

# HIGHER-ORDER GENERA OF KNOTS

PETER HORN

ABSTRACT. For certain classes of knots we define geometric invariants called higher-order genera. Each of these invariants is a refinement of the slice genus of a knot. We find lower bounds for the higher-order genera in terms of certain von Neumann  $\rho$ -invariants, which we call higher-order signatures. The higher-order genera offer a refinement of the Grope filtration of the knot concordance group.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

A *knot* is an embedding of the circle into the three-sphere. All embeddings are required to be topologically flat or smooth. Two knots  $K_0, K_1$  are *concordant* if there is an annulus  $A$  embedded in  $S^3 \times [0, 1]$  in such a way that  $A \cap (S^3 \times \{i\}) = K_i$  for  $i = 0, 1$ . If a knot  $K$  is concordant to the unknot, we call  $K$  a *slice knot*. Given two knots, one can “add” them via the connected sum operation  $\#$ , defined in [Rol76]. Equipped with the connected sum operation, the set of knots modulo (topological or smooth) concordance forms the (topological or smooth) *knot concordance group*  $\mathcal{C}$ . The class of slice knots serves as the identity element of this group.

Cochran, Orr and Teichner have introduced two filtrations of the topological knot concordance group  $\mathcal{C}$  [COT03]. The  $(n)$ -solvable filtration

$$\cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{n.5} \subset \mathcal{F}_n \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{F}_{1.5} \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \mathcal{F}_{0.5} \subset \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$$

is defined in terms of algebraic properties on the second homology of certain 4-manifolds, each of whose boundary is 0-surgery on a knot. The Grope filtration

$$\cdots \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+2.5} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+2} \subset \cdots \subset \mathcal{G}_3 \subset \mathcal{G}_{2.5} \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \mathcal{C}$$

is defined much more geometrically. Rigorous definitions of these filtrations will be provided below. These filtrations are related to one another in the sense that  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2} \subset \mathcal{F}_n$  for all  $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$  [COT03, Theorem 8.11]. Recently, Cochran, Harvey and Leidy proved that  $\mathcal{F}_n/\mathcal{F}_{n.5}$  has infinite rank for all  $n$  [CHL07]. Subsequently, the author proved the analogous result for the Grope filtration [Hor]. These results were proven using signatures of certain 4-manifolds. While algebraic techniques are appropriate when working with the  $(n)$ -solvable filtration, they do not reflect the geometric nature of the Grope filtration. The main focus of this paper is to define a geometric invariant that will distinguish knots in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ .

Given a knot  $K$ , the *slice genus* of  $K$  is the minimal genus of surfaces embedded in  $D^4$  with boundary equal to  $K \subset S^3 = \partial D^4$ . The slice genus is a concordance invariant of  $K$ . In the spirit of the Cochran-Orr-Teichner filtrations of  $\mathcal{C}$ , we introduce a series of refinements of the slice genus. For knots in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ , we will define a concordance invariant called the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus. Our main result is that the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus distinguishes knots in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  that are not distinguished by the slice genus. That is, each of our higher-order genera is a refinement of the notion of slice genus.

**Theorem 4.5.** *For any  $n \geq 1$ , there is a fixed  $g$  and a knot in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  with slice genus bounded above by  $g$  and arbitrarily high  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus. Furthermore, this knot has infinite order in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}/\mathcal{F}_{n.5}$ .*

**Corollary 4.6.** *For any  $n \geq 1$ , there are infinitely many knots that lie in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  whose slice genera are equal but whose  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genera are distinct.*

Murasugi proved [Mur65, Theorem 9.1] that the ordinary signature of a knot is a lower bound for the slice genus of that knot (henceforth “Murasugi’s inequality”). Gilmer later proved [Gil82, Theorem

1] that the sum of certain Casson-Gordon invariants and the ordinary signature bounds the slice genus from below (henceforth “Gilmer’s inequality”). Cochran, Orr and Teichner first used  $L^2$ -signatures to study knots. These  $L^2$ -signatures are higher-order analogues of the Casson-Gordon invariants. First, we define higher-order analogues of slice genus, and to any  $(n)$ -solvable knot we assign a set of real numbers, called the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures. This begs the question of whether there is a higher-order analogue of Murasugi’s inequality. Our primary tool is the desired higher-order analogue.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $K \in \mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ , there is an  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signature of  $K$  that gives a lower bound for the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus of  $K$ .*

We are not the first to utilize  $L^2$ -signatures in the study of genus-like invariants. Cha used metabelian  $L^2$ -signatures to obtain new lower bounds on the minimal genus of embedded surfaces representing a given 2-dimensional homology class in certain 4-manifolds [Cha08]. An application of Cha’s methods was to find bounds for the slice genus of knots [Cha08, Proposition 5.1]. Our Theorem 4.2 uses the  $L^2$ -signatures to obtain lower bounds for the higher-order genera. While Cha obtained obstructions to slice genus, we obtain higher-order obstructions to the higher-order genera. It seems that the only (classical) sliceness obstruction our higher-order genera give is that if one of the higher-order genera of a knot is positive, then that knot cannot be slice. However, a knot having large higher-order genera does not in general obstruct the knot from having a small (but positive) slice genus.

We should note that our higher-order signatures give a lower bound on the topological higher-order genera and often fail to be accurate in the smooth category. Consequently, we choose to work in the topological category, except for Section 3, which contains examples in the smooth category.

## 2. DEFINITIONS

We start with the geometric definitions.

**Definition 2.1.** [FT95] A **grope** is a special pair (2-complex, base circle). A grope has a **height**  $n \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ . A grope of height 1 is precisely a compact, oriented surface  $\Sigma$  with a single boundary component (the base circle). For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , a grope of height  $n+1$  is defined recursively as follows: let  $\{\alpha_i, \beta_i : i = 1, \dots, 2(g-1)\}$  be a symplectic basis of curves for  $\Sigma$ , the first stage of the grope. Then a grope of height  $n+1$  is formed by attaching gropes of height  $n$  to each  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  along the base circles.

A grope of height 1.5 is formed by attaching gropes of height 1 (i.e. surfaces) to a Lagrangian of a symplectic basis of curves for  $\Sigma$ . That is, a grope of height 1.5 is a surface with surfaces glued to “half” of the basis curves. In general, a grope of height  $n+1.5$  is obtained by attaching gropes of height  $n$  to the  $\alpha_i$  and gropes of height  $n+1$  to the  $\beta_i$ .

Given a 4-manifold  $W$  with boundary  $M$  and a framed circle  $\gamma \subset M$ , we say that  $\gamma$  bounds a **Grope** in  $W$  if  $\gamma$  extends to an embedding of a grope with its untwisted framing. That is, a Grope has a trivial normal bundle, so parallel push-offs can be taken. Knots in  $S^3$  are always equipped with the zero framing.

The set of all knots that bound Gropes of height  $n$  in  $D^4$  is denoted  $\mathcal{G}_n$ , which is a subgroup of  $\mathcal{C}$ . We may choose to forget the top stages of a Grope. Thus, if  $K$  bounds a Grope of height  $n+1$  in  $D^4$ ,  $K$  also bounds a Grope of height  $n$  in  $D^4$ . We see that  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{G}_n$  as subgroups of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and this series of subgroups is **the Grope filtration of the knot concordance group**.

**Definition 2.2.** For  $K \in \mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ , define the  **$n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus of  $K$**  to be the minimum of the genera of the first stage surfaces of Gropes of height  $n+2$  in  $D^4$  bounded by  $K$ . Denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus of  $K$  by  $g_n(K)$ . With this numbering scheme, the slice genus of  $K$  is the  $-1^{\text{st}}$ -order genus of  $K$ .

It is immediately clear that for  $K \in \mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ ,  $0 \leq g_{-1}(K) \leq g_0(K) \leq \dots \leq g_n(K)$ , and that  $g_n(K) = g_n(J)$  if  $K$  and  $J$  are concordant. Also,  $K$  is slice if and only if  $g_n(K) = 0$  for some  $n \geq -1$ .

Now we turn to the algebraic definitions. If  $G$  is a group, the **derived series of  $G$**  is defined recursively by  $G^{(0)} = G$  and  $G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}]$ . The **rational derived series of  $G$**  is defined recursively by setting  $G_r^{(0)} = G$  and  $G_r^{(i+1)} = \left\{ g \in G : g^k \in [G_r^{(i)}, G_r^{(i)}], \text{ for some } k > 0 \right\}$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [COT03] Let  $M$  be closed, orientable 3-manifold. A spin 4-manifold  $W$  with  $\partial W = M$  is an  $(n)$ -**solution for  $M$**  if the inclusion-induced map  $i_* : H_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(W)$  is an isomorphism and if there are embedded surfaces  $L_i$  and  $D_i$  (with product neighborhoods) for  $i = 1, \dots, m$  that satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) the homology classes  $\{[L_1], [D_1], \dots, [L_m], [D_m]\}$  form an ordered basis for  $H_2(W)$ ,
- (2) the intersection form  $(H_2(W), \cdot)$  with respect to this ordered basis is a direct sum of hyperbolics,
- (3)  $L_i \cap D_j$  is empty if  $i \neq j$ ,
- (4) for each  $i$ ,  $L_i$  and  $D_i$  intersect transversely at a point, and
- (5) each  $L_i$  and  $D_i$  are  $(n)$ -**surfaces**, i.e.  $\pi_1(L_i) \subset \pi_1(W)^{(n)}$  and  $\pi_1(D_i) \subset \pi_1(W)^{(n)}$ .

If, in addition,  $\pi_1(L_i) \subset \pi_1(W)^{(n+1)}$  for each  $i$ , we say  $W$  is an  $(n.5)$ -**solution for  $M$** .

If a closed, orientable 3-manifold has an  $(n)$ -solution, we say  $M$  is  $(n)$ -**solvable**. A knot  $K$  in  $S^3$  is an  $(n)$ -**solvable knot** if the zero surgery on  $K$  is  $(n)$ -solvable.

As in [COT03], the set of all  $(n)$ -solvable knots is denoted  $\mathcal{F}_n$ , and Cochran-Orr-Teichner showed that the  $\mathcal{F}_n$  form a nested series of subgroups of  $\mathcal{C}$ . This series of subgroups is **the  $(n)$ -solvable filtration of the knot concordance group**.

Given a closed 3-manifold and a homomorphism  $\phi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \Gamma$  where  $\Gamma$  is any group, one can define the von Neumann  $\rho$ -invariant  $\rho(M, \phi) \in \mathbb{R}$ . See [CT07] for an analytical interpretation of these von Neumann  $\rho$ -invariants.

**Definition 2.4.** For  $K \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , we define the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -**order signatures of  $K$**  to be the elements of the set  $\mathfrak{S}^n(K) = \left\{ \rho(M_K, \phi) \in \mathbb{R} \mid \phi : \pi(M_K) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi \rightarrow \pi / \pi_r^{(n+1)} \right\}$  where  $\pi = \pi_1(W)$ ,  $W$  is an  $(n)$ -solution for  $M_K$ ,  $i : M_K \rightarrow W$  is the inclusion map, and  $\rho(M_K, \phi)$  is the associated von Neumann  $\rho$ -invariant.

Recall the Cheeger-Gromov estimate for the von Neumann  $\rho$ -invariants of a given closed, orientable 3-manifold [CG85]. That is, given a closed, orientable 3-manifold  $M$ , there is a constant  $C_M$  such that

$$(1) \quad |\rho(M, \phi)| < C_M$$

for all homomorphisms  $\phi : \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \Gamma$  to all groups  $\Gamma$ . Thus for a fixed knot  $K$  and a fixed  $n$ , the set  $\mathfrak{S}^n(K)$  is a bounded set of real numbers.

### 3. CONCRETE EXAMPLES IN THE SMOOTH CATEGORY

In this section we work in the smooth category. The purpose of this section is to construct non-slice knots that bound Grope of a fixed height. We compute the higher-order genera in these examples and conclude that for any positive integers  $n$  and  $m$ , there is a knot whose smooth  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus is equal to  $m$ . The computations do not make use of our  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures.

Let  $K$  denote any knot with non-negative maximal Thurston-Bennequin number. For example, if  $K$  is the right-handed trefoil, then  $TB(K) = 1$ . Let  $D(K)$  denote the positively-clasped, untwisted Whitehead double of  $K$  as depicted in Figure 1. For  $i \geq 1$ , let  $D^i(K) = D(D^{i-1}(K))$  denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  iterated Whitehead double of  $K$ . By Livingston [Liv04], we know that  $TB(K) \geq 0$  implies that the Ozsváth-Szabó  $\tau$ -invariant is nontrivial, i.e.  $\tau(D^i(K)) = 1$ . It follows that  $D^i(K)$  is not smoothly slice for all  $i \geq 1$ . It should be noted that earlier work of Lee Rudolph implies that  $D^i(K)$  is not slice for all  $i \geq 1$  if  $K$  is the right-handed trefoil [Rud93].

We describe a Grope of height 2 in  $S^3 \times I$  bounded by  $D(K)$ . The standard Seifert surface for  $D(K)$  has a symplectic basis of curves, each of which inherits the zero framing from this surface. This basis is pictured in Figure 2. Let  $\alpha$  denote the basis curve that “goes over the bridge” of this Seifert surface, and let  $\beta$  denote the other curve. Pull  $\alpha$  slightly out of the page so that the intersection point with  $\beta$  is removed. Observe that the link  $\alpha^+ \cup \beta$  is two parallel copies of  $K$ . Now push these two curves down in the  $I$  direction and glue parallel Seifert surfaces for  $K$ . The Seifert surface for  $D(K)$  together with the pushing annuli and Seifert surfaces for  $K$  comprise a height 2 Grope for  $D(K)$  in  $S^3 \times I$ . The genus of the first stage of this Grope is 1. Since  $1 = \tau(D(K)) \leq g_{-1}(D(K)) \leq g_0(D(K))$  and  $g_0(D(K)) \leq 1$  by construction, we have  $g_0(D(K)) = 1$ .




FIGURE 1.  $D(K)$ : the positively-clasped, untwisted Whitehead double of  $K$ .




FIGURE 2. A basis of untwisted curves for the Seifert surface of  $D(K)$ .

We can iterate this procedure to build a Grope of height  $n + 1$  in  $S^3 \times I$  bounded by  $D^n(K)$ , and the first stage of this Grope has genus 1. As before, we have  $1 \leq \tau(D^n(K)) \leq g_{-1}(D^n(K) \leq g_0(D^n(K)) \leq \dots \leq g_{n-1}(D^n(K)) \leq 1$ , whence  $g_{n-1}(D^n(K)) = 1$ .

Since  $\tau : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is a homomorphism, we conclude that  $g_{n-1}(\#_m D^n(K)) \geq \tau(\#_m D^n(K)) = m \cdot \tau(D^n(K)) = m$  and  $g_{n-1}(\#_m D^n(K)) \leq m$  by construction. To summarize, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.1.** *For any  $n \geq 0$  and  $m \geq 1$ , there is a knot  $K \in \mathcal{G}_{n+2}^{smooth}$  of infinite order, and  $g_n(K) = m$ .*

**Remark.** Since the Alexander polynomial of  $D(K)$  is trivial, it can be shown that  $D(K)$  is smoothly  $(n)$ -solvable for all  $n$ . However, whether  $D(K) \in \mathcal{G}_{n+2}^{smooth}$  for all  $n$  is still an open question.

#### 4. LOWER BOUNDS ON HIGHER-ORDER GENERA

We now turn to our higher-order signatures as tools for estimating the higher-order genera. While the higher-order signatures are not explicitly computable, we demonstrate how to ensure that all higher-order signatures are large enough to guarantee that the higher-order genera are large.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $K \in \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $W$  be an  $(n)$ -solution for  $M_K$ . Then the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signature of  $K$  associated to  $W$  satisfies  $|\rho(M_K, \phi)| \leq \beta_2(W)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi : \pi_1(M_K) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(W) \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi_1(W)/\pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)}$ . By the definition of an  $(n)$ -solution, the ordinary intersection form of  $W$  is a direct sum of hyperbolics, implying that the ordinary signature of

$W$  is zero. Since  $\phi$  factors through  $\pi_1(W)$ , we have that

$$\rho(M_K, \phi) = \sigma^{(2)} \left( W, \pi_1(W)/\pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)} \right) - \sigma(W) = \sigma^{(2)} \left( W, \pi_1(W)/\pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)} \right)$$

Cha has shown that  $\left| \sigma^{(2)} \left( W, \pi_1(W)/\pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)} \right) \right| \leq \beta_2(W)$  [Cha08, Lemma 2.7].  $\square$

That the homomorphism  $\phi : \pi_1(M_K) \rightarrow \pi_1(W)/\pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)}$  factors through  $\pi_1(W)$  of bounding 4-manifold  $W$  is crucial. Our philosophy differs from Cha's [Cha08] in that we assume our homomorphisms factor through bounding 4-manifolds (cf. Definition 2.4), whereas Cha takes a homomorphism  $\pi_1(M_K) \rightarrow \Gamma$  and tries to extend it to a bounding 4-manifold. In particular, Cha finds a homomorphism  $\phi_\sigma : \pi_1(M_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  that factors through a certain bounding 4-manifold, and the von Neumann  $\rho$ -invariant associated to this homomorphism satisfies  $|\rho(M_K, \phi_\sigma)| \leq 4g_{-1}(K)$ , where  $g_{-1}(K)$  is the slice genus of  $K$  [Cha08, Theorem 1.1 and Proposition 1.2]. We, however, consider many homomorphisms that we assume extend to bounding 4-manifolds, and we show that (at least) one of the associated  $\rho$ -invariants satisfies  $|\rho| \leq 4g_n(K)$ , where  $g_n(K)$  is one of the higher-order genera of  $K$ .

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $K \in \mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ , one of the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures  $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}^n(K)$  satisfies  $|\rho| \leq 4g_n(K)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Sigma$  be the first stage of a Grope of height  $n+2$  that realizes  $g_n(K)$ , i.e.  $g(\Sigma) = g_n(K)$ . Cochran-Orr-Teichner construct an  $(n)$ -solution  $W$  by surgering  $\Sigma$ , and  $\beta_2(W) = 4g(\Sigma) = 4g_n(K)$  [COT03, Theorem 8.11]. The conclusion follows from Lemma 4.1.  $\square$

**Remark.** Theorem 4.2 may be thought of as a higher-order analogue of Murasugi's inequality [Mur65, Theorem 9.1]. Unlike the subsequent inequalities of Gilmer [Gil82, Theorem 1] and Cha [Cha08, Proposition 5.1], our result gives higher-order obstructions to the higher-order genera.

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $K$  is a slice knot, then for any  $n$ , one of the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures of  $K$  vanishes.*

**Proposition 4.4.** *Suppose  $K$  is  $(n)$ -solvable. If  $K$  is  $(n.5)$ -solvable, then one of the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures of  $K$  vanishes.*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an  $(n.5)$ -solution for  $K$ . It follows from [COT03, Theorem 4.2] that the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signature of  $K$  associated to  $W$  vanishes.  $\square$

**Remark.** The conclusion holds even if  $K$  is assumed to be merely rationally  $(n.5)$ -solvable [COT03, Definition 4.1].

If the Alexander polynomial of a knot is trivial, then the knot is topologically slice [FQ90]. In particular, Alexander polynomial one knots are  $(n)$ -solvable for all  $n$ . Consequently, the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures of an Alexander polynomial one knot are all equal to the classical signature, namely zero. As the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures are topological invariants, they will not give accurate bounds for the smooth higher-order genera. For example, the knots constructed in Section 3 had trivial Alexander polynomial but large smooth  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genera.

**Theorem 4.5.** *For any  $n \geq 1$ , there is a fixed  $g$  and a knot in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  with slice genus bounded above  $g$  and arbitrarily high  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus. Furthermore, this knot has infinite order in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}/\mathcal{F}_{n.5}$ .*

**Remark.** The statement of Theorem 4.5 seems to be false for  $n = 0$ . For example, if  $K \in \mathcal{G}_2$ , one can construct a Grope of height 2 bounded by  $K$  whose first stage has genus equal to the Seifert genus of  $K$ . See [COT03, Remark 8.14] for a discussion.

*Proof.* We construct knots according to Cochran-Orr-Teichner [COT03] and Cochran-Teichner [CT07]. We borrow the knot  $J$  from [CT07, Figure 3.6]. Let  $J_m = \#_m J$ ; then  $J_m$  bounds a Grope of height 2 (and is  $(0)$ -solvable), and  $\rho_0(J_m) = \frac{4m}{3}$  [CT07, Lemma 4.5]. Let  $R$  denote the knot pictured in Figure 3 (ignore the curve  $\eta$  for now).  $R$  is a fibered, genus 2, ribbon knot [COT03].

By [CT07, Theorem 4.3], there is a collection of unknotted curves  $\eta_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , in  $S^3 - R$  with  $[\eta_i] \in \pi_1(M_R)^{(n)}$  and for any  $(n)$ -solution  $V$  of  $M_R$ , some  $i_*$  ( $[\eta_{i_*}] \notin \pi_1(V)_r^{(n+1)}$ ). Figure 3 shows an




FIGURE 3. The ribbon knot  $R$  and a curve  $\eta \in \pi_1(S^3 - R)^{(2)}$ .

unknotted curve  $\eta$  whose homotopy class lies in  $\pi_1(S^3 - R)^{(2)} \cong \pi_1(M_R)^{(2)}$ , and this curve never maps into  $\pi_1(V)_r^{(3)}$  for any (2)-solution  $V$  for  $M_R$  [COT03]. Let  $K = K_m$  denote the knot obtained by infecting  $R$  by  $J_m$  along  $\eta_i$  (for each  $i$ ). We claim that by choosing  $m$  sufficiently large, we can guarantee all  $\rho \in \mathfrak{S}^n(K)$  are arbitrarily large. It will follow from Theorem 4.2 that  $K$  will have arbitrarily large  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus, modulo verifying  $K$  bounds a Grope of height  $n + 2$ , which Cochran and Teichner proved in [CT07, Theorem 3.8].

Since the  $\eta_i$  bound disjoint discs in  $S^3$ , we can take a Seifert surface for  $R$  and tube around the  $\eta_i$  so that the tubes are disjoint. We are left with a Seifert surface for  $R$  which the  $\eta_i$  do not intersect. The knot  $K$  will have genus bounded above by the genus of our tubed surface for  $R$ . We now explain how to increase the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus of  $K$  without increasing the genus.


Since our  $J_m$  are (0)-solvable, let  $W_m$  denote a (0)-solution for  $J_m$ . We form a 4-manifold  $E$  from

$$M_R \times [0, 1] \bigsqcup_{i=1}^j -M_{J_m} \times [0, 1]$$

by identifying, for each  $i$ , the copy of  $\eta_i \times D^2$  in  $M_R \times \{1\}$  with the tubular neighborhood of  $J_m$  in  $M_{J_m} \times \{0\}$  as in Figure 4. The dashed arcs represent the solid tori  $\eta_i \times D^2$ . As indicated in Figure 4,  $\partial E = M_R \sqcup -M_K \sqcup M_{J_m} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup M_{J_m}$ . We form another 4-manifold  $C$  from  $E$  by gluing a copy of  $W_m$  to each  $M_{J_m} \subset \partial E$ .

Now let  $W$  be any  $(n)$ -solution for  $M_K$ . Let  $V = C \cup_{-M_K} -W$  so that  $\partial V = M_R$ . Then  $V$  is an  $(n)$ -solution for  $M_R$  [CT07, Proof of Theorem 4.2]. From our previous discussion, there is a  $\eta_k$  with  $i_*([\eta_k]) \notin \pi_1(V)_r^{(n+1)}$ . Since  $\eta_k$  lives in  $M_K$ , we may include  $\eta_k$  into  $W$ . Since  $W \subset V$ ,  $i_*([\eta_k]) \notin \pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)}$ .

Consider the homomorphism  $\phi : \pi_1(M_K) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_1(W) \xrightarrow{\pi} \pi_1(W)/\pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)}$ . Let  $\Gamma = \pi_1(W)/\pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)}$ . Now  $M_R - (\sqcup \eta_i) \subset M_K$ , so  $\phi$  induces a homomorphism  $\phi' : \pi_1(M_R - (\sqcup \eta_i)) \rightarrow \Gamma$ . Since  $M_R$  is obtained by  $M_R - (\sqcup \eta_i)$  by adding  $j$  2-cells along the meridians of the  $\eta_i$  and then by adding  $j$  3-cells, this  $\phi'$  will extend to a homomorphism  $\phi_R : \pi_1(M_R) \rightarrow \Gamma$  if the meridians of the  $\eta_i$  die under  $\phi$ . Now  $\eta_i \in \pi_1(M_R)^{(n)}$  and  $\Gamma^{(n+1)} = 1$ , so [Coc04, Theorem 8.1] implies that  $\eta_i \in \pi_1(M_K)^{(n)}$ . Since the meridian  $\mu_i$  of each  $J_m$  is identified with the longitude of  $\eta_i$ ,  $\mu_i \in \pi_1(M_K)^{(n)}$ . Thus  $\phi(\mu_i) \in \Gamma^{(n)}$ . Since  $\mu_i$  generates  $\pi_1(S^3 - J_m)/\pi_1(S^3 - J_m)^{(1)}$ , we see  $\phi(\pi_1(S^3 - J_m)^{(1)}) \subset \Gamma^{(n+1)} = 1$ . In particular the meridian of each  $\eta_i$  dies under  $\phi$ , and hence  $\phi'$  extends to a map  $\phi_R : \pi_1(M_R) \rightarrow \Gamma$ .

FIGURE 4. The 4-manifold  $E$ .

By [CT07, Proposition 4.4], the  $\rho$ -invariants of  $M_K$  and  $M_R$  are related by

$$\rho(M_R, \phi_R) - \rho(M_K, \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^j \epsilon_i \rho_0(J_m)$$

where  $\epsilon_i = 0$  or  $1$  according to whether  $\phi_R([\eta_i]) = 1$  or not. We argued that previously that  $i_*([\eta_k]) \notin \pi_1(W)_r^{(n+1)}$ , so  $\phi_R([\eta_i]) \neq 1$ . Recall that the set of  $\rho$ -invariants of  $M_R$  are bounded above by the Cheeger-Gromov constant  $C_{M_R}$  (cf. equation 1). Thus, by choosing  $m$  sufficiently large, we will obtain a knot  $K$  with  $|\rho(M_K, \phi)| > B$  for some large constant  $B$ . Since  $W$  was an arbitrary  $(n)$ -solution for  $K$ , we have proved that every  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signature for  $K$  is larger than  $B$ . Appealing to Theorem 4.2, we see that  $g_n(K)$  is arbitrarily large. We should note here that since  $0 \notin \mathfrak{S}^n(K)$ ,  $K \notin \mathcal{F}_{n.5}$  (by Proposition 4.4). [CT07, Theorem 4.2] establishes that  $K$  has infinite order in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}/\mathcal{F}_{n.5}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.6.** *Given any  $n \geq 1$ , there exist infinitely many knots in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  whose slice genus agree but whose  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genera are distinct.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.5, there is a positive integer  $g$  and a sequence  $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of knots in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  with  $g_{-1}(K_i) \leq g$  and  $g_n(K_i) < g_n(K_{i+1})$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Since the set  $\{g_{-1}(K_i)\}$  is a finite set, we can pass to a subsequence of knots with the same slice genera but different  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genera.  $\square$

**Remark.** We can improve the statement of Corollary 4.6 to say that for each  $n \geq 2$ , there are infinitely many knots in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  with identical  $i^{\text{th}}$ -order genera for  $i \leq n-2$  and distinct  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genera. However, the proof is too lengthy to include in this paper. This result implies that the lower-order genera of knots are inadequate measures of the complexity of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  and that the higher-order genera capture some of the missed information. Examples of this phenomenon can be constructed by infection on the  $9_{46}$  knot as in [Hor].

**Example 4.7.** We provide a concrete family of examples of knots  $\{L_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  in  $\mathcal{G}_3$  with slice genus bounded above by 3 and for any  $C \in \mathbb{N}$  there is a positive integer  $N$  such that for all  $n \geq N$ ,  $g_1(L_n) > C$ . Our family is inspired by Cochran-Harvey-Leidy's family  $J_n$  (cf. [CHL07]).

Cochran-Harvey-Leidy defined their knots by infecting along the curves  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in Figure 5. We cannot use these curves for the purpose of constructing knots bounding Gropes because the two punctured tori bounded by  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  intersect. As per [CT07, Lemma 3.9], we find curves  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  that are homotopic to  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively, and that bound disjoint height 1 Gropes in  $S^3 - R$ . Since these curves are homotopic, the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order signatures will not distinguish our examples from the examples of [CHL07]. However, our examples are probably not concordant to theirs.

Now, let  $J$  be the knot from [CT07] and let  $J_m = \#_m J$ .  $J_m$  no longer refers to the knots from [CHL07]. Let  $L_m$  be infection on  $R = 9_{46}$  along  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  by  $J_m$ . We chose  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  so that they bound disjointly




FIGURE 5. The infection curves  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , and homotopic infection curves  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$ .

embedded punctured tori in the complement of  $R$ , so by [CT07] the knots  $L_m$  will bound Grope of height 3 in  $D^4$ . Since  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  lie off of a genus 3 Seifert surface for  $R$ ,  $L_m$  will have slice genus less than or equal to three.

Let  $V$  be a (1)-solution for  $M = M_{L_m}$ . Let  $\pi = \pi_1(V)$ . Since  $H_1(V) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  is torsion-free, we conclude  $H_1(V) \cong \pi/\pi^1 \cong \pi/\pi_r^1 \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\phi : \pi_1(M) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi \twoheadrightarrow \pi/\pi_r^1$ . Since  $i_* : H_1(M) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_1(V) \cong \pi/\pi_r^1$ , we see that  $\phi : \pi_1(M) \twoheadrightarrow H_1(M) \xrightarrow{i_* \cong} H_1(V)$ . For emphasis, let  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}[s, s^{-1}])$  denote the first homology of the infinite cyclic cover of  $M$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}[s, s^{-1}]$ -module, where  $H_1(M) = \langle s \rangle$ , and let  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}])$  denote the first homology induced by the coefficient system  $\phi : \pi_1(M) \twoheadrightarrow \pi/\pi_r^1$ . The curves  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  generate  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}[s, s^{-1}])$ , and since  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  are homotopic to these generators,  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  also generate  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}[s, s^{-1}])$ . Since the coefficient system  $\phi$  is  $\pi_1(M) \twoheadrightarrow H_1(M)$  followed by an isomorphism,  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  generate  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}])$ .

Cochran-Orr-Teichner proved that the coefficient system  $\phi$  induces a hyperbolic bilinear form  $Bl(\cdot, \cdot)$  defined on  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}])$  [COT03, Theorem 2.13] and that

$$\mathfrak{k} := \ker\{i_* : H_1(M; \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]) \rightarrow H_1(V; \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}])\}$$

satisfies  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{k}^\perp$  with respect to this form [COT03, Theorem 4.4]. Thus,  $Bl(\alpha', \beta')$  is nonzero, and hence one of  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  is not in  $\mathfrak{k}$ . By the bilinearity of  $Bl$ , all integer multiples of  $\alpha'$  or  $\beta'$  are not in  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Recall that  $H_1(V; \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}])$  is the first homology of the infinite-cyclic cover  $\tilde{V}$  of  $V$ , viewed as a  $\mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}]$ -module, and  $\pi_1(\tilde{V}) = \pi_1(V)^{(1)}$ . If  $\alpha'$  were to map to zero in  $H_1(V; \mathbb{Q}[t, t^{-1}])$ , then  $\alpha'$  would map into  $\pi_1(V)^{(2)}$ . Since no multiple of  $\alpha'$  (or of  $\beta'$ ) lie in  $\mathfrak{k}$ , we conclude that  $\alpha'$  or  $\beta'$  does not map into  $\pi_1(V)^{(2)}$ . As in Theorem 4.5, we have the following relationship between the  $\rho$ -invariants:

$$\rho(M_R, \phi_R) - \rho(M, \phi) = \epsilon_{\alpha'} \rho_0(J_m) + \epsilon_{\beta'} \rho_0(J_m)$$

Since one of  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  does not map into  $\pi_1(V)^{(2)}$ , one of  $\epsilon_{\alpha'}$  or  $\epsilon_{\beta'}$  is one, as discussed in the proof of Theorem 4.5. By choosing  $m$  sufficiently large, the number  $|\rho(M, \phi)|$  can be made arbitrarily large. Since  $V$  was an arbitrary (1)-solution, we have  $g_1(L_m)$  is arbitrarily large by Theorem 4.2.

## 5. APPLICATIONS TO A GEOMETRIC STRUCTURE ON THE GROPE FILTRATION

Let  $B_n^r$  denote the subset of all  $K$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$  such that  $g_n(K) \leq r$ . Since  $g_{-1} \leq g_0 \leq \dots \leq g_n$ , we see that  $B_{-1}^r \supseteq B_0^r \supseteq \dots \supseteq B_n^r$ . Our main result (Theorem 4.5) is that the higher-order genera are finer measures than the slice genus. Furthermore, by the remark after Corollary 4.6, the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus is a finer measure than the lower-order genera, up to order at least  $n-2$ . That is, some (depending on  $n$  and



$r$ ) of these subset containments are proper. Consequently, these higher-order genera provide a further refinement of the Grope filtration of the knot concordance group. That is, after determining how deep a knot lies in the Grope filtration (say in  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ ), one might try to determine the knot's  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order genus.

We attempt to complement these comments with the diagram in Figure 6. The ambient three-dimensional space represents  $\mathcal{G}_{n+2}$ , the plane represents  $\mathcal{G}_{n+3}$ , the line represents  $\mathcal{G}_{n+4}$ , and the origin represents  $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{G}_n$ . The corresponding balls have been drawn. The diagram suggests the existence of knots in  $B_n^r - B_{n+1}^r$ , which was proven in Theorem 4.5 and Corollary 4.6 for certain  $n$  and  $r$ .




FIGURE 6. The refinement of the Grope filtration by the higher-order genera.

#### REFERENCES

- [CG85] Jeff Cheeger and Mikhael Gromov, *Bounds on the von Neumann dimension of  $L^2$ -cohomology and the Gauss-Bonnet theorem for open manifolds*, *Journal of Differential Geometry* **21** (1985), 1–34.
- [Cha08] Jae Choon Cha, *Topological minimal genus and  $L^2$ -signatures*, *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* **8** (2008), 885–909.
- [CHL07] Tim Cochran, Shelly Harvey, and Constance Leidy, *Knot concordance and higher-order Blanchfield duality*, Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0710.3082>, 2007.
- [Coc04] Tim Cochran, *Noncommutative knot theory*, *Algebraic & Geometric Topology* **4** (2004), 347–398.
- [COT03] Tim Cochran, Kent Orr, and Peter Teichner, *Knot concordance, Whitney towers and  $L^2$ -signatures*, *Annals of Mathematics* **157** (2003), 433–519.
- [CT07] Tim Cochran and Peter Teichner, *Knot concordance and von Neumann  $p$ -invariants*, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **137** (2007), no. 2, 337–379.
- [FQ90] Michael Freedman and Frank Quinn, *Topology of 4-manifolds*, Princeton Mathematical Series, no. 39, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [FT95] Michael Freedman and Peter Teichner, *4-manifold topology I: Subexponential groups*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **122** (1995), 509–529.
- [Gil82] Patrick Gilmer, *On the slice genus of knots*, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **66** (1982), 191–197.
- [Hor] Peter Horn, *The non-triviality of the Grope filtrations of the knot and link concordance groups*, Available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.2661>.
- [Liv04] Charles Livingston, *Computations of the Ozsváth-Szabó knot concordance invariant*, *Geometry and Topology* **8** (2004), 735–742.
- [Mur65] Kunio Murasugi, *On a certain numerical invariant of link types*, *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* **117** (1965), 387–422.
- [Rol76] Dale Rolfsen, *Knots and links*, Publish or Perish, Berkeley, CA, 1976.
- [Rud93] Lee Rudolph, *Quasipositivity as an obstruction to sliceness*, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **29** (1993), no. 1, 51–59.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, 6100 S. MAIN STREET, HOUSTON, TX 77005  
*E-mail address:* `phorn@rice.edu`  
*URL:* `http://math.rice.edu/~phorn`