

# Exploiting Quench Dynamics in Spin Chains for Quantum Communication

Hannu Wichterich\* and Sougato Bose†

Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, University College London,  
Gower Street, WC1E 6BT London, United Kingdom

(Dated: October 27, 2021)

We suggest a method of entangling significantly the distant ends of a one dimensional lattice of spins or qubits using minimal control. This long distance entanglement is brought about solely by exploiting the dynamics of an initial state with Néel order if the lattice features nearest-neighbor XXZ interaction. In particular, there is no need for any control of single subsystems or repeated switchings or pulsings. The method only requires an initial non-adiabatic switching between two appropriately tailored Hamiltonians followed by evolution under a permanent Hamiltonian. The scheme could potentially be implemented in various experimental setups, ranging from ultracold atoms in an optical lattice to Josephson junction arrays.

The objective of quantum communication is to transfer a quantum state  $|\phi\rangle$  from a sender (Alice) to a receiver (Bob) as accurately as possible. To accomplish this, Alice can simply encode  $|\phi\rangle$  on a carrier e.g. an atom or a photon and send it down a channel. Alternatively, Alice and Bob can use teleportation [1], for which they need to first share a pair of particles in an entangled state  $|\psi^-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle - |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle)$ . In combination with appropriate operations and classical communications,  $|\psi^-\rangle$  enables the noiseless transmission of a state  $|\phi\rangle$  from Alice to Bob. Photons are ideal for establishing this shared  $|\psi^-\rangle$  state between parties separated by long distances.

This letter is based on a manifestation of quantum communication which aims to connect distinct units, i.e. processors or registers, of a quantum computer. Here, the basic idea is to use a many-body system (with permanent interactions) incorporating the sender, the distant receiver, and the mediating channel all together. All components are then stationary, and could be made of the same units. As opposed to the case of using photons for sharing entanglement between registers, this setup does not require the interfacing of stationary qubits and photons. This could be important for the design of quantum computer hardware, which, typically will involve entanglement sharing over short distances only, i.e. several lattice sites. For the same reason, the physical movement of ions is considered as a serious alternative to photons for communication between the registers of an ion trap quantum computer [2].

Fig. 1 pictures our scenario, where Alice and Bob are situated at opposite ends of a one dimensional (1D) lattice of perpetually interacting spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  particles, or qubits. We suggest a scheme which allows the establishment of a strong entanglement between Alice's and Bob's spins (the remotest spins of the lattice) without any requirement of local control for the preparation of the initial state of the chain or for the subsequent dynamics. Moreover no repeated switchings of any fields (local or global) is required. This is close to the scenario of much work on state transfer through spin chains (e.g. Refs.[3, 4, 5, 6, 7]), but here the lattice interactions “generate” entanglement, as




FIG. 1: Schematic of our proposal of entangling distant spins or qubits. Alice and Bob are at opposite ends of the chain. First, the spin chain is initialized in the antiferromagnetic Ising groundstate. By non-adiabatical switching to an XY interaction and subsequent time evolution quantum correlations are being brought about between Alice's and Bob's spins.

opposed to just “transferring” it. State transfer can itself be modified to yield entanglement generation schemes (eg. see [8]), but without a price (e.g. engineered couplings) the amount of entanglement will be low. Even without such price, our current mechanism provides a very high amount of entanglement and is not straightforwardly related to the above state transfer processes.

In our scheme, first the lattice of strongly interacting spins is cooled to its ground state. Then, upon instantly changing a global parameter in its Hamiltonian (i.e., performing a *quench* [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]), the pair of edge spins evolve to a highly entangled mixed state. For this state, entanglement purification methods are known [16], which Alice and Bob can use to convert, only by local actions, a few (say  $n$ ) copies of the state to  $m < n$  pure  $|\psi^-\rangle$  states. These  $|\psi^-\rangle$  could then be used to teleport any state from Alice to Bob. Though the scheme of this paper has a qualitative similarity with entangling the ends of a chain of uncoupled systems by a sudden switching of interactions [9, 10], it yields a higher entanglement scaling better with the length of the chain.

Quenches have recently been an active field of study in condensed matter and are usually studied in the thermodynamical limit [11]. Recently, it was shown that lo-

cal subsystems may relax towards a maximum entropy state in certain models though global unitarity is respected [12]. In contrast, this letter investigates whether a quench can be useful for quantum communication when performed on a *finite* system in order to produce “long-range” entanglement. We should mention here that the development of entanglement between two large blocks of a many-body system after a quench has recently been investigated [13, 14, 15], which, though fundamentally important, is not readily usable for quantum communication.

The use of a quench in order to create entanglement at a distance is highly attractive because of the non-requirement of controlling single subsystems. Other low control ways of creating sizeable entanglement between particles at distances larger than a few sites include exploiting the ground state of certain spin chains whose end spins are coupled weakly to the remaining ones [17]. This constitutes a rather rare case, as generically the ground states of spin chain models are known to exhibit only very short ranged entanglement [18]. Another approach of entangling distant qubits in a spin chain is based on the concept of localizable entanglement [19] where one entangles the two particular qubits by means of local measurements on the others, though the task of addressing individual sites of a bulk system can be challenging.

Returning now to the problem of entangling qubits through quench, let us consider a chain of  $N$  spin- $\frac{1}{2}$  systems, coupled through nearest-neighbor XXZ-type interaction

$$H = \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{J}{2} (\sigma_k^x \sigma_{k+1}^x + \sigma_k^y \sigma_{k+1}^y + \Delta \sigma_k^z \sigma_{k+1}^z) \quad (1)$$

where the parameters  $J$  and  $\Delta$  denote the coupling strength and the anisotropy respectively. We further assume antiferromagnetic coupling ( $J > 0$ ) throughout this letter. The operators  $\sigma_k^x$ ,  $\sigma_k^y$  and  $\sigma_k^z$  denote the familiar Pauli operators acting on the spin at site  $k$ . The XXZ Hamiltonian, Eq. (1), turns into the Ising-Model for  $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ , and for  $\Delta = 0$  corresponds to the isotropic XY, or XX model (which allows for analytic solution by means of a mapping to free spinless fermions). As  $[H, S_z] = 0$ , with  $S_z = \sum_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^z$ , the total z-magnetization is a constant of motion. Hence, given an initial direct product state, that arises from the completely polarized state  $|\downarrow_N\rangle \equiv |\downarrow_1, \downarrow_2, \dots, \downarrow_N\rangle$  by flipping a number  $M < N$  of spins in arbitrary places of the chain to the positive z-direction (up)  $|\uparrow\rangle$ , the time evolution will be confined to a subspace of the complete Hilbert space, being the linear span of the basis states  $|\psi_l^M\rangle \equiv (\prod_{k \in S_l} \sigma_k^+) |\downarrow_N\rangle$  with  $l \in \{1, 2, \dots, \binom{N}{M}\}$ . Here  $\sigma_k^+ \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_k^x + i\sigma_k^y)$  designates the spin raising operator at site  $k$  and  $S_l \in \mathcal{S}$  denotes an ordered combination of  $M$  distinct indices drawn from  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  refers to the set of all possible such combinations. The time dependence of the states  $|\psi_l^M\rangle$

generally takes the form

$$|\psi_{i_0}^M(t)\rangle = \sum_l a_l(t) |\psi_l^M\rangle, \quad (2)$$

with complex coefficients  $a_l$  depending on time and on the initial state  $|\psi_{i_0}^M\rangle$ .

We first formulate the analytic case of time-evolution of the Ising ground state, under action of the XX Hamiltonian. This corresponds to an instantaneous, i.e. idealized, finite system quench in the anisotropy parameter  $\Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$  with  $\Delta_1 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\Delta_2 = 0$  thereby crossing critical value  $\Delta = 1$ , which separates the Néel-Ising-phase from the XY-phase. Later on, in a purely numerical study we will allow for  $1 < \Delta_1 < \infty$  and  $0 < \Delta_2 \leq 1$ . For  $\Delta \gg J$  the Ising groundstate gets arbitrarily close to the ideal Néel state, which is twofold degenerate in the absence of an external field. We stress that these ideal Néel states are particular realizations of the considered states  $|\psi_l^M\rangle$ , i.e. they arise from the perfectly polarized state  $|\downarrow_N\rangle$  upon flipping every other spin:  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle \equiv |\downarrow_1, \uparrow_2, \downarrow_3, \dots\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{N}_2\rangle \equiv |\uparrow_1, \downarrow_2, \uparrow_3, \dots\rangle$ . We further note, that these two states trivially turn into each other by a spin flip in each place, i.e.  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle = (\prod_{k=1}^N \sigma_k^x) |\mathcal{N}_2\rangle$  and vice versa. In an experiment, the initial preparation of the Néel-Ising-groundstate, will yield, at low enough temperatures, an equal mixture of both Néel orders, and negligible admixture of higher energy eigenstates. We adopt the notion of *thermal ground state* from [18] for

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} (|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle \langle \mathcal{N}_1| + |\mathcal{N}_2\rangle \langle \mathcal{N}_2|), \quad (3)$$

which exhibits the same symmetries as the Ising Hamiltonian  $H$  ( $\Delta \rightarrow \infty$ ), as opposed to each individual, degenerate ground state of the antiferromagnetic Ising-chain.

Let us first turn to the solution of the time evolution of states  $|\psi_l^M\rangle$ , assuming  $\Delta = 0$  (later we focus on the special case of  $\rho_0$  being the initial state). Through the standard diagonalization using a Jordan-Wigner transformation, we are provided the explicit time dependence of the local Fermi creation operators defined by  $c_k^\dagger \equiv (\prod_{l=1}^{k-1} -\sigma_l^z) \sigma_k^+$ , which reads

$$c_k^\dagger(t) = \sum_{l=1}^N f_{k,l}(-t) c_l^\dagger \quad (4)$$

$$f_{k,l}(t) \equiv \frac{2}{N+1} \sum_{m=1}^N \sin(q_m k) \sin(q_m l) e^{-i E_m t}, \quad (5)$$

with  $E_m = 2J \cos(q_m)$  and  $q_m = \frac{\pi m}{N+1}$ . The action of  $c_k^\dagger$  is equivalent to flipping the spin at site  $k$  from down to up, and the operators  $c_k^\dagger$  anticommute i.e.,  $\{c_k^\dagger, c_l^\dagger\} = 0$ . Thus  $|\psi_l^M\rangle = (\prod_{k \in S_l} c_k^\dagger) |0\rangle$  in terms of the above fermionic operators where vacuum  $|0\rangle$  stands for  $|\downarrow_N\rangle$ . The time evolution of  $|\psi_l^M(t)\rangle$  is thereby given by,

$$|\psi_l^M(t)\rangle = e^{-i H t} |\psi_l^M\rangle = \left( \prod_{k \in S_l} c_k^\dagger(-t) \right) |0\rangle, \quad (6)$$

where  $c_k^\dagger(-t) \equiv e^{-iHt} c_k^\dagger e^{iHt}$ . In the steps that lead to Eq. (6) we made use of the unitarity of  $e^{-iHt}$  and  $e^{-iHt}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ . Evaluation of Eq. (6), by inserting Eq. (4) will lead to a sum over terms which, in general, contain an unordered product of  $M$  fermionic creation operators (string) acting on  $|0\rangle$ . As those strings which contain two or more equal operators vanish, the number of terms in the sum is  $\frac{N!}{(N-M)!}$ . Each string can be rearranged to ascending order of the indices from left to right by a sequence of commutations. For an odd number of commutations the respective term in the sum will change its sign as the operators  $c_k^\dagger$  anticommute. One may then combine terms with equal strings, which reduces their number to  $\binom{N}{M}$ , and  $|\psi_i^M(t)\rangle$  takes the form of Eq. (2).

Performing the above procedure successively for  $M = 2, 3, \dots$  provides the means to construct the states  $|\psi_i^M(t)\rangle$  for a general  $M < N$ , revealing the simple form

$$|\psi_i^M(t)\rangle = \sum_{k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_M} \det(A) \prod_{m=1}^M c_{k_m}^\dagger |0\rangle, \quad (7)$$

which is similar to the expressions obtained in [20] for translational invariant systems, i.e. rings or infinite chains. In Eq. (7)  $A$  is the  $M \times M$  matrix, that arises by picking the rows carrying the indices given in  $S_i$ , and the columns carrying the indices  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_M$  from the  $N \times N$  matrix  $F \equiv (f_{i,j}(t))$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

We shall now investigate the dynamics of the long range nonclassical correlations, i.e. the entanglement between the first and the last spin of the chain. The state (reduced density operator)  $\rho_{i,j}$  of spins at sites  $i$  and  $j$  of the chain, when represented in the standard basis  $\{|\uparrow\uparrow\rangle, |\uparrow\downarrow\rangle, |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle, |\downarrow\downarrow\rangle\}$ , is

$$\rho_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle P_i^\uparrow P_j^\uparrow \rangle & \langle P_i^\uparrow \sigma_j^- \rangle & \langle \sigma_i^- P_j^\uparrow \rangle & \langle \sigma_i^- \sigma_j^- \rangle \\ \langle P_i^\uparrow \sigma_j^+ \rangle & \langle P_i^\uparrow P_j^\downarrow \rangle & \langle \sigma_i^- \sigma_j^+ \rangle & \langle \sigma_i^- P_j^\downarrow \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_i^+ P_j^\uparrow \rangle & \langle \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^- \rangle & \langle P_i^\downarrow P_j^\uparrow \rangle & \langle P_i^\downarrow \sigma_j^- \rangle \\ \langle \sigma_i^+ \sigma_j^+ \rangle & \langle \sigma_i^+ P_j^\downarrow \rangle & \langle P_i^\downarrow \sigma_j^+ \rangle & \langle P_i^\downarrow P_j^\downarrow \rangle \end{pmatrix}, \quad (8)$$

in terms of two point correlation functions of  $P_i^\uparrow = \sigma_i^+ \sigma_i^-$ ,  $P_i^\downarrow = \sigma_i^- \sigma_i^+$  and  $\sigma^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^x \pm i\sigma^y)$ . For  $i = 1$ ,  $j = N$  and the general class of states  $|\psi_i^M(t)\rangle$  we obtain  $\rho_{1,N} = [v, 0, 0, 0; 0, w, z, 0; 0, z^*, x, 0; 0, 0, 0, y]$  in an ob-

vious matrix notation, where

$$v \equiv \sum_{(k_1=1) < k_2 < \dots < (k_M=N)} \det(A)^* \det(A) \quad (9)$$

$$w \equiv \sum_{(k_1=1) < k_2 < \dots < (k_M < N)} \det(A)^* \det(A) \quad (10)$$

$$x \equiv \sum_{(k_1 > 1) < k_2 < \dots < (k_M=N)} \det(A)^* \det(A) \quad (11)$$

$$y \equiv \sum_{(k_1 > 1) < k_2 < \dots < (k_M < N)} \det(A)^* \det(A) \quad (12)$$

$$z \equiv \sum_{(k_1 > 1) < k_2 < \dots < (k_M=N)} \det(A')^* \det(A). \quad (13)$$

In Eq. (13)  $A'$  denotes the matrix, that arises from  $A$  when the column indices  $k_i$  are shifted according to the rule  $k_i \rightarrow k_{i-1}$ , and further  $k_0$  is fixed to 1.

In the special case, that the chain is initially prepared in the Ising thermal groundstate  $\rho_0$ , Eq. (3), the reduced density operator  $\rho_{1,N}$  simplifies to

$$\rho_{1,N} = a(|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow, \uparrow| + |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow, \downarrow|) + (b+c)|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+| + (b-c)|\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-| \quad (14)$$

where  $a = \frac{1}{2}(v+y)$ ,  $b = \frac{1}{2}(w+x)$ ,  $c = \frac{1}{2}(z+z^*)$  and  $|\psi^\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|\downarrow, \uparrow\rangle \pm |\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle)$ . In Eq. (14) all occurring quantities are computed using Eqs. (9)-(13), with respect to either  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle$  or  $|\mathcal{N}_2\rangle$ .

Let us now examine whether a given supply of systems, all described by the same mixed state  $\rho_{1,N}$  from Eq. 14, can be converted to a smaller subset of maximally entangled pure states, e.g.  $|\psi^-\rangle$  through local actions by Alice and Bob and classical messaging between them, for subsequent use in teleportation (i.e., quantum communication). A general criterion [16] for this procedure, called entanglement purification, to be possible for mixed state  $\rho$  of two qubits is expressed in terms of the *fully entangled fraction*  $f \equiv \max(\langle e|\rho|e\rangle)$ . Here the maximum is taken with respect to all maximally entangled states  $\{|e\rangle\}$ . The criterion reads  $f > \frac{1}{2}$ , and is adapted to our particular problem as  $\max(b+c, b-c) > \frac{1}{2}$  in view of Eq. (14) under the trace constraint  $a+b = \frac{1}{2}$ . The function  $f$ , being a function of time, is found to feature a maximum after a time  $T_{\max}$ , which is governed by numerical search for different values of  $N$ .  $T_{\max}$  is always of the order of several units ( $J^{-1}$ ) of time ( $T_{\max} < \frac{N}{J}$ ), and increases only slightly with  $N$ , i.e. roughly 0.25 units per added site. Interestingly, for chains of an even number of sites with  $\rho_0$  as the initial state,  $\rho_{1,N}$  is always unentangled (separable). The reason for this is that  $|\mathcal{N}_1\rangle$  contributes  $|\xi\rangle = \alpha|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle + i\beta|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ , where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are real, to  $\rho_{1,N}$ , while symmetry implies  $|\mathcal{N}_2\rangle$  to contribute an equal amount of  $|\tilde{\xi}\rangle = \sigma_x \otimes \sigma_x |\xi\rangle = \beta|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - i\alpha|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle$  but  $|\xi\rangle\langle\xi| + |\tilde{\xi}\rangle\langle\tilde{\xi}|$  is separable. Therefore, Fig. 2 presents data for chains of odd number of sites only. The extrapolation of the data in Fig. 2 by means of a regression




FIG. 2: Fully entangled fraction  $f$  as a function of the number of lattice sites  $N$ . Data points for chains up to  $N = 25$  (circles) are extrapolated by a function of the form  $g^{\text{fit}}(N) = \sum_{k=0}^5 b_k N^{-k}$  (solid curve).  $b_0 = 0.544 \pm 0.005$  indicates the possibility of entanglement purification for fairly long chains. For  $N \leq 11$  the figure is supplemented with data from numerical diagonalization for more general quench characteristics ( $\Delta_1 \rightarrow \Delta_2$ ): ( $\infty \rightarrow 0.5$ ) (diamonds), ( $\infty \rightarrow 1$ ) (triangles) and ( $4 \rightarrow 1$ ) (squares).

analysis indicates that the criterion  $f > \frac{1}{2}$  is expected to hold for fairly large  $N$ .

We will now provide an estimate for the supply of impure pairs that is required as input in order to produce one almost pure maximally entangled state, i.e.  $f^{\text{out}} \geq 0.99$ . In the instant of time  $T_{\text{max}}$ , our mixed state is very well described by  $\rho_{1,N} \simeq f|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+| + \frac{(1-f)}{2}(|\uparrow, \uparrow\rangle\langle\uparrow, \uparrow| + |\downarrow, \downarrow\rangle\langle\downarrow, \downarrow|)$ , and the so called recurrence method of purification, described in detail in [16], simplifies decisively (an alternative would be error correction as used for communication through disordered chains [23]). Starting from an ensemble of impure pairs with individual  $f = 0.544$ , which is the expected value from extrapolation  $N \gg 1$  (surely for  $N$  much larger than 50), in Fig. 2, will require 5 iterations of the purification scheme on  $\sim 361$  input pairs to achieve a single pair with  $f^{\text{out}} = 0.996$ . In comparison, for a particular chain of  $N = 9$  spins, which is also a representative number for possible experimental implementations, we have initially  $f = 0.9117$  and will need  $\sim 3$  impure pairs to be purified into an almost perfect  $|\psi^-\rangle$  in only a single iteration step until our threshold is exceeded by  $f^{\text{out}} = 0.991$ . We have also studied numerically and plotted in Fig.2 more general quenches, and, though they are seen to have high values for up to  $N \sim 10$ , it may not saturate to  $f > 0.5$  for large  $N$ . In order to study quench dynamics in longer chains, time-dependent DMRG [21, 22] was attempted but found not to scale very favorably due to strong growth of bipartite entanglement during temporal evolution.

An important point to note here is that when acting on a Néel state, say  $|\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\rangle$ , for  $N = 5$ , the Hamiltonian

needs to induce at most one step of nearest neighbor exchanges for the state to become  $|\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\uparrow\rangle$ , which already yields a component of  $|\psi^+\rangle$  in  $\rho_{1,N}$  (the action of the Hamiltonian will create other terms as well, but the above will also be there). Thus state transfer (multiple exchanges down a chain) is not necessary for the current entanglement generation scheme: indeed it will thus occur at a faster time-scale and be less susceptible to dispersion effects in comparison to schemes derived from state transfer.

For shorter chains our results are more than satisfactory from a viewpoint of current experimental abilities to manufacture such chains using various setups. Promising experimental realizations of the models considered are Josephson junction arrays [24]. Here, more particularly, capacitively coupled persistent current qubits, which consist of three Josephson junctions connected in a superconducting loop, seem particularly well suited to design the relevant couplings by tuning voltages and the external magnetic flux [25]. We also mention recent developments in manufacturing the desired interactions in the field of ultracold atoms in optical lattices [26]. With respect to the initial preparation of a Néel ordered Ising ground state, another option apart from adjusting the anisotropy and cooling to the thermal ground state comes to mind: In [27] cold atoms have been prepared to pairwise spin triplets  $|\psi^+\rangle$  in the double wells of a superlattice. Then, upon applying a magnetic field gradient in the direction of the superlattice the atom pairs attain the very stable state  $|\uparrow, \downarrow\rangle$  in each double well. Ramping down the long lattice instantaneously would then result in a global Néel state as required for our scheme. Ensembles of finite lattices can easily be simulated in superlattices and measurements of relevant spin states can also be made [27]. In our investigations, we have ignored decoherence for the moment in view of the fact that optical lattices provide an arena to study sudden quenches in absence of external environments [28], and the fact that in small  $N$  flux qubit arrays decoherence does not have significant effect over  $N/J$  time-scales [25]. It would be interesting to study the influence of a finite quench rate on the amount of entanglement that can be created between the end spins. Further investigation in this direction is under way.

*Summary:-* We have presented an application of the non-equilibrium dynamics of interacting many-body systems following a quench, currently an area of high activity in condensed matter, for generating substantial entanglement between the end spins of a spin chain. This entanglement scales very well with distance, is verifiable in Josephson junction and optical lattice experiments, and is purifiable to be potentially useful for linking solid-state based quantum registers in the future.

The studentship of HW is supported by the UK Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC). SB acknowledges an EPSRC Advanced Research

fellowship and grant EP/D073421/1, the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation. We further thank T. Boness and A. Kay for very helpful comments.

---

\* Electronic address: hannu@theory.phys.ucl.ac.uk

† Electronic address: sougato@theory.phys.ucl.ac.uk

- [1] C. H. Bennett *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 1895 (1993).
- [2] D. Kielpinski, C. Monroe, and D. J. Wineland, Nature **417**, 709 (2002).
- [3] S. Bose, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 207901 (2003).
- [4] T. J. Osborne and N. Linden, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 052315 (2004).
- [5] M. Christandl, N. Datta, A. Ekert, and A. J. Landahl, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 187902 (2004).
- [6] J. Fitzsimons and J. Twamley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 090502 (2006).
- [7] V. Giovannetti and D. Burgarth, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 030501 (2006).
- [8] I. D'Amico, B. W. Lovett and T. P. Spiller, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 030302 (2007).
- [9] J. Eisert, M.B. Plenio, S. Bose and J. Hartley, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 190402 (2004).
- [10] D.I. Tsomokos, M.J. Hartmann, S.F. Huelga and M.B. Plenio New Journal of Physics **9**, 79 (2007).
- [11] K. Sengupta, S. Powell, and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 053616 (2004).
- [12] M. Cramer, C. M. Dawson, J. Eisert, and T. J. Osborne, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 030602 (2008).
- [13] P. Calabrese and J. Cardy, J. Stat. Mech. P04010 (2005).
- [14] G. De Chiara, S. Montangero, P. Calabrese, and R. Fazio, J. Stat. Mech. P03001 (2006).
- [15] L. Cincio, J. Dziarmaga, M. M. Rams, and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. A **75**, 052321 (2007).
- [16] C. H. Bennett, D. P. DiVincenzo, J. A. Smolin, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A **54**, 3824 (1996).
- [17] L. C. Venuti, C. D. E. Boschi, and M. Roncaglia, Physical Review Letters **96**, 247206 (2006).
- [18] T. J. Osborne and M. A. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. A **66**, 032110 (2002).
- [19] F. Verstraete, M. Popp, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 027901 (2004).
- [20] U. Brandt and K. Jacoby, Z. Phys. B **25**, 181 (1976).
- [21] A. J. Daley, C. Kollath, U. Schollwöck and G. Vidal, J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Exp. P04005 (2004)
- [22] S. White and A. Feguin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 076401 (2004)
- [23] J. Allcock and N. Linden, arXiv:0801.4867.
- [24] R. Fazio and H. van der Zant, Phys. Rep. **355**, 235 (2001).
- [25] A. Lyakhov and C. Bruder, New J. Phys. **7**, 181 (2005).
- [26] L.-M. Duan, , M. D. Lukin and E. Demler, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 090402 (2003).
- [27] S. Trotzky *et al.*, Science **319**, 295 (2008).
- [28] M. Cramer *et al.*, arXiv:0805.0798.