

Dynamics of nonequilibrium thermal entanglement

Ilya Sinaysky* and Francesco Petruccione†

*Quantum Research Group, School of Physics,
University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, 4001, South Africa*

Daniel Burgarth‡

*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford,
24-29 St Giles' Oxford OX1 3LB, UK*

(Dated: June 10, 2019)

Abstract

The dynamics of a simple spin chain (2 spins) coupled to bosonic baths at different temperatures is studied. For a particular case an analytical solution for the reduced density matrix of the system is found. The dynamics and temperature dependence of spin-spin entanglement is analyzed.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Yz, 03.67.-a, 03.67.Mn, 65.40.G-

*Electronic address: ilsinay@gmail.com

†Electronic address: petruccione@ukzn.ac.za

‡Electronic address: daniel.burgarth@maths.ox.ac.uk

I. INTRODUCTION

In describing real physical systems one should always take into account the influence of the surroundings. The study of open systems is particularly important for understanding processes in quantum physics [1]. Whereas in most cases the interaction with an environment destroys quantum correlations within the system, it is well known that in some situations it can also build up entanglement [2] and in principle even prepare complex entangled states [3]. The dynamics of entanglement in open systems provides many interesting insights into relaxation and transport situations, in particular if the system dynamics involves many-body interactions (such as spin chains, see [4] for a review). In order to understand the role of the various parameters that compete in this setup, it is useful to find exactly solvable models. Here we study the dynamics of a model that was recently introduced by L. Quiroga [5]. It consists of a simple spin chain in contact with two reservoirs at different temperatures. In such a non-equilibrium case most studies are restricted to the steady-state solutions to which the system converges in the limit of long times [5, 6, 7, 8]. The dynamics for the model in the zero-temperature limit was studied in [9]. In the following, we study the dynamics of this model for generic temperatures.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we describe the model of a spin chain coupled to bosonic baths at different temperatures as introduced by L. Quiroga et al. in Ref. [5]. For completeness we follow [5] in deriving the master equation for the reduced density matrix in the Born-Markov approximation. Then we show the explicit form of the master equation for diagonal and off-diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix. In Sec. III we present the analytical solution for the system dynamics in a special case and show the convergence of the obtained solution to the density matrix of the non-equilibrium steady state solution found numerically in [5]. Finally, in Sec. IV we discuss the results and conclude.

II. MODEL

We consider the simplest spin chain consisting of two spins, with each spin coupled to a separate bosonic bath. In the derivation of the master equation we follow the formalism

suggested in Ref. [5]. The total Hamiltonian is given by

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}_S + \hat{H}_{B1} + \hat{H}_{B2} + \hat{H}_{SB1} + \hat{H}_{SB2},$$

where

$$\hat{H}_S = \frac{\epsilon_1}{2}\hat{\sigma}_1^z + \frac{\epsilon_2}{2}\hat{\sigma}_2^z + K(\hat{\sigma}_1^+\hat{\sigma}_2^- + \hat{\sigma}_1^-\hat{\sigma}_2^+) + K'\hat{\sigma}_1^z\hat{\sigma}_2^z$$

is the Hamiltonian describing spin-to-spin interactions and $\hat{\sigma}_i^z, \hat{\sigma}_i^\pm$ are the Pauli matrices. In this paper units are chosen such that $\hbar = 1$. The constants ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 denote energy of spins 1 and 2 respectively, whereas K and K' denote strength of Heisenberg and Ising spin-spin interaction. \hat{H}_{Bj} ($j = 1, 2$) are the operators describing the reservoirs for each spin j

$$\hat{H}_{Bj} = \sum_n \omega_{n,j} \hat{b}_{n,j}^+ \hat{b}_{n,j}.$$

The interaction between the spin subsystem and the bosonic baths is given by

$$\hat{H}_{SBj} = \hat{\sigma}_j^+ \sum_n g_n^{(j)} \hat{b}_{n,j} + \hat{\sigma}_j^- \sum_n g_n^{(j)*} \hat{b}_{n,j}^\dagger \equiv \sum_\mu \hat{V}_{j,\mu} \hat{f}_{j,\mu}.$$

The operators $\hat{V}_{j,\mu}$ are chosen to satisfy $[\hat{H}_S, \hat{V}_{j,\mu}] = \omega_{j,\mu} \hat{V}_{j,\mu}$ and the $\hat{f}_{j,\mu}$ act on bath degrees of freedom (this is always possible; their explicit form will be given later on). Physically, the index $\mu = 1, \dots, 4$ corresponds to *transitions* between eigenstates of the system induced by the bath. The whole system (spin chain with reservoirs) is described by the Liouville equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{\alpha} = -i[\hat{H}, \hat{\alpha}].$$

We assume that the evolution of the dynamical subsystem (spin chain) does not influence the state of the environment (bosonic reservoirs) so that the density operator of the whole system $\hat{\alpha}(t)$ can be written as:

$$\hat{\alpha}(t) = \hat{\rho}(t) \hat{B}_1(0) \hat{B}_2(0)$$

(irreversibility hypothesis), where each bosonic bath is described by a canonical density matrix $\hat{B}_j = e^{-\beta_j \hat{H}_{Bj}} / \text{tr}[e^{-\beta_j \hat{H}_{Bj}}]$ and $\hat{\rho}(t)$ denotes the reduced density matrix of the spin chain.

In Born-Markov approximation the equation for the evolution of the reduced density matrix [10] is:

$$\frac{d\hat{\rho}}{dt} = -i[\hat{H}_S, \hat{\rho}] + \mathcal{L}_1(\hat{\rho}) + \mathcal{L}_2(\hat{\rho})$$

with dissipators

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j(\hat{\rho}) \equiv & \sum_{\mu,\nu} J_{\mu,\nu}^{(j)}(\omega_{j,\nu}) \{ [\hat{V}_{j,\mu}, [\hat{V}_{j,\nu}^\dagger, \hat{\rho}]] - \\ & - (1 - e^{\beta_j \omega_{j,\nu}}) [\hat{V}_{j,\mu}, \hat{V}_{j,\nu}^\dagger \hat{\rho}] \} \end{aligned}$$

and where the spectral density is given by

$$J_{\mu,\nu}^{(j)}(\omega_{j,\nu}) = \int_0^\infty ds e^{i\omega_{j,\nu}s} \langle e^{-is\hat{B}_j} \hat{f}_{j,\nu}^\dagger e^{is\hat{B}_j} \hat{f}_{j,\mu} \rangle_j.$$

To find a solution we go to the basis of the eigenvectors $|\lambda_i\rangle$ with eigenvalues λ_i of the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_S ,

$$|\lambda_1\rangle = |0, 0\rangle, \quad \lambda_1 = -\frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2} + K',$$

$$|\lambda_2\rangle = |1, 1\rangle, \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2}{2} + K',$$

$$|\lambda_3\rangle = \cos(\theta/2)|1, 0\rangle + \sin(\theta/2)|0, 1\rangle, \quad \lambda_3 = \kappa - K',$$

$$|\lambda_4\rangle = -\sin(\theta/2)|1, 0\rangle + \cos(\theta/2)|0, 1\rangle, \quad \lambda_4 = -\kappa - K',$$

where $\kappa \equiv \sqrt{K^2 + \frac{(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)^2}{4}}$ and $\tan\theta \equiv 2K/(\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2)$. In this representation the dissipative operator $\mathcal{L}_i(\hat{\rho})$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_j(\hat{\rho}) = & - \sum_{\mu=1}^4 J^{(j)}(\omega_\mu) \{ -\hat{V}_{j,\mu} \hat{\rho} \hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger + \hat{\rho} \hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger \hat{V}_{j,\mu} + \\ & + e^{\beta_j \omega_\mu} (\hat{V}_{j,\mu} \hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger \hat{\rho} - \hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger \hat{\rho} \hat{V}_{j,\mu}) \} - \\ & - \sum_{\mu=1}^4 J^{(j)}(-\omega_\mu) \{ -\hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger \hat{\rho} \hat{V}_{j,\mu} + \hat{\rho} \hat{V}_{j,\mu} \hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger + \\ & + e^{-\beta_j \omega_\mu} (\hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger \hat{V}_{j,\mu} \hat{\rho} - \hat{V}_{j,\mu} \hat{\rho} \hat{V}_{j,\mu}^\dagger) \}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\omega_1 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_3, \quad \hat{V}_{j,1} = (\delta_{j,2} \cos(\theta/2) + \delta_{j,1} \sin(\theta/2)) |\lambda_2\rangle \langle \lambda_3|,$$

$$\omega_2 = \lambda_2 - \lambda_4, \quad \hat{V}_{j,2} = (-\delta_{j,2} \sin(\theta/2) + \delta_{j,1} \cos(\theta/2)) |\lambda_2\rangle \langle \lambda_4|,$$

$$\omega_3 = \lambda_3 - \lambda_1, \quad \hat{V}_{j,3} = (\delta_{j,1} \cos(\theta/2) + \delta_{j,2} \sin(\theta/2)) |\lambda_3\rangle \langle \lambda_1|,$$

$$\omega_4 = \lambda_4 - \lambda_1, \quad \hat{V}_{j,4} = (-\delta_{j,1} \sin(\theta/2) + \delta_{j,2} \cos(\theta/2)) |\lambda_4\rangle \langle \lambda_1|.$$

In this paper we consider the bosonic bath as an infinite set of harmonic oscillators, so the spectral density has the form $J^{(j)}(\omega_\mu) = \gamma_j(\omega_\mu)n_j(\omega_\mu)$, where $n_j(\omega_\mu) = (e^{\beta_j\omega_\mu} - 1)^{-1}$. For simplicity we choose the coupling constant to be the same and frequency independent $\gamma_1(\omega) = \gamma_2(\omega) = \gamma$. In this basis the equation for the diagonal elements of the reduced density matrix is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}(t) \\ \rho_{22}(t) \\ \rho_{33}(t) \\ \rho_{44}(t) \end{pmatrix} = B \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}(t) \\ \rho_{22}(t) \\ \rho_{33}(t) \\ \rho_{44}(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where B is a 4×4 matrix with rather complicated matrix elements, e.g.:

$$\begin{aligned} b_{11} = & -2\gamma \cos^2(\theta/2) n_1(\omega_3) - 2\gamma \cos^2(\theta/2) n_2(\omega_4) \\ & -2\gamma \sin^2(\theta/2) n_1(\omega_4) - 2\gamma \sin^2(\theta/2) n_2(\omega_3). \end{aligned}$$

The time-dependence for the non-diagonal elements has the following form:

$$\rho_{i,j}(t) = e^{ts_{i,j}} \rho_{i,j}(0), \quad (1)$$

where $s_{i,j}$ is a complex number. For the initial state of the system in the computational basis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$ we choose

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}(0) = & p_0|00\rangle\langle 00| + p_1|01\rangle\langle 01| + p_2|10\rangle\langle 10| \\ & + (1 - p_0 - p_1 - p_2)|11\rangle\langle 11| + c_{12}|01\rangle\langle 10| + c_{12}^*|10\rangle\langle 01|. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that in the basis of the eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_S the only non-vanishing off-diagonal terms of this initial state are $\rho_{3,4}(t)$ and $\rho_{4,3}(t)$, which are given by Eq. (1) with

$$\begin{aligned} s_{34} = & -2\gamma t - it(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4) \\ & -\gamma t \sin^2(\theta/2) [n_1(\omega_1) + n_1(\omega_4) + n_2(\omega_2) + n_2(\omega_3)] \\ & -\gamma t \cos^2(\theta/2) [n_1(\omega_2) + n_1(\omega_3) + n_2(\omega_1) + n_2(\omega_4)]. \end{aligned}$$

III. EXACT SOLUTION IN A SPECIAL CASE

In the particular case $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ and $K' = 0$ it is possible to find a compact analytical solution in the basis of eigenvectors $|\lambda_i\rangle$:

$$\rho_{ii}(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega_1 \Omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_{11}(0) \\ \rho_{22}(0) \\ \rho_{33}(0) \\ \rho_{44}(0) \end{pmatrix},$$

where the coefficients a_{ij} are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} &= (\Omega_1^- + \Omega_1^+ e^{-t\Omega_1})(\Omega_2^- + \Omega_2^+ e^{-t\Omega_2}), \\ a_{12} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_1^- \Omega_2^-, \\ a_{13} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})\Omega_1^- (\Omega_2^- + \Omega_2^+ e^{-t\Omega_2}), \\ a_{14} &= (\Omega_1^- + \Omega_1^+ e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_2^-, \\ a_{21} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_1^+ \Omega_2^+, \\ a_{22} &= (\Omega_1^+ + \Omega_1^- e^{-t\Omega_1})(\Omega_2^+ + \Omega_2^- e^{-t\Omega_2}), \\ a_{23} &= (\Omega_1^+ + \Omega_1^- e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_2^+, \\ a_{24} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})\Omega_1^+ (\Omega_2^+ + \Omega_2^- e^{-t\Omega_2}), \\ a_{31} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})\Omega_1^+ (\Omega_2^- + \Omega_2^+ e^{-t\Omega_2}), \\ a_{32} &= (\Omega_1^+ + \Omega_1^- e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_2^-, \\ a_{33} &= (\Omega_1^+ + \Omega_1^- e^{-t\Omega_1})(\Omega_2^- + \Omega_2^+ e^{-t\Omega_2}), \\ a_{34} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_1^+ \Omega_2^-, \\ a_{41} &= (\Omega_1^- + \Omega_1^+ e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_2^+, \\ a_{42} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})\Omega_1^- (\Omega_2^+ + \Omega_2^- e^{-t\Omega_2}), \\ a_{43} &= (1 - e^{-t\Omega_1})(1 - e^{-t\Omega_2})\Omega_1^- \Omega_2^+, \\ a_{44} &= (\Omega_1^- + \Omega_1^+ e^{-t\Omega_1})(\Omega_2^+ + \Omega_2^- e^{-t\Omega_2}). \end{aligned}$$

The non-diagonal elements of the density matrix are:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{34}(t) &= e^{-it(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4) - \frac{t(\Omega_1 + \Omega_2)}{2}} \rho_{34}(0), \\ \rho_{43}(t) &= \bar{\rho}_{34} = e^{it(\lambda_3 - \lambda_4) - \frac{t(\Omega_1 + \Omega_2)}{2}} \rho_{43}(0). \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 1: Time dependence of the probability $P(t)$ of finding the system in the eigenstates of the system Hamiltonian \hat{H}_S . The curve labeled by (i) describes the probability to find the system in state $|\lambda_i\rangle$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. The initial state of the chain is the ground state $\hat{\rho}_0 = |00\rangle\langle 00| = |\lambda_1\rangle\langle \lambda_1|$. The other parameters are given by $\epsilon_1 = 2, \epsilon_2 = 1/2, K = 1, K' = 1/2, T_1 = 5, T_2 = 1/2, \gamma = 0.01$.

In the present solution we have introduced some constants:

$$\Omega_i = \Omega_i^+ + \Omega_i^-, \Omega_i^\pm = J^{(1)}(\pm\omega_i) + J^{(2)}(\pm\omega_i).$$

One can easily see that this solution converges with increasing time to a diagonal density matrix which does not depend on the initial conditions:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{ii}(t) = \frac{1}{\Omega_1 \Omega_2} \begin{pmatrix} \Omega_1^- \Omega_2^- \\ \Omega_1^+ \Omega_2^+ \\ \Omega_1^+ \Omega_2^- \\ \Omega_1^- \Omega_2^+ \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{34}(t) = 0.$$

The above limit reproduces the result obtained by Quiroga in [5] for the non-equilibrium steady state solution of the model. The negativity [11, 12] between the two qubits of this steady state ($t \rightarrow \infty$) is given by

$$N_\infty = (\Omega_1 \Omega_2)^{-1} \left[-(\Omega_1^+ \Omega_2^+ + \Omega_1^- \Omega_2^-) + \sqrt{(\Omega_1^+ \Omega_2^+ - \Omega_1^- \Omega_2^-)^2 + 4(\Omega_1^+ \Omega_2^- - \Omega_1^- \Omega_2^+)^2} \right].$$




FIG. 2: Dynamics of negativity between the two system spins (1) $N(t)$ for $T_1 = T_2 = 1/2$, (2) $N(t) + 1$ for $T_1 = T_2 = 1$, (3) $N(t) + 2$ for $T_1 = T_2 = 3/2$. The initial state of the chain is given by $\hat{\rho}_0 = |10\rangle\langle 10|$ and the other parameters are given by $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2 = 0.1, K = 1, K' = 0, \gamma = 0.001$.




FIG. 3: Dynamics of negativity between the two system spins (1) $N(t)$ for $T_1 = 0.5, T_2 = 0.2$, (2) $N(t) + 1$ for $T_1 = 2, T_2 = 1$, (3) $N(t) + 2$ for $T_1 = 2.5, T_2 = 1.5$, $\hat{\rho}_0 = |10\rangle\langle 10|$, $\epsilon_1 = 2, \epsilon_2 = 0.5, K = 1, K' = 0.5, \gamma = 0.001$




FIG. 4: Negativity distribution as a function of the temperatures of the bosonic baths at a specific time $t = 10$ for the initial state $\hat{\rho}_0 = |10\rangle\langle 10|$ and $\epsilon_1 = 4, \epsilon_2 = 1, K = 1, K' = 0.5, \gamma = 0.01$.

IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

From Figure 1 one can see that the presence of bosonic baths provides non-zero probability for the spin chain to have a transition from the ground state to one of the excited states. The dynamics of entanglement is analyzed in Figures 2,3 and 4. In Figure 2 the dynamics of negativity between the two qubits is shown. The plot is based on the exact expression for the density matrix found in the special case discussed in Sec. III. The plot shows clearly the competition between the unitary and the dissipative dynamics. For the models considered here the spin chain can entangle the qubits for specific times, which gives rise to the oscillations of negativity one observes for short times (note that the initial state is chosen unentangled). On the long term, the system converges to its steady state. One can see the disappearance of entanglement with increasing temperatures of the bosonic baths which was shown for the steady state in [5]. In the case of graph (2) of Fig. 2 for intermediate times, the state of the system is separable, but on the long term steady state entanglement reappears. In Figure 3 the time dependence of negativity in the general case ($\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$ and $K' \neq 0$) is plotted. In Figure 4 the dependence of negativity on baths temperatures in general the case ($\epsilon_1 \neq \epsilon_2$ and $K' \neq 0$) is shown in one moment of time, which is far from the relaxation limit.

In conclusion, we have found an analytical solution for a simple spin system coupled to bosonic baths at different temperatures. We studied the dynamics of the system and showed that on the long term the system converges to the steady state found by [5]. Resolving the entanglement dynamics allowed us to distinguish between entanglement created by the system and by the bath. The analytical solution corresponds to a XX chain, which is known to be exactly solvable for arbitrarily long chains through the Jordan-Wigner transformation. We therefore expect that the special case presented in this paper paved the way to the analytical treatment of longer spin chains out of equilibrium. In particular, the prospect of studying multipartite entanglement is very promising.

Acknowledgments

This work is based upon research supported by the South African Research Chair Initiative of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation.

DB would like to thank FP for the hospitality at UKZN and acknowledges support by the QIP-IRC and Wolfson College, Oxford.

-
- [1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, 2002).
 - [2] D. Braun, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 277901 (2002).
 - [3] S. Diehl et al., arXiv:0803.1482v1 [quant-ph].
 - [4] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh and Vlatko Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 517 (2008).
 - [5] L. Quiroga et al., Phys. Rev. **A75**, 032308 (2007).
 - [6] T. Prosen, New J. Phys. **10**, 043026 (2008).
 - [7] C. Mejia-Monasterio and H. Wichterich, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. **151**, 113 (2007).
 - [8] D. Burgarth and V. Giovannetti, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 062307 (2007).
 - [9] M. Scala, R. Migliore, A. Messina, arXiv:0806.4852v1 [quant-ph].
 - [10] M. Goldman, J. Magn. Reson. **149**, 160 (2001).
 - [11] A. Peres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 1413 (1996).
 - [12] M. Plenio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 090503 (2005)