing it in atoms, in which they detected if the atom has jumped out of the initial state, i.e., absorbed a photon, using the fluorescence technique by driving a cycling transition from initial state of the atom to an accessory excited state.

The approach for retrieving photonic states is similar to that for storing process. A photon pulse in the state  $|h\rangle$  is reflected by the cavity, the state evolution of system can be represented by

$$|h\rangle \otimes (\beta|l\rangle - \alpha|r\rangle) \rightarrow (\alpha|h\rangle + \beta|v\rangle) \otimes |r\rangle. \quad (8)$$

After exchanging quantum states, the photonic qubit has been successfully retrieved and leaving atom in initial state  $|r\rangle$  for storage of another photon.

*Quantum communication protocols.*—One application of our scheme is the heralded quantum state transfer and exchange between two atomic memories are shown in Fig. 6(a). Suppose that the initial state of a single photon pulse and two atomic memories is  $|\Phi(0)\rangle = |h\rangle \otimes (\alpha|l\rangle_A + \beta|r\rangle_A) \otimes |l\rangle_B$ , where  $|\theta\rangle_\chi$  ( $\theta = l, r, \chi = A, B$ ) denotes quantum state of the atom trapped in cavity  $\chi$ . The photon pulse is sequentially reflected by the cavities A and B, the state of the combined system in the ideal case will evolve into  $|v\rangle \otimes |r\rangle_A \otimes (\alpha|l\rangle_B + \beta|r\rangle_B)$ . Detection of the photon in the state  $|v\rangle$  heralds that quantum state transfer from cavity A to cavity B has been performed successfully. If the initial state of the photon-atom system is prepared in  $|\Phi'(0)\rangle = |h\rangle \otimes (\alpha|l\rangle_A + \beta|r\rangle_A) \otimes (\zeta|l\rangle_B + \eta|r\rangle_B)$ , the photon pulse is sequentially

reflected by the cavities A and B, then reflected by the cavity A again. the state of combined system will evolve into  $|h\rangle \otimes (\zeta |l\rangle_A + \eta |r\rangle_A) \otimes (\alpha |l\rangle_B + \beta |r\rangle_B)$ . After the detection of the photon in the state  $|h\rangle$ , one can know the quantum states of the atoms trapped in cavities A and B have been directly exchanged.

Another immediate application is the heralded distribution of entanglement between two atomic memories, which is shown in Fig. 6(b). A single-photon pulse in the state  $|v\rangle$  is divided into two paths by a 50/50 beam splitter (BS), one is reflected by a one-sided optical cavity trapped an single atom with the quantum state  $|r\rangle$ . The other is reflected by a mirror. The state evolution of this process can be written as  $|v\rangle|r\rangle \rightarrow (|v\rangle|r\rangle + |h\rangle|l\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ , which is an atom-photon maximal entangled state. Then the state of the photon is stored into another atomic memory by detection of the reflected photon. After the successful generation of entanglement between two atomic memories within the attenuation length, one wants to extend the quantum communication distance. This is done through entanglement swapping [2, 3, 38, 39]. Suppose that we start with two pairs of entangled memories. First, one of each entangled pair is mapped into single photon with retrieval operation. Second, two photons from two pairs of entangled ensembles are performed Bell-state measurement with two photon interference [40]. After an entangled state has been established between two distant memories, we can use it in entanglement-based communication protocols, such as quantum teleportation, cryptography, and Bell inequality detection with linear optics elements [3], since the quantum states between atomic memories and photons can be transferred in a reversible manner [1].

Next we briefly address the experiment feasibility of the proposed schemes. We consider a  $^{87}\text{Rb}$  atom trapped in a one-sided Fabry–Perot cavity [37]. The states  $|l\rangle$  and  $|r\rangle$  correspond to  $|F = 1, m = -1\rangle$  and  $|F = 1, m = 1\rangle$  of  $5S_{1/2}$  ground levels, respectively, while  $|e\rangle$  corresponds to  $|F = 1, m = 0\rangle$  of  $5P_{3/2}$  excited level. The relevant cavity QED parameters for this system are assumed as

$(g_0, \kappa, \gamma_e)/2\pi = (27, 4.8, 6)\text{MHz}$  [37], which fit well the condition  $4g_0^2/\kappa\gamma_e \sim 102 \gg 1$ . Suppose that the average photon absorption rate in a fiber is  $1 - e^{-L/L_{att}}$ , where  $L$  is the length of the fiber and the channel attenuation length  $L_{att} \sim 22\text{km}$  [39]. If the single-photon detection efficiency is  $\eta_d \sim 0.95$  [39], the success probability for heralded quantum state or generation of entanglement between two atomic memories with the distance  $L \sim 10\text{km}$  is  $P_1 \sim P^2\eta_d e^{-L/L_{att}} \sim 0.55$ . For quantum communication over long distance  $L_m = 2^m L$ , one needs  $m$ th ( $m = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) entanglement connection. The total probability to create entanglement across two communication nodes at a distance of 1280 km is about  $P_1 \prod_{n=1}^6 P_n \sim P_1 \prod_{n=1}^6 (\frac{1}{2} P^2 \eta_d^2 e^{-L/L_{att}})^6 \sim 0.0002$ .

*Conclusion.*—In summary, we have analyzed the heralded quantum memory for single-photon polarization state with a single atom trapped in an optical cavity [37], and demonstrated its applications in quantum communication network [1]. In our scheme, storage and retrieval of photon polarization states have a high probability and fidelity. Heralded storage, entanglement distribution, and quantum communication are achieved by detecting the reflected photon. Thus in a realistic application operation errors due to all sources of photon loss, including atomic spontaneous emission, cavity mirror absorption and scattering, and photon collection, are always signaled by the absence of a photon count. As a result, the photon loss only decreases the success probability but has no contribution to the gate infidelity if the operation succeeds (i.e., if a photon count is registered). The ability to detect whether the operation has succeeded or not, is crucial for practical application.

**Acknowledgments:** This work was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 10574022 and Grant No. 60878059), the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province of China (Grant No. 2007J0002), the Foundation for Universities in Fujian Province (Grant No. 2007F5041), and “Hundreds of Talents” program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

- 
- [1] Kimble H. J., nature 453 (2008) 1023.  
[2] Briegel H. J., Dür W., Cirac J. I., and Zoller P., Phys. Rev. Lett. 81 (1998) 5932.  
[3] Duan L. M., Lukin M. D., Cirac J. I., and Zoller P., Nature 414 (2001) 413.  
[4] Cirac J. I., Zoller P., Kimble H. J., and Mabuchi H., Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 (1997) 3221.  
[5] Boozer A. D. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 193601.  
[6] Zhao B. et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 240502.  
[7] Jiang L., Taylor J. M., and Lukin M. D., Phys. Rev. A 76 (2007) 012301.  
[8] Kok P. et al., Rev. Mod. Phys. 79 (2007) 135.  
[9] Brassard G., Lütkenhaus N., Mor T., and Sanders B. C., Phys. Rev. Lett. 85 (2000) 1330.  
[10] Chou C. W., Polyakov S. V., Kuzmich A., and Kimble H. J., Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 213601.  
[11] Matsukevich D. N. and Kuzmich A., Science 306 (2004) 663.  
[12] Chaneliere T. et al., Nature 438 (2005) 833.  
[13] Eisaman M. D. et al., Nature 438 (2005) 837.  
[14] Black A. T., Thompson J. K., and Vuletić V., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005) 133601.  
[15] Thompson J., Simon K. J., Loh H. Q., and Vuletić V., Science 313 (2006) 74.  
[16] Choi K. S., Deng H., Laurat J., and Kimble H. J., Nature (London) 452 (2008) 67.  
[17] Karpa L., Vewinger F., and Weitz M., e-print arXiv:0807.3853 (2008).  
[18] Julsgaard B., Kozhekin A., and Polzik E. S., Nature 413 (2001) 400.

- [19] Julsgaard B. et al., *Nature* 432 (2004) 482.
- [20] Takano T. et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 78 (2008) 010307.
- [21] Kalachev A., e-print arXiv:0808.2531 (2008).
- [22] Chuu C. S. et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 101 (2008) 120501.
- [23] Honda K. et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100 (2008) 093601.
- [24] Appel J. et al., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 100 (2008) 093602.
- [25] Chen Y. A. et al., *Nature Physics* 4 (2008) 103.
- [26] Tanji H. et al., e-print arXiv:0808.3603 (2008).
- [27] Wilk T., Webster S. C., Kuhn A., and Rempe G., *Science* 317 (2007) 488.
- [28] Wang B. and Duan L M., *Phys. Rev. A* 75 (2007) 050304.
- [29] van Enk S. J., Cirac J. I., and Zoller P., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 78 (1997) 4293.
- [30] Boozer A. D., Boca A., Miller R., Northup T. E., and Kimble H. J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 (2007) 193601.
- [31] Fleischhauer M., Yelin S. F., Lukin M. D., *Opt. Commun.* 179 (2000) 395.
- [32] Lloyd S., Shahriar M. S., Shapiro J. H., and Hemmer P. R., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 87 (2001) 167903.
- [33] Gardiner C. W., *Quantum Noise* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1991).
- [34] Duan L. M. and Kimble H. J., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 92 (2004) 127902.
- [35] Duan L. M., Kuzmich A., and Kimble H. J., *Phys. Rev. A* 67 (2003) 032305.
- [36] Lin G. W. et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 77 (2008) 064301.
- [37] Sauer J. A. et al., *Phys. Rev. A* 69 (2004) 051804.
- [38] Razavi M. and Shapiro J. H., *Phys. Rev. A* 73 (2006) 042303.
- [39] Simon C., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 98 (2007) 190503.
- [40] Huang Y. F., Ren X. F., Zhang Y. S., Duan L. M., and Guo G. C., *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 93 (2004) 240501.