

The Schrödinger equation and negative energies

S. Bruce

Department of Physics, University of Concepcion,

P.O. Box 160C, Concepcion, Chile

(Dated: 06/30/08)

Abstract

We present a nonrelativistic wave equation for the electron in $(3 + 1)$ -dimensions which includes negative-energy eigenstates. We solve this equation for three well-known instances, reobtaining the corresponding Pauli equation (but including negative-energy eigenstates) in each case.

PACS 03.65.Bz - Foundations, theory of measurements, miscellaneous theories.

PACS 03.65.Pm - Relativistic wave equations.

I. INTRODUCTION

Let K and K' be two inertial reference frames. The K' system has all its axes parallel to those of K and moves with velocity \mathbf{v} relative to K . Assume E, \mathbf{p} and E', \mathbf{p}' to be the energy and momentum of a particle of mass m_0 in the K and K' frames, respectively. The Lorentz transformation for these quantities is [1]

$$\begin{cases} E = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} + E' \gamma^{-1} , \\ \mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}' + (\gamma - 1) \mathbf{v} (\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{v}) / \mathbf{v}^2 + \gamma \mathbf{v} E' / c^2 , \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with $\gamma = (1 - \mathbf{v}^2/c^2)^{-1/2}$, which is also valid for eventual negative values of E and E' . Let us now assume that the particle is at rest in the K' system, i.e., $E' = m_0 c^2$. Thus the first Eq.(1) becomes

$$E = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p} + m_0 c^2 \gamma^{-1} . \quad (2)$$

It is interesting to note that this expression represents a *correspondence relation* for E_D : the energy eigenvalues of the free-particle Dirac Hamiltonian. To see this, let us calculate the eigenvalues of the Dirac Hamiltonian [2] in the energy-helicity eigenstates $| E_D, s \rangle$:

$$\hat{H}_D | E_D, s \rangle = (c\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0 c^2 \beta) | E_D, s \rangle = E_D | E_D, s \rangle . \quad (3)$$

To simplify our notation, let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}$ be a matrix operator. Define $\langle \hat{\mathcal{O}} \rangle_s \equiv \langle E_D, s | \hat{\mathcal{O}} | E_D, s \rangle$. Thus

$$E_D \equiv \langle \hat{H}_D \rangle_s = \langle c\alpha \rangle_s \cdot \mathbf{p} + \langle \beta \rangle_s m_0 c^2 . \quad (4)$$

Therefore

$$\langle \{ c\alpha , \hat{H}_D \} \rangle_s = c^2 \mathbf{p} , \quad \langle \{ \beta , \hat{H}_D \} \rangle_s = m_0 c^2 , \quad (5)$$

where the symbol $\{ , \}$ means *anticommutation* relation. By using α 's and β matrices properties

$$\{ \alpha_i , \alpha_j \} = 2\delta_{ij} , \quad \{ \beta , \alpha_j \} = 0 , \quad \beta^2 = I , \quad i, j = 1, 2, 3 , \quad (6)$$

we get

$$\langle c\alpha \rangle_s = \frac{c^2 \mathbf{p}}{E_D} , \quad \langle \beta \rangle_s = \frac{M_0 c^2}{E_D} = \gamma^{-1} . \quad (7)$$

Hence, from Eq.(2) and Eq.(4), we make the following association

$$\langle \hat{H}_D \rangle_s = E_D \rightarrow E , \quad \langle c\alpha \rangle_s \rightarrow \mathbf{v} , \quad \langle \beta \rangle_s \rightarrow \gamma^{-1} . \quad (8)$$

Notice that a separation of concepts is involved in Eqs.(2) and (4). The term $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{p}$ in Eq.(2) is the scalar product of the velocity \mathbf{v} of the frame K' (where the particle is at rest) and the momentum \mathbf{p} of the particle, both relative to the (laboratory) frame K . They are associated with different objects. Therefore, at the quantum level, we have to distinguish between \mathbf{p}/m_0 and $\gamma\mathbf{v}$, although they have the same *mean* value. Then, *loosely* speaking, the Dirac Hamiltonian is *formally* linked with a Lorentz transformation itself.

II. DESCRIPTION OF A NONRELATIVISTIC SPIN-1/2 PARTICLE

To begin with, for a *strictly* nonrelativistic wave equation ($|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$), we are concerned with the expression

$$E = m_0c^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_0} . \quad (9)$$

One alternative of (limited) *linearization* of this equation is done by first squaring Eq.(9):

$$E^2 = \left(m_0c^2 + \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2m_0} \right)^2 = c^2\mathbf{p}^2 + m_0^2c^4 + \frac{(\mathbf{p}^2)^2}{4m_0^2} . \quad (10)$$

Then, taking the “square root” of Eq.(10), in the same way as the Dirac Hamiltonian is discerned from the Klein-Gordon equation, we find the wave equation [3]

$$\hat{H}\Phi(\mathbf{r},t) = \left(c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\beta + i\beta\gamma_5 \frac{(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})^2}{2m_0} \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r},t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\mathbf{r},t) . \quad (11)$$

This resembles a single-particle wave equation with Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\beta + i\beta\gamma_5 \frac{(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}})^2}{2m_0} . \quad (12)$$

Here

$$\gamma_k = -i\beta\alpha_k, \quad \gamma_0 = \beta, \quad \gamma_5 \equiv \gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3\gamma_0, \quad \gamma_{\mu,5}^\dagger = \gamma_{\mu,5} , \quad (13)$$

where we use the metric signature $g(+---)$. Thus the wave function $\Phi(\mathbf{r},t)$ is (in Eq.(11)) a bispinor. Note that Eq.(11) introduces the degree of freedom for nonrelativistic antiparticles. In this way we have incorporated *negative-energy* eigenvalues in the nonrelativistic limit, so that the electron *Zitterbewegung* is also present.

Now we mimic the Dirac case in order to calculate mean values:

$$\langle \{ \hat{H}, \alpha \} \rangle_s = 2c\mathbf{p} , \quad \langle \{ \hat{H}, \beta \} \rangle_s = 2m_0c , \quad \langle \{ \hat{H}, i\beta\gamma_5 \} \rangle_s = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m_0} . \quad (14)$$

From these equations we get

$$\langle \alpha \rangle_s = \frac{c\mathbf{P}}{E}, \quad \langle \beta \rangle_s = \frac{m_0 c^2}{E}, \quad \langle i\beta\gamma_5 \rangle_s = \frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2m_0 E}. \quad (15)$$

Next we regard a nonrelativistic electron moving in the presence of a classical magnetic field. To establish the wave equation governing this system, we make the minimal replacement

$$\hat{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} = \hat{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t) \quad (16)$$

in Eq.(11), obtaining

$$\hat{H}\Phi(\mathbf{r},t) = \left\{ c\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} + m_0 c^2 \beta + \frac{i}{2m_0} \beta \gamma_5 \left(\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} \right)^2 \right\} \Phi(\mathbf{r},t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\mathbf{r},t). \quad (17)$$

Applying \hat{H} on the left hand side of Eq.(17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{H}^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r},t) &= \left\{ \left(c\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} \right)^2 + m_0^2 c^4 + \frac{1}{4m_0^2} \left(\left(\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} \right)^2 \right)^2 \right\} \Phi(\mathbf{r},t) \\ &= \left(m_0 c^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} \right)^2 \right) \left(m_0 c^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} \right)^2 \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r},t) = -\hbar^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \Phi(\mathbf{r},t). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

From Eq.(18), the wave equation that determines the $\Phi(\mathbf{r},t)$ states is

$$\hat{H}\Phi(\mathbf{r},t) = \left(m_0 c^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{\Pi}} \right)^2 \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r},t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi(\mathbf{r},t). \quad (19)$$

The upper and lower components of Φ fulfil, up to a minus (-) sign (for the negative-energy eigenstates), the same wave equation. A general solution to Eq.(19) is a linear combination of the eigenstates

$$\Phi_{\pm}(\mathbf{r},t) = \Phi(\mathbf{r}) \exp\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} E_{\pm} t\right), \quad (20)$$

with $E_{\pm} = \pm |E|$.

Finally, we address the case of a nonrelativistic spin-1/2 particle moving in the presence of a scalar central potential. Equation (17) can be written as

$$\frac{\mathbf{P}^2}{2m_0} = E_{\pm} - m_0 c^2. \quad (21)$$

For the free particle, Eq.(11) turns out to be

$$E_{\pm} \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \left(c\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0 c^2 \beta + i\beta\gamma_5 (E_{\pm} - m_0 c^2) \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (22)$$

Reordering the terms we find that

$$E_{\pm} \Gamma_1 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \left(c\alpha \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0 c^2 \Gamma_2 \right) \Phi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (23)$$

where $\Gamma_{1,2}$ are Hermitian operators defined as

$$\Gamma_1 \equiv (I - i\beta\gamma_5), \quad \Gamma_2 \equiv (I + i\gamma_5)\beta, \quad (24)$$

with the properties

$$\Gamma_1^2 = 2\Gamma_1, \quad \Gamma_2^2 = 2I, \quad \Gamma_2\Gamma_1 = (I + \beta)(I - i\gamma_5). \quad (25)$$

Equation (23) is now linear in E_{\pm} and \mathbf{p} . It stands for a generalization of Lévy-Leblond's wave equation [4, 5, 6] since it also includes *negative-energy* eigenstates. Notice that $\Gamma_1/2$ is a *singular* (projection) matrix. Hence, it does not have an *inverse* operator. This means that, unlike Eq.(11), it is *not* possible to define an appropriate Hamiltonian from Eq.(23): expression (23) has to be treated just as a *wave equation*. Therefore a nonrelativistic electron moving in the presence of a central potential $V(r)$ satisfies

$$(E_{\pm} - eV(r))\Gamma_1\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = (c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\Gamma_2)\Phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (26)$$

Subsequently, multiplying Eq.(26) on the left by Γ_2 , and using the fact that $(I + i\gamma_5)(I - i\gamma_5)/2 = I$, yields

$$(E_{\pm} - eV(r))\Gamma_2\Gamma_1\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = (\Gamma_2c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + 2m_0c^2)\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_2\beta(I - i\gamma_5)\Phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (27)$$

Given the fact that $\Gamma_2^2 = 2I$ and $\Gamma_2\beta = (I + i\gamma_5)$, it follows

$$(E_{\pm} - eV(r))(I + \beta)[\beta(I - i\gamma_5)\Phi(\mathbf{r})] = (\Gamma_2c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}\Gamma_2 + 2m_0c^2\Gamma_2)\frac{1}{2}[\beta(I - i\gamma_5)\Phi(\mathbf{r})], \quad (28)$$

where we have used the property $(I + \beta)\beta = (I + \beta)$ on the left hand side of Eq.(28). Next we define the bispinor

$$\Psi(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \Gamma_2\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(\mathbf{r}) \\ \chi(\mathbf{r}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (29)$$

Thus the wave function Ψ corresponds to a rotation of (the bispinor) Φ in the Hilbert space. This ensues from Eqs.(24) and (25), since Γ_2 is both unitary and Hermitian. Thus

$$(E_{\pm} - eV(r))(I + \beta)\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\Gamma_2c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}\Gamma_2 + m_0c^2\Gamma_2\right)\Psi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (30)$$

From the first Eq.(25) and the property $\{\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}, \Gamma_2\} = 0$, it follows that

$$(E_{\pm} - eV(r))(I + \beta)\Psi(\mathbf{r}) = (-c\boldsymbol{\alpha} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}} + m_0c^2\Gamma_2)\Psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (31)$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{cases} 2(E_{\pm} - eV(r))\phi(\mathbf{r}) = -c\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{p}}\chi(\mathbf{r}) + m_0c^2(\phi(\mathbf{r}) - i\chi(\mathbf{r})), \\ 0 = -c\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{p}}\phi(\mathbf{r}) + m_0c^2(i\phi(\mathbf{r}) - \chi(\mathbf{r})). \end{cases} \quad (32)$$

The second equation (32) gives the spinor $\chi(\mathbf{r})$ in terms of the spinor $\phi(\mathbf{r})$:

$$\chi(\mathbf{r}) = -(im_0c^2 + c\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{p}})\phi(\mathbf{r})/m_0c^2. \quad (33)$$

Inserting $\chi(\mathbf{r})$ into the first Eq.(32), we finally arrive to

$$\widehat{H}\phi(\mathbf{r},t) = \left(m_0c^2 + eV(r) + \frac{(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \widehat{\mathbf{p}})^2}{2m_0} \right) \phi(\mathbf{r},t) = i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(\mathbf{r},t), \quad (34)$$

which is the ‘Pauli’ equation for this system, wherein negative-energy eigenstates have been included, up to a minus (-) sign on the right hand side of Eq.(34). Thus a general solution $\phi(\mathbf{r},t)$ to Eq.(34) is a linear combination of positive and negative-eigenstates $\phi(\mathbf{r}) \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_+t)$ and $\phi(\mathbf{r}) \exp(-\frac{i}{\hbar}E_-t)$.

Of course, it is possible to consider a general minimal interaction in Eq.(11):

$$\widehat{H} = c\widehat{p}_0 \rightarrow c\widehat{p}_0 - eV(\mathbf{r},t), \quad \widehat{\mathbf{p}} \rightarrow \widehat{\boldsymbol{\Pi}} = \widehat{\mathbf{p}} - \frac{e}{c}\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{r},t). \quad (35)$$

Thereafter, we go along the same steps as above. Alternatively, one can use either Eq.(19) or Eq.(34) to introduce the remaining piece of minimal coupling.

It is interesting to note that an *ubiquitous* $m_0c^2 \times \widehat{\mathcal{O}}$ additive term is present in equations (11), (31) and (34), amongst some others throughout the text. This fact might be paradoxically interpreted as follows: we need to introduce a *relativistic* concept (rest energy = $E_0 = m_0c^2$) in order to be able to build a strictly *nonrelativistic* ($|\mathbf{v}| \ll c$) wave equation.

This work was supported by Dirección de Investigación, Universidad de Concepción, through grant P.I. 207.011.046-1.0.

-
- [1] Jackson J. D., *Classical Electrodynamics*, 2nd ed., (Wiley, New York, 1975), p. 517.
 - [2] Mandl F. and Shaw G., *Quantum field theory*, (Wiley, New York, 1984), p. 66.
 - [3] Bruce S., *Nuovo Cimento B*, **116** (2001) 111.
 - [4] Lévy-Leblond J. M., *Commun. Math. Phys.*, **6** (1967) 286.
 - [5] Hagen C. R., *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **24**, (1970) 138.
 - [6] Hagen C. R., *Phys. Rev. A*, **70**, (2004) 012101-2.