

# Exploiting Quench Dynamics in Spin Chains for Distant Entanglement and Quantum Communication

Hannu Wichterich and Sougato Bose  
*Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University College London,  
 Gower Street, WC1E 6BT London, United Kingdom*  
 (Dated: October 26, 2021)

We suggest a method of entangling significantly the distant ends of a one dimensional lattice of spins using minimal control. This long distance entanglement is brought about solely by exploiting the dynamics of an initial state with Néel order if the lattice features nearest-neighbor XXZ interactions or pulsings. In particular, there is no need for any control of single subsystems or repeated switchings or pulsings. The method only requires an initial non-adiabatic switching between two appropriately tailored Hamiltonians followed by evolution under a permanent Hamiltonian. The scheme could potentially be implemented in various experimental setups, ranging from ultracold atoms in an optical lattice to Josephson junction arrays.

The objective of quantum communication is to transfer a quantum state  $|\phi\rangle$  from a sender (Alice) to a receiver (Bob) as accurately as possible. To accomplish this, Alice can simply encode  $|\phi\rangle$  on a carrier e.g. an atom or a photon and send it down a channel. Alternatively, Alice and Bob can use teleportation [1], for which they need to first share a pair of particles in an entangled state  $|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle)$ . In combination with appropriate operations and classical communications,  $|\psi^-\rangle$  enables the noiseless transmission of a state  $|\phi\rangle$  from Alice to Bob. Photons are ideal for establishing this shared  $|\psi^-\rangle$  state between parties separated by long distances.

This letter is based on a manifestation of quantum communication which aims to connect distinct parts, i.e. processors or registers, of a quantum computer. The basic idea is to use a many-body system (with permanent interactions) incorporating the sender, the distant receiver, and the mediating channel all together. All components are then stationary, and could be made of the same units. This setup does not require the interfacing of stationary qubits with photons. This could considerably reduce the complexity of interconnects between registers of a quantum computer which typically need to enable entanglement sharing over short distances only, i.e. several lattice sites. For the same reason, the physical movement of ions is preferred over interfacing with photons for communication between the registers of an ion trap quantum computer [2].

Fig. 1 pictures our scenario, where Alice and Bob are situated at opposite ends of a one dimensional (1D) lattice of perpetually interacting spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particles. We suggest a scheme which allows the establishment of a strong entanglement between Alice's and Bob's spins (the remotest spins of the lattice) without any requirement of local control for the preparation of the initial state of the chain or for the subsequent dynamics. Moreover no repeated switchings of any fields (local or global) is required. This is close to the scenario of much work on state transfer through spin chains (e.g. Refs.[3, 4, 5, 6, 7]), but here the lattice interactions “generate” entanglement, as




FIG. 1: Schematic of our proposal of entangling distant spins. Alice and Bob are at opposite ends of the chain. First, the spin chain is initialized in the antiferromagnetic Ising ground-state. By a non-adiabatic switching to an XY interaction and subsequent time evolution quantum correlations (entanglement) is being established between Alice's and Bob's spins.

opposed to just “transferring” it. State transfer can itself be modified to yield entanglement generation schemes (eg. see [8]), but without a price (e.g. engineered couplings) the amount of entanglement will be very small. Even without such price, our current mechanism provides a very high amount of entanglement and is not straightforwardly related to the above state transfer processes.

In our scheme, first the lattice of strongly interacting spins is cooled to its ground state. Then, upon instantly changing a global parameter in its Hamiltonian (i.e., performing a *quench* [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]), the pair of edge spins evolve to a highly entangled mixed state. For this state, entanglement purification methods are known [16], which Alice and Bob can use to convert, only by local actions, a few (say  $n$ ) copies of the state to  $m < n$  pure  $|\psi^-\rangle$  states. These  $|\psi^-\rangle$  could then be used to teleport any state from Alice to Bob. Though the scheme of this paper has a qualitative similarity with entangling the ends of a chain of uncoupled systems by a sudden switching of interactions [9, 10], it yields a much higher entanglement which scales better with the length of the chain.

Quenches have recently been an active field of study

in condensed matter and are usually studied in the thermodynamic limit [11]. Recently, it was shown that local subsystems may relax towards a maximum entropy state in certain models despite global unitarity [12]. In contrast, here we investigate whether a quench can produce a “long-range” entanglement useful for quantum communication when performed on a *finite* system. We should mention here that the development of entanglement between large blocks of a many-body system after a quench has recently been investigated [13, 14, 15], which, though fundamentally important, is not readily usable for quantum communication.

The use of a quench in order to create entanglement at a distance is highly attractive because of the non-requirement of controlling single subsystems. Other low control ways of creating sizeable entanglement between particles at distances larger than a few sites include exploiting the ground state of certain spin chains whose end spins are coupled weakly to the remaining ones [17]. This constitutes a rather rare case, as generically the ground states of spin chain models are known to exhibit only very short ranged entanglement [18]. Another approach of entangling distant qubits in a spin chain is based on the concept of localizable entanglement [20] where one entangles the two particular qubits by means of local measurements on the others, though the task of addressing individual sites of a bulk system can be challenging.

Returning now to the problem of entangling distant spins through a quench, consider a chain of  $N$  spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  systems with nearest-neighbor XXZ interaction

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{J}{2} (\sigma_k^x \sigma_{k+1}^x + \sigma_k^y \sigma_{k+1}^y + \Delta \sigma_k^z \sigma_{k+1}^z) \quad (1)$$

where the parameters  $J$  and  $\Delta$  denote the coupling strength and the anisotropy respectively, and  $\sigma_k^x$ ,  $\sigma_k^y$  and  $\sigma_k^z$  denote the Pauli operators acting on the spin at site  $k$ . We assume  $J > 0$  (antiferromagnetic coupling). Eq. (1), corresponds to the Ising-Model for  $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ , and the isotropic XY, or XX model for  $\Delta = 0$ . As  $[H, S_z] = 0$ , with  $S_z = \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^z$ , the total z-magnetization is a constant of motion.

We first formulate the analytic case of time-evolution of the Ising ground state, under action of the XX Hamiltonian. This corresponds to an instantaneous, i.e. idealized quench in the anisotropy parameter  $\Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$  with  $\Delta_1 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Delta_2 = 0$  thereby crossing critical value  $\Delta = 1$ , which separates the Néel-Ising-phase from the XY-phase. Later on, in a purely numerical study we will allow for  $1 < \Delta_1 < \infty$  and  $0 < \Delta_2 \leq 1$ . For  $\Delta \gg J$  the Ising groundstate gets arbitrarily close to the ideal Néel state, which is twofold degenerate in the absence of an external field. These ideal Néel states arise from the perfectly polarized state  $|\downarrow_N\rangle$  upon flipping every other spin:  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle \equiv |\downarrow_1, \uparrow_2, \downarrow_3, \dots\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{N}_2\rangle \equiv |\uparrow_1, \downarrow_2, \uparrow_3, \dots\rangle$ . Note that these two states turn into each other by a spin flip at

each place, i.e.  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle = (\prod_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^x) |\mathcal{N}_2\rangle$  and vice versa. In an experiment, the initial preparation of the Néel-Ising-groundstate, will yield, at low enough temperatures, an equal mixture of both Néel orders, and negligible admixture of higher energy eigenstates. We adopt the notion of *thermal ground state* from [18] for

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} (|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle\langle\mathcal{N}_1| + |\mathcal{N}_2\rangle\langle\mathcal{N}_2|), \quad (2)$$

which exhibits the same symmetries as the Ising Hamiltonian  $H$  ( $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ ), as opposed to each individual, degenerate ground state of the antiferromagnetic Ising-chain.

Through the standard diagonalization using a Jordan-Wigner transformation, we are provided the explicit time dependence of the local Fermi creation operators defined by  $c_k^\dagger \equiv (\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} -\sigma_l^z) \sigma_k^+$ , with  $\sigma_k^+ \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_k^x + i \sigma_k^y)$ , which reads

$$c_k^\dagger(t) = \sum_{l=1}^N f_{k,l}(-t) c_l^\dagger \quad (3)$$

$$f_{k,l}(t) \equiv \frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{m=1}^N \sin(q_m k) \sin(q_m l) e^{-i E_m t}, \quad (4)$$

with  $E_m = 2J \cos(q_m)$  and  $q_m = \frac{\pi m}{N+1}$ . The action of  $c_k^\dagger$  is equivalent to flipping the spin at site  $k$  from down to up.

We now investigate the dynamics of the long range nonclassical correlations, i.e. the entanglement between the first and the last spin of the chain, provided the system is initially prepared in the global state (2) and assuming  $\Delta = 0$  for the subsequent time evolution. The state (reduced density operator)  $\rho_{1,N}$  of spins at sites 1 and  $N$  of the chain, when represented in the standard basis  $\{|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\}$ , has only the following non-zero elements

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\uparrow\uparrow|\rho_{1,N}|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle &= \langle\downarrow\downarrow|\rho_{1,N}|\downarrow\downarrow\rangle = a & (5) \\ \langle\uparrow\downarrow|\rho_{1,N}|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle &= \langle\downarrow\uparrow|\rho_{1,N}|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle = b, \\ \langle\uparrow\downarrow|\rho_{1,N}|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle &= \langle\downarrow\uparrow|\rho_{1,N}|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = c, \end{aligned}$$

which are entirely expressible in terms of second moments of the Fermi operators (3). Departing from  $a = \langle\sigma_1^+ \sigma_1^- \sigma_N^+ \sigma_N^- \rangle$  and  $c = \langle\sigma_1^- \sigma_N^+ \rangle$ , see e.g. [19], where  $\langle \dots \rangle = \text{Tr}\{\rho(t) \dots\}$  and  $\rho(t) = e^{-iHt} \rho_0 e^{iHt}$ , we find using Wick's theorem

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \langle c_1^\dagger c_1 \rangle_1 \langle c_N^\dagger c_N \rangle_1 - \langle c_1^\dagger c_N \rangle_1 \langle c_N^\dagger c_1 \rangle_1 & (6) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} (\langle c_1^\dagger c_1 \rangle_1 + \langle c_N^\dagger c_N \rangle_1 - 1) \\ c &= \frac{1}{2} ((-1)^{M+1} \langle c_N^\dagger c_1 \rangle_1 + c.c.). \end{aligned}$$

Here,  $\langle \dots \rangle_1$  denotes the expectation value in the Schrödinger picture with respect to the initial state  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle$  and  $M$  is the conserved number of spin up states in the




FIG. 2: Upper panel: Fully entangled fraction  $f$  as a function of the number of lattice sites  $N$  in a semilogarithmic scale. The data points for the analytic quench scenario (circles) exceed 0.5 for chains up to  $N = 241$  spins. We find a good agreement of these data for  $N \geq 25$  to a function of the form  $g^{\text{fit}}(N) \propto 1.42(9) N^{-\nu}$  with  $\nu = 0.22(9)$  (dashed curve). For  $N \leq 11$  the figure is supplemented with data from numerical diagonalization for more general quench characteristics ( $\Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ ): ( $\infty \rightarrow 0.5$ ) (diamonds), ( $\infty \rightarrow 1$ ) (triangles) and ( $4 \rightarrow 1$ ) (squares). Lower panel: Scaling of the time  $T_{\text{max}}$  (circles), which is needed for  $f$  to reach its maximum value

dynamical state  $|\mathcal{N}_1(t)\rangle$ , i.e.  $M = N/2$  for even  $N$  and  $M = (N - 1)/2$  for odd  $N$ . The remaining element  $b$  is determined by the trace constraint  $2a + 2b = 1$ . The second moments occurring in (6) can be conveniently evaluated in the Heisenberg picture, where

$$\langle c_i^\dagger(-t)c_j(-t) \rangle = \sum_{k,l=1}^N f_{i,k}(t)f_{j,l}^*(t) \langle c_k^\dagger(0)c_l(0) \rangle. \quad (7)$$

Let us now examine whether a given supply of systems, all described by the same mixed state  $\rho_{1N}$  from Eq. (5), can be converted to a smaller subset of maximally entangled states  $|\psi^-\rangle$  through local actions by Alice and Bob and classical messaging between them, for subsequent use in teleportation (i.e., quantum communication). A general criterion [16] for this procedure, called entanglement purification, to be possible for mixed state  $\rho$  of two qubits is expressed in terms of the *fully entangled fraction*  $f \equiv \max(\langle e|\rho|e \rangle)$ . Here the maximum is taken with respect to all maximally entangled states  $\{|e\rangle\}$ . The criterion reads  $f > \frac{1}{2}$ , and is adapted to our particular problem as  $\max(b+c, b-c) > \frac{1}{2}$  in view of Eq. (5) under the trace constraint  $a+b = \frac{1}{2}$ . The function  $f$ , being a function of time, will feature a first maximum some time  $T_{\text{max}}$  after the instant of quench and this is always found (by a numerical search for different values of  $N$ ) to obey  $T_{\text{max}} < \frac{N}{J}$  and scale linearly with  $N$  (Fig. 2, lower panel). Interestingly, for chains of an even number

of sites with  $\rho_0$  as the initial state,  $\rho_{1,N}$  is always unentangled (separable). This is because  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle$  contributes  $|\xi\rangle = \alpha|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + i\beta|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$  to  $\rho_{1,N}$  (with real  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ) while symmetry implies  $|\mathcal{N}_2\rangle$  to contribute an equal amount of  $|\xi\rangle = \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x |\xi\rangle = \beta|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle - i\alpha|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$  but  $|\xi\rangle\langle\xi| + |\xi\rangle\langle\xi|$  is separable. Therefore, Fig. 2 presents data for odd  $N$  chains only.

We will now provide an estimate for the supply of impure pairs that is required as input in order to produce one almost pure maximally entangled state, i.e.  $f^{\text{out}} \geq 0.99$ . In the instant of time  $T_{\text{max}}$ , our mixed state is very well described by  $\rho_{1,N} \simeq f|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+| + \frac{(1-f)}{2}(|\uparrow,\uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow,\uparrow| + |\downarrow,\downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow,\downarrow|)$ , and the so called recurrence method of purification, described in detail in [16], simplifies decisively (an alternative would be error correction as used for communication through disordered chains [22]). Starting from an ensemble of impure pairs with individual  $f = 0.544$ , which is the value for  $N = 151$ , in Fig. 2, will require 5 iterations of the purification scheme on  $\sim 361$  input pairs to achieve a single pair with  $f^{\text{out}} = 0.996$ . In comparison, for a particular chain of  $N = 9$  spins, which is also a representative number for possible experimental implementations, we have initially  $f = 0.9117$  and will need  $\sim 3$  impure pairs to be purified into an almost perfect  $|\psi^-\rangle$  in only a single iteration step until our threshold is exceeded by  $f^{\text{out}} = 0.991$ . We have also studied numerically and plotted in Fig. 2 more general quenches, and, though they are seen to result in high values of  $f$  for up to  $N \sim 10$ , entanglement may not be purifiable for larger  $N$ . In order to study quench dynamics in longer chains, time-dependent DMRG [21] was attempted but found not to scale very favorably due to strong growth of bipartite entanglement with time.

For shorter chains at least, the verifiability of our results is within experimental reach. Promising realizations of  $XXZ$  spin chain models are Josephson junction arrays [23] (where one can tune  $J$  and  $\Delta$  by varying voltages [24] and for some other architectures, by the external magnetic flux [25]) and ultracold atoms in optical lattices [26]. Spin-spin interactions have recently been demonstrated in optical lattices [27]. These experiments also provide a global method of preparing the initial Néel ordered state: pairwise spin triplets  $|\psi^+\rangle$  are first prepared in the double wells of a superlattice. Then, upon applying a magnetic field gradient in the superlattice direction, atom pairs attain a  $|\uparrow,\downarrow\rangle$  state in each double well. Ramping down the long lattice instantaneously will then result in a global Néel state. Ensembles of finite ( $N \sim 3$  to 9) lattices should easily be simulable with superlattices and measurements of spin states are possible [27]. We have ignored decoherence for the moment in view of the relevant realizations (optical lattices are an ideal arena to study sudden quenches in absence of external environments [28], and small  $N$  Josephson junction arrays do not have significant decoherence over our ( $T_{\text{max}} < \frac{N}{J}$ ) time-scales [25]). Trapped ions are also known to simulate

spin chain Hamiltonians and their non-adiabatic changes [29], though, for that implementation our calculations will have to be extended beyond nearest neighbor interactions. In future, it would be interesting to study the influence of a finite quench rate on the entanglement between the end spins. A feature of our scheme was that we started from a Hamiltonian whose eigenstates are product (un-entangled) states when written in terms of a local basis *i.e.*, the spin states at given sites of a lattice. We then quenched to a Hamiltonian which has many eigenstates which are highly entangled when expressed in such a local basis (though not necessarily having bipartite entanglement between separated individual spins). Thus we also expect that similar behavior may show in other models, like, e.g. higher spin or Hubbard Hamiltonians when one quenches from a regime where the state is a product of localized states (such as in the strong on-site repulsion limit of the Hubbard model) to a regime where most eigenstates are fully delocalized (such as the superfluid regime).

*Summary:-* We have shown that the non-equilibrium dynamics of a many-body system following a quench, an area of high activity in condensed matter [11, 12, 13, 14, 15], can generate truly quantum correlations (entanglement) of “substantial” amount between individual distant spins. This is important because the entanglement between spins in complex systems, a quantity of fundamental and wide interest [30], is generically found to be very short ranged [18] except for cases with weak couplings [17]. Additionally, the entanglement between distant spins in our scheme can be potentially useful for linking solid-state based quantum registers as it is purifiable to  $|\psi^-\rangle$  with minimal resource overhead, at least for small ( $N \sim 9$ ) chains. Tests of our results, such as with finite spin chains in optical superlattices [27], seem within reach.

The studentship of HW is supported by the EPSRC, UK. SB acknowledges EPSRC grant EP/D073421/1, the QIPIRC, the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation. We thank T. Boness, P.Sodano, A. Kay and particularly M. Cramer for very helpful comments.

---

[1] C. H. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).

[2] D. Kielpinski, C. Monroe, and D. J. Wineland, Nature

- 417**, 709 (2002).
- [3] S. Bose, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 207901 (2003).
- [4] T. J. Osborne and N. Linden, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 052315 (2004).
- [5] M. Christandl, N. Datta, A. Ekert, and A. J. Landahl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 187902 (2004).
- [6] J. Fitzsimons and J. Twamley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 090502 (2006).
- [7] V. Giovannetti and D. Burgarth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 030501 (2006).
- [8] I. D’Amico, B. W. Lovett and T. P. Spiller, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 030302(R) (2007).
- [9] J. Eisert, M.B. Plenio, S. Bose and J. Hartley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 190402 (2004).
- [10] D.I. Tsomokos, M.J. Hartmann, S.F. Huelga and M.B. Plenio New Journal of Physics **9**, 79 (2007).
- [11] K. Sengupta, S. Powell, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 053616 (2004).
- [12] M. Cramer, C. M. Dawson, J. Eisert, and T. J. Osborne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030602 (2008).
- [13] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech. P04010 (2005).
- [14] G. De Chiara, S. Montangero, P. Calabrese, and R. Fazio, J. Stat. Mech. P03001 (2006).
- [15] L. Cincio, J. Dziarmaga, M. M. Rams, and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 052321 (2007).
- [16] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 3824 (1996).
- [17] L. Campos Venuti, C. Degli Esposti Boschi, and M. Roncaglia, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 247206 (2006).
- [18] A. Osterloh, L. Amico, G. Falci, and R. Fazio, Nature **416**, 608 (2002); T. J. Osborne and M. A. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 032110 (2002).
- [19] O. Syljuåsen, Phys. Rev. A **68**, 060301 (2003).
- [20] F. Verstraete, M. Popp, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 027901 (2004).
- [21] A. J. Daley, C. Kollath, U. Schollwöck and G. Vidal, J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P04005 (2004)
- [22] J. Allcock and N. Linden, arXiv:0801.4867.
- [23] R. Fazio and H. van der Zant, Phys. Rep. **355**, 235 (2001).
- [24] D. Giuliano and P. Sodano, Nucl. Phys. B **711**, 480 (2005).
- [25] A. Lyakhov and C. Bruder, New J. Phys. **7**, 181 (2005).
- [26] L.-M. Duan, E. Demler and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 090402 (2003).
- [27] S. Trotzky *et al.*, Science **319**, 295 (2008).
- [28] M. Cramer *et al.*, arXiv:0805.0798.
- [29] D. Porras and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett **92**, 207901 (2004).
- [30] A. Osterloh, L. Amico, R. Fazio and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 517 (2008).