

Double Transverse-Spin Asymmetries for Drell-Yan Process in pp and $p\bar{p}$ Collisions: Role of Nonleading QCD Corrections at Small Transverse Momentum

Hiroyuki Kawamura¹ and Kazuhiro Tanaka²

1- Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Liverpool,
Liverpool L69 3BX, United Kingdom

2- Department of Physics, Juntendo University, Inba, Chiba 270-1695, Japan

We discuss the double-spin asymmetries in transversely polarized Drell-Yan process, calculating all-order gluon resummation corrections up to the next-to-leading logarithmic accuracy. This resummation is relevant when the transverse-momentum Q_T of the produced lepton pair is small, and reproduces the (fixed-order) next-to-leading QCD corrections upon integrating over Q_T . The resummation corrections behave differently between pp - and $p\bar{p}$ -collision cases and are small for the latter case at the kinematics in the proposed GSI experiments. This fact allows us to predict large value of the double-spin asymmetries at GSI, using the recent empirical information on the transversity.

The double-spin asymmetry in Drell-Yan process with transversely-polarized protons, $p^\uparrow p^\uparrow \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$, for azimuthal angle ϕ of a lepton measured in the rest frame of the dilepton $l^+ l^-$ with invariant mass Q and rapidity y , is given by ($d\omega \equiv dQ^2 dy d\phi$, $q = u, \bar{u}, d, \bar{d}, \dots$)

$$A_{TT} = \frac{d\sigma^{\uparrow\uparrow}/d\omega - d\sigma^{\uparrow\downarrow}/d\omega}{d\sigma^{\uparrow\uparrow}/d\omega + d\sigma^{\uparrow\downarrow}/d\omega} \equiv \frac{\Delta_T d\sigma/d\omega}{d\sigma/d\omega} = \frac{\cos(2\phi)}{2} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \delta q(x_1, Q^2) \delta \bar{q}(x_2, Q^2) + \dots}{\sum_q e_q^2 q(x_1, Q^2) \bar{q}(x_2, Q^2) + \dots}, \quad (1)$$

as the ratio of products of the relevant quark and antiquark distributions, the transversity $\delta q(x, Q^2)$ and the unpolarized $q(x, Q^2)$, and the ellipses stand for the corrections of next-to-leading order (NLO, $O(\alpha_s)$) and higher in QCD perturbation theory. The scaling variables $x_{1,2}$ represent the momentum fractions associated with the partons annihilating via the Drell-Yan mechanism, such that $Q^2 = (x_1 P_1 + x_2 P_2)^2 = x_1 x_2 S$ and $y = (1/2) \ln(x_1/x_2)$, where $S = (P_1 + P_2)^2$ is the CM energy squared of the colliding protons. Thus the transversely polarized Drell-Yan (tDY) data for (1) can provide a direct access to the transversity, and it is important to clarify the role of QCD corrections in the double-spin asymmetries.

It has been shown that the NLO QCD corrections for (1) are not so significant and the resulting A_{TT} is less than a few percent at RHIC, similarly to the LO estimates (see [2]). This reflects that the sea-quark region is probed at RHIC for $Q^2 \gtrsim 10 \text{ GeV}^2$, where the denominator in (1) is enhanced with small $x_{1,2}$. Now, when the transverse momentum Q_T of the final dilepton is also observed in tDY, we obtain the double-spin asymmetry at a measured Q_T , as the ratio of the Q_T -differential cross sections, $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T) = (\Delta_T d\sigma/d\omega dQ_T)/(d\sigma/d\omega dQ_T)$. In principle, the relevant parton distributions in this asymmetry may be controlled by the new scale $\sim Q_T$, in contrast to Q in (1). The small- Q_T case is important because the bulk of events is produced for $Q_T \ll Q$. In this case, the cross sections $(\Delta_T) d\sigma/d\omega dQ_T$ receive the large perturbative corrections with logarithms $\ln(Q^2/Q_T^2)$ multiplying α_s at each order by the recoil from gluon radiations, which have to be resummed to all orders [2]. As a result, we get ($b_0 \equiv 2e^{-\gamma_E}$ with γ_E the Euler constant)

$$\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T) = \frac{\cos(2\phi)}{2} \frac{\int d^2b e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{Q}_T} e^{S(b,Q)} \sum_q e_q^2 \delta q(x_1, b_0^2/b^2) \delta \bar{q}(x_2, b_0^2/b^2) + \dots}{\int d^2b e^{i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{Q}_T} e^{S(b,Q)} \sum_q e_q^2 q(x_1, b_0^2/b^2) \bar{q}(x_2, b_0^2/b^2) + \dots}, \quad (2)$$

where the numerator and denominator are, respectively, reorganized in the impact parameter b space in terms of the Sudakov factor $e^{S(b,Q)}$ resumming soft and flavor-conserving collinear radiation, while the ellipses involve the remaining contributions of the $O(\alpha_s)$ collinear radiation, which can be absorbed into the exhibited terms as $\delta q \rightarrow \Delta_T C_{qq} \otimes \delta q$, $q \rightarrow C_{qq} \otimes q + C_{qg} \otimes g$ using the corresponding coefficient functions $(\Delta_T)C_{ij}$; there appears no gluon distribution in the numerator of (2), similarly as in (1), because of the chiral-odd nature. Using *universal* Sudakov exponent $S(b, Q)$ with the first nonleading anomalous dimensions in (2), the first three towers of large logarithmic contributions to the cross sections, $\alpha_s^n \ln^m(Q^2/Q_T^2)/Q_T^2$ ($m = 2n - 1, 2n - 2, 2n - 3$), are resummed to all orders in α_s , yielding the next-to-leading logarithmic (NLL) resummation. In addition to these NLL resummed components relevant for small Q_T , the ellipses in (2) also involve the other terms of the fixed-order α_s , which treats the LO processes in the large Q_T region, so that (2) is the ratio of the NLL+LO polarized and unpolarized cross sections. We include a Gaussian smearing as usually as $S(b, Q) \rightarrow S(b, Q) - g_{NP}b^2$, corresponding to intrinsic transverse momentum. The integration of these NLL+LO cross sections $\Delta_T d\sigma/d\omega dQ_T$, $d\sigma/d\omega dQ_T$ over Q_T coincides [2] with the NLO cross sections $\Delta_T d\sigma/d\omega$, $d\sigma/d\omega$, respectively, associated with A_{TT} of (1); thus the NLO parton distributions have to be substituted into (2) as well as (1).

The resummation indeed makes $1/b \sim Q_T$ the relevant scale. The numerical evaluation of (2) at NLL+LO with RHIC and J-PARC kinematics has revealed [2] that, in small and moderate Q_T region ($Q_T \lesssim Q$), $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ is governed by the NLL resummed component and is almost constant as a function of Q_T , reflecting universality of the large Sudakov effects. The results show $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T) > A_{TT}$, because the denominator of (2) is not enhanced for $Q_T \ll Q$ compared with that of the corresponding NLO A_{TT} of (1), and also show the tendency that $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ with resummation at higher level yields the larger value. Using the NLO transversities that saturate the Soffer bound, $2\delta q(x, \mu^2) \leq q(x, \mu^2) + \Delta q(x, \mu^2)$, at a low scale μ with Δq the helicity distribution, the NLO value of (1) at $\phi = 0$ is $\lesssim 4\%$ and $\sim 13\%$ for typical kinematics at RHIC and J-PARC, respectively, and the NLL+LO $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ for small Q_T using the same transversity are larger than those NLO A_{TT} by about 20-30% [2]. It is also worth noting that, for $Q_T \approx 0$, the b integral of (2) is controlled by a saddle point $b = b_{SP}$, which has the same value between the numerator and denominator in (2) at NLL accuracy [2]: combined with the almost constant behavior of $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ mentioned above,

$$\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T) \simeq \mathcal{A}_{TT}(0) \simeq \frac{\cos(2\phi)}{2} \frac{\sum_q e_q^2 \delta q(x_1, b_0^2/b_{SP}^2) \delta \bar{q}(x_2, b_0^2/b_{SP}^2)}{\sum_q e_q^2 q(x_1, b_0^2/b_{SP}^2) \bar{q}(x_2, b_0^2/b_{SP}^2)}, \quad (3)$$

for small Q_T region, omitting the small corrections from the LO components involved in the ellipses in (2). The saddle-point evaluation does not lose the NLL accuracy of (2); in particular, the $O(\alpha_s)$ contributions from the coefficients $(\Delta_T)C_{ij}$, e.g. those with gluon distribution in the denominator, completely decouple as $Q_T \rightarrow 0$. Remarkably [2], $b_0/b_{SP} \simeq 1$ GeV, irrespective of the values of Q and g_{NP} . The formula (3) allows quantitative evaluation of (2) to good accuracy, and embodies the above features of $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ in a compact form.

Next we discuss the $p\bar{p}$ -collision case, $p^\uparrow \bar{p}^\uparrow \rightarrow l^+ l^- X$; here and below, the formal interchange, $\delta q(x_2) \leftrightarrow \delta \bar{q}(x_2)$, $q(x_2) \leftrightarrow \bar{q}(x_2)$, for the distributions associated with the variable x_2 should be understood in the relevant formulae (1)-(3) for the asymmetries. Thus this case allows us to probe the product of the two quark-transversities, in particular, the valence-quark transversities for the region $0.2 \lesssim x_{1,2} \lesssim 0.7$ in the proposed polarization experiments at GSI (see e.g. [3]). When the transverse-momentum Q_T is unobserved, one obtains A_{TT}

of (1): for this asymmetry at GSI, the NLO ($O(\alpha_s)$) corrections as well as the higher order corrections beyond them in the framework of the threshold resummation are shown to be rather small, so that the LO value of A_{TT} , which turns out to be large, is rather robust [3].

We now consider the QCD corrections at a measured Q_T , calculating $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ of (2), (3) at GSI kinematics. The numerical evaluation of (2) using the transversity distributions corresponding to the Soffer bound, which are same as in the pp -collision case discussed above, shows [4] that the NLL resummed component dominates $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ in small and moderate Q_T region such that $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ is almost constant, with even flatter behavior than for the pp case. It is also demonstrated that $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ at NLL+LO has almost the same value as that at LL; i.e., in contrast to the pp case, the resummation at higher level does not enhance the asymmetry. We here note that $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ at LL is given by (2) omitting all nonleading corrections, i.e., omitting the ellipses, replacing $S(b, Q)$ by that at the LL level, and replacing the scale of the parton distributions as $b_0^2/b^2 \rightarrow Q^2$, so that the result coincides with A_{TT} of (1) at LO. Combined with the above-mentioned property of A_{TT} , we obtain, for $Q_T \lesssim Q$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T) \simeq A_{TT} \quad , \quad (4)$$

at GSI, with the large value of the asymmetry which is quite stable when including the QCD (resummation and fixed-order) corrections.

To clarify the reason behind this remarkable difference between the $p\bar{p}$ - and pp -collision cases, the saddle-point formula (3) is useful. The simple form of (3) is reminiscent of A_{TT} of (1) at LO, but is different from the latter, only in the unconventional scale b_0^2/b_{SP}^2 . In fact, this scale, $b_0^2/b_{SP}^2 \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}^2 (\ll Q^2)$ at all GSI kinematics as determined by the saddle point, completely absorbs the nonuniversal effects associated with nonleading (NLL) level resummation, because $\mathcal{A}_{TT}^{LL}(Q_T) = A_{TT}^{LO}$ as noted above. In the valence region $0.2 \lesssim x_{1,2} \lesssim 0.7$ relevant for GSI kinematics, the u -quark contribution dominates in (3) and (2), so that these asymmetries are controlled by the ratio of the u quark distributions, $\delta u(x_{1,2}, \mu^2)/u(x_{1,2}, \mu^2)$, with $\mu^2 = b_0^2/b_{SP}^2$ and Q^2 , respectively. It is straightforward to see that the scale dependence in this ratio almost cancels between the numerator and denominator in the valence region as $\delta u(x, b_0^2/b_{SP}^2)/u(x, b_0^2/b_{SP}^2) \simeq \delta u(x, Q^2)/u(x, Q^2)$ (see Fig. 3 in [4]), implying (4) at GSI; this is not the case for pp collisions at RHIC and J-PARC, because of very different behavior of the sea-quark components under the evolution between transversity and unpolarized distributions [2]. A similar logic applied to (2) also explains why $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at GSI are flatter than in pp collisions as mentioned above.

Another consequence of the similar logic is that $\delta u(x, 1 \text{ GeV}^2)/u(x, 1 \text{ GeV}^2)$ as a function of x directly determines the Q - as well as S -dependence of the value of (4) at GSI, with $x_{1,2} = (Q/\sqrt{S})e^{\pm y}$. In Fig. 1, using the NLO transversity distributions corresponding to the Soffer bound, the symbols “ Δ ” plot $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ of (2) at NLL+LO as a function of Q with $y = \phi = 0$ and $Q_T \simeq 1 \text{ GeV}$, in the fixed-target ($S = 30 \text{ GeV}^2$) and collider ($S = 210 \text{ GeV}^2$) modes at GSI [4]. The dashed curve draws the result using (3); this simple formula indeed works well. Also plotted by the two-dot-dashed curve is A_{TT} of (1) at LO with the transversities corresponding to the Soffer bound at LO level, to demonstrate (4). The Q - and S -dependence of these results reflects that the ratio $\delta u(x, 1 \text{ GeV}^2)/u(x, 1 \text{ GeV}^2)$ is an increasing function of x for the present choice. These results using the Soffer bound show the “maximally possible” asymmetry, i.e., optimistic estimate. A more realistic estimate of (2) and (3) is shown [4] in Fig. 1 by the symbols “ ∇ ” and the dot-dashed curve, respectively, with the NLO transversity distributions assuming $\delta q(x, \mu^2) = \Delta q(x, \mu^2)$ at a low scale μ , as suggested by various nucleon models and favored by the results of empirical fit for




Figure 1: The double transverse-spin asymmetries at GSI as functions of Q with $y = \phi = 0$.

transversity [5]. The new estimate gives smaller asymmetries compared with the Soffer bound results because the u -quark transversity is considerably smaller, but still yields rather large asymmetries [4]. Based on (4), these results also give estimate of A_{TT} of (1).

At present, empirical information of transversity is based on the LO global fit, using the semi-inclusive deep inelastic scattering data and assuming that the antiquark transversities in the proton vanish, $\delta\bar{q}(x) = 0$, so that the corresponding LO parameterization is available only for u and d quarks [5]. Fortunately, however, the dominance of the u -quark contribution in the GSI kinematics allows quantitative evaluation of A_{TT} at LO using only this empirical information [4]: the upper limit of the one-sigma error bounds for the u - and d -quark transversities obtained by the global fit [5] yields the “upper bound” of A_{TT} shown by the dotted curve in Fig. 1. Using (4), this result would also represent estimate of $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$. In the small Q region, our full NLL+LO result of $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$, shown by “ ∇ ”, can be consistent with estimate using the empirical LO transversity, but these results have rather different behavior for increasing Q , because the u -quark transversity for the former lies, for $x \gtrsim 0.3$, slightly outside the one-sigma error bounds of the global fit [4]. Thus, the asymmetries to be observed at GSI, in particular, the behavior of $\mathcal{A}_{TT}(Q_T)$ as well as A_{TT} as functions of Q , will allow us to determine the detailed shape of transversity distributions. Other interesting DY spin-asymmetries at GSI are the longitudinal-transverse asymmetry A_{LT} [6] and the single transverse-spin asymmetry [7], which are sensitive to twist-3 effects inside proton.

This work was supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. B-19340063.

References

- [1] Slides:
<http://indico.cern.ch/contributionDisplay.py?contribId=269&sessionId=22&confId=24657>
- [2] H. Kawamura, J. Kodaira, H. Shimizu and K. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **115** 667 (2006);
H. Kawamura, J. Kodaira and K. Tanaka, Nucl. Phys. **B777** 203 (2007);
H. Kawamura, J. Kodaira and K. Tanaka, Prog. Theor. Phys. **118** 581 (2007), and references therein.
- [3] H. Shimizu, G. Sterman, W. Vogelsang and H. Yokoya, Phys. Rev. **D71** 114007 (2005);
V. Barone, A. Cafarella, C. Coriano, M. Guzzi and P. G. Ratcliffe, Phys. Lett. **B639** 483 (2006).
- [4] H. Kawamura, J. Kodaira and K. Tanaka, Phys. Lett. **B662** 139 (2008).
- [5] M. Anselmino *et al.*, Phys. Rev. **D75** 054032 (2007), and in these proceedings, arXiv:0807.0173 [hep-ph].
- [6] Y. Koike, K. Tanaka and S. Yoshida, arXiv:0805.2289 [hep-ph] (2008).
- [7] X. Ji, J. W. Qiu, W. Vogelsang and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97** 082002 (2006);
Y. Koike and K. Tanaka, Phys. Lett. **B646** 232 (2007).