

# Gauge Fixing in the Maxwell Like Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime and in the Equivalent Lorentzian Spacetime

Roldão da Rocha<sup>(1)</sup> and Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr.<sup>(2)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup>Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição

Universidade Federal do ABC, 09210-170, Santo André, SP, Brazil

roldao.rocha@ufabc.edu.br

<sup>(2)</sup>Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation

IMECC-UNICAMP CP 6065

13083-859 Campinas, SP, Brazil

walrod@ime.unicamp.br or walrod@mpc.com.br

24 May 2008

## Abstract

In a previous paper we investigate a Lagrangian field theory for the gravitational field, which is there represented by a section  $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$  of the coframe bundle over Minkowski spacetime  $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$ . Such theory, under appropriate conditions, has been proved to be equivalent to a Lorentzian spacetime structure  $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$  where the metric tensor  $\mathbf{g}$  satisfies the Einstein field equation. Here, we first recall that according to quantum field theory ideas gravitation is described by a Lagrangian theory of a possible *massive graviton field* (generated by matter fields and coupling also to itself) living in Minkowski spacetime. The graviton field is moreover supposed to be represented by a symmetric tensor field  $\mathbf{h}$  carrying the representations of spin two and zero of the Lorentz group. Such a field, then (as it is well known) must necessarily satisfy the gauge condition given by Eq.(8) below. Next, we introduce an *ansatz* relating  $\mathbf{h}$  with the 1-form fields  $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$ . Then, using the Clifford bundle formalism we derive from our Lagrangian theory the exact wave equation for the graviton and investigate the role of the gauge condition given by Eq.(8) by asking the question: does Eq.(8) fix any gauge condition for the field  $\mathbf{g}$  of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure  $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$  that represents the field  $\mathbf{h}$  in our theory? We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priori, as it is the case in General Relativity. Moreover we investigate under which conditions we may fix Logunov gauge condition  $\overset{\circ}{D}_\gamma \left( \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$ .

# 1 Introduction

In a previous paper [7], using the Clifford bundle formalism, a Lagrangian theory of the gravitational field as field in the Faraday sense, i.e., an object of the same ontology as the electromagnetic field living on a Minkowski spacetime structure  $\mathbf{M} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$  has been formulated<sup>1</sup>. The theory has been constructed on two assumptions. The *first* one is that the gravitational field is represented by a *coframe*  $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$ , with  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha \in \sec T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$  whose *dynamics* is encoded in Lagrangian density  $\mathcal{L}_m^{\mathbf{M}}$  (see Eq.(12) below) which is of the Yang-Mills type (containing moreover a gauge fixing term and an auto interaction term related to the “vorticity” of the fields). The theory is invariant under diffeomorphisms and under local Lorentz transformations of the coframe  $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$ . The gravitational field couples universally with the matter fields and in such a way that the coupling resulting from the presence of energy-momentum due to matter fields in some region of Minkowski spacetime distorts the Lorentz vacuum<sup>2</sup> in much the same way that stresses in an elastic body produces plastic deformations in it [15]. To present some additional details we need to introduce some notation. So, let  $\{x^\mu\}$  be a set of global coordinates<sup>3</sup> for  $M$  in the Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge associated to arbitrary inertial reference frame<sup>4</sup>  $I = \partial/\partial x^0 \in \sec TM$ . Let  $\{\partial/\partial x^\mu\}$  be orthonormal basis for  $TM$  and  $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$  the corresponding dual basis for  $T^*M$ . We take<sup>5</sup>  $\gamma^\mu \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$ . Of course, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^\alpha \otimes \gamma^\beta \\ \dot{\mathbf{g}} &= \eta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and we recall that to each (non degenerated) metric tensor, say  $\dot{\mathbf{g}} \in \sec T_0^2 M$  there corresponds an unique invertible metric extensor field  $\dot{g} : \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \rightarrow \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M$ , while the metric tensor  $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} \in \sec T_2^0 M$  is represented by the extensor

---

<sup>1</sup>Minkowski spacetime will be called Lorentz vacuum, in what follows. Moreover in the 5-uple  $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$  is a Minkowski metric,  $\overset{\circ}{D}$  is its Levi-Civita connection,  $\tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}$  is the volume element defining a global orientation and  $\uparrow$  refers to a time orientation. The objects in the Lorentzian spacetime structure  $\mathbf{L} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$  have similar meanings. In what follows  $\dot{\mathbf{g}}$  denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure  $\mathbf{M}$ . If  $\dot{\mathbf{g}} = \dot{g}^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$  and  $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$  then  $\dot{g}^{\kappa\iota} \overset{\circ}{g}_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$ . Also  $\mathbf{g}$  denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure  $\mathbf{L}$  and if  $\mathbf{g} = g^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$  and  $\mathbf{g} = g_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$ , then  $g^{\kappa\iota} g_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$ . More details, if needed are given, e.g., in [6].

<sup>2</sup>A region of Minkowski spacetime void of matter fields will be called Lorentz vacuum.

<sup>3</sup>If  $\{x^\mu\}$  and  $\{x'^\mu\}$  are global coordinate functions in the Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge, the coordinates of  $\mathfrak{e} \in M$  in are  $\{x^\mu\} := \{x^\mu(\mathfrak{e})\}$ ,  $\{x'^\mu\} := \{x'^\mu(\mathfrak{e})\}$  and  $x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$ , with  $\Lambda^\mu_\nu$  a proper and orthochronous Lorentz transformation.

<sup>4</sup>An inertial reference frame satisfies  $\dot{D}I = 0$ . See [6] for details.

<sup>5</sup> $\bigwedge^p T^*M$  denotes the bundle of  $p$ -forms,  $\bigwedge T^*M = \bigoplus_{p=0}^4 \bigwedge^p T^*M$  is the bundle of multiform fields,  $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$  denotes the Clifford bundle of differential forms. The symbol  $\sec$  means section. All ‘tricks of the trade’ necessary for performing the calculations of the present paper are described in [6].

field  $\mathring{g}^{-1}$ . Our second assumption is that there are a *plastic distortion field* described by an extensor field  $h : \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \rightarrow \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M$  that distorts the cosmic lattice represented by the  $\gamma^a$  producing the fields  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$  and thus inducing on  $M$  effective Lorentzian metric fields on  $T^*M$  and  $TM$ , respectively  $\mathbf{g} \in \sec T_0^2 M$  and  $\mathbf{g} \in \sec T_2^0 M$  and such that the associated extensor fields are

$$g = h^\dagger h, \dots g^{-1} = h^{-1} h^{\dagger-1}. \quad (2)$$

It is useful for application of the formalism we have in mind to suppose that the metric field  $\mathbf{g}$  is the pullback under a diffeomorphism of a metric field  $\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \sec T_2^0 M$  according to the following scheme:

$$\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h}^* \boldsymbol{\eta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \theta^\alpha \otimes \theta^\beta \quad (3)$$

where

$$\theta^\alpha := \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{g}^\alpha = h^{-1}(\gamma^\alpha) \quad (4)$$

$$\boldsymbol{\eta} := \eta_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{g}^\alpha \otimes \mathbf{g}^\beta \quad (5)$$

$$g(\theta^\alpha) \cdot \theta^\beta = h(\theta^\alpha) \cdot h(\theta^\beta) = \gamma^\alpha \cdot \gamma^\beta = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \quad (6)$$

Once the Levi-Civita connection  $D$  of  $\mathbf{g}$  is introduced in the game, it is possible to show that the deformed gravitational fields  $\theta^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{g}^\alpha$  satisfy Maxwell like field equations (which follows from the variational principle). Moreover, in our theory each nontrivial gravitational field configuration, i.e., one from which not *all* the  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$  are exact differentials can be interpreted as generating an effective Lorentzian spacetime  $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_g, \uparrow) \simeq (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \eta, \overset{\eta}{D} = \mathbf{h}^{*-1} D, \tau_\eta, \uparrow)$  where  $\mathbf{g}$  satisfies Einstein equation<sup>6</sup>.

Recall next that it is a physicist dream to construct a quantum theory for the gravitational field, where the quanta of the field are the so called gravitons. In such (yet to be constructed) theory the gravitational field is supposed to be represented by a distribution valued symmetric field operator acting on the Hilbert space of the system. Classically that field is represented by a symmetric tensor (distribution)

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^\alpha \otimes \vartheta^\beta \in \sec T_0^2 M, \quad (7)$$

where<sup>7</sup>  $\vartheta^\mu := dx^\mu \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}})$ , with  $\{x^\mu\}$  arbitrary coordinates covering  $U \subset M$ . Such a general field, as it is well known [1, 10] carries a direct sum of irreducible representations of the Lorentz group, one carrying spin two, one carrying spin one and two carrying spin zero. If the restriction<sup>8</sup>

$$\overset{\circ}{D}_\alpha \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha = 0, \quad (8)$$

---

<sup>6</sup>Or by an effective teleparallel spacetime, see [7], the arXiv version.

<sup>7</sup>Given arbitrary coordinate functions  $\{x^\mu\}$  covering  $U \subset M$  with coordinates  $\{x^\mu\}$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^\mu(\mathbf{e}) = x^\mu$ , we write, as usual,  $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$  for the coordinate tangent vector fields and  $\{dx^\mu\}$  for the coordinate cotangent covectors.

<sup>8</sup>In [7] we show explicitly how to determine the extensor field  $h$  once  $\mathbf{g}$  is known in a given basis.

(where  $\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha := \dot{g}^{\alpha\kappa} \mathbf{h}_{\kappa\beta}$ ,  $\mathbf{g}^\circ = \dot{g}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^\alpha \otimes \vartheta^\beta$ ) is imposed to the field it carries only the irreducible representations with spin 2 and one with spin zero of the Lorentz group. This restriction is the one appropriated for the description of gravitons with non null mass  $m$ .

Here we introduce the main purpose of this paper, which is to investigate (using the Clifford bundle formalism) the consequences of the *ansatz*:

$$\mathbf{g}^\alpha := \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \vartheta^\beta = h_\beta^\alpha \gamma^\beta. \quad (9)$$

We then show how to derive from our Lagrangian theory the *exact* wave equation for the graviton field and we obtain a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime.

We also ask the question: does Eq.(8) fix any gauge condition for the field  $\mathbf{g}$  of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure  $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_g, \uparrow)$  that is a well defined functional of the field  $\mathbf{h}$  in our theory? We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priori, as it is the case in General Relativity (GR). Thus, writing  $\mathbf{g} = g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}$  we do not need, e.g., to fix in our theory Logunov gauge condition

$$\overset{\circ}{D}_\gamma \left( \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0, \quad (10)$$

which, is indeed a result of a postulate in Logunov's theory [3, 4]. Since Logunov thinks that Eq.(10) is very important, since according to him it fixes a *unique* solution of Einstein equations<sup>9</sup> once a matter distribution and a *coordinate chart* are given, thus eliminating (possible) ambiguities in predictions of experiments, we discuss briefly this issue.

## 2 The Exact Wave Equation for the $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$

We recall that the dynamics of the fields  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$  in a region of  $M$  is given by

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}} + \mathcal{L}_m^{\mathbf{M}}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_m^{\mathbf{M}}$  is the Lagrangian density of the matter fields and

$$\mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}} = -\frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star d\mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \delta\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star \delta\mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} m^2 \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star \mathbf{g}^\alpha, \quad (12)$$

is invariant under local Lorentz transformations<sup>10</sup>, which is a kind of gauge freedom, a crucial ingredient of our theory, as showed in [7].

<sup>9</sup>Even for the case of a zero mass graviton.

<sup>10</sup>We observe that various coefficients in Eq.(12) have been selected in order for  $\mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}$  to be invariant under arbitrary local Lorentz transformations. This means, as the reader may verify that under the transformation  $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{a}} \mapsto u \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{a}} u^{-1}$ ,  $u \in \sec \text{Spin}_{1,3}^e(M, \mathbf{g}) \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{Cl}(M, \mathbf{g})$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}$  is invariant modulo an exact form.

The  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$  couple universally to the matter fields in such a way that the energy momentum 1-form of the matter fields are given by

$$\star_\eta \mathfrak{T}_M^\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m^M}{\partial \mathbf{g}_\alpha}. \quad (13)$$

Each one of the fields  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$  in Eq.(12) resembles a potential of an electromagnetic field. Indeed, the first term is of the Yang-Mills type, the second term is a kind of gauge fixing term (analogous to the *Lorenz* condition for the gauge potential of the electromagnetic potential), and more important, even if it is true that

$$\delta_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = 0, \quad (14)$$

is equivalent to the condition given by Eq.(8) since given the coordinates functions  $\{\mathbf{x}^\mu\}$  for  $U \subset M$ ,  $\mathbf{x}^\mu(\mathfrak{e}) = x^\mu$  we have  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha = \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dx^\beta$  (and  $\mathring{\mathbf{g}} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$ ) it is<sup>11</sup>

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha &= -\mathring{\phi}_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = -dx^\kappa \mathring{\perp}_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \left( \mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dx^\beta \right) \\ &= -\mathring{D}_\kappa (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \mathring{g}^{\kappa\beta}) = -\mathring{D}_\kappa (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \mathring{g}^{\kappa\beta}) \\ &= -\mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\kappa} = -\mathring{g}^{\alpha\lambda} \mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}_\lambda^\kappa = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

in *general* we have

$$\delta_\eta \mathbf{g}^\alpha \neq 0. \quad (16)$$

Also, the third term in the Lagrangian density is a self-interacting term, which is proportional to the square of the total ‘vorticity’  $\Omega = d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha$  associated to the 1-form fields  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$ . This shows that in the Lagrangian density the  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha$  does *not* couple with the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field<sup>12</sup>, which according to the Lagrangian formalism is given by  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^M}{\partial \mathbf{g}^\alpha}$ . We finally recall that as showed in details in [7]  $\mathcal{L}_g := \mathbf{h}^{*-1} \mathcal{L}_g^M$  has the same form of  $\mathcal{L}_g^M$  with the substitutions  $\mathbf{g}^\alpha \mapsto \theta^\alpha$  and  $\star_\eta \mapsto \star_\mathfrak{g}$  and differs (when the graviton mass is null) from the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian by an exact differential.

Also, as showed in details. e.g., in [6, 8] variation of  $\int \mathcal{L}_g^M$  produces the following equations of motions

$$d \star_\eta \mathcal{S}^\alpha + \star_\eta \mathfrak{t}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_\eta \mathbf{g}^\alpha = - \star_\eta \mathfrak{T}^\alpha \quad (17)$$

with  $\star_\eta \mathfrak{t}^\kappa \in \sec \bigwedge^3 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}})$  and  $\star_\eta \mathcal{S}^\kappa \in \sec \bigwedge^2 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}})$

<sup>11</sup>As usual we put  $\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dx^\beta) := (\mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha) dx^\beta$ .

<sup>12</sup>On this respect see the discussion of [12].

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \star_{\eta} \mathbf{t}^{\kappa} &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial \mathbf{g}_{\kappa}} = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha}) \wedge \star_{\eta} d\mathbf{g}_{\alpha} - d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \wedge (\mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \lrcorner \star_{\eta} d\mathbf{g}_{\alpha})] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} d \left( \mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \lrcorner \star_{\frac{\eta}{g}} \mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \right) \wedge \star_{\eta} d \star_{\eta} \mathbf{g}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \left( \mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \lrcorner d \star_{\eta} \mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \right) \wedge \star_{\eta} d \star_{\eta} \mathbf{g}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \wedge \star_{\eta} (d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\alpha}) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\alpha} \wedge \left[ \mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \lrcorner \star_{\eta} (d\mathbf{g}^{\iota} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\iota}) \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[ \mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \lrcorner (d\mathbf{g}^{\iota} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\iota}) \right] \wedge \star_{\eta} (d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\alpha}), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

$$\star_{\eta} \mathcal{S}_M^{\kappa} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial d\mathbf{g}_{\kappa}} = -\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \wedge \star_{\eta} (d\mathbf{g}_{\alpha} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\kappa}) + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{g}_{\kappa} \wedge \star_{\eta} (d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\alpha}). \quad (19)$$

For what follows we need also the following equivalent expression for the  $\star_{\eta} \mathcal{S}^{\kappa}$  obtained, e.g., in [8],

$$\star_{\eta} \mathcal{S}^{\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} \star_{\eta} \left[ -(\mathbf{g}_{\alpha} \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha}) \wedge \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} - (\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}_{\alpha}) \wedge \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} + (\mathbf{g}^{\kappa} \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^{\alpha}) \wedge \mathbf{g}_{\alpha} - d\mathbf{g}^{\kappa} \right]. \quad (20)$$

We write moreover

$$\star_{\eta} \mathcal{S}^{\kappa} = -\frac{1}{2} \star_{\eta} d\mathbf{g}^{\kappa} + \star_{\eta} \mathfrak{K}^{\kappa} \quad (21)$$

and insert this result in Eq.(17) obtaining:

$$-\frac{1}{2} d \star_{\eta} d\mathbf{g}^{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\eta} \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} = -\star_{\eta} \left( \mathfrak{t}^{\kappa} + \mathfrak{T}^{\kappa} + \star_{\eta}^{-1} d \star_{\eta} \mathfrak{K}^{\kappa} \right) \quad (22)$$

Before proceeding we recall that we have the conservation law

$$d \star_{\eta} \left( \mathfrak{t}^{\kappa} + \mathfrak{T}^{\kappa} + \star_{\eta}^{-1} d \star_{\eta} \mathfrak{K}^{\kappa} - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\eta} \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} \right) = 0, \quad (23)$$

We now add the null term  $-\frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathbf{g}^{\kappa}$  to both members Eq.(22) and next apply the operator  $\star_{\eta}^{-1}$  to both sides of that equation, thus obtaining the equivalent equation:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \delta d\mathbf{g}^{\kappa} - \frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} = - \left( \mathfrak{t}^{\kappa} + \mathfrak{T}^{\kappa} + \delta \mathfrak{K}^{\kappa} + \frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} \right) \quad (24)$$

We now recall the definition of the Hodge D'Alembertian, which in the Clifford bundle formalism is the square of the Dirac operator  $\phi := \vartheta^{\alpha} \overset{\eta}{D}_{e_{\alpha}}$  acting on sections of the Clifford bundle [6], i.e.,

$$\overset{\eta}{\Diamond} \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} := (-\delta d - d\delta) \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} = \phi^2 \mathbf{g}^{\kappa} \quad (25)$$

and recall moreover the following nontrivial decomposition [6] of  $\dot{\phi}^2$ ,

$$\dot{\phi}^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa = \dot{\phi} \cdot \dot{\phi} \mathbf{g}^\kappa + \dot{\phi} \wedge \dot{\phi} \mathbf{g}^\kappa, \quad (26)$$

where  $\dot{\phi} \cdot \dot{\phi} := \dot{\phi} \cdot \dot{\phi}$  is the *covariant D'Alembertian* and  $\dot{\phi} \wedge \dot{\phi}$  is the *Ricci operator associated to the Levi-Civita connection  $\overset{\eta}{D}$  of  $\eta$* . Moreover, we have

$$\dot{\phi} \wedge \dot{\phi} \mathbf{g}_\kappa = \mathcal{R}_\kappa = R_{\kappa\ell} \vartheta^\ell, \quad (27)$$

where  $\mathcal{R}_\kappa \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \dot{\mathbf{g}})$  are the Ricci 1-form fields and  $R_{\kappa\ell}$  are the components of the Ricci tensor.

This permits us to rewrite Eq.(24) as

$$\frac{1}{2} \dot{\phi} \cdot \dot{\phi} \mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa = -\mathfrak{T}^\kappa - \mathfrak{t}^\kappa - \delta_\eta \mathfrak{K}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} d \delta_\eta \mathbf{g}^\kappa - \mathcal{R}^\kappa = -\mathbf{T}^\kappa. \quad (28)$$

Thus, writing

$$\mathbf{g}_\kappa = \mathbf{h}_{\kappa\ell} dx^\ell, \quad \mathbf{T}_\kappa = \mathbf{T}_{\kappa\ell} dx^\ell, \quad (29)$$

and taking into account that [6]

$$\dot{\phi} \cdot \dot{\phi} \mathbf{g}_\kappa = (g^{\alpha\beta} \overset{\eta}{D}_\alpha \overset{\eta}{D}_\beta \mathbf{h}_{\kappa\ell}) dx^\ell \quad (30)$$

we get from Eq.(28)

$$g^{\alpha\beta} \overset{\eta}{D}_\alpha \overset{\eta}{D}_\beta \mathbf{h}_{\kappa\ell} + m^2 \mathbf{h}_{\kappa\ell} = -2 \mathbf{T}_{\kappa\ell}, \quad (31)$$

which is in our theory a possible form for the (covariant) equation for the graviton field in *Minkowski spacetime*. The last statement follows because  $\overset{\eta}{D}_\alpha$  can be easily be expressed in terms of the  $\dot{D}_\alpha$  using the formulas of the Appendix.

We immediately observe that since the first member of Eq.(31) defines a symmetric tensor, then  $\mathbf{T}_{\kappa\ell}$  must be the components of a symmetric tensor<sup>13</sup>, the total (*symmetric*) energy-momentum 1-forms of the matter plus the gravitational field.

**Remark 1** *If we recall that [6] for an arbitrary  $L \in \sec \bigwedge^p T^*M$ ,  $J \in \sec \bigwedge^r T^*M$ ,  $p \leq r$ , we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{h}^* L &= \mathbf{h}^* dL \\ \star_g d \star_g \mathbf{h}^* L &= \mathbf{h}^* \star_\eta d \star_\eta L, \\ \mathbf{h}^* L \lrcorner_\eta \mathbf{h}^* J &= \mathbf{h}^* (L \lrcorner_\eta J), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

---

<sup>13</sup>Recall that as well known [14]  $\mathbf{t}_{\kappa\ell}$  is not symmetric.

we can immediately write from Eq.(22) that

$$-\frac{1}{2}d\star_g d\theta^\kappa + \frac{1}{2}m^2\star_g \theta^\kappa = -\star_g \left( t^\kappa + T^\kappa + \star_g^{-1}d\star_g K^\kappa \right) \quad (33)$$

and also

$$\delta_g \left( T^\kappa + t^\kappa + \delta_g K^\kappa - \frac{1}{2}m^2\theta^\kappa \right) = 0, \quad (34)$$

where

$$\theta^\kappa = \mathbf{h}^* \mathfrak{g}^\kappa, \quad t_g^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^*(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \delta_\eta \mathfrak{K}^\kappa) = t^\kappa + \delta_\eta K^\kappa, \quad T^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathfrak{T}^\alpha. \quad (35)$$

**Remark 2** Eq.(34) express as we already anticipated a reliable conservation law for the total energy-momentum of the matter plus the gravitational field. However, take notice that in GR this result depends on the fixing of a cotetrad basis and changing it by a local Lorentz transformation changes accordingly the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field. In fact this last result has already been known since the work<sup>14</sup> of Møller [11]. Moreover, we see that imposing the Lorenz type gauge  $\delta_g \theta^\kappa = 0 = \delta_\eta \mathfrak{g}^\kappa$  to the dynamic gravitational fields amounts to exclude the graviton energy density from the conservation law.

### 3 Which Gauge to Use for $g$ in the Effective Lorentzian Spacetime?

We can, of course, write Eq.(17) equivalently as:

$$d\star_g S^\alpha + \star_g t^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}m^2\star_g \theta^\alpha = -\star_g T^\alpha, \quad (36)$$

with

$$S^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathcal{S}^\alpha, \quad t^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathfrak{t}^\alpha, \quad \theta^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad T^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathcal{T}^\alpha \quad (37)$$

which is a valid equation for an *arbitrary* coframe basis, not only for the  $g$  orthonormal ones.

So, let us examine the structure of Eq.(36) in a coordinate basis  $\{\vartheta^\mu = dx^\mu\}$ . We immediately see that a conservation law (distinct from the previous one established above)<sup>15</sup> in the effective Lorentzian spacetime structure (*excluding* the energy associated with the graviton mass) exists for  $\star_g(T^\mu + t^\mu)$  if

$$\delta_g \vartheta^\mu = 0. \quad (38)$$

---

<sup>14</sup>Which however did not use the present crystal clear formalism.

<sup>15</sup>Recall that in GR Eq.(36) implies in a pseudo conservation law because in that theory (without a Minkowski spacetime interpretation, as here)  $S^\alpha$  are expressed in terms of connection -forms of the Levi-Civita connection of  $g$  and thus are not *indexed* forms. Details may be found in [8].



This of course, implies that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -d\delta x^\mu - \delta dx^\mu = 0, \quad (39)$$

i.e., the coordinates must be *harmonic*.

Now, if

$$D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}, D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = -\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu \vartheta^\rho \quad (40)$$

we have

$$\delta \vartheta^\mu = -\vartheta^\nu \lrcorner D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = \vartheta^\nu \lrcorner (\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu \vartheta^\alpha) = \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0, \quad (41)$$

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0 \quad (42)$$

Now, if  $\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\alpha} - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\alpha} \\ &= \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and since  $D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) = 0$  we get that

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\alpha} \quad (43)$$

In particular in a coordinate basis  $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$ , where  $\{x^\mu\}$  are global coordinates for  $M$  in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge<sup>16</sup> where the connection coefficients  $\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu = 0$  ( $\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\nu\mu}^\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}$ ,  $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ ) we have

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})}\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})}\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}) = 0$$

Also, for arbitrary *non* harmonic coordinates functions  $\{x^\mu\}$  we get ( $\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}$ ,  $D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}$ ) that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} - K_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu}. \quad (44)$$

Now, observe that the so called *Logunov gauge condition* (Eq.(10))

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) = 0.$$

---

<sup>16</sup>Recall that the energy-momentum conservation law of any *Lorentz invariant* field theory is unambiguously formulated global coordinates in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge.

does *not* imply that the coordinates are harmonic ones, for we have (using the formulas in the Appendix)

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) = D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\nu}) + K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\kappa} = K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\kappa} \quad (45)$$

and thus

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(-\det(g_{\mu\nu})g^{\mu\nu}) = 0 \Rightarrow K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\kappa} = 0, \quad (46)$$

and we see that under those conditions<sup>17</sup> the *allowed* coordinate functions must always satisfy the constraint:

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu}. \quad (47)$$

Finally we comment that Logunov [3, 4] imposed Eq.(10) as a gauge condition in his theory because he *postulated* (differently from what is the case here, see below)) that the relation between  $g^{\alpha\beta}$  and the gravitational field  $\mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}$  is given by

$$\varkappa g^{\alpha\beta} := \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (48)$$

Now, the second member of Eq.(48) implies immediately taking into account Eq.(8) that  $\mathring{D}_\alpha(\chi g^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$  and thus from the second line in Eq.(73) (in Appendix) we get that  $\mathring{D}_\alpha(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ .

In our theory, defining  $\{\vartheta_\mu\}$  as the *reciprocal* basis of  $\{\vartheta^\mu\}$  relative to  $\mathbf{g}$ , i.e.,  $\mathbf{g}(\vartheta_\mu, \vartheta^\nu) = \delta_\mu^\nu$  we can write directly from Eq.(4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} h(\gamma_\alpha) \otimes h(\gamma_\beta) \\ &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} h_\alpha^\mu h_\beta^\nu \phi_\mu^\kappa \phi_\nu^\iota \vartheta_\kappa \otimes \vartheta_\iota \\ &= g^{\kappa\iota} \vartheta_\kappa \otimes \vartheta_\iota, \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and so differently from Logunov's theory we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa g^{\kappa\iota} &= \varkappa \eta^{\alpha\beta} \phi_\alpha^\kappa \phi_\beta^\iota, \\ \phi_\alpha^\kappa &= h_\alpha^\mu \phi_\mu^\kappa \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

from where it follows that there is no need to impose a priori any gauge condition (as it is the case in GR) for the "metric" field  $\mathbf{g}$  of the effective Lorentzian spacetime.

## 4 Conclusions

We proved that if gravitation is to be described by a massive graviton field living in Minkowski spacetime which is represented by a symmetric tensor field  $\mathbf{h}$

---

<sup>17</sup>The ones in Logunov theory.

carrying the representations of spin *two* and *zero* of the Lorentz group and thus satisfying the gauge condition given by Eq.(8) the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure that represents the field of a given energy-momentum distribution is such that the field  $\mathbf{g}$  solving the effective Einstein-Hilbert equation (with cosmological constant) does *not* need to satisfy in general any fixed gauge a priori, as it is the case in GR. We showed moreover that the Logunov gauge condition  $\mathring{D}_\gamma \left( \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$  cannot be deduced (in our theory without ad hoc hypothesis and in Logunov's theory it is indeed the result of a postulate, which we proved restricts the allowed coordinate functions to the ones satisfying Eq.(47). Moreover, we proved that the gauge condition given by Eq.(8) is essential in order to get a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime. Logunov thought that the importance of the gauge condition  $\mathring{D}_\gamma \left( \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$  in determining the effective Lorentzian spacetime generated by an energy-momentum distribution can be seen from the following example [3, 4]. Let  $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$  be the usual spherical coordinates in Minkowski spacetime.

If we try to solve the (effective) Einstein-Hilbert equations in the zero mass graviton case) for the field generated by a point mass at the origin of the coordinate system we get immediately that the following “metric” fields are solutions of those equations,

$$\mathbf{g}_s = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt \otimes dt - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr \otimes dr - r^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \quad (51)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_i = & \left( \frac{r + \lambda - m}{r + \lambda + m} \right) dt \otimes dt - \left( \frac{r + \lambda + m}{r + \lambda - m} \right) dr \otimes dr \\ & - (r + \lambda + m)^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

with  $\lambda$  an arbitrary real parameter. Now, both solutions have the same asymptotic behavior when  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . Which one should we use for the descriptions of physical processes? Note that  $\mathbf{g}_s$  and  $\mathbf{g}_i$  are *not* diffeomorphically equivalent since both metrics are given in the *same* coordinate basis. So, according to Logunov to fix the metric we need an additional criterion and such criterion does not exist in GR. Only the metric  $\mathbf{g}_i$  when  $\lambda = 0$  satisfies Logunov gauge condition, and this author claims that this is the *only* one that fits correctly all known data on solar system experiments. We find hard to believe that astronomers methods for determining the coordinates of their probes always fix these coordinates as being the spherical coordinates of Minkowski spacetime. But who knows, for sure if this is not the case? The question merits to be investigated if Logunov claim is indeed correct.

A last comment is in order. We start our considerations by postulating that the distortion field  $h$  is symmetric since it has been constructed from the symmetric tensor field  $\mathbf{h}$ . However, from the general theory of plastic deformations of the Lorentz vacuum presented in [2] it is quite clear that we can construct

symmetric metric tensor fields associated to non symmetric  $h$  fields<sup>18</sup>. This observation shows that the quantum theory of the gravitational field must be more complex than one where the  $\mathbf{g}$  field is supposed to arise from the existence of a symmetric graviton field. We will return to this issue in another publication.

### Appendix

Let  $(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D})$  and  $(M, \mathbf{g}, D)$  be the two Lorentzian structures<sup>19</sup> on the same manifold  $M$  such that

$$\overset{\circ}{D}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = 0, D\mathbf{g} = 0, \quad (53)$$

with the *ammetry* of  $D$  relative to  $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$  being given by:

$$\mathbf{Q} := -D\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}.$$

Let moreover the connection coefficients of  $\overset{\circ}{D}$  and  $D$  in arbitrary coordinates  $\{x^\mu\}$  covering  $U \subset M$  be:

$$\overset{\circ}{D}_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, D_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, \quad (54)$$

and

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = -D_\alpha \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\sigma}, \quad (55)$$

Define the components of the *strain tensor* of the connection  $D$  by:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - 2\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (56)$$

Then

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\mu} S_{\alpha\sigma}^\mu), \quad (57)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \overset{\circ}{g}^{\rho\sigma}(Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} - Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta}). \quad (58)$$

Also,

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} = S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + S_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + S_{\beta\sigma\alpha}, \quad (59)$$

where  $S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{\rho\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho$ .

Putting

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (60)$$

we have

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{g}^{\rho\sigma}(D_\alpha \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta \overset{\circ}{g}_{\sigma\alpha} - D_\sigma \overset{\circ}{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \quad (61)$$

The relation between the curvature tensor  $R_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta}$  associated with the connection  $D$  and the Riemann curvature tensor  $\overset{\circ}{R}_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta}$  of the Levi-Civita connection  $\overset{\circ}{D}$  associated with the metric  $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$  are given by:

$$R_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} = \overset{\circ}{R}_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} + J_\mu{}^\rho{}_{[\alpha\beta]}, \quad (62)$$

---

<sup>18</sup>In [2] it is directly derived from the variational principle and an appropriate Lagrangian the field equations for the plastic extensor field  $h$ .

<sup>19</sup>More general formulas relating two arbitrary general connections may be found, e.g., in [6, 13].

where:

$$J_\mu^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} = \dot{D}_\alpha K_{\beta\mu}^\rho - K_{\beta\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma = D_\alpha K_{\beta\mu}^\rho - K_{\alpha\sigma}^\rho K_{\beta\mu}^\sigma + K_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma K_{\sigma\mu}^\rho. \quad (63)$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(62) by  $\frac{1}{2}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta$  we get for the curvature 2-forms of the two connections  $D$  and  $\dot{D}$ :

$$\mathcal{R}_\mu^\rho = \dot{\mathcal{R}}_\mu^\rho + \mathfrak{J}_\mu^\rho, \quad (64)$$

where we have written:

$$\mathfrak{J}_\mu^\rho = \frac{1}{2} J_\mu^\rho{}_{[\alpha\beta]} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta. \quad (65)$$

The relation between the Ricci tensors<sup>20</sup> of the connections  $D$  and  $\dot{D}$  is:

$$R_{\mu\alpha} = \dot{R}_{\mu\alpha} + J_{\mu\alpha}, \quad (66)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu\alpha} &= \dot{D}_\alpha K_{\rho\mu}^\rho - \dot{D}_\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\rho + K_{\alpha\sigma}^\rho K_{\rho\mu}^\sigma - K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma \\ &= D_\alpha K_{\rho\mu}^\rho - D_\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\rho - K_{\sigma\alpha}^\rho K_{\rho\mu}^\sigma + K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

Recall that the connection  $\dot{D}$  plays with respect to the tensor field  $\mathbf{g}$  a role analogous to that played by the connection  $D$  with respect to the metric tensor  $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$  and in consequence we shall have similar equations relating these two pairs of objects. In particular, the strain of  $\dot{D}$  with respect to  $\mathbf{g}$  equals the negative of the strain of  $D$  with respect to  $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ , since we have:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\rho - \dot{b}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = -(\dot{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \dot{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\rho - b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho),$$

where  $b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \dot{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \dot{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\rho$  and  $\dot{b}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\rho$ . Furthermore, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho &= -\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}^{\rho\sigma} (D_\alpha \dot{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta \dot{g}_{\alpha\sigma} - D_\sigma \dot{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} (\dot{D}_\alpha g_{\beta\sigma} + \dot{D}_\beta g_{\alpha\sigma} - \dot{D}_\sigma g_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Now, recall that given arbitrary coordinates  $\{x^\alpha\}$  covering  $U \subset M$  and  $\{x'^\alpha\}$  covering covering  $V \subset M$  ( $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ ) a set of functions  $A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha)$  is said to be the components of a relative tensor field of type  $\begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}$  and weight  $w$  if under the coordinate transformation  $x^\alpha \mapsto x'^\beta$  with Jacobian  $J = \det \left( \frac{\partial x'^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta} \right)$  these functions transform as [5]

$$A_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_s}^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}(x'^\beta) = J^w \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_r}}{\partial x^{\mu_r}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu_1}}{\partial x^{\kappa_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x^{\nu_s}}{\partial x^{\kappa_s}} A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha). \quad (69)$$

---

<sup>20</sup>For the Ricci tensor  $Ricci = R_{\mu\alpha} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\alpha$ , we use the convention  $R_{\mu\alpha} := R_{\mu}{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\rho}$ .

The covariant derivative of a relative tensor field relative to a given arbitrary connection  $\nabla$  defined in  $M$  such that  $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}} dx^\mu = -\mathbf{L}_{\nu\alpha}^\mu dx^\alpha$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\kappa A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa} A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} + \mathbf{L}_{\kappa}^{\mu_p} A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{p-1} \mu_{p+1} \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p-1} \mu_{p+1} \dots \mu_r} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{L}_{\nu_q \kappa}^\mu A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{q-1} \mu \nu_{q+1} \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{q-1} \mu_{q+1} \dots \mu_r} - w \mathbf{L}_{\kappa\sigma}^\sigma A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_\alpha(\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}) &= \partial_\gamma \left( \sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})} \right) - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})} = 0, \\ \mathring{D}_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}} \right) + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}} = 0, \\ D_\alpha(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}) &= \partial_\gamma \left( \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} \right) - \Gamma_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} = 0, \\ \mathring{D}_\alpha \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} \right) + \Gamma_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

Now, if we define

$$\varkappa := \sqrt{\frac{\det(g_{\mu\nu})}{\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}}, \quad (72)$$

we can easily prove the the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} D_\sigma \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \mathring{D}_\sigma g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\varkappa} \partial_\sigma(\varkappa) \\ g^{\alpha\beta} K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho &= -\frac{1}{\varkappa} \mathring{D}_\sigma(\varkappa g^{\rho\sigma}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} \mathring{D}_\sigma(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\rho\sigma}) \\ \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho &= \frac{1}{\varkappa^{-1}} D_\sigma(\varkappa^{-1} \mathring{g}^{\rho\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (73)$$

Another useful formulas valid for our *particular* connections  $\mathring{D}$  and  $D$  are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_\alpha K_{\rho\beta}^\rho &= \mathring{D}_\beta K_{\rho\alpha}^\rho \\ D_\alpha K_{\rho\beta}^\rho &= D_\beta K_{\rho\alpha}^\rho. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

## References

- [1] Barnes, K. J., Lagrangian Theory for the Second-Rank Tensor Field, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 788-794 (1965).
- [2] Fernández, V.V., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., *Gravitation as a Plastic Distortion of the Lorentz Vacuum* (in preparation, 2009).
- [3] Logunov, A. A., Mestvirishvili, M., *The Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, Mir Publ., Moscow, 1989.

- [4] Logunov, A. A., *Relativistic Theory of Gravity*, Nova Science Publ., New York, 1999.
- [5] Lovelock, D., and Rund, H., *Tensors, Differential Forms, and Variational Principles*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.
- [6] Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., and Oliveira, E. Capelas, *The Many Faces of Maxwell, Dirac and Einstein Equations. A Clifford Bundle Approach*. Lecture Notes in Physics **722**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2007.
- [7] Notte-Cuello, E. A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., A Maxwell Like Formulation of the Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **16**, 1027-1041 (2007). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0612098v3](#)]
- [8] Notte-Cuello, E. A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., Freud's Identity of Differential Geometry, the Einstein-Hilbert Equations and the Vexatious Problem of the Energy-Momentum Conservation in GR, *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* **19**, 113-145 (2009). [[arXiv:0801.2559v4\[math-physics\]](#)]
- [9] Frankel T., *The Geometry of Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [10] Fronsdal, C., Massless Fields with Integer Spin, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 3624-3629 (1978).
- [11] Møller, C., Further Remarks on the Localization of the Energy in the General Theory of Relativity, *Ann. Phys.* **12**, 118-133 (1961).
- [12] Padmanabhan T., *From Gravitons to Gravity: Myths and Reality*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **17**, 367-398 (2008). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0409089v1](#)]
- [13] Souza, Q. A. G. and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., The Dirac Operator and the Structure of Riemann-Cartan-Weyl Spaces, in Letelier, P. A. , and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr. (eds.), *Gravitation: The Spacetime Structure*, Proc. of the 8th Latin American Symposium on Relativity and Gravitation, Aguas de Lindóia, July 1993, World Sci., Singapore (1994).
- [14] Thirring, W., *A Course in Mathematical Physics*, vol.2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [15] Zorawski, M., *Theorie Mathematiques des Dislocations*, Dunod, Paris, 1967.