

Optical absorption spectra and monomer interaction in polymers.

Investigation of exciton coupling in DNA hairpins

A. L. Burin, J. A. Dickman, D. B. Uskov, C. F. F. Hebbard

Department of Chemistry, Tulane University, New Orleans LA, 70118

G. C. Schatz

Department of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL, 60208

(Dated: October 29, 2018)

Abstract

We investigate the effect of exciton coupling on the optical absorption spectrum of polymer molecules under conditions of strong inhomogeneous broadening. We demonstrate that the dependence of the maximum in the rescaled absorption spectrum on the number of monomers is determined by the average monomer excitation energies and their resonant coupling and insensitive to the inhomogeneous broadening. Thus the absorption spectrum can be used to determine optical interactions between monomers. The results are applied to the absorption spectra of poly-A poly-T DNA hairpins and used to interpret the dependence of the absorption spectrum on the number of monomers. We also discuss exciton localization in these hairpins.

PACS numbers: 7080.Le, 72.20.Ee, 72.25.-b, 87.14.Gg

1. The optical absorption spectra of dissolved molecules are strongly affected by interaction with the environment. The positions and orientations of solvent molecules with respect to each molecule differ, and leads to different shifts in their optical excitation energies. These shifts can be characterized by a distribution with characteristic width W that is usually called inhomogeneous broadening. In many important systems, particularly including biological molecules in their native environment involving a highly polar solvent (water), this broadening is very large $W > 0.1\text{eV}$ and it can smear out various interesting features of the spectrum including its sensitivity to molecular conformation and geometry.

However there exist experimental indications that despite the large inhomogeneous broadening the absorption spectrum contains important information about molecular properties. Recent measurements of optical absorption spectra of DNA hairpins made of identical *AT* base pairs demonstrate a remarkable change in absorption maxima with the number of monomers [1]. We believe that this effect is due to sensitivity of the electronic excited state to the number of monomers. The excited state (exciton) can be delocalized between all n identical monomers and the resulting exciton energy (absorption energy) is sensitive to the size of the overall wavefunction. The exciton coupling V_{ij} of different monomers is caused by their resonant interactions, and it reaches its maximum value V_0 for adjacent monomers. One can therefore expect that the change in the absorption spectrum with n is determined by V_0 . Since the multipole interactions that determine V_0 are very sensitive to the distance between monomers and to their relative orientation, the change in absorption spectrum with n can be used to study DNA conformation and structure [2]. Thus time-resolved optical measurements of DNA participating in biological or chemical processes like protein attachment can provide important information about the real time kinetics of such process and DNA structural and conformational changes during the process. In addition, the understanding of exciton coupling is important for DNA applications as a naturally available optical material.

Below we investigate the inhomogeneously broadened spectrum of a polymer molecule made of n identical monomers assuming that inhomogeneous broadening W exceeds both the exciton coupling V_0 and the variation Δ of the average excitation energies of the different monomers with respect to each other caused by their different positions (e. g. monomers at the edges compared to monomers in the middle of the polymer). Under these conditions the absorption spectrum $\alpha(E)$ has a single maximum corresponding to electronic excitation. The position of the maximum is the important measurable characteristic of the spectrum

which can be easily extracted from the experimental data. It turns out that the more informative characteristic is the maximum in the rescaled absorption $\beta(E) = \alpha(E)/E$. We investigate the dependence of the maximum in $\beta(E)$ on the number n of monomers, showing that in the limit of strong inhomogeneous broadening this dependence is determined by the exciton coupling V_0 and the monomer energy variation Δ , while it is insensitive to the inhomogeneous broadening. If fluctuations in the monomer energies are independent and obey Gaussian statistics then the change in the maximum position is determined by the simple analytical expression

$$\delta E_{max} = \frac{4(n-1)V_0 \cos(\theta)}{n}, \quad (1)$$

where θ is the angle between the transition dipole moments of adjacent monomers. As we show below this analytical result with the parameter taken to be $V_0 \approx 0.042\text{eV}$, as obtained from semiempirical calculations and the DNA twisting angle $\theta = 36^\circ$, agrees with the results of recent experiments (Ref. [1] and Fig. 1). The localization of excitons in DNA will be discussed in the light of these findings.

2. Optical excitation in a chain of n monomers can be described by a tight binding Hamiltonian coupled to the environment. Differences in the environment for different monomers lead to fluctuations in the monomer excitation energies ϕ_i

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij} c_i^\dagger c_j + \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i c_i^\dagger c_i. \quad (2)$$

Here c_i, c_i^\dagger are operators for creation and annihilation of an exciton in a site (monomer) i . The excitation energies ϕ_i are taken to have random values that are characterized by a distribution function $P(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)$ and they are directly coupled to the local exciton population $n_i = c_i^\dagger c_i$. All monomers $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ possess transition dipole moments $\boldsymbol{\mu}_i$ having identical absolute values μ_0 . Since the photon absorption process is very fast one can treat energies ϕ_i as static, because their characteristic time of change is defined by a slow atomic motion. For the same reason we only consider vertical excitations, as the environment cannot relax to the new configuration during the short absorption event. We ignore fluctuations of exciton couplings V_{ij} due to environmental fluctuations as this interaction has a power law dependence on interatomic distances that results from multipole interactions. Since fluctuations in the interatomic distances are much smaller than the distances themselves, the power law dependent interactions should fluctuate weakly.

The position of absorption maximum depends on the distribution function $P(\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n)$. Below we assume that this energy distribution function can be approximated by a Gaussian distribution. This is a reasonable assumption when fluctuations of energy are smaller than the energy itself which justifies the use of a second order expansion of the free energy for the probability distribution P [4]. On the other hand the width of the distribution (inhomogeneous broadening $W \sim 0.2\text{eV}$) is much larger than the natural linewidth $\hbar\Gamma \leq 10^{-3}\text{eV}$, which allows us to ignore deviations from the Gaussian lineshape due to Lorentzian tails in the natural line. Of course calculations can be easily extended to any other distribution P .

If the distribution P is a Gaussian distribution then all other distributions for the reduced number of energies obtained by the integration of P with respect to the remaining energies are also Gaussian distributions. For our study we will need distributions either for a single energy or for two energies. We introduce the single energy distribution as

$$P_i(\phi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}W_i} \exp\left(-\frac{(\phi - \tilde{\phi}_i)^2}{2W_i^2}\right), \quad (3)$$

where ϕ_i is the average excitation energy of the monomer i and W_i is its dispersion (inhomogeneous broadening). The distribution function for two energies can be expressed as

$$P_{ij}(\phi, \phi') = \frac{e^{-\frac{(\phi_i - \tilde{\phi}_i)^2}{2W_i^2(1-\kappa_{ij}^2)} + \frac{(\phi_j - \tilde{\phi}_j)^2}{2W_j^2(1-\kappa_{ij}^2)} - \frac{\kappa_{ij}(\phi_i - \tilde{\phi}_i)(\phi_j - \tilde{\phi}_j)}{W_i W_j(1-\kappa_{ij}^2)}}}{2\pi\sqrt{1 - \kappa_{ij}^2}W_i W_j}, \quad (4)$$

where the parameter κ_{ij} describes correlations of the excitations of monomers i and j . Note that $\langle (\phi_i - \tilde{\phi}_i)(\phi_j - \tilde{\phi}_j) \rangle = \kappa_{ij}W_i W_j$. We further assume that all average energies ϕ_i are close to some typical energy ϕ_0 and their deviations from it are small compared to the inhomogeneous broadenings W_i . The same is assumed for exciton coupling so for further considerations we set

$$V_0, |\tilde{\phi}_i - \phi_0| \ll W_i. \quad (5)$$

Here we have assumed that the energy gap to the next excited state exceeds the inhomogeneous broadening so we can neglect it.

3. One can describe the optical absorption of the ensemble of polymers in terms of exciton eigenstates $|\psi_a\rangle$, $a = 1, \dots, n$, their energies E_a , transition dipole moments μ_a and oscillator

strengths f_a (Eq. (2)) as

$$\alpha(E) \propto \sum_{a=1}^n \langle f_a \delta(E_a - E) \rangle, \quad (6)$$

$$f_a = \frac{2\mu_a^2 m E_a}{3(e\hbar)^2},$$

where e and m are the charge and mass of electron.

Consider the exciton coupling V as a perturbation. In the zeroth order approximation in V the eigenstates a coincide with individual monomer i excitations characterized by energies $E_i = \phi_i$ and all the transition dipole moments are identical, $\mu_i = \mu_0$, so we get

$$\frac{\alpha^{(0)}(E)}{E\mu_0^2} \propto \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\phi P_i(\phi) \delta(E - \phi) = \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(E). \quad (7)$$

To first order in V , which we are interested in, there are no corrections to the exciton energy, because the off-diagonal interaction V results in second order corrections only [5], while there is a correction to the transition dipole moment associated with the change in exciton wavefunction $|\tilde{i}\rangle = |i\rangle + \sum_{j \neq i} |j\rangle V_{ij}/(E_j - E_i)$. This correction can be expressed as $\tilde{\mu}_i = \mu_i + \sum_{j \neq i} \mu_j V_{ij}/(E_j - E_i)$. Accordingly the change δf_i in the oscillator strength can be expressed as $\delta f_i/f_i = 2 \sum_{j \neq i} \cos(\theta_{ij}) V_{ij}/(E_j - E_i)$, where θ_{ij} is the angle between the transition dipole moments of the monomers i and j . Finally the rescaled absorbance can be expressed as

$$\beta(E) = \frac{\alpha(E)}{E} \propto \sum_{i=1}^n P_i(E) + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} V_{ij} \cos(\theta_{ij}) \times \text{P.V.} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d\phi \frac{P_{ij}(\phi, E)}{E - \phi}. \quad (8)$$

The factor E in the definition of $\alpha(E)$ makes the absorption maximum sensitive to inhomogeneous broadening. To study lineshape effects it is more convenient to use the maximum in the rescaled absorbance $\beta(E) = \alpha(E)/E$, which represents the spectral density. Consider the energy corresponding to the maximum in $\beta(E)$. In the zeroth order approximation one can find this energy using only the first term in Eq. (8). Under the conditions specified by Eq. (5) one can expand all exponents in this term as $e^x \approx 1 + x$ and then set the derivative of the expression with respect to energy E to be equal to zero. Then we get $\sum_{i=1}^n (E^{(0)} - \phi_i)/W_i^3 = 0$. This yields

$$E^{(0)} = \langle \phi \rangle_W, \quad (9)$$

where $\langle A \rangle_W = (\sum_{i=1}^n A_i/W_i^3) / \sum_{i=1}^n 1/W_i^3$.

One can approximate the shift of the maximum due to the second term in Eq. (8) as $E^{(1)} \approx [d\beta^{(1)}(E^{(0)})/dE]/[d^2\beta^{(0)}(E^{(0)})/dE^2]$, where $\beta^{(0,1)}$ represents the first (or second) terms in Eq. (8). Both first and second derivatives can be evaluated at $E^{(0)}/W \approx 0$. They can be evaluated as $d\beta^{(1)}(E^{(0)})/dE \approx (2/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{V}_{ij}/(W_i^2 W_j)$ where $\tilde{V}_{ij} = V_{ij} \cos(\theta_{i,j})/(1 + \kappa_{ij})$ and $d^2\beta^{(0)}(E^{(0)})/dE^2 = (1/\sqrt{2\pi}) \sum_{i=1}^n 1/W_i^3$. Accordingly the position of the maximum E_{max} of the function $\beta(E)$ can be expressed as

$$E_{max} = \langle \phi \rangle_W + \frac{2 \sum_{i \neq j} \tilde{V}_{ij}/(W_i^2 W_j)}{\sum_{i=1}^n 1/W_i^3}. \quad (10)$$

This result does not depend on the absolute value of the inhomogeneous broadening.

In the simplified approximation one can consider only nearest neighbor interactions V_0 . Thus we assume $W_1 = W_n = W_{ex}$, $W_i = W_{in}$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, n-2$ and $\phi_1 = \phi_n = \phi_0 + \Delta$, $\phi_i = \phi_0$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$. Then Eq. (10) can be rewritten as

$$E_{max} = \phi_0 + 4\tilde{V}_0 + \frac{\frac{2\Delta}{W_{ex}^3} - 4\tilde{V}_0 \left(\frac{1}{W_{in}^3} + \frac{2}{W_{ex}^3} - \frac{1}{W_i W_{ex}^2} - \frac{1}{W_i^2 W_{ex}} \right)}{\frac{n-2}{W_{in}^3} + \frac{2}{W_{ex}^3}}. \quad (11)$$

where $\tilde{V}_0 = \frac{V_0 \cos(\theta)}{1 + \kappa_0}$, κ_0 is the correlation coefficient for adjacent monomers. This result is different from the maximum shift obtained using the convolution by Gaussians method [6] because the latter method ignores the relative energy fluctuations of monomers.

4. It is interesting to compare our predictions with experimental data. Here we consider the measurements of light absorption by poly-A - poly-T DNA hairpins endcapped by stilbene [1] and containing n AT base pairs. To analyze the data we extracted positions of spectral maxima in $\beta(E)$ for $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 12$. We used the second maximum from the low energy side because the first maximum is due to stilbene absorption [1, 3].

Since all hairpins contained stilbene groups which also contributed to absorption the definition of maximum gets more accurate at large n . Therefore we fit experimental data using Eq. (11) in the form $E_{max} = E_\infty - a/(bn+c)$ for $n = k, k+1, \dots, 12$ choosing $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. The results of the data fit become almost insensitive to our choice of k for $k \geq 3$ so we choose $k = 3$ as a lower constraint. For smaller k fitting parameters can change by 50%. For any k the best data fit is obtained setting $c = 0$ ($W_{ex} = W_{in}$) in Eq. (11) which suggests that the fluctuations of base pair energies are identical for DNA bases located at hairpin edges and

inside the sequence. The reasonably good data fit is obtained setting (see Fig. 1)

$$E_{max}(n) = 4.5242 - 0.135/n. \quad (12)$$

One can assume that the energy difference Δ (Eq. (11)) of end and middle hairpin AT sites is negligible, e. g. due to pronounced screening effect of the static interactions leading to the “diagonal” energy difference Δ . For dynamic “off-diagonal” interaction V_0 the screening is much smaller because it has to be taken at high exciton frequency $\omega \geq 10^{15}\text{s}^{-1}$. Then Eq. (11) can be rewritten as (cf. Eq. (1))

$$E_{max} = \phi_0 + \frac{4V_0 \cos(\theta)}{1 + \kappa_0} - \frac{4V_0 \cos(\theta)}{n(1 + \kappa_0)}. \quad (13)$$

Here we have assumed $\theta = 36^\circ$. Then the agreement of theory and experiment requires Eq. (12)

$$V_0/(1 + \kappa_0) \approx 0.0417\text{eV}. \quad (14)$$

To verify this expectation we calculated the exciton coupling strength V_0 using the semiempirical program ZINDO (part of the Gaussian 03 package [8]). The input geometry was obtained using 3DNA software [7] with several AT base pairs placed at the neighboring distance 3.4\AA with the twisting angle 36° . The ground state input geometry for each AT pair has been optimized using density functional theory calculations based on B3LYP/6-31G**




FIG. 1: Theoretical fit of experimental data [1] for the dependence of maximum in the scaled absorption intensity $\beta(E)$ on the number of monomers for DNA hairpins $(AT)_n$.

. We studied the first “bright” excited state of the AT pair [9]. The energy of this state is found to be 4.2eV. This energy is smaller than the experimental peak in the absorption spectrum at 4.5eV by 0.3eV which is a typical error for ZINDO [10]. The exciton coupling V_0 has been defined as half of the splitting of this bright excited energy level for two AT pairs similarly to Ref. [11]. The extracted value $V_0 \approx 0.042\text{eV}$ is very close to the experimental prediction Eq. (14) if we ignore correlations between the excitation energies of adjacent base pairs ($\kappa_0 \ll 1$). Since these correlations are due to the long-range electrostatic interactions, they should be weaker for neutral excitations than for charges where $\kappa_0 \approx 1/2$ [12]. Our results agree qualitatively with the analysis of excitons in the single strand DNA made using A bases that were reported in Refs. [6, 13]. Excitonic coupling has been estimated as 0.053eV in that system.

It is important that the exciton coupling V_0 is positive leading to an increase of the absorption maximum energy E_{max} with increasing number of monomers, i. e. a blue shift of the absorption spectrum in agreement with the experiment [1, 14]. This is because of the dipolar nature of exciton coupling. The oscillator strength is larger for quantum states with larger transition dipole moments. These states have higher energy because the interaction of parallel AT pair dipole moments is repulsive in DNA geometry. The red shift obtained in Ref. [15] is probably the consequence of self-interaction errors in the TDDFT calculations that were used.

5. We have investigated the inhomogeneously broadened absorption spectrum of a molecule made of n identical monomers. The shift of the maximum of the rescaled absorption $\beta(E) = \alpha(E)/E$ with n is determined entirely by the average monomer energies and their exciton couplings. It is insensitive to the inhomogeneous broadening and can be expressed by a simple algebraic form Eq. (11). Thus exciton coupling can be characterized using inhomogeneously broadened absorption spectra.

A related but challenging problem is whether the exciton quantum state is localized within a single AT base pair or it is extended through several base pairs [14, 15]. This localization is defined by the ratio of exciton coupling strength V_0 and inhomogeneous broadening W . If $V_0/W \ll 1$ this should be the regime of strong localization [4]. We can use our estimate $V_0 \sim 0.04\text{eV}$ and compare it to the width of the DNA absorption maximum $W \sim 0.2\text{eV}$ [1]. Then $V_0 < W$ so the exciton wavefunction is probably localized within almost a single site. Note that a recent study of this effect for single stranded DNA considered the behavior

of a large number of eigenstates, and came to the opposite conclusion; however this is not necessarily in contradiction with the present analysis, which only considered a single excited state [14, 15]. More excited states need to be considered to verify our expectations.

This work is supported by the NSF CRC Program, Grant No. 0628092. JD and CH also acknowledge the support of the Lurcy Fund, and CH acknowledges the support of the Newcomb and Provost Funds of Tulane University. The authors are grateful to Fred Lewis and John Perdew for useful stimulating discussions and Scott Knowles, Balamurugan Desinghu, Michael Armbruster and Amy Finch for contribution to our computations.

-
- [1] F. D. Lewis, L. G. Zhang, X. Y. Liu, X. B. Zuo, D. M. Tiede, H. Long, G. C. Schatz, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **127**, 14445 (2005).
 - [2] I. Tinoco, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, 82, 478590; B. Bouvier, J. P. Dognon, R. Lavery, D. Markovitsi, P. Millie, D. Onidas, K. Zakrzewska, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **107**, 13512 (2003).
 - [3] D. Balamurugan, F. D. Lewis, A. L. Burin, *J. Phys. Chem.* **111**, 3982 (2007).
 - [4] A. L. Burin, D. B. Uskov, to appear in *J. Chem. Phys.* (2008).
 - [5] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics: non-relativistic theory*, London, Pergamon Press, 1958.
 - [6] F. Santoro, V. Barone, R. Improta, *PNAS* **104**, 9931 (2007).
 - [7] W. K. Olson et al., *J. Mol. Biol.* **313**(1), 229 (2001).
 - [8] Gaussian 03, Revision C.02, M. J. Frisch, et al, Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2004.
 - [9] This is the third excited singlet state. According to both ZINDO and TDDFT calculations (see e.g. D. Varsano, et al, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 7129 (2006) and references therein) first few excited states are dark, i. e. their oscillator strengths are smaller than 10^{-3} . The analysis of excited states in detail will be reported separately.
 - [10] G. R. Hutchison, M. A. Ratner, T. J. Marks, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, **106**, 10596 (2002).
 - [11] R. J. Cave, M. D. Newton, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **249**, 15 (1996).
 - [12] A. A. Voityuk, *J. Chem. Phys.* **122**, 204904 (2005).
 - [13] Hu, L. H.; Zhao, Y.; Wang, F.; Chen, G. H.; Ma, C.; Kwok, W.-M.; Phillips, D. L., *J. Phys. Chem. B* **111**, 11812 (2007).
 - [14] D. Markovitsi, D. Onidas, T. Gustavsson, F. Talbot and E. Lazzarotto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*

127, 17130 (2005).

[15] S. Tonzani, G. C. Schatz, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **130**, 7607 (2008).