

Gauge Fixing in the Maxwell Like Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime and in the Equivalent Lorentzian Spacetime

Roldão da Rocha⁽¹⁾ and Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr.⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição

Universidade Federal do ABC, 09210-170, Santo André, SP, Brazil

roldao.rocha@ufabc.edu.br

⁽²⁾Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation

IMECC-UNICAMP CP 6065

13083-859 Campinas, SP, Brazil

walrod@ime.unicamp.br or walrod@mpc.com.br

February 6, 2020

Abstract

In a previous paper we investigate a Lagrangian field theory for the gravitational field (which is there represented by a section $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$ of the orthonormal coframe bundle over Minkowski spacetime $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$. Such theory, under appropriate conditions, has been proved to be equivalent to a Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ where the metric tensor \mathbf{g} satisfies Einstein field equations. Here, we first recall that according to quantum field theory ideas gravitation is described by a Lagrangian theory of a possible *massive graviton field* (generated by matter fields and coupling also to itself) living in Minkowski spacetime. The graviton field is moreover supposed to be represented by a symmetric tensor field \mathbf{h} carrying the representations of spin two and zero of the Lorentz group. Such a field, then (as it is well known), must necessarily satisfy the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) below. Next, we introduce an *ansatz* relating \mathbf{h} to the 1-form fields $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$. Then, using the Clifford bundle formalism we derive, from our Lagrangian theory, the exact wave equation for the graviton and investigate the role of the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) in obtaining a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime. Finally we ask the question: does Eq.(3) fix any gauge condition for the field \mathbf{g} of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ that represents the field \mathbf{h} in our theory? We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priori, as is the case in General Relativity. Moreover we investigate under which conditions we may fix Logunov gauge condition $\overset{\circ}{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$.

1 Introduction

In a previous paper [6], using the Clifford bundle formalism, a Lagrangian theory of the gravitational field — as field in the Faraday sense, i.e., an object of the same ontology as the electromagnetic field living on a Minkowski spacetime structure $\mathbf{M} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$ — has been formulated¹. The theory has been constructed on two assumptions. The first one is that the gravitational field is represented by an orthonormal coframe $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$, with $\mathbf{g}^\alpha \in \sec T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$, which dynamics is encoded in Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_m^{\mathbf{M}}$ (see Eq.(8) below) which is of the Yang-Mills type (containing moreover a gauge fixing term and an auto interaction term related to the “vorticity” of the fields). The theory is invariant under diffeomorphisms and under local Lorentz transformations of the orthonormal coframe $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$. The gravitational field couples universally with the matter fields, and in such a way that the coupling, resulting from the presence of energy-momentum due to matter fields in some region of Minkowski spacetime, distorts the Lorentz vacuum² in much the same way that stresses in an elastic body produces deformations in it. Our second assumption is that this *distortion*, which is described by a diffeomorphism \mathbf{h} , permits the introduction of a new metric field $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h}^* \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ in M , which is the analogous of the Cauchy-Green tensor [8] of elasticity theory. Once the Levi-Civita connection D of \mathbf{g} is introduced in the game, it is possible to show that the Maxwell like field equations for the deformed gravitational fields $\theta^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{g}^\alpha$ (which follows from the variational principle) imply that \mathbf{g} satisfies Einstein-Hilbert equations. Thus, in our theory each nontrivial gravitational field configuration, i.e., one from which not all \mathbf{g}^α are exact differentials, can be interpreted as generating an effective Lorentzian spacetime $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$, where \mathbf{g} satisfies Einstein equations³.

To present the problem that we want to study in this paper, we first introduce some notation. Let $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{x'^\mu\}$ be two sets of global coordinates⁴ for M in the Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge associated to arbitrary inertial reference frames⁵ $I = \partial/\partial x^0$, $I' = \partial/\partial x'^0$, with $I, I' \in \sec TM$. Let $\{\partial/\partial x^\mu\}$, $\{\partial/\partial x'^\mu\}$ be orthonormal basis for TM and $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$, $\{\gamma'^\mu = dx'^\mu\}$ the corresponding dual basis for T^*M . We take⁶ $\gamma^\mu, \gamma'^\mu \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$. Of course,

¹Minkowski spacetime will be called Lorentz vacuum, in what follows. Moreover, in the 5-uple $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$, $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ is a Minkowski metric, $\overset{\circ}{D}$ is its Levi-Civita connection, $\tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}$ is the volume element defining a global orientation, and \uparrow refers to a time orientation. The objects in the Lorentzian spacetime structure $\mathbf{L} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ have similar meanings. In what follows $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure \mathbf{M} . If $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \overset{\circ}{g}^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$ then $\overset{\circ}{g}^{\kappa\iota} \overset{\circ}{g}_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$. Also \mathbf{g} denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure \mathbf{L} and if $\mathbf{g} = g^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$ and $\mathbf{g} = g_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$, then $g^{\kappa\iota} g_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$. More details, if needed are given, e.g., in [5].

²A region of Minkowski spacetime devoid of matter fields will be called Lorentz vacuum.

³Or by an effective teleparallel spacetime, see [6].

⁴If $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{x'^\mu\}$ are global coordinate functions in the Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge, the coordinates of $\mathbf{e} \in M$ are $\{x^\mu\} := \{x^\mu(\mathbf{e})\}$, $\{x'^\mu\} := \{x'^\mu(\mathbf{e})\}$ and $x'^\mu = \Lambda^\mu_\nu x^\nu$, with Λ^μ_ν a proper and orthochronous Lorentz transformation.

⁵An inertial reference frame satisfies $\overset{\circ}{D}I = 0$. See [5] for details.

⁶ $\bigwedge^p T^*M$ denotes the bundle of p -forms, $\bigwedge T^*M = \bigoplus_{p=0}^4 \bigwedge^p T^*M$ is the bundle of

we have (with $\{\epsilon_\alpha\}$ the dual basis of $\{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^a \otimes \gamma^\beta = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \gamma'^a \otimes \gamma'^\beta = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{g}^a \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\beta, \\ \dot{\mathbf{g}} &= \eta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_\alpha \otimes \epsilon_\beta = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\beta}.\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Recall next that it is a physicist dream to construct a quantum theory for the gravitational field, where the quanta of the field are the so called gravitons. In such (yet to be constructed) theory the gravitational field is supposed to be represented by a distribution valued symmetric field operator acting on the Hilbert space of the system. Classically that field is represented by a symmetric tensor (distribution)

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^a \otimes \vartheta^\beta \in \sec T_0^2 M,\tag{2}$$

where⁷ $\vartheta^\mu := dx^\mu \sec \bigwedge^1 T^* M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \dot{\mathbf{g}})$, with $\{x^\mu\}$ arbitrary coordinates covering $U \subset M$. Such a general field, as it is well known, [1, 9] carries a direct sum of irreducible representations of the Lorentz group, one carrying spin two, one carrying spin one, and two carrying spin zero. If the restriction

$$\dot{D}_\alpha \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha = 0,\tag{3}$$

(where $\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha := \dot{g}^{\alpha\kappa} \mathbf{h}_{\kappa\beta}$, $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \dot{g}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^a \otimes \vartheta^\beta$) is imposed to the field, it carries only the irreducible representations with spin 2 and one with spin zero of the Lorentz group. This restriction is the one appropriate to the description of gravitons with mass $m \neq 0$.

Now, in our theory as developed in [6] we have⁸:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{g} &:= \mathbf{h}^* \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{h}^* (\eta_{\alpha\beta} \mathfrak{g}^a \otimes \mathfrak{g}^\beta) = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \theta^a \otimes \theta^\beta, \\ \mathfrak{g}^a &:= \mathbf{h}^{*-1} \theta^a,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

Here we introduce and investigate the consequences of the *ansatz*

$$\mathfrak{g}^\alpha := \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \vartheta^\beta = h_\beta^\alpha \gamma^\beta,\tag{5}$$

and use the Clifford bundle formalism. We show how to derive, from our Lagrangian theory, the *exact* wave equation for the graviton field, and investigate the role of the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) in obtaining a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime.

multiform fields, $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, \dot{\mathbf{g}})$ denotes the Clifford bundle of differential forms. The symbol \sec means section. All ‘tricks of the trade’ necessary for performing the calculations of the present paper are described in [5].

⁷Given arbitrary coordinate functions $\{x^\mu\}$ covering $U \subset M$ with coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^\mu(\epsilon) = x^\mu$, we write, as usual, $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$ for the coordinate tangent vector fields and $\{dx^\mu\}$ for the coordinate cotangent covectors.

⁸In [6] we show explicitly how to determine the diffeomorphism \mathbf{h} once \mathbf{g} is known in a given basis.

Finally we ask whether Eq.(3) fixes any gauge condition for the field \mathbf{g} of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ that represents (in an appropriated way) the field \mathbf{h} in our theory. We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priory, as is the case in General Relativity (GR). Thus, writing $\mathbf{g} = g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}$ we do not need, e.g., to fix in our theory Logunov gauge condition

$$\mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

which, is indeed a result of a postulate in Logunov's theory [2, 3]. Since Logunov thinks that Eq.(6) is very important, since according to him it fixes a *unique* solution of Einstein equations⁹ once a matter distribution and a *coordinate chart* are given, thus eliminating (possible) ambiguities in predictions of experiments, we discuss briefly this issue.

2 The Exact Wave Equation for the \mathbf{g}^α

We recall that the dynamics of the fields \mathbf{g}^α in a region of M is

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}} + \mathcal{L}_m^{\mathbf{M}}, \quad (7)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_m^{\mathbf{M}}$ is the Lagrangian density of the matter fields and

$$\mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}} = -\frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \delta_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} m^2 \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha, \quad (8)$$

is invariant under local Lorentz transformations¹⁰, which is a kind of gauge freedom, a crucial ingredient of our theory, as showed in [6].

The \mathbf{g}^α couple universally to the matter fields in such a way that the energy momentum 1-form of the matter fields are given by

$$\star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathfrak{T}_{\mathbf{M}}^\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m^{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial \mathbf{g}_\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

Each one of the fields \mathbf{g}^α in Eq.(8) resembles a potential of an electromagnetic field. Indeed, the first term is of the Yang-Mills type, the second term is a kind of gauge fixing term (analogous to the *Lorenz* condition for the gauge potential of the electromagnetic potential), and most important

$$\delta_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = 0 \quad (10)$$

⁹Even for the case of a zero mass graviton.

¹⁰We observe that various coefficients in Eq.(8) have been selected in order for $\mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}$ to be invariant under arbitrary local Lorentz transformations. This means, as the reader may verify that under the transformation $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{a}} \mapsto u \mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{a}} u^{-1}$, $u \in \sec \text{Spin}_{1,3}^e(M, \mathbf{g}) \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \mathbf{g})$, $\mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}$ is invariant modulo an exact form.

is equivalent to the condition given by Eq.(3). Indeed, given the coordinates functions $\{\mathbf{x}^\mu\}$ for $U \subset M$, $\mathbf{x}^\mu(\mathfrak{e}) = x^\mu$ we have $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha = \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dx^\beta$ (and $\mathring{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha \otimes dx^\beta$) then

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha &= -\mathring{\phi}_\perp \mathfrak{g}^\alpha = -dx^\kappa \mathring{\lrcorner}_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \left(\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dx^\beta \right) \\ &= -\mathring{D}_\kappa (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \mathring{g}^{\kappa\beta}) = -\mathring{D}_\kappa (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \mathring{g}^{\kappa\beta}) \\ &= -\mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\kappa} = -\mathring{g}^{\alpha\lambda} \mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}_\lambda^\kappa = 0,\end{aligned}\tag{11}$$

where as usual we put

$$\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}} (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dx^\beta) := (\mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha) dx^\beta.\tag{12}$$

Also, the third term in the Lagrangian density is a self-interacting term, which is proportional to the square of the total ‘vorticity’ $\Omega = d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\alpha$ associated to the 1-form fields \mathfrak{g}^α . This shows that, in the Lagrangian density, the \mathfrak{g}^α does *not* couple with the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field, which according to the Lagrangian formalism is given by $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial \mathfrak{g}^\alpha}$ ¹¹. We finally recall that as showed in details in [6], $\mathcal{L}_g := \mathbf{h}^{*-1} \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}$ has the same form of $\mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}$ with the substitutions $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \mapsto \theta^\alpha$ and $\star \mapsto \star_g$ and differs (when the graviton mass is null) from the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian by an exact differential.

As showed in details. e.g., in [5, 7] variation of $\int \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}$ produces the following equations of motions

$$d \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\alpha + \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{t}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha = - \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{T}^\alpha\tag{13}$$

with $\star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{t}^\kappa \in \sec \bigwedge^3 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa \in \sec \bigwedge^2 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \mathring{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{t}^\kappa &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial \mathfrak{g}_\kappa} = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \lrcorner d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha) \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} d\mathfrak{g}_\alpha - d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge (\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \lrcorner \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} d\mathfrak{g}_\alpha)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} d \left(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \lrcorner \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \right) \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} d \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \lrcorner d \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha \right) \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} d \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} d\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} (d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\alpha) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \wedge \left[\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \lrcorner \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} (d\mathfrak{g}^\iota \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\iota) \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[\mathfrak{g}_\kappa \lrcorner (d\mathfrak{g}^\iota \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\iota) \right] \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} (d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\alpha),\end{aligned}\tag{14}$$

$$\star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{S}_M^\kappa = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^{\mathbf{M}}}{\partial d\mathfrak{g}_\kappa} = -\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} (d\mathfrak{g}_\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\kappa) + \frac{1}{2} \mathfrak{g}_\kappa \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} (d\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathfrak{g}_\alpha).\tag{15}$$

For what follows we need the following equivalent expression for the $\star_{\mathring{\mathfrak{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa$ obtained, e.g., in [7],

¹¹On this respect see the discussion of [11].

$$\star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} [-(\mathbf{g}_\alpha \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^\alpha) \wedge \mathbf{g}^\kappa - (\mathbf{g}^\alpha \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}_\alpha) \wedge \mathbf{g}^\kappa + (\mathbf{g}^\kappa \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^\alpha) \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha - d\mathbf{g}^\kappa]. \quad (16)$$

We write moreover

$$\star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa = -\frac{1}{2} \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} d\mathbf{g}^\kappa + \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \mathfrak{K}^\kappa \quad (17)$$

and insert this result in Eq.(13), obtaining:

$$-\frac{1}{2} d \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} d\mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \mathbf{g}^\kappa = -\star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \left(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}}^{-1} d \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \mathfrak{K}^\kappa \right) \quad (18)$$

Before proceeding, we recall that taking into account Eq.(10), we have the conservation law

$$d \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \left(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}}^{-1} d \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \mathfrak{K}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}} \mathbf{g}^\kappa \right) = 0, \quad (19)$$

in Minkowski spacetime that translates also in a reliable conservation law in the effective Lorentzian spacetime (see below).

We now add the null term $-\frac{1}{2} d \delta \mathbf{g}^\kappa$ to both members Eq.(18), and next apply the operator $\star_{\circ \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\circ}}^{-1}$ to both sides of that equation, thus obtaining the equivalent equation:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \delta d \mathbf{g}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} d \delta \mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa = - \left(\mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \delta \mathfrak{K}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} d \delta \mathbf{g}^\kappa \right) \quad (20)$$

We now recall the definition of the Hodge D'Alembertian, which in the Clifford bundle formalism is the square of the Dirac operator acting on sections of the Clifford bundle [5], i.e.,

$$\mathring{\diamond} \mathbf{g}^\kappa := (-\delta d - d \delta) \mathbf{g}^\kappa = \mathring{\phi}^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa \quad (21)$$

and recall moreover the following nontrivial decomposition [5] of $\mathring{\phi}^2$,

$$\mathring{\phi}^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa = \mathring{\phi} \cdot \mathring{\phi} \mathbf{g}^\kappa + \mathring{\phi} \wedge \mathring{\phi} \mathbf{g}^\kappa, \quad (22)$$

where $\mathring{\square} := \mathring{\phi} \cdot \mathring{\phi}$ is the *covariant D'Alembertian* and $\mathring{\phi} \wedge \mathring{\phi}$ is the *Ricci operator associated to the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$* . For the flat Minkowski spacetime where the components of the Ricci tensor are null, we have in an arbitrary (coordinate basis)

$$\mathring{\phi} \wedge \mathring{\phi} \mathbf{g}_\kappa = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_\kappa = \mathring{R}_{\kappa l} dx^l = 0. \quad (23)$$

It permits us to rewrite Eq.(20) as

$$\frac{1}{2}\overset{\circ}{\square}\mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2}m^2\mathbf{g}^\kappa = -\mathfrak{T}^\kappa - \mathfrak{t}^\kappa - \delta_{\overset{\circ}{g}}\mathfrak{K}^\kappa. \quad (24)$$

Now, writing

$$\mathbf{g}_\kappa = \mathbf{h}_{\kappa l}dx^l, \quad \mathfrak{T}_\kappa = \mathfrak{T}_{\kappa l}dx^l, \quad \mathfrak{t}_\kappa = \mathfrak{t}_{\kappa l}dx^l, \quad \delta_{\overset{\circ}{g}}\mathfrak{K}^\kappa = \mathfrak{k}_{\kappa l}dx^l \quad (25)$$

and taking into account that [5]

$$\overset{\circ}{\square}\mathbf{g}_\kappa = (\overset{\circ}{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overset{\circ}{D}_\alpha\overset{\circ}{D}_\beta\mathbf{h}_{\kappa l})dx^l \quad (26)$$

we get from Eq.(24)

$$\overset{\circ}{g}^{\alpha\beta}\overset{\circ}{D}_\alpha\overset{\circ}{D}_\beta\mathbf{h}_{\kappa l} + m^2\mathbf{h}_{\kappa l} = -2(\mathfrak{T}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{t}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{k}_{\kappa l}), \quad (27)$$

which is in our theory the correct (covariant) equation for the graviton field in Minkowski spacetime.

We immediately observe that since the first member of Eq.(27) defines a symmetric tensor, then $(\mathfrak{T}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{t}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{k}_{\kappa l})$ must be the components of a symmetric tensor¹². Consequently, from Eq.(20) and taking into account that $\delta_{\overset{\circ}{g}}\mathbf{g}^\kappa = 0$, we

have

$$\delta_{\overset{\circ}{g}}\left(\mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \delta_{\overset{\circ}{g}}\mathfrak{K}^\kappa\right) = \delta_{\overset{\circ}{g}}(\mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \mathfrak{t}^\kappa) = 0. \quad (28)$$

It follows that \mathfrak{T}^κ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}^\kappa &= \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \mathfrak{t}_{\overset{\circ}{g}}^\kappa, \\ \mathfrak{t}_{\overset{\circ}{g}}^\kappa &= \mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \delta_{\overset{\circ}{g}}\mathfrak{K}^\kappa \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

may be considered the total (*symmetric*) energy-momentum 1-forms of the matter plus the gravitational field. Moreover, $\mathfrak{t}_{\overset{\circ}{g}}$ is to be considered as the energy-momentum 1-forms of the gravitational field and this result explicitly shows how the gravitational field (in our theory represented by the fields \mathbf{g}_κ) *couples* with itself.

Remark 1 *If we recall that [5] for an arbitrary $L \in \sec \bigwedge^p T^*M$, $J \in \sec \bigwedge^r T^*M$, $p \leq r$, we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{h}^*L &= \mathbf{h}^*dL \\ \star d \star \mathbf{h}^*L &= \mathbf{h}^* \star d \star L, \\ \mathbf{h}^*L \lrcorner \mathbf{h}^*J &= \mathbf{h}^*(L \lrcorner J), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

¹²Recall that as well known [13] $\mathfrak{t}_{\kappa l}$ is not symmetric.

we can immediately write from Eq.(18) that

$$-\frac{1}{2}d\star_g d\theta^\kappa + \frac{1}{2}m^2\star_g \theta^\kappa = -\star_g \left(t^\kappa + T^\kappa + \star_g^{-1}d\star_g K^\kappa \right) \quad (31)$$

$$\delta_g \left(T^\kappa + t^\kappa + \delta_g K^\kappa \right) = \delta_g (T^\kappa + t_g^\kappa) = 0, \quad (32)$$

where

$$\theta^\kappa = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{g}^\kappa, \quad t_g^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* (\mathbf{t}^\kappa + \delta_g \mathbf{K}^\kappa) = t^\kappa + \delta_g K^\kappa, \quad T^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{T}^\alpha. \quad (33)$$

Eq.(32) express as we already anticipated a reliable conservation law for the total energy-momentum of the matter plus the gravitational field. However, take notice that in GR this result depends on the fixing of a cotetrad basis and changing it by a local Lorentz transformation changes accordingly the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field. In fact this last result has already been known since the work¹³ of Møller [10].

3 Which Gauge to Use for \mathbf{g} in the Effective Lorentzian Spacetime?

We can, of course, write Eq.(13) equivalently as:

$$d\star_g S^\alpha + \star_g t^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}m^2\star_g \theta^\alpha = -\star_g T^\alpha, \quad (34)$$

with

$$S^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* S^\alpha, \quad t^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{t}^\alpha, \quad \theta^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{g}^\alpha, \quad T^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{T}^\alpha \quad (35)$$

which is valid for an *arbitrary* coframe basis, not only for the \mathbf{g} orthonormal ones.

So, let us examine the structure of Eq.(34) in a coordinate basis $\{\vartheta^\mu = dx^\mu\}$. We immediately see that a conservation law (distinct from the previous one established above)¹⁴ in the effective Lorentzian spacetime structure (*excluding* the energy associated with the graviton mass) exists for $\star_g(T^\mu + t^\mu)$ if

$$\delta_g \vartheta^\mu = 0. \quad (36)$$

This of course, implies that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -d\delta_g x^\mu - \delta_g dx^\mu = 0, \quad (37)$$

¹³Which however did not use the present crystal clear formalism.

¹⁴Recall that in GR Eq.(34) implies in a pseudo conservation law because in that theory (without a Minkowski spacetime interpretation, as here) S^α are expressed in terms of connection -forms of the Levi-Civita connection of \mathbf{g} and thus are not *indexed* forms. Details may be found in [7].

i.e., the coordinates must be harmonic.

Now, if

$$D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}, D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = -\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu \vartheta^\rho \quad (38)$$

we have

$$\delta_g \vartheta^\mu = -\vartheta^\nu \lrcorner_g D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = \vartheta^\nu \lrcorner_g (\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu \vartheta^\alpha) = \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0, \quad (39)$$

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0 \quad (40)$$

Now, if $\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\alpha} - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\alpha} \\ &= \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and since $D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) = 0$ we get that

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\alpha} \quad (41)$$

In particular in a coordinate basis $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$, where $\{x^\mu\}$ are global coordinates for M in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge¹⁵ where the connection coefficients $\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu = 0$ ($\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\nu\mu}^\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}$, $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$) we have

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})} \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-\det(\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu})} \mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}) = 0$$

Also, for arbitrary *non* harmonic coordinates functions $\{x^\mu\}$ we get ($\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}$, $D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}$) that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} - K_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu}. \quad (42)$$

Now, observe that the so called *Logunov gauge condition* (Eq.(6))

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) = 0.$$

does *not* imply that the coordinates are harmonic ones, for we have (using the formulas in the Appendix)

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) = D_\mu(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\nu}) + K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\kappa} = K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\mu\kappa} \quad (43)$$

¹⁵Recall that the energy-momentum conservation law of any *Lorentz invariant* field theory is unambiguously formulated global coordinates in the Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge.

and thus

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(-\det(g_{\mu\nu})g^{\mu\nu}) = 0 \Rightarrow K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\mu\kappa} = 0, \quad (44)$$

and we see that under those conditions¹⁶ the *allowed* coordinate functions must always satisfy the constraint:

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu}. \quad (45)$$

Finally, we comment that Logunov [2, 3] imposed Eq.(6) as a gauge condition in his theory because he *postulated* (differently from what is the case here, see below)) that the relation between $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and the gravitational field $\mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$\varkappa g^{\alpha\beta} := \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (46)$$

Now, the second member of Eq.(46) implies immediately taking into account Eq.(3) that $\mathring{D}_\alpha(\chi g^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ and thus from the second line in Eq.(70) (in Appendix) we get that $\mathring{D}_\alpha(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$.

In our theory, defining $\{\vartheta_\mu\}$ as the *reciprocal* basis of $\{\vartheta^\mu\}$ relative to \mathbf{g} , i.e., $\mathbf{g}(\vartheta_\mu, \vartheta^\nu) = \delta_\mu^\nu$ we can write directly from Eq.(4) that

$$\mathbf{g} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{h}_\alpha^\mu \mathbf{h}_\beta^\nu \mathbf{h}^*(\vartheta_\mu) \otimes \mathbf{h}^*(\vartheta_\nu) = g^{\kappa\iota} \vartheta_\kappa \otimes \vartheta_\iota,$$

and so differently from Logunov's theory we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \varkappa g^{\kappa\iota} &= \varkappa \eta^{\alpha\beta} \phi_\alpha^\kappa \phi_\beta^\iota, \\ \phi_\alpha^\kappa &= \mathbf{h}_\mu^\kappa \mathbf{h}_\alpha^\mu, \\ \mathbf{h}^*(\vartheta_\mu) &= \mathbf{h}_\mu^\kappa \vartheta_\kappa. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

from where it follows that there is no need to impose a priory any gauge condition (as it is the case in GR) for the “metric” field \mathbf{g} of the effective Lorentzian spacetime.

4 Conclusions

We proved that if gravitation is to be described by a massive graviton field living in Minkowski spacetime, which is represented by a symmetric tensor field \mathbf{h} carrying the representations of spin *two* and *zero* of the Lorentz group, and thus satisfying the gauge condition given by Eq.(3), the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure that represents the field of a given energy-momentum distribution is such that the field \mathbf{g} solving the effective Einstein-Hilbert equation (with cosmological constant) does *not* need to satisfy in general any fixed gauge a priory, as it is the case in GR. We have shown, moreover, that the Logunov's gauge condition $\mathring{D}_\gamma(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\gamma\kappa}) = 0$ cannot be deduced in our theory without ad hoc hypothesis and in Logunov's theory it is indeed the result of a postulate, which we proved to restrict the allowed coordinate

¹⁶The ones in Logunov theory.

functions to the ones satisfying Eq.(45). Moreover, we proved that the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) is essential in order to get a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime. Logunov thought that the importance of the gauge condition $\mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$ in determining the effective Lorentzian spacetime generated by an energy-momentum distribution can be seen from the following example [2, 3]. Let $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$ be the usual spherical coordinates in Minkowski spacetime.

If we try to solve the (effective) Einstein-Hilbert equations in the zero mass graviton case) for the field generated by a point mass at the origin of the coordinate system we get immediately that the following “metric” fields are solutions of those equations,

$$\mathbf{g}_s = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt \otimes dt - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr \otimes dr - r^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \quad (48)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{g}_i = & \left(\frac{r + \lambda - m}{r + \lambda + m} \right) dt \otimes dt - \left(\frac{r + \lambda + m}{r + \lambda - m} \right) dr \otimes dr \\ & - (r + \lambda + m)^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

with λ an arbitrary real parameter. Now, both solutions have the same asymptotic behavior when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Which one should we use for the descriptions of physical processes? Note that \mathbf{g}_s and \mathbf{g}_i are *not* diffeomorphically equivalent since both metrics are given in the *same* coordinate basis. So, according to Logunov to fix the metric we need an additional criterion and such criterion does not exist in GR. Only the metric \mathbf{g}_i when $\lambda = 0$ satisfies Logunov gauge condition, and this author claims that this is the *only* one that fits correctly all known data on solar system experiments. We find hard to believe that astronomers methods for determining the coordinates of their probes always fix these coordinates as being the spherical coordinates of Minkowski spacetime. But who knows, for sure if this is not the case? The question merits to be investigated if Logunov claim is indeed correct.

Appendix

Let $(M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}}, \mathring{D})$ and (M, \mathbf{g}, D) be the two Lorentzian structures¹⁷ on the same manifold M such that

$$\mathring{D}\mathring{\mathbf{g}} = 0, D\mathbf{g} = 0, \quad (50)$$

with the *ammetry* of D relative to $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ being given by:

$$\mathbf{Q} := -D\mathring{\mathbf{g}}.$$

Let moreover the connection coefficients of \mathring{D} and D in arbitrary coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$ covering $U \subset M$ be:

¹⁷More general formulas relating two arbitrary general connections may be found, e.g., in [5, 12].

$$\dot{D}_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\dot{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, D_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, \quad (51)$$

and

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = -D_\alpha \dot{g}_{\beta\sigma}, \quad (52)$$

Define the components of the *strain tensor* of the connection D by:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - 2\dot{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (53)$$

Then

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\dot{g}_{\mu\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \dot{g}_{\beta\mu} S_{\alpha\sigma}^\mu), \quad (54)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \dot{g}^{\rho\sigma}(Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} - Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta}). \quad (55)$$

Also,

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} = S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + S_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + S_{\beta\sigma\alpha}, \quad (56)$$

where $S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \dot{g}_{\rho\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho$.

Putting

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (57)$$

we have

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \dot{g}^{\rho\sigma} (D_\alpha \dot{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta \dot{g}_{\sigma\alpha} - D_\sigma \dot{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \quad (58)$$

The relation between the curvature tensor $R_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta}$ associated with the connection D and the Riemann curvature tensor $\dot{R}_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta}$ of the Levi-Civita connection \dot{D} associated with the metric \dot{g} are given by:

$$R_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} = \dot{R}_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} + J_\mu{}^\rho{}_{[\alpha\beta]}, \quad (59)$$

where:

$$J_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\beta} = \dot{D}_\alpha K_{\beta\mu}^\rho - K_{\beta\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma = D_\alpha K_{\beta\mu}^\rho - K_{\alpha\sigma}^\rho K_{\beta\mu}^\sigma + K_{\alpha\beta}^\sigma K_{\sigma\mu}^\rho. \quad (60)$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(59) by $\frac{1}{2}\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta$ we get for the curvature 2-forms of the two connections D and \dot{D} :

$$\mathcal{R}_\mu^\rho = \dot{\mathcal{R}}_\mu^\rho + \mathfrak{J}_\mu^\rho, \quad (61)$$

where we have written:

$$\mathfrak{J}_\mu^\rho = \frac{1}{2} J_\mu{}^\rho{}_{[\alpha\beta]} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta. \quad (62)$$

The relation between the Ricci tensors¹⁸ of the connections D and \dot{D} is:

$$R_{\mu\alpha} = \dot{R}_{\mu\alpha} + J_{\mu\alpha}, \quad (63)$$

¹⁸For the Ricci tensor $Ricci = R_{\mu\alpha} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\alpha$, we use the convention $R_{\mu\alpha} := R_\mu{}^\rho{}_{\alpha\rho}$.

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu\alpha} &= \mathring{D}_\alpha K_{\rho\mu}^\rho - \mathring{D}_\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\rho + K_{\alpha\sigma}^\rho K_{\rho\mu}^\sigma - K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma \\ &= D_\alpha K_{\rho\mu}^\rho - D_\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\rho - K_{\sigma\alpha}^\rho K_{\rho\mu}^\sigma + K_{\rho\sigma}^\rho K_{\alpha\mu}^\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Recall that the connection \mathring{D} plays with respect to the tensor field \mathbf{g} a role analogous to that played by the connection D with respect to the metric tensor $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ and in consequence we shall have similar equations relating these two pairs of objects. In particular, the strain of \mathring{D} with respect to \mathbf{g} equals the negative of the strain of D with respect to $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$, since we have:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\rho - \mathring{b}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = -(\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\rho - b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho),$$

where $b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^\rho$ and $b_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^\rho$. Furthermore, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathring{g}^{\rho\sigma}(D_\alpha \mathring{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta \mathring{g}_{\alpha\sigma} - D_\sigma \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}g^{\rho\sigma}(\mathring{D}_\alpha g_{\beta\sigma} + \mathring{D}_\beta g_{\alpha\sigma} - \mathring{D}_\sigma g_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

Now, recall that given arbitrary coordinates $\{x^\alpha\}$ covering $U \subset M$ and $\{x'^\alpha\}$ covering covering $V \subset M$ ($U \cap V \neq \emptyset$) a set of functions $A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha)$ is said to be the components of a relative tensor field of type $\begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}$ and weight w if under the coordinate transformation $x^\alpha \mapsto x'^\beta$ with Jacobian $J = \det\left(\frac{\partial x'^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}\right)$ these functions transform as [4]

$$A_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_s}^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}(x'^\beta) = J^w \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_r}}{\partial x^{\mu_r}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu_1}}{\partial x^{\kappa_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x^{\nu_s}}{\partial x^{\kappa_s}} A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^\alpha). \quad (66)$$

The covariant derivative of a relative tensor field relative to a given arbitrary connection ∇ defined in M such that $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}} dx^\mu = -\mathbf{L}_{\nu\alpha}^\mu dx^\alpha$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\kappa A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa} A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} + \mathbf{L}_{\ell\kappa}^{\mu_p} A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p-1} \ell \mu_{p+1} \dots \mu_r} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{L}_{\nu_q \kappa}^\ell A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{q-1} \ell \nu_{q+1} \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r} - w \mathbf{L}_{\kappa\sigma}^\sigma A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

In particular we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_\alpha(\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})} \right) - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})} = 0, \\ \mathring{D}_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}} \right) + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}} = 0, \\ D_\alpha(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} \right) - \Gamma_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})} = 0, \\ \mathring{D}_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} \right) + \Gamma_{\gamma\rho}^\rho \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (68)$$

Now, if we define

$$\varkappa := \sqrt{\frac{\det(g_{\mu\nu})}{\det(\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu})}}, \quad (69)$$

we can easily prove the the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\rho\sigma}^{\rho} &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta}D_{\sigma}\mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}\mathring{D}_{\sigma}g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\varkappa}\partial_{\sigma}(\varkappa) \\ g^{\alpha\beta}K_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} &= -\frac{1}{\varkappa}\mathring{D}_{\sigma}(\varkappa g^{\rho\sigma}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}}\mathring{D}_{\sigma}(\sqrt{-\det(g_{\mu\nu})}g^{\rho\sigma}) \\ \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta}K_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} &= \frac{1}{\varkappa^{-1}}D_{\sigma}(\varkappa^{-1}\mathring{g}^{\rho\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Another useful formulas valid for our *particular* connections \mathring{D} and D are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_{\alpha}K_{\rho\beta}^{\rho} &= \mathring{D}_{\beta}K_{\rho\alpha}^{\rho} \\ D_{\alpha}K_{\rho\beta}^{\rho} &= D_{\beta}K_{\rho\alpha}^{\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

References

- [1] Barnes, K. J., Lagrangian Theory for the Second-Rank Tensor Field, *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 788-794 (1965).
- [2] Logunov, A. A., Mestvirishvili, M., *The Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, Mir Publ., Moscow, 1989.
- [3] Logunov, A. A., *Relativistic Theory of Gravity*, Nova Science Publ., New York, 1999.
- [4] Lovelock, D., and Rund, H., *Tensors, Differential Forms, and Variational Principles*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.
- [5] Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., and Oliveira, E. Capelas, *The Many Faces of Maxwell, Dirac and Einstein Equations. A Clifford Bundle Approach*. Lecture Notes in Physics **722**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2007.
- [6] Notte-Cuello, E. A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., A Maxwell Like Formulation of the Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **16**, 1027-1041 (2007). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0612098v3](#)]
- [7] Notte-Cuello, E. A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., Freud's Identity of Differential Geometry, the Einstein-Hilbert Equations and the Vexatious Problem of the Energy-Momentum Conservation in GR, to appear in *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* (2008). [[arXiv:0801.2559v4\[math-physics\]](#)]
- [8] Frankel T., *The Geometry of Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.

- [9] Fronsdal, C., Massless Fields with Integer Spin, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 3624-3629 (1978).
- [10] Møller, C., Further Remarks on the Localization of the Energy in the General Theory of Relativity, *Ann. Phys.* **12**, 118-133 (1961).
- [11] Padmanabhan T., *From Gravitons to Gravity: Myths and Reality*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **17**, 367-398 (2008). [arXiv:gr-qc/0409089v1]
- [12] Souza, Q. A. G. and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., The Dirac Operator and the Structure of Riemann-Cartan-Weyl Spaces, in Letelier, P. A. , and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr. (eds.), *Gravitation: The Spacetime Structure*, Proc. of the 8th Latin American Symposium on Relativity and Gravitation, Aguas de Lindóia, July 1993, World Sci., Singapore (1994).
- [13] Thirring, W., *A Course in Mathematical Physics*, vol.2, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.