Evolution equation of entanglement for general bipartite systems

Zong-Guo Li,¹ Shao-Ming Fei,^{2, 3} Z. D. Wang,⁴ and W. M. Liu¹

 1 Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics,

Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, China

 2 Department of Mathematics, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100037, China

 ${}^{\bar{s}}$ Institut für Angewandte Mathematik, Universität Bonn, 53115, Germany

⁴Department of Physics and Center of Theoretical and Computational Physics,

University of Hong Kong, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong, China

We explore how entanglement of a general bipartite system evolves when one subsystem undergoes the action of an arbitrary noisy channel. It is found that the dynamics of entanglement for general bipartite systems under the influence of such channel is determined by the channel's action on the maximally entangled state, which includes as a special case the results for two-qubit systems [Nature Physics 4, 99 (2008)]. In particular, for multi-qubit or qubit-qudit systems, we get a general factorization law for evolution equation of entanglement with one qubit being subject to a noisy channel. Our results can help the experimental characterization of entanglement dynamics.

Introduction—In quantum information theory, entanglement is a vital resource for some practical applications such as quantum cryptography, quantum teleportation and quantum computation [\[1,](#page-3-0) [2\]](#page-3-1). To fulfill such tasks by constructing suitable quantum devices, we inevitably encounter some interactions of the multiparticle quantum states under consideration with its environment. These undesired couplings give rise to decoherence, which degrades the entanglement when the particles propagate or the computation evolves. Therefore, it is of great practical importance to investigate the dynamics of entanglement for the quantum systems under the influence of decoherence.

Recently much effort has been devoted to understanding the dynamics of entanglement [\[3,](#page-3-2) [4,](#page-3-3) [5,](#page-3-4) [6](#page-3-5), [7](#page-3-6), [8](#page-3-7)]. In stead of deducing the evolution of entanglement from the time evolution of the state, Thomas Konrad *et al.* [\[3](#page-3-2)] provided a direct relationship between the initial and final entanglement of an arbitrary bipartite state of two qubits with one qubit subject to incoherent dynamics, where qubit represents the state of 2-dimensional quantum system. It is also discussed in [\[4](#page-3-3)] for two-qudit systems with either system undergoing an arbitrary physical process, where qudit denotes the state of D-dimensional quantum system. On the condition that the pure initial state has d non-zero Schmidt coefficients, an evolution equality is satisfied during an initially finite time interval.

In fact, for practical applications in quantum information processing, multipartite entanglement are often concerned, e.g. cluster states used as a resource for one-way quantum computing [\[9\]](#page-3-8), multi-photon entangled states [\[10\]](#page-3-9) etc. In this Letter, we investigate the evolution of entanglement for multipartite pure states with one part of the system undergoing the action of an arbitrary noisy channel, which represents the influence of an environment, of measurements or of both. Basically this is equivalent to studying the evolution of entanglement for bipartite systems with one subsystem subject to some arbitrary noisy channels. Moreover, bipartite

systems with higher-dimension can improve the performance of various quantum information and computation tasks, such as quantum cryptography [\[11](#page-3-10)]. Thus it is necessary to investigate the dynamics of entanglement for an $N_1 \times N_2$ bipartite system under the influence of decoherence. In the following, we find that the dynamics of entanglement for general bipartite systems under the influence of a noisy channel is determined by the channel's action on the maximally entangled state, instead of exploring the time-dependent action of the channel on all initial states. Therefore the robustness of entanglementbased quantum information processing protocols is easily and fully characterized by a single quantity. As applications we discuss two examples in detail: the entanglement evolution for a generalized three-qubit W state [\[12\]](#page-3-11) with one qubit undergoing the action of phase noise channel and generalized amplitude damping channel respectively, and the one for the ground state in a nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) system with one subsystem subject to a decay channel.

Evolution equation of entanglement—We first define the entanglement measure for bipartite systems. For a pure state $|\chi\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} A_{ij} |ij\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{N_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{N_2}$ in the computational basis $|i\rangle$ and $|j\rangle$ of Hilbert space \mathbb{C}^{N_1} and \mathbb{C}^{N_2} respectively, we define the concurrence matrix C with entries $C_{\alpha\beta} = \langle \chi | (L_{\alpha} \otimes L_{\beta}) | \chi^* \rangle$, where $| \chi^* \rangle$ is the complex conjugate of $|\chi\rangle$, L_{α} , $\alpha = 1, \cdots, N_1(N_1 - 1)/2$, and L_{β} , $\beta = 1, \cdots, N_2(N_2 - 1)/2$, are the generators of $SO(N_1)$ and $SO(N_2)$ groups respectively. The Frobenius norm of C is just the I-concurrence [\[13](#page-3-12)],

$$
C[|\chi\rangle] = ||\mathbf{C}[|\chi\rangle]||_F = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_1(N_1-1)/2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_2(N_2-1)/2} |C_{\alpha\beta}|^2}, (1)
$$

which reduces to concurrence when restricting to $2 \otimes 2$ systems [\[14\]](#page-3-13). These quantities can be measured for pure states [\[15\]](#page-3-14). As we konw, the I-concurrence is equal to the length of the concurrence vector [\[16,](#page-3-15) [17\]](#page-3-16).

The $N_1(N_1-1)/2$ generators L_α of $SO(N_1)$ have the fol-

lowing form, $L_{\alpha_{(kl)}} = (-1)^{k+l+1} |k\rangle\langle l| + (-1)^{k+l} |l\rangle\langle k|, \ k <$ l, where $\alpha_{(kl)} = (j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{N_1-2})$ with $1 \leq j_1$ j_2 < \cdots < j_{N_1-2} \leq N_1 , k < l and $k, l \neq$ ${j_1, j_2, \cdots, j_{N_1-2}}$. Therefore, one has $C_{\alpha_{kl}\beta_{k'l'}} =$ $2(-1)^{k+l+k'+l'}(A_{kk'}A_{ll'} - A_{kl'}A_{lk'})^*$ and $C[|\chi\rangle] =$ $2\sqrt{\sum_{i, which is the just the$ generalized concurrence in [\[18\]](#page-3-17) up to a constant factor. $C[|\chi\rangle]$ is zero when $|\chi\rangle$ is separable, i.e., $A_{ij} = b_i c_j$ for some complex numbers b_i, c_j . On the other hand, C takes its maximum value $\sqrt{2(N-1)/N}$ with $N = \min(N_1, N_2)$, when $|\chi\rangle$ is a maximally entangled state.

For a bipartite mixed state $\rho = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|, p_i \geq 0$, $\sum_i p_i = 1$, the concurrence is defined by the convex-roof:

$$
C[\rho] = \min \sum_{i} p_i C[\vert \psi_i \rangle], \tag{2}
$$

where $C[|\psi_i\rangle]$ is the norm of concurrence matrix $\mathbf{C}[|\psi_i\rangle]$ and the minimum is obtained over all possible pure state decompositions $|\psi_i\rangle$ of ρ .

Let $|\chi\rangle$ be the bipartite pure initial state, and let the second subsystem undergo the action of a noisy channel. We will denote the noisy channel by \$ thereafter. Then the final state of the system takes the form $\rho' = (I \otimes \$)|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|$. To investigate the properties of the entanglement of the final state ρ' , it is convenient to reexpress the initial state as: $|\chi\rangle = (M_{\chi} \otimes I)|\phi\rangle$, where $M_{\chi} = \sqrt{N_2} \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} A_{ij} |i\rangle\langle j|$ is the filtering operation [\[19\]](#page-3-18) acting on the first subsystem of maximally entangled pure state, $|\phi\rangle = \sum_{n=1}^{N_2} |n\rangle \otimes |n\rangle / \sqrt{N_2}$, and I is the $N_2 \times N_2$ identity matrix. Due to the fact that M_{χ} and \$ act on the first and second subsystem of the state $|\phi\rangle$ respectively, the evolution of $|\chi\rangle$ takes the form: $\rho' = (M_\chi \otimes I)\rho_\$ (M^\dagger_\chi \otimes I),$ where $\rho_\$ = (I \otimes \$)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|$.

In terms of concurrence matrix, we have the following theorem: if $\rho_{\$}$ is a pure state, the concurrence $C[\rho']$ for the state ρ' is given by

$$
C[\rho'] = \sqrt{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N_1(N_1 - 1)/2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{N_2(N_2 - 1)/2} |C'_{\alpha\beta}|^2},
$$
 (3)

where $C'_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{N_2}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N_2(N_2-1)/2} C_{\alpha\gamma} [|\chi\rangle] C_{\gamma\beta} [\rho_{\$}]$. $C_{\alpha\gamma} [|\chi\rangle]$ and $C_{\gamma\beta}[\rho_{\$}]$ are the entries of the concurrence matrices $\mathbf{C}(|\chi\rangle)$ and $\mathbf{C}(\rho_{\$})$ respectively.

Let us now prove this theorem. Suppose the pure state $\rho_{\$}$ has the following generic form, $\rho_{\$} = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|,$ $|\psi\rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N_2} a_{ij} |ij\rangle$. The final state has the form ρ' = $|\psi'\rangle\langle\psi'|$, where $|\psi'\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{N_1} \sum_{j=1}^{N_2} B_{ij}|ij\rangle$, and B_{ij} $\sqrt{N_2} \sum_{l=1}^{N_2} A_{il} a_{lj}$. Some straightforward algebra yields the equation

$$
C'_{\alpha_{kl}\beta_{k'l'}} = 2(-1)^{k+l+k'+l'} (B_{kk'}B_{ll'} - B_{kl'}B_{lk'})^*
$$

=
$$
\frac{N_2}{2} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N_2(N_2-1)/2} \left(C_{\alpha_{kl}\gamma} [|\chi\rangle] C_{\gamma\beta_{k'l'}} [\rho_{\$}] \right). (4)
$$

Hence the concurrence takes the form [\(3\)](#page-1-0).

Remark: With respect to the relations $C[\rho'$ \equiv $C[\chi]C[\rho_{\$}]$ in [\[3](#page-3-2)] for two-qubit systems $(N_1 = N_2 = 2)$, here we have a similar relation for the corresponding concurrence matrices, $\mathbf{C}[\rho'] = \frac{N_2}{2} \mathbf{C}[\ket{\chi}] \mathbf{C}[\rho_{\$}].$

For a general channel \$, the state $\rho_{\$}$ is usually a mixed one. Assume $\rho_{\rm s}$ has an optimal pure state decomposition $\rho_{\$} = \sum_{i} p_i |\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|$ such that $C[\rho_{\$}] = \sum_{i} p_i C[|\phi_i\rangle].$ By convexity we have $C[(I \otimes \$\mathbf{k})|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|]$ = $C\big[\sum_i p_i(M_\chi\otimes I)|\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|(M^\dagger_\chi\otimes I)\big]\ \le\ \sum_i p_i C\big[(M_\chi\otimes$ $I(|\phi_i\rangle\langle\phi_i|(M^\dagger_X \otimes I)].$ According to the Cauchy inequality, we have $\left| \sum_{\gamma=1}^{N_2(N_2-1)/2} C_{\alpha\gamma} [|\chi\rangle] C_{\gamma\beta} [\rho_{\beta}] \right|$ 2 ≤ $\sum_{\gamma=1}^{N_2(N_2-1)/2} |C_{\alpha\gamma}||\chi\rangle| \Big|^2 \sum_{\gamma'=1}^{N_2(N_2-1)/2} |C_{\gamma'\beta}[\rho_\$]|$ ². In terms of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0) we get

$$
C(\rho') \leq \sum_{i} p_i C \big[(M_\chi \otimes I) | \phi_i \rangle \langle \phi_i | (M_\chi^\dagger \otimes I) \big]
$$

$$
\leq \frac{N_2}{2} C[|\chi \rangle] \sum_{i} p_i C[|\phi_i \rangle] = \frac{N_2}{2} C[|\chi \rangle] C[\rho_{\$}]. (5)
$$

This inequality can be generalized to the case that the initial state ρ_0 is a mixed one,

$$
C\left[(I\otimes \text{$\$\rho_0\right] \leq \frac{N_2}{2}C(\rho_0)C[\rho_\text{$\$}].\tag{6}
$$

If we consider bipartite states in $N_1 \otimes 2$ system, the result [\(3\)](#page-1-0) can be generalized for arbitrary noisy channels \$. So we get the following corollary: if the pure initial state is a bipartite $N_1 \otimes 2$ one, while the second subsystem is subject to an arbitrary noisy channel \$, we have the following evolution equation of concurrence,

$$
C[\rho'] = C[|\chi\rangle]C[\rho_{\$}].\tag{7}
$$

This corollary is proved as follows. Without loss of generality, we suppose that ρ_s is a mixed state. By using the procedure of the optimal pure state decomposition adopted in Ref. [\[14](#page-3-13)], there must exist an optimal pure state decomposition for a $2 \otimes 2$ mixed state

$$
\rho_{\$} = \sum_{i} p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|,\tag{8}
$$

such that $C[\rho_{\$}] = C[\psi_i], \forall i$, are satisfied. Suppose the pure decomposition Eq. [\(8\)](#page-1-1) is not optimal for concurrence of ρ' in terms of Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-2). Then there must exist another decomposition other than Eq. (8) , $\rho_{\$} =$ $\sum_i q_i |\psi'_i\rangle \langle \psi'_i|$, which is an optimal pure state decomposition of $\rho' = (M_\chi \otimes I)\rho_\$ (M^\dagger_\chi \otimes I)$. In terms of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0), we have

$$
C[\rho'] = \sum_{i} q_i C[(M_\chi \otimes I)|\psi_i'\rangle] \ge C[|\chi\rangle]C[\rho_\$]. \tag{9}
$$

However, in terms of the optimal pure state decomposition [\(8\)](#page-1-1) and convexity, we have

$$
C[\rho'] < \sum_{i} p_i C\big[(M_\chi \otimes I)|\psi_i \rangle \big] = C[|\chi\rangle] C[\rho_\$]. \tag{10}
$$

It contradicts with Eq. [\(9\)](#page-1-3). Therefore, the optimal pure state decomposition [\(8\)](#page-1-1) is also optimal for concurrence [\(2\)](#page-1-2) of ρ' . Therefore, we get Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-4).

The result [\(7\)](#page-1-4) can also be generalized to the case that the initial state ρ_0 is mixed. Let $\rho_0 = \sum_i p_i |\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|$ be an optimal pure state decomposition, in the sense that the average Frobenius norm of the concurrence matrix over this pure state decomposition is minimal. According to convexity, we have $C[(I\otimes \S)\rho_0] = C[\sum_i p_i(I\otimes \S)|\psi_i\rangle \langle \psi_i|] \leq$ $\sum_i p_i C[(I \otimes \$)|\psi_i\rangle\langle\psi_i|]$. Using Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-4), we have

$$
C[(I \otimes \$)\rho_0] \le C[\rho_0]C[\rho_\$].\tag{11}
$$

When $N_1 = 2$, the result [\(7\)](#page-1-4) reduces to the main result of Thomas Konrad *et al.* [\[3](#page-3-2)]. The results (3) , $(5)-(7)$ $(5)-(7)$, and [\(11\)](#page-2-0)) show that the dynamics of entanglement for bipartite systems under a one-sided noisy channel is determined by the channel's action on the maximally entangled state.

Let us study in which case the evolution equation of entanglement holds for 2 ⊗ 2 pure initial state under the influence of local two-sided channel $\$_1 \otimes \$_2$. The channels \$ can usually be expressed as Kraus operators [\[20\]](#page-3-19). First consider the phase noise channel \mathcal{S}_1 satisfying Tr($\sigma_x\mathfrak{s}_1$) = Tr($\sigma_y\mathfrak{s}_1$) = 0, we have $C[(\mathfrak{s}_1 \otimes \mathfrak{s}_2)_X]$ = $C[(\$_1\otimes\$_2)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi||C(|\chi\rangle)]$ for any initial state $|\chi\rangle = a|00\rangle +$ $b|11\rangle$ in Schmidt expression. If $\$_1$ satisfies the condition $\text{Tr}(\sigma_z\$_1) = \text{Tr}(\$_1) = 0$, for $|\chi\rangle = a|00\rangle + b|11\rangle$, we have $C[(\$_1\otimes\$_2)\chi]=C[(\$_1\otimes\$_2)|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|]C(|\chi\rangle);$ for $|\chi\rangle=a|01\rangle+$ $b|10\rangle$, we have $C[(\$_1 \otimes \$_2)\chi] = C[(I \otimes \$_2\$_1)]\phi\rangle \langle \phi|] C(|\chi\rangle).$ For the case that the initial state is mixed, let us con-

sider [\[21](#page-3-20)] $\rho_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a}{0} & \frac{0}{d} & \frac{0}{c} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{d}{c} & \frac{c}{c} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. For phase noise channel $\$_{p}$, we still have $C[(I \otimes \S_p)\rho_0] = C[\rho_0]C[\rho_{\S_p}].$

Application to two realistic systems—Let us consider a three-qubit system with the third qubit exposed to a phase noise channel $\$_{p}$. The phase noise channel $\$_{p}$ can be expressed as Kraus operators: $K_1 =$ $\begin{pmatrix} \nu & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where the time-dependent Kraus matrix elements are $\nu = \exp[-\Gamma t]$ and $\omega = \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$. We study how the residual entanglement [\[22,](#page-3-21) [23\]](#page-3-22) evolves. For the initial state $|\chi\rangle_{ABC} = \alpha|001\rangle + \beta|010\rangle + \gamma|100\rangle$, generalized W state, it follows that $\text{Tr}_A \big[(I \otimes I \otimes \$_p) | \chi \rangle_{ABC} \langle \chi | \big] =$ $(I \otimes \$_{p})$ Tr_A $[[\chi \rangle_{ABC} \langle \chi]] = (I \otimes \$_{p}) \rho_{BC}$, since the partial trace is a local operation. Therefore, we obtain $\rho_{BC} =$ $\text{Tr}_A|\chi\rangle_{ABC}\langle\chi| = |\gamma|^2|00\rangle\langle00| + (\alpha|01\rangle + \beta|10\rangle)(\langle01|\alpha^* +$ $\langle 10|\beta^* \rangle$ and $\rho_{AC} = \text{Tr}_B|\chi\rangle_{ABC} \langle \chi| = |\beta|^2 |00\rangle \langle 00| + (\alpha |01\rangle + \gamma^2)$ $\gamma|10\rangle)(\langle01|\alpha^* + \langle10|\gamma^*\rangle)$. Due to the fact that ρ_{AB} and ρ_{AC} are special cases of ρ_0 in [\[21](#page-3-20)], we immediately get $C[(I \otimes \$_{p})\rho_{BC}] = C[\rho_{BC}]C[\rho_{\$_{p}}] = 2|\alpha\beta| \exp[-\Gamma t]$ and $C[(I \otimes \$_{p}] \rho_{AC}] = C[\rho_{AC}]C[\rho_{\$_{p}}] = 2|\alpha\gamma| \exp[-\Gamma t].$ If we regard AB subsystem as a whole 4 dimensional system, we can calculate $C[(I \otimes I \otimes \mathbb{S}_p)\rho_{AB:C}]$ by means of Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-4), $C[(I \otimes I \otimes \S_p)\rho_{AB:C}] = C[\rho_{AB:C}]C[\rho_{\S_p}] =$ $2|\alpha|\sqrt{|\gamma|^2+|\beta|^2}\exp[-\Gamma t]$. Therefore the residual entanglement $\tau_{C(AB)} = C[\rho'_{AB:C}]^2 - C[\rho'_{BC}]^2 - C[\rho'_{AC}]^2 = 0.$

This indicates that the residual entanglement $\tau_{C(AB)}$ of this initial state keeps invariant when the third subsystem is exposed to a dephasing channel $\$_{p}$.

Next we consider the generalized amplitude damping channel, $\$_{GAD}$, describing the effect of dissipation to an environment at finite temperature, which is the case relevant to NMR quantum computation. The channel usually adopts the form as: $K_0 = \sqrt{p} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \nu \end{pmatrix}, K_1 =$ $\sqrt{p} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \omega \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), K_2 = \sqrt{1-p} \left(\begin{array}{cc} \nu & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right), K_3 = \sqrt{1-p} \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \omega & 0 \end{array} \right).$ Without loss of generality, set $p = \frac{1}{2}$. In terms of Eq. [\(7\)](#page-1-4), we obtain $C_{AB:C}(|\alpha|,t) = C[(I \otimes I \otimes \$_{GAD})\rho_{AB:C}] =$ $|\alpha|\sqrt{1-|\alpha|^2}(\exp[-2\Gamma t]+2\exp[-\Gamma t]-1)$. As shown in Fig. 1 (a), over a continuous range of $|\alpha|$ values, $C_{AB:C}(|\alpha|,t)$ actually goes abruptly to zero in a finite time and remains zero thereafter. This is the "entanglement sudden death" (ESD) effect [\[7,](#page-3-6) [24,](#page-3-23) [25](#page-3-24)]. The residual entanglement is calculated as $\tau_{C(AB)} = C_{AB:C}^2 - C_{AB}^2$ C_{AC}^2 . For simplicity, we focus on $|\beta| = |\gamma|$. It is illustrated in Fig. 1 (b) that the residual entanglement terminates completely after a finite interval and remains zero thereafter, and it reaches a maximal value at the point Γt = 0.0936 and $|α| = 0.4996$.

The evolution of entanglement is basically related to the Hamiltonian of a physical system. For example, $\sqrt{1/3}|02\rangle - \sqrt{1/3}|11\rangle + \sqrt{1/3}|20\rangle$, in which the coupling consider the ground state of an NMR system, $|\psi\rangle$ = Hamiltonian of two spin−1 nuclei can be expressed as:

(a) 0 0.25 0.5 0.7 1 Gt 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 $|\alpha|$ 0 0.25 0.5 $C(|\alpha|, \Gamma t)$ ^{0.75} 1 (b) 0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 Gt $\overline{0}$ 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1 $|\alpha|$ 0 0.1 0.2 $τ_{C (AB)}$

FIG. 1: (a) The concurrence $C_{AB:C}(|\alpha|,t)$ vs Γt and amplitude $|\alpha|$, whree Γ is generalized amplitude decay rate. The system of AB and of C disentangle completely and abruptly in just a finite time for all $|\alpha|$ in the range shown. (b) Dependence of the residual entanglement $\tau_{C(AB)}$ on Γt and amplitude $|\alpha|$ for $|\beta| = |\gamma|$. The residual entanglement gets maximized value at the point $\Gamma t = 0.0936$ and $|\alpha| = 0.4996$.

 $\mathcal{H} = J\hat{S}_1\hat{S}_2$, $J > 0$, where \hat{S}_1 and \hat{S}_2 are spin operators of nucleus 1 and 2 respectively. Supposing that $|\psi\rangle$ is the initial state, under the single-sided relaxation operation $M = diag(e^{-\Gamma_2 t}, e^{-\Gamma_1 t}, 1), \Gamma_2 \geq \Gamma_1 > 0$ [\[26\]](#page-3-25), the final state becomes $\rho' = (I \otimes M) |\psi\rangle \langle \psi | (I \otimes M^{\dagger})/p$, where $p = Tr [(I \otimes M)|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|(I \otimes M^{\dagger})]$. In terms of Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-0), we obtain the dependence of entanglement on t, $c[\rho'] = \sqrt{4\left[(e^{-2\Gamma_1 t} + 1)(e^{-2\Gamma_2 t} + 1) - 1 \right]}/\left[1 + e^{-2\Gamma_1 t} + \right]$ $e^{-2\Gamma_2 t}$. When $t \gg \frac{1}{\Gamma_1}$, $\rho' \to |20\rangle$.

Conclusions.—In summary, we have investigated the time evolution of entanglement for arbitrary bipartite systems, with one part subject to interactions with environments. Explicit expressions are derived for bipartite systems and a general factorization law is obtained for multi-qubit or qubit-qudit systems with one qubit undergoing the action of a noisy channel. It allows one to know the time evolution of entanglement for an arbitrary initial state, if one knows the time evolution of entanglement for the bipartite maximally entangled state. The later only depends on the detailed noisy channel and has nothing to do with the initial states. Our results can be used to infer the evolution of entanglement under certain time-continuous influences of the environment. Due to the fact that all the quantities of entanglement measure can be evaluated efficiently for pure states, it can help the experimental characterization of entanglement dynamics. Moreover, the results can be also directly applied to input/output processes such as gates used in sequential quantum computing. As applications we have studied the entanglement evolution of the generalized three-qubit W state, with one qubit undergoing the action of phase noise channel and generalized amplitude damping channel respectively. We also obtain the evolution of entanglement for the ground state in an NMR system with one subsystem subject to a decay channel.

This work is supported by NSFC under grant 90406017, 60525417, 10675086, 10740420252, and the NKBRSFC under grant 2004CB318000, 2005CB724508 and 2006CB921400.

- [1] C. H. Bennett and D. P. DiVincenzo, Nature (London) 404, 247 (2000).
- [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [3] T. Konrad, F. De Melo, M. Tiersch, C. Kasztelan, A. Aragão, and A. Buchleitner, Nature Physics 4, 99 (2008).
- [4] M. Tiersch, F. De Melo, and A. Buchleitner,

[arXiv:0804.0208v](http://arxiv.org/abs/0804.0208)1.

- [5] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 140403 (2006).
- [6] P. J. Dodd and J. J. Halliwell, Phys. Rev. A 69, 052105 (2004).
- [7] K. Zyczkowski, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, and R. Horodecki, Phys. Rev. A 65, 012101 (2001).
- [8] F. Verstraete, J. Dehaene, and B. DeMoor, Phys. Rev. A 64, 010101(R) (2001).
- [9] K. Chen, C.M. Li, Q. Zhang, Y.A. Chen, A. Goebel, S. Chen, A. Mair and J.W. Pan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 120503 (2007); Y. Tokunaga, S. Kuwashiro, T. Yamamoto, M. Koashi and N. Imoto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 210501 (2008).
- [10] Q. Zhang, A. Goebel, C. Wagenknecht, Y.A. Chen, B. Zhao, T. Yang, A. Mair, J. Schmiedmayer and J.W. Pan Nature Phys. 2, 678-682 (2006).
- $[11]$ T. Durt, N. J. Cerf, N. Gisin, and M. Z ukowski, Phys. Rev. A 67, 012311 (2003); S. P. Walborn, D. S. Lemelle, M. P. Almeida, and P. H. Souto Ribeiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 090501 (2006).
- [12] W. Dür, G. Vidal, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. A 62, 062314 (2000).
- [13] P. Rungta, V. Bužek, C. M. Caves, M. Hillery, and G. J. Milburn, Phys. Rev. A 64, 042315 (2001).
- [14] W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 2245 (1998).
- [15] F. Mintert, M. Kuś, and A. Buchleitner, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 260502 (2005); S. P. Walborn, P. H. Souto Ribeiro, L. Davidovich, F. Mintert, and A. Buchleitner, Nature (London) 440, 1022 (2006). The quantity of $|C_{\alpha\beta}|^2$ can be obtained by performing the single joint measurement on the two copies of the pure state of interest. Actually it is proportional to the probability of observing the two copies of the first subsystem in an antisymmetric state, which is proposed in the above articles. The quantity of concurrence C can also be obtained in the similar way.
- [16] W. K. Wootters, Quantum Inf. Comput. 1, 27 (2001).
- [17] K. Audenaert, F. Verstraete and B. De Moor, Phys. Rev. A 64, 052304 (2001); S. J. Akhtarshenas, J. Phys. A 38, 6777 (2005).
- [18] S. Albeverio and S. M. Fei, J. Opt. B 3, 223 (2001).
- [19] N. Gisin, Phys. Lett. A **210**, 151 (1996).
- [20] K. Kraus, States, Effect, and Operations: Fundamental Notions in Quantum Theory (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983).
- [21] W. K. Wootters, Contemp. Math. **305**, 299 (2002).
- [22] V. Coffman, J. Kundu, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. A 61, 052306 (2000).
- [23] C. S. Yu and H. S. Song, Phys. Rev. A 71, 042331 (2005).
- [24] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, Opt. Commun. 264, 393 (2006).
- [25] M. P. Almeida, F. de Melo, M. Hor-Meyll, A. Salles, S. P. Walborn, P. H. Souto Ribeiro, and L. Davidovich, Science 316, 579 (2007).
- [26] This single-sided relaxation operation can be realized by performing a measurement (e.g. a projective measurement) on the system of interest and the environment.