

22 October 2010

Gauge Fixing in the Maxwell Like Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime and in the Equivalent Lorentzian Spacetime*

Roldão da Rocha⁽¹⁾ and Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr.⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição

Universidade Federal do ABC, 09210-170, Santo André, SP, Brazil

roldao.rocha@ufabc.edu.br

⁽²⁾Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation

IMECC-UNICAMP CP 6065

13083-859 Campinas, SP, Brazil

walrod@ime.unicamp.br or walrod@mpc.com.br

Abstract

In a previous paper we investigate a Lagrangian field theory for the gravitational field, which is there represented by a section $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$ of the coframe bundle over Minkowski spacetime $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$. Such theory, under appropriate conditions, has been proved to be equivalent to a Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ where the metric tensor \mathbf{g} satisfies the Einstein field equation. Here, we first recall that according to quantum field theory ideas gravitation is described by a Lagrangian theory of a possible *massive graviton field* (generated by matter fields and coupling also to itself) living in Minkowski spacetime. The massive graviton field is moreover supposed to be represented by a symmetric tensor field \mathbf{h} carrying the representations of spin two and zero of the Lorentz group. Such a field, then (as it is well known) must necessarily satisfy the gauge condition given by Eq.(10) below. Next, we introduce an *ansatz* relating \mathbf{h} with the 1-form fields $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$. Then, using the Clifford bundle formalism we derive from our Lagrangian theory the exact wave equation for the graviton and investigate the role of the gauge condition given by Eq.(10) by asking the question: does Eq.(10) fix any gauge condition for the field \mathbf{g} of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ that represents the field \mathbf{h} in our theory? We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priori, as

*AIP Conference Proceedings **1316**, 466-477 (2010)

it is the case in General Relativity. Moreover we prove that if we use Logunov gauge condition, i.e., $\hat{D}_\gamma (\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\gamma\kappa}) = 0$ then the only a restricted class of coordinate systems (including harmonic ones) are allowed by the theory.

I. Introduction

In a previous paper¹, using the Clifford bundle formalism, a Lagrangian theory of the gravitational field as field in the Faraday sense, i.e., an object of the same ontology as the electromagnetic field living on a Minkowski spacetime structure $\mathbf{M} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$ has been formulated². The theory has been constructed on two assumptions. The *first* one is that the gravitational field is represented by a *coframe* $\{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha\}$, with $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$ whose *dynamics* is encoded in a Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_g (see Eq.(14) below) which is of the Yang-Mills type (containing moreover a gauge fixing term and an auto interaction term related to the “vorticity” of the fields). The theory is invariant under diffeomorphisms and under local Lorentz transformations of the coframe $\{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha\}$. The gravitational field couples universally with the matter fields and in such a way that the coupling resulting from the presence of energy-momentum due to matter fields in some region of Minkowski spacetime distorts the Lorentz vacuum³ in much the same way that stresses in an elastic body produces *plastic* deformations in it.¹⁷ To present some additional details we need to introduce some notation. So, let $\{x^\mu\}$ be a set of global coordinates⁴ for M in the Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge associated to arbitrary inertial reference frame⁵ $I = \partial/\partial x^0 \in \sec TM$. Let $\{\partial/\partial x^\mu\}$ be orthonormal basis for TM and $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$ the corresponding dual basis for T^*M . We take⁶ $\gamma^\mu \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$. Of course, we have

$$\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\alpha \otimes \gamma^\beta, \quad \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}, \quad (1)$$

and we recall that to each (non degenerated) metric tensor, say $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} \in \sec T_0^2 M$ there corresponds an unique invertible metric extensor field $\overset{\circ}{g} : \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \rightarrow \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M$, while the metric tensor $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} \in \sec T_2^0 M$ is represented by the extensor

¹Please, consult the arXiv version of⁷ which corrects an error of the printed version. See also.²

²Minkowski spacetime will be called Lorentz vacuum, in what follows. Moreover in the 5-uple $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$, $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ is a Minkowski metric, $\overset{\circ}{D}$ is its Levi-Civita connection, $\tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}$ is the volume element defining a global orientation and \uparrow refers to a time orientation. The objects in the Lorentzian spacetime structure $\mathbf{L} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ have similar meanings. In what follows $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure \mathbf{M} . If $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \overset{\circ}{g}^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$ then $\overset{\circ}{g}^{\kappa\iota} \overset{\circ}{g}_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$. Also \mathbf{g} denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure \mathbf{L} and if $\mathbf{g} = g^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$ and $\mathbf{g} = g_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$, then $g^{\kappa\iota} g_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$. More details, if needed are given, e.g., in.⁶

³A region of Minkowski spacetime void of matter fields will be called Lorentz vacuum.²

⁴If $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{x'^\mu\}$ are global coordinate functions in the Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge, the coordinates of $\mathfrak{e} \in M$ in are $\{x^\mu\} := \{x^\mu(\mathfrak{e})\}$, $\{x'^\mu\} := \{x'^\mu(\mathfrak{e})\}$ and $x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$, with Λ^μ_ν a proper and orthochronous Lorentz transformation.

⁵An inertial reference frame satisfies $\overset{\circ}{D}I = 0$. See⁶ for details.

⁶ $\bigwedge^p T^*M$ denotes the bundle of p -forms, $\bigwedge T^*M = \bigoplus_{p=0}^4 \bigwedge^p T^*M$ is the bundle of multi-form fields, $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$ denotes the Clifford bundle of differential forms. The symbol \sec means section. All ‘tricks of the trade’ necessary for performing the calculations of the present paper are described in.⁶

field $\mathring{g}^{-1} : \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \rightarrow \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M$. Our second assumption is that there is a *plastic distortion field* described by an extensor field $h : \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \rightarrow \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M$ that *distorts* the cosmic lattice represented by the γ^a producing the fields \mathfrak{g}^α such that

$$\mathfrak{g}^\alpha := h(\gamma^\alpha). \quad (2)$$

The extensor field h may be used to introduce on T^*M the following extensor fields

$$g = h^{-1\dagger} h^{-1}, \dots g^{-1} = h h^\dagger. \quad (3)$$

Of course, we have⁷

$$g(\mathfrak{g}^\alpha) \cdot (\mathfrak{g}^\beta) = h^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}^\alpha) \cdot h^{-1}(\mathfrak{g}^\beta) = \gamma^\alpha \cdot \gamma^\beta = \eta^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (4)$$

We can think of g as the associated extensor field a tensor field $\mathbf{g} \in \sec T_2^0 M$

$$\mathbf{g} := \eta_{\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\beta. \quad (5)$$

Moreover, the extensor field g^{-1} is associated to the field $\mathbf{g} \in \sec T_0^2 M$ such that

$$\mathbf{g} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathfrak{e}_\beta,$$

with $\{\mathfrak{e}_\alpha\}, \mathfrak{e}_\alpha \in \sec TM$, the dual basis of $\{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha\}$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha(\mathfrak{e}_\beta) = \delta_\beta^\alpha$.

Moreover, in our theory each nontrivial gravitational field configuration, i.e., one from which not *all* the \mathfrak{g}^α are exact differentials can be interpreted as generating an effective Lorentzian spacetime⁸ ($M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_g, \uparrow$) where D is the Levi-Civita connection of \mathbf{g} interpreted as a *Lorentzian metric on M* and such that the \mathfrak{g}^α satisfy Maxwell like field equations (which follows from the variational principle²). and which are equivalent to Einstein equation for the gravitational field in General Relativity (GR).

Remark 1 Before proceeding we recall that given $\mathbf{g} \in \sec T_0^2 M$ we can construct the Clifford bundle $\mathcal{Cl}(M, \mathbf{g})$. The Clifford product, the left and right contractions and the Hodge star operators defined by \mathbf{g} can be easily expressed in the Clifford bundle $\mathcal{Cl}(M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}})$ through the Golden formula (see, e.g.,²). Indeed, if $\ast_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}$ denotes either the exterior product (\wedge), or the \mathbf{g} -scalar product, or the \mathbf{g} -contracted products (\lrcorner, \llcorner) or the \mathbf{g} -Clifford product and analogously for $\ast_{\mathbf{g}}$ we have for any $X, Y \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M$ that

$$\underline{h}^{-1}(X \ast_{\mathbf{g}} Y) = \underline{h}^{-1}(X) \ast_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \underline{h}^{-1}(Y). \quad (6)$$

⁷We have for $A, B \in \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{Cl}(M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}})$ that $A \cdot B := \mathring{\mathbf{g}}(A, B)$.

⁸Or by an effective teleparallel spacetime, see,⁷ the arXiv version.

Moreover the relation between the Hodge star operators $\star_{\underline{g}}$ and $\star_{\underline{\hat{g}}}$ is

$$\star_{\underline{g}} = \underline{h}^{-1\sharp} \star_{\underline{\hat{g}}} \underline{h}, \quad (7)$$

where in the above formulas \underline{h} means the exterior power extension of h .

Recall next that it is a physicist dream to construct a quantum theory for the gravitational field, where the quanta of the field are the so called gravitons. In such (yet to be constructed) theory the gravitational field is supposed to be represented by a distribution valued symmetric field operator acting on the Hilbert space of the system. Classically that field is represented by a symmetric tensor (distribution)

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^a \otimes \vartheta^\beta \in \sec T_0^2 M, \quad (8)$$

where⁹ $\vartheta^\mu := dx^\mu \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^* M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \underline{\hat{g}})$, with $\{x^\mu\}$ arbitrary coordinates covering $U \subset M$. Such a general field, as it is well known^{1,11} carries a direct sum of irreducible representations of the Lorentz group, one carrying spin two, one carrying spin one and two carrying spin zero. Now, consider the tensor field $\mathbf{h}' \in \sec T_1^1 M$

$$\mathbf{h}' = \phi_\beta^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes dx^\beta \quad (9)$$

If we impose that $\text{div} \mathbf{h}' = 0$, i.e., the restriction¹⁰

$$\mathring{D}_\alpha \phi_\beta^\alpha = 0, \quad (10)$$

(where $\phi_\beta^\alpha := \mathring{g}^{\alpha\kappa} \phi_{\kappa\beta}$, $\mathring{\mathbf{g}} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^a \otimes \vartheta^\beta$) then the field \mathbf{h} carries *only* the irreducible representations with spin two and one with spin zero of the Lorentz group. This restriction is the one appropriate for the description of gravitons with non null mass m .

Next we introduce the main purpose of this paper, which is to investigate (using the Clifford bundle formalism) the consequences of the *ansatz*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{h}' &= h = \phi_\beta^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes dx^\beta = h_\beta^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes dx^\beta \\ \mathbf{g}^\alpha &:= h_\beta^\alpha \gamma^\beta. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We then show how to derive from our Lagrangian theory the *exact* wave equation for the graviton field and we obtain a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime.

⁹Given arbitrary coordinate functions $\{\mathbf{x}^\mu\}$ covering $U \subset M$ with coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^\mu(\mathbf{e}) = x^\mu$, we write, as usual, $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$ for the coordinate tangent vector fields and $\{dx^\mu\}$ for the coordinate cotangent covectors.

¹⁰In⁷ we show explicitly how to determine the extensor field h once \mathbf{g} is known in a given basis.

We also ask the question: does Eq.(10) fix any gauge condition for the field \mathbf{g} of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_g, \uparrow)$ that is a well defined functional of the field \mathbf{h} in our theory? We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priory, as it is the case in GR. Thus, writing $\mathbf{g} = g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}$ we do not need, e.g., to fix in our theory Logunov gauge condition

$$\mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g} g^{\gamma\kappa}} \right) = 0, \quad (12)$$

which, is indeed a result of a postulate in Logunov's theory.^{3,4} Since Logunov thinks that Eq.(12) is very important, since according to him it fixes a *unique* solution of Einstein equations¹¹ once a matter distribution and a *coordinate chart* are given, thus eliminating (possible) ambiguities in predictions of experiments, we discuss briefly this issue.

II. The Wave Equation for the \mathbf{g}^α

We recall that the dynamics of the fields \mathbf{g}^α in a region of M is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g + \mathcal{L}_m, \quad (13)$$

where \mathcal{L}_m is the Lagrangian density of the matter fields and

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_g d\mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \delta \mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_g \delta \mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star_g (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} m^2 \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star_g \mathbf{g}^\alpha, \quad (14)$$

is invariant (modulo an exact form.) under local Lorentz transformations¹², which is a kind of gauge freedom, a crucial ingredient of our theory, as showed in.⁷

The \mathbf{g}^α couple universally to the matter fields in such a way that the energy momentum 1-form of the matter fields are given by

$$\star_g \mathfrak{T}^\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial \mathbf{g}_\alpha}. \quad (15)$$

Each one of the fields \mathbf{g}^α in Eq.(14) resembles a potential of an electromagnetic field. Indeed, the first term is of the Yang-Mills type, the second term is a kind of gauge fixing term (analogous to the *Lorenz* condition for the gauge potential of the electromagnetic potential), and more important, the condition given by Eq.(10) is equivalent to

$$\delta_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = 0. \quad (16)$$

¹¹Even for the case of a zero mass graviton.

¹²We observe that the various coefficients in Eq.(14) have been selected in order for \mathcal{L}_g^M to be invariant under arbitrary local Lorentz transformations. This means, as the reader may verify that under the transformation $\mathbf{g}^a \mapsto u \mathbf{g}^a u^{-1}$, $u \in \sec \text{Spin}_{1,3}^e(M, \mathbf{g}) \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \mathbf{g})$, \mathcal{L}_g^M is invariant modulo an exact form.

Indeed, given the *coordinates functions* $\{\mathbf{x}^\mu\}$ for $U \subset M$, $\mathbf{x}^\mu(\mathbf{e}) = x^\mu$ ($\mathbf{g}^\alpha = h^\alpha_\beta \gamma^\beta$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\alpha \otimes \gamma^\beta$) it is¹³

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha &= -\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = -\gamma^\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} (h^\alpha_\beta \gamma^\beta) \\ &= -\left(\overset{\circ}{D}_\kappa h^\alpha_\beta\right) \eta^{\kappa\beta} = \partial_\kappa h^\alpha_\lambda = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Moreover, take notice that in *general*

$$\delta_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = -\partial_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha \neq 0, \quad (18)$$

where for any $A_p \in \sec \bigwedge^p T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$, it is $\delta_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} A_p = \vartheta^\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} A_p$ and $\delta_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} A_p := -\vartheta^\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} A_p$.

Also, the third term in the Lagrangian density is a self-interacting term, which is proportional to the square of the total ‘vorticity’ $\Omega = d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha$ associated to the 1-form fields \mathbf{g}^α . This shows that in the Lagrangian density the \mathbf{g}^α does *not* couple with the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field¹⁴, which according to the Lagrangian formalism is given by $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial \mathbf{g}^\alpha}$. We finally recall that as showed in details in⁷ \mathcal{L}_g differs (when the graviton mass is null) from the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian by an exact differential.

Also, as showed in details. e.g., in² variation of $\int \mathcal{L}_g$ produces the following equations of motion

$$d \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\alpha + \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{t}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = - \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathfrak{T}^\alpha, \quad (19)$$

with $\star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{t}^\alpha \in \sec \bigwedge^3 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$ and $\star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\alpha \in \sec \bigwedge^2 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{t}^\alpha &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial \mathbf{g}_\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{g}_\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha) \wedge \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha - d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge (\mathbf{g}_\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} d \left(\mathbf{g}_\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha \right) \wedge \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{g}_\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \right) \wedge \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{g}_\kappa \wedge \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \left[\mathbf{g}_\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}^\iota \wedge \mathbf{g}_\iota) \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[\mathbf{g}_\kappa \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}^\iota \wedge \mathbf{g}_\iota) \right] \wedge \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

$$\star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g}{\partial d\mathbf{g}_\alpha} = -\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\kappa) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_\kappa \wedge \star_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha). \quad (21)$$

¹³As usual we put $\overset{\circ}{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} (\mathbf{h}^\alpha_\beta dx^\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}) := (\overset{\circ}{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}^\alpha_\beta) dx^\beta \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}$. Moreover, we have for any $A_p \in \sec \bigwedge^p T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$ that the action of the Dirac like operators $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}$ and ∂ are: $\overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}} A_p := \vartheta^\alpha \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} (D_{e_\alpha} A_p) + \vartheta^\alpha \wedge (D_{e_\alpha} A_p)$ and $\partial A_p := \vartheta^\alpha \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{D}}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} (D_{e_\alpha} A_p) + \vartheta^\alpha \wedge (D_{e_\alpha} A_p)$. For more details see.^{9,14}

¹⁴On this respect see the discussion of.¹³

For what follows we need also the following equivalent expression for the $\star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa$ obtained, e.g., in,⁸

$$\star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \left[-(\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \lrcorner d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha) \wedge \mathfrak{g}^\kappa - (\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \lrcorner d\mathfrak{g}_\alpha) \wedge \mathfrak{g}^\kappa + (\mathfrak{g}^\kappa \lrcorner d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha) \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\alpha - d\mathfrak{g}^\kappa \right]. \quad (22)$$

We write moreover

$$\star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa = -\frac{1}{2} \star_{\mathfrak{g}} d\mathfrak{g}^\kappa + \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{K}^\kappa \quad (23)$$

and insert this result in Eq.(19) obtaining:

$$-\frac{1}{2} d \star_{\mathfrak{g}} d\mathfrak{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{g}^\kappa = -\star_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \star_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} d \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{K}^\kappa \right) \quad (24)$$

Before proceeding we recall that we have the conservation law

$$d \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \left(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \star_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1} d \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{K}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \mathfrak{g}^\kappa \right) = 0, \quad (25)$$

We now add the term $-\frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathfrak{g}^\kappa$ to both members Eq.(24) and next apply the operator $\star_{\mathfrak{g}}^{-1}$ to both sides of that equation, thus obtaining the equivalent equation:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \delta d\mathfrak{g}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathfrak{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathfrak{g}^\kappa = -\left(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \delta \mathfrak{K}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathfrak{g}^\kappa \right) \quad (26)$$

We now recall the definition of the Hodge D'Alembertian, which in the Clifford bundle formalism is the square of the Dirac operator $\boldsymbol{\partial} := \vartheta^\alpha D_{e_\alpha}$ acting on sections of the Clifford bundle $\mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \hat{\mathfrak{g}})$,⁶ i.e.,

$$\overset{\mathfrak{g}}{\Diamond} \mathfrak{g}^\kappa := (-\delta d - d\delta) \mathfrak{g}^\kappa = \boldsymbol{\partial}^2 \mathfrak{g}^\kappa \quad (27)$$

and recall moreover the following nontrivial decomposition⁶ of $\overset{\mathfrak{g}}{\Diamond}^2$,

$$\boldsymbol{\partial}^2 \mathfrak{g}^\kappa = \boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial} \mathfrak{g}^\kappa + \boldsymbol{\partial} \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial} \mathfrak{g}^\kappa, \quad (28)$$

where $\overset{\mathfrak{g}}{\square} := \boldsymbol{\partial} \cdot \boldsymbol{\partial}$ is the *covariant D'Alembertian* and $\boldsymbol{\partial} \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial}$ is the *Ricci operator associated to the Levi-Civita connection D of \mathfrak{g}* . Moreover, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\partial} \wedge \boldsymbol{\partial} \mathfrak{g}_\kappa = \mathcal{R}_\kappa = R_{\kappa l} \vartheta^l, \quad (29)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_\kappa \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ are the Ricci 1-form fields and $R_{\kappa l}$ are the components of the Ricci tensor.

This permits us to rewrite Eq.(26) as

$$\frac{1}{2}\overset{\mathbf{g}}{\square}\mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2}m^2\mathbf{g}^\kappa = -\mathfrak{T}^\kappa - \mathfrak{t}^\kappa - \delta_{\mathbf{g}}\mathfrak{K}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2}d\delta_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{g}^\kappa - \mathcal{R}^\kappa = -\mathbf{T}^\kappa. \quad (30)$$

Thus, writing (recall Eq.(11))

$$\phi_\iota^\kappa := h_\mu^\kappa \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial x^\iota}, \quad (31)$$

$$\mathbf{g}^\kappa = \phi_\iota^\kappa dx^\iota, \quad \mathbf{T}^\kappa = \mathbf{T}_\iota^\kappa dx^\iota, \quad (32)$$

and taking into account that⁶

$$\overset{\mathbf{g}}{\square}\mathbf{g}^\kappa = (g^{\alpha\beta} D_\alpha D_\beta \phi_\iota^\kappa) dx^\iota \quad (33)$$

we get from Eq.(30)

$$\phi_\kappa^\alpha \overset{\circ}{g}^{\kappa\iota} \phi_\iota^\beta D_\alpha D_\beta \phi_\iota^\kappa + m^2 \phi_\iota^\kappa = -2\mathbf{T}_\iota^\kappa, \quad (34)$$

which is in our theory a possible form for the (covariant) equation for the (*non-linear*) graviton field on *Minkowski spacetime*. The last statement follows because D_α can be easily be expressed in terms of the \tilde{D}_α using the formulas of the Appendix.

Remark 2 *We can immediately write from Eq.(24) that*

$$\delta_{\mathbf{g}} \left(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \delta_{\mathbf{g}}\mathfrak{K}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2}m^2\mathbf{g}^\kappa \right) = 0, \quad (35)$$

Remark 3 Eq.(35) *express as we already anticipated a reliable conservation law for the total energy-momentum of the matter plus the gravitational field. However, take notice that in GR this result depends on the fixing of a cotetrad basis and changing it by a local Lorentz transformation changes accordingly the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field. In fact this last result has already been known since the work¹⁵ of Møller¹².*

Remark 4 *Moreover, we see that imposing the Lorenz type gauge $\delta_{\mathbf{g}}\mathbf{g}^\kappa = 0$ to the dynamic gravitational fields amounts to exclude the graviton energy density from the conservation law.*

¹⁵Which however did not use the present crystal clear formalism.

III. Which Gauge to Use for g in the Effective Lorentzian Spacetime?

We already recalled that our Lagrangian density differs for the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian by an exact form. But it can also be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2}(d\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}^\beta) \wedge \star_{\mathfrak{g}}(d\mathfrak{g}_\beta \wedge \mathfrak{g}^\alpha) + \frac{1}{4}d\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathfrak{g}}(d\mathfrak{g}_\beta \wedge \mathfrak{g}^\beta) \quad (36)$$

which can be shown^{6,2} to be equivalent (modulus an exact differential) to

$$\mathcal{L}_g = -\frac{1}{2}(d\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\beta) \wedge \star_{\mathfrak{g}}(d\vartheta_\beta \wedge \vartheta^\alpha) + \frac{1}{4}d\vartheta_\alpha \wedge \vartheta^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathfrak{g}}(d\vartheta_\beta \wedge \vartheta^\beta) \quad (37)$$

where $\{\vartheta^\alpha\}$ is an *arbitrary* coframe basis, *not* necessarily \mathfrak{g} orthonormal, and where $\vartheta_\alpha := g_{\alpha\beta}\vartheta^\beta$

This permit us² to obtain an equation analogous to Eq.(19), i.e.,

$$d \star_{\mathfrak{g}} S^\alpha + \star_{\mathfrak{g}} t^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}m^2 \star_{\mathfrak{g}} \vartheta^\alpha = - \star_{\mathfrak{g}} T^\alpha. \quad (38)$$

So, let us examine the structure of Eq.(38) in a coordinate basis $\{\vartheta^\mu = dx^\mu\}$. We immediately see that a conservation law (distinct from the previous one established above)¹⁶ in the effective Lorentzian spacetime structure (*excluding* the energy associated with the graviton mass) exists for $\star_{\mathfrak{g}}(T^\mu + t^\mu)$ if

$$\delta \vartheta^\mu = 0. \quad (39)$$

This of course, implies that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -d\delta x^\mu - \delta dx^\mu = 0, \quad (40)$$

i.e., the coordinates must be *harmonic*.

Now, if

$$D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}, \quad D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = -\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu \vartheta^\rho \quad (41)$$

we have

$$\delta \vartheta^\mu = -\vartheta^\nu \lrcorner_{\mathfrak{g}} D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = \vartheta^\nu \lrcorner_{\mathfrak{g}} (\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu \vartheta^\alpha) = \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0, \quad (42)$$

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0 \quad (43)$$

¹⁶Recall that in GR Eq.(38) implies in a pseudo conservation law because in that theory (without a Minkowski spacetime interpretation, as here) S^α are expressed in terms of connection -forms of the Levi-Civita connection of g and thus are not *indexed* forms. Details may be found in.⁸

Now, if $\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\alpha} \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\alpha} - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\alpha} \\
&= \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

and since $D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) = 0$ we get that

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\alpha} \quad (44)$$

In particular in a coordinate basis $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$, where $\{x^\mu\}$ are global coordinates for M in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge¹⁷ where the connection coefficients are null we have

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) = 0.$$

But since $\sqrt{-\det(\mathbf{g})} g^{\mu\nu}$ are the components of the tensor density $\mathfrak{G} \in \sec T_0^2 M \otimes \wedge^4 T^* M$, which on an arbitrary basis is written as

$$\mathfrak{G} = \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \otimes dx^0 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \quad (45)$$

we arrive at the conclusion that

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) = 0, \quad (46)$$

which is Logunov gauge condition! Note that this also implies that on any arbitrary basis we must have

$$\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu g^{\mu\alpha} = 0.$$

Also, for arbitrary *non* harmonic coordinates functions $\{x^\mu\}$ we get $(\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}, D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa})$ that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} - K_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} \neq 0. \quad (47)$$

Remark 5 Now, given the Logunov gauge condition, it does not imply that the coordinates are harmonic ones, for we have (using the formulas in the Appendix) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) &= D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) + K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\kappa} \\
&= K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\kappa}
\end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

¹⁷Recall that the energy-momentum conservation law of any *Lorentz invariant* field theory is unambiguously formulated global coordinates in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge.

and thus

$$\dot{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\nu}) = 0 \Rightarrow K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\mu\kappa} = 0, \quad (49)$$

and we see that under those conditions¹⁸ the allowed coordinate functions of Logunov theory must always satisfy the constraint:

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\dot{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu}. \quad (50)$$

Remark 6 As an additional remark we comment that Logunov^{3,4} imposed Eq.(12) as a gauge condition in his theory because he postulated (differently from what is the case here, see below) that the relation between $g_{\underline{L}}^{\alpha\beta}$ and the gravitational field $\mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$\varkappa g_{\underline{L}}^{\alpha\beta} := \dot{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (51)$$

Now, the second member of Eq.(51) implies immediately taking into account Eq.(10) that $\dot{D}_\alpha(\varkappa g_{\underline{L}}^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ and thus from the second line in Eq.(77) (in Appendix) we get that $\dot{D}_\alpha \left(\sqrt{-\det g_{\underline{L}\underline{L}}} g^{\alpha\beta} \right) = 0$.

Remark 7 In our theory, defining $\{\vartheta_\mu\}$ as the reciprocal basis of $\{\vartheta^\mu\}$ relative to \mathbf{g} , i.e., $\mathbf{g}(\vartheta_\mu, \vartheta^\nu) = \delta_\mu^\nu$ we can write directly from Eq.(??) that

Remark 8

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} h(\gamma_\alpha) \otimes h(\gamma_\beta) \\ &= g^{\kappa\iota} \vartheta_\kappa \otimes \vartheta_\iota, \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

and clearly, differently from Logunov's theory we have:

$$\varkappa g^{\kappa\iota} \neq \varkappa g_{\underline{L}}^{\alpha\beta} \quad (53)$$

from where it follows that there is no need to impose a priori any gauge condition (as it is the case in GR) for the "metric" field \mathbf{g} of the effective Lorentzian spacetime.

IV. Conclusions

We showed that if gravitation is to be described by a massive graviton field living in Minkowski spacetime which is represented by a symmetric tensor field \mathbf{h} carrying the representations of spin *two* and *zero* of the Lorentz group and thus satisfying the gauge condition given by Eq.(10) then the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure that represents the gravitational field (under the ansatz given by Eq.(11)) of a given energy-momentum distribution is such that the field

¹⁸The ones in Logunov theory.

\mathbf{g} solving the effective Einstein-Hilbert equation (with cosmological constant)—as it is the case in GR— does *not* need to satisfy a priori any fixed gauge. We showed moreover that the Logunov gauge condition $\dot{D}_\gamma (\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\gamma\kappa}) = 0$ (which in his theory is indeed a postulate) does not hold in general in our theory without ad hoc hypothesis. If such a gauge is postulated it implies that the allowed coordinate functions to be the ones satisfying Eq.(50), i.e., the theory is not covariant. Moreover, we proved that the imposition of the Lorenz type gauge $\delta \mathbf{g}^\kappa = 0$ to the dynamic gravitational fields amounts to exclude the graviton energy density from the energy-momentum conservation law, something that *eventually* may shed some light on the problem of the dark energy.

Logunov thought that the importance of the condition $\dot{D}_\gamma (\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\gamma\kappa}) = 0$ in determining the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime generated by an energy-momentum distribution can be seen from the following example.^{3,4} Let $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$ be the usual spherical coordinates in Minkowski spacetime.

If we try to solve the (effective) Einstein-Hilbert equations (in the zero mass graviton case) for the field generated by a point mass at the origin of the coordinate system we get immediately that the following “metric” fields are solutions of those equations,

$$\mathbf{g}_s = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt \otimes dt - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr \otimes dr - r^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \quad (54)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_i = & \left(\frac{r + \lambda - m}{r + \lambda + m}\right) dt \otimes dt - \left(\frac{r + \lambda + m}{r + \lambda - m}\right) dr \otimes dr \\ & - (r + \lambda + m)^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

with λ an arbitrary real parameter. Now, both solutions have the same asymptotic behavior when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Which one should we use for the descriptions of physical processes? It is important to emphasize that both metrics even if expressed in the same coordinates *are diffeomorphically equivalent* since it is possible to perform a coordinate transformation in Eq.(54) which makes it in the new variables to have the appearance of Eq.(55). Now, take into account that the *meaning* of the coordinates in each one are *different* since we can know what the spacetime labels mean only *after* we fix a metric on it. Specifically this statement means that those labels are associated with physical distances and time lapses measured by ideal rods and clocks in *different* ways.

But Logunov thinks that \mathbf{g}_s and \mathbf{g}_i given in the *same* coordinate basis even if diffeomorphically equivalent are physically *distinguished* through experiments and so fixing one of them as the correct one implies in the existence of an additional theoretical criterion and such a criterion does not exist in GR. He claims that the metric \mathbf{g}_i when $\lambda = 0$ that satisfies the condition $\dot{D}_\gamma (\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\gamma\kappa}) = 0$ is the *only* one that fits correctly all known data on solar system experiments. Does the method used by astronomers methods for determining the coordinates

of their probes always fix those coordinates as being the spherical coordinates of Minkowski spacetime and fix the metric to be \mathbf{g}_i ? It is hard to believe in that possibility...

A last comment is in order. We start our considerations by postulating that the distortion field h is symmetric since it has been constructed from the symmetric tensor field \mathbf{h} . However, from the general theory of *plastic deformations* of the Lorentz vacuum presented in² it is quite clear that we can construct symmetric metric tensor fields associated to non symmetric h extensor fields¹⁹. This observation shows that the quantum theory of the gravitational field must be more complex than one where the \mathbf{g} field is supposed to arise from the existence of a symmetric graviton field. We will return to this issue in another publication.

Acknowledgement: R. da Rocha thanks CNPq 304862/2009-6 for financial support.

¹⁹In² it is directly derived from the variational principle and an appropriate Lagrangian the field equations for the plastic extensor field h .

Appendix

Let $(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D})$ and (M, \mathbf{g}, D) be the two Lorentzian structures²⁰ on the same manifold M such that

$$\overset{\circ}{D}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = 0, D\mathbf{g} = 0, \quad (56)$$

with the *nonmetricity* of D relative to $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ being given by:

$$\mathbf{Q} := -D\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}.$$

Let moreover the connection coefficients of $\overset{\circ}{D}$ and D in arbitrary coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$ covering $U \subset M$ be:

$$\overset{\circ}{D}_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, D_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, \quad (57)$$

and

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = -D_\alpha \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\sigma}, \quad (58)$$

Define the components of the *strain tensor* of the connection D by:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - 2\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (59)$$

Then

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\mu} S_{\alpha\sigma}^\mu), \quad (60)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \overset{\circ}{g}^{\rho\sigma}(Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} - Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta}). \quad (61)$$

Also,

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} = S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + S_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + S_{\beta\sigma\alpha}, \quad (62)$$

where $S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{\rho\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho$.

Putting

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (63)$$

we have

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{g}^{\rho\sigma}(D_\alpha \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta \overset{\circ}{g}_{\sigma\alpha} - D_\sigma \overset{\circ}{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \quad (64)$$

The relation between the curvature tensor $R_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta}$ associated with the connection D and the Riemann curvature tensor $\overset{\circ}{R}_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta}$ of the Levi-Civita connection $\overset{\circ}{D}$ associated with the metric $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ are given by:

$$R_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} = \overset{\circ}{R}_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} + J_\mu{}^\rho{}_{[\alpha\beta]}, \quad (65)$$

where:

$$J_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} = \overset{\circ}{D}_\alpha K_{\beta\mu}^\rho - K_{\beta\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma = D_\alpha K_{\beta\mu}^\rho - K_{\alpha\sigma}^\rho K_{\beta\mu}^\sigma + K_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma K_{\sigma\mu}^\rho. \quad (66)$$

²⁰ More general formulas relating two arbitrary general connections may be found, e.g., in.^{7,14}

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(65) by $\frac{1}{2}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta$ we get for the curvature 2-forms of the two connections D and \mathring{D} :

$$\mathcal{R}_\mu^\rho = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_\mu^\rho + \mathfrak{J}_\mu^\rho, \quad (67)$$

where we have written:

$$\mathfrak{J}_\mu^\rho = \frac{1}{2} J_\mu^\rho{}_{[\alpha\beta]} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta. \quad (68)$$

The relation between the Ricci tensors²¹ of the connections D and \mathring{D} is:

$$R_{\mu\alpha} = \mathring{R}_{\mu\alpha} + J_{\mu\alpha}, \quad (69)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu\alpha} &= \mathring{D}_\alpha K_{\rho\mu}^\rho - \mathring{D}_\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\rho + K_{\alpha\sigma}^\rho K_{\rho\mu}^\sigma - K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma \\ &= D_\alpha K_{\rho\mu}^\rho - D_\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\rho - K_{\sigma\alpha}^\rho K_{\rho\mu}^\sigma + K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Recall that the connection \mathring{D} plays with respect to the tensor field \mathbf{g} a role analogous to that played by the connection D with respect to the metric tensor $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ and in consequence we shall have similar equations relating these two pairs of objects. In particular, the strain of \mathring{D} with respect to \mathbf{g} equals the negative of the strain of D with respect to $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$, since we have:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\rho - \mathring{b}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = -(\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\rho - b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho),$$

where $b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\rho$ and $\mathring{b}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\rho$. Furthermore, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathring{g}^{\rho\sigma} (D_\alpha \mathring{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta \mathring{g}_{\alpha\sigma} - D_\sigma \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} (\mathring{D}_\alpha g_{\beta\sigma} + \mathring{D}_\beta g_{\alpha\sigma} - \mathring{D}_\sigma g_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Now, recall that given arbitrary coordinates $\{x^\alpha\}$ covering $U \subset M$ and $\{x'^\alpha\}$ covering covering $V \subset M$ ($U \cap V \neq \emptyset$) a relative tensor \mathfrak{A} of type (r, s) and weight²² w is a section of the bundle²³ $T_q^p M \otimes (\wedge^4 T^* M)^{\otimes w}$. We have on an arbitrary coordinate basis that

We have

$$\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha) \partial_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{\mu_r} \otimes dx^{\nu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{\nu_s} \otimes (\tau)^{\otimes w},$$

with $\tau := dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^3$. The set of functions $\mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha) = (\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}})^w A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha)$ is said to be the components of the relative tensor field $\mathfrak{A} \in \sec(T_s^r M \otimes (\wedge^4 T^* M)^w)$

²¹For the Ricci tensor $Ricci = R_{\mu\alpha} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\alpha$, we use the convention $R_{\mu\alpha} := R_{\mu}{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\rho}$.

²²The number w is an integer. Of course, if $w = 0$ we are back to tensor fields.

²³The notation $(\wedge^4 T^* M)^{\otimes w}$ means the w -fold tensor product of $\wedge^4 T^* M$ with itself.

and under a coordinate transformation $x^\alpha \mapsto x'^\beta$ with Jacobian $J = \det \left(\frac{\partial x'^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \right)$ these functions transform as^{5,15}

$$\mathfrak{A}_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_s}^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}(x'^\beta) = J^w \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu_1}}{\partial x^{\kappa_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x^{\nu_s}}{\partial x^{\kappa_s}} \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha). \quad (72)$$

On a manifold M equipped with a metric tensor field \mathbf{g} we can write $\mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha) = (\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}})^w A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha)$ where the $A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha)$ are the components of a tensor field $A \in \sec T_s^r M$.

The *covariant derivative of a relative tensor field* relative to a given arbitrary connection ∇ defined on M such that $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}} dx^\mu = -\mathbf{L}_{\nu\alpha}^\mu dx^\alpha$ is given (as the reader may easily find) by

$$\nabla_{\partial_\kappa} \mathfrak{A} := (\nabla_\kappa \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}) \partial_{\mu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \partial_{\mu_r} \otimes dx^{\nu_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{\nu_s} \otimes (\tau)^{\otimes w}, \quad (73)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\kappa \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa} \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} + \mathbf{L}_{\ell\kappa}^{\mu_p} \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p-1} \ell \nu_{p+1} \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{L}_{\nu_q \kappa}^\ell \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{q-1} \ell \nu_{q+1} \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} - w \mathbf{L}_{\kappa\sigma}^\sigma \mathfrak{A}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

In particular for the Levi-Civita connection \mathring{D} of $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ and D of \mathbf{g} we have for the relative tensors $\sqrt{-\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \otimes dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^3$ and $\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} \otimes dx^0 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^3$ that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \right) - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \sqrt{-\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}} = 0, \\ \mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}}} \right) + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}}} = 0, \\ D_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} \right) - \Gamma_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} = 0, \\ D_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}}} \right) + \Gamma_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

Now, if we define

$$\varkappa := \sqrt{\frac{\det \mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\det \mathbf{g}}}, \quad (76)$$

we can easily prove the the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} D_\sigma \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \mathring{D}_\sigma g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\varkappa} \partial_\sigma(\varkappa) \\ g^{\alpha\beta} K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho &= -\frac{1}{\varkappa} \mathring{D}_\sigma(\varkappa g^{\rho\sigma}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}}} \mathring{D}_\sigma(\sqrt{-\det \mathbf{g}} g^{\rho\sigma}) \\ \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho &= \frac{1}{\varkappa^{-1}} D_\sigma(\varkappa^{-1} \mathring{g}^{\rho\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Another useful formulas valid for our *particular* connections \mathring{D} and D are:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathring{D}_\alpha K^\rho_{\rho\beta} &= \mathring{D}_\beta K^\rho_{\rho\alpha} \\ D_\alpha K^\rho_{\rho\beta} &= D_\beta K^\rho_{\rho\alpha}.\end{aligned}\tag{78}$$

References

- ¹Barnes, K. J., Lagrangian Theory for the Second-Rank Tensor Field, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 788-794 (1965).
- ²Fernández, V.V., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., *Gravitation as a Plastic Distortion of the Lorentz Vacuum*, Fundamental Theories of Physics **168**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2010.
- ³Logunov, A. A., Mestvirishvili, M., *The Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, Mir Publ., Moscow, 1989.
- ⁴Logunov, A. A., *Relativistic Theory of Gravity*, Nova Science Publ., New York, 1999.
- ⁵Lovelok, D., and Rund, H., *Tensors, Differential Forms, and Variational Principles*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.
- ⁶Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., and Oliveira, E. Capelas, *The Many Faces of Maxwell, Dirac and Einstein Equations. A Clifford Bundle Approach*. Lecture Notes in Physics **722**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2007.
- ⁷Notte-Cuello, E. A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., A Maxwell Like Formulation of the Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **16**, 1027-1041 (2007). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0612098v3](#)]
- ⁸Notte-Cuello, E. A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., Freud's Identity of Differential Geometry, the Einstein-Hilbert Equations and the Vexatious Problem of the Energy-Momentum Conservation in GR, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **19**, 113-145 (2009). [[arXiv:0801.2559v4\[math-physics\]](#)]
- ⁹Notte-Cuello, E., Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., and Souza, Q. A. G., The Square of the Dirac and spin-Dirac Operators on a Riemann-Cartan Space(time), *Rep. Math. Phys.* **60**, 135-157 (2007).
- ¹⁰Frankel T., *The Geometry of Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- ¹¹Fronsdal, C., Massless Fields with Integer Spin, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 3624-3629 (1978).
- ¹²Møller, C., Further Remarks on the Localization of the Energy in the General Theory of Relativity, *Ann. Phys.* **12**, 118-133 (1961).
- ¹³Padmanabhan T., *From Gravitons to Gravity: Myths and Reality*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **17**, 367-398 (2008). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0409089v1](#)]
- ¹⁴Souza, Q. A. G. and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., The Dirac Operator and the Structure of Riemann-Cartan-Weyl Spaces, in Letelier, P. A. , and Rodrigues. W. A. Jr. (eds.), *Gravitation: The Spacetime Structure*, Proc. of the 8th Latin American Symposium on Relativity and Gravitation, Aguas de Lindóia, July 1993, World Sci., Singapore (1994).

- ¹⁵Tiee, C., *Contravariance, Covariance, Densities, and all That: An Informal Discussion on Tensor Calculus*, 2006.
[<http://math.ucsd.edu/~ctiee/tensors.pdf>]
- ¹⁶Thirring, W., *A Course in Mathematical Physics*, vol.2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- ¹⁷Zorawski, M., *Theorie Mathematiques des Dislocations*, Dunod, Paris, 1967.