

Gauge Fixing in the Maxwell Like Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime and in the Equivalent Lorentzian Spacetime

Roldão da Rocha⁽¹⁾ and Waldyr A. Rodrigues Jr.⁽²⁾

⁽¹⁾Centro de Matemática, Computação e Cognição

Universidade Federal do ABC, 09210-170, Santo André, SP, Brazil

`roldao.rocha@ufabc.edu.br`

⁽²⁾Institute of Mathematics, Statistics and Scientific Computation

IMECC-UNICAMP CP 6065

13083-859 Campinas, SP, Brazil

`walrod@ime.unicamp.br` or `walrod@mpc.com.br`

Abstract

In a previous paper we investigate a Lagrangian field theory for the gravitational field (which is there represented by a section $\{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha\}$ of the orthonormal coframe bundle over Minkowski spacetime $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \overset{\circ}{\tau}_{\mathfrak{g}}, \uparrow)$) that was shown under appropriate conditions to be equivalent to a Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ where the metric tensor \mathbf{g} satisfies Einstein field equations. Here, we first recall that according to quantum field theory ideas gravitation is to be described by a Lagrangian theory of a possible *massive graviton field* (generated by matter fields and coupling also to itself) living in Minkowski spacetime. Moreover the graviton field is supposed to be represented by a symmetric tensor field \mathbf{h} carrying the representations of spin two and zero of the Lorentz group. Such a field, then (as it is well known) must necessarily satisfy the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) below. Next, we introduce an *ansatz* relating \mathbf{h} to the 1-form fields $\{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha\}$. Then, using the Clifford bundle formalism we derive from our Lagrangian theory the exact wave equation for the graviton and investigate the role of the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) in obtaining a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor associated with the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime. Finally we ask the question: does Eq.(3) fix any gauge condition for the field \mathbf{g} of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ that represents the field \mathbf{h} in our theory? We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priori, as is the case in General Relativity. Moreover we investigate under which conditions we may fix Logunov gauge condition $\overset{\circ}{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-|\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\nu}} |\overset{\circ}{g}^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$.

1 Introduction

In a previous paper [6], using the Clifford bundle formalism, a Lagrangian theory of the gravitational field as a field in the Faraday sense, i.e., an object of the same ontology as the electromagnetic field living on a Minkowski spacetime structure has been formulated ¹ $\mathbf{M} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$. The theory has been constructed on two assumptions. The first one is that the gravitational field is represented by an orthonormal coframe $\{\mathbf{g}^\alpha\}$, with $\mathbf{g}^\alpha \in \sec T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}})$ whose dynamics is encoded in Lagrangian density \mathcal{L}_m^M (see Eq.(8) below) which is of the Yang-Mills type (containing moreover a gauge fixing term and an auto interaction term related to the “vorticity” of the fields). The theory is invariant under diffeomorphisms and under local Lorentz transformations. The gravitational field couples universally with the matter fields and in such a way that the coupling resulting from the presence of energy-momentum due to matter fields in some region of Minkowski spacetime distorts the Lorentz vacuum² in much the same way that stresses in an elastic body produces deformations in it. Our second assumption is that this *distortion* which is described by a diffeomorphism \mathbf{h} permits the introduction of a new metric field $\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{h}^* \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ in M which is the analogous of the Cauchy-Green tensor [8] of elasticity theory. Once the Levi-Civita connection D of \mathbf{g} is introduced in the game, it is possible to show that the Maxwell like field equations for the deformed gravitational fields $\theta^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{g}^\alpha$ (which follows from the variational principle) implies that \mathbf{g} satisfies Einstein-Hilbert equations. Thus, in our theory each nontrivial gravitational field configuration, i.e., one from which not all \mathbf{g}^α are exact differentials, can be interpreted as generating an effective Lorentzian spacetime $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ where \mathbf{g} satisfies Einstein equations³.

To introduce the problem that we want to investigate in this paper, we first present some notation. So, let $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{x'^\mu\}$ be two sets of global coordinates⁴ for M in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge associated to arbitrary inertial reference frames⁵ $I = \partial/\partial x^0$, $I' = \partial/\partial x'^0$, with $I, I' \in \sec TM$. Let $\{\partial/\partial x^\mu\}$, $\{\partial/\partial x'^\mu\}$ be orthonormal basis for TM and $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$, $\{\gamma'^\mu = dx'^\mu\}$ the cor-

¹Minkowski spacetime will be called Lorentz vacuum, in what follows. Moreover, in the pentuple $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D}, \tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}, \uparrow)$, $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ is a Minkowski metric, $\overset{\circ}{D}$ is its Levi-Civita connection, $\tau_{\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}}$ is the volume element defining a global orientation and \uparrow refers to a time orientability. The objects in the Lorentzian spacetime structure $\mathbf{L} = (M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ have similar meanings. In what follows $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure \mathbf{M} . If $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \overset{\circ}{g}^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$ and $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$ then $\overset{\circ}{g}^{\kappa\iota} \overset{\circ}{g}_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$. Also \mathbf{g} denotes the metric of the cotangent bundle relative to the structure \mathbf{L} and if $\mathbf{g} = g^{\kappa\iota} \partial_\kappa \otimes \partial_\iota$ and $\mathbf{g} = g_{\kappa\iota} dx^\kappa \otimes dx^\iota$, then $g^{\kappa\iota} g_{\iota\xi} = \delta_\xi^\kappa$. More details, if needed are given, e.g., in [5].

²A region of Minkowski spacetime devoid of matter fields will be called Lorentz vacuum.

³Or by an effective teleparallel spacetime, see [6].

⁴If $\{x^\mu\}$ and $\{x'^\mu\}$ are global coordinate functions in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge, the coordinates of $\mathbf{e} \in M$ are $\{x^\mu\} := \{x^\mu(\mathbf{e})\}$, $\{x'^\mu\} := \{x'^\mu(\mathbf{e})\}$ and $x'^\mu = \Lambda_\nu^\mu x^\nu$, with Λ_ν^μ a proper and orthochronous Lorentz transformation.

⁵An inertial reference frame satisfies $\overset{\circ}{D}I = 0$. See [5] for details.

responding dual basis for T^*M . We take⁶ $\gamma^\mu, \gamma'^\mu \in \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \dot{\mathbf{g}})$. Of course, we have (with $\{\mathbf{e}_\alpha\}$ the dual basis of $\{\mathbf{g}_\alpha\}$)

$$\begin{aligned}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} &= \eta_{\alpha\beta} \gamma^a \otimes \gamma^\beta = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \gamma'^a \otimes \gamma'^\beta = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{g}^a \otimes \mathbf{g}^\beta, \\ \dot{\mathbf{g}} &= \eta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\alpha} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x'^\beta} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{e}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{e}_\beta,\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

where the last equality follows from our first assumption.

Recall next that it is a physicist dream to construct a quantum theory for the gravitational field, where the quanta of the field are the so called gravitons. In such (yet to be constructed) theory the gravitational field is supposed to be represented by a distribution valued symmetric field operator acting on the Hilbert space of the system. Classically, that field is represented by a symmetric tensor (distribution)

$$\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^a \otimes \vartheta^\beta \in \sec T_0^2 M,\tag{2}$$

where⁷ $\vartheta^\mu := dx^\mu \sec \bigwedge^1 T^*M \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \dot{\mathbf{g}})$, with $\{x^\mu\}$ arbitrary coordinate covering $U \subset M$. Such a general field, as it is well known [1, 9] carries a direct sum of irreducible representations of the Lorentz group, one carrying spin two, one carrying spin one and two carrying spin zero. If the restriction

$$\overset{\circ}{D}_\alpha \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha = 0,\tag{3}$$

(where $\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha := \dot{g}^{\alpha\kappa} \mathbf{h}_{\kappa\beta}$, $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \dot{g}_{\alpha\beta} \vartheta^a \otimes \vartheta^\beta$) is imposed to the field it carries only the irreducible representations with spin 2 and one with spin zero of the Lorentz group. This restriction is the one appropriated for the description of gravitons with non null mass m .

Now, in our theory as developed in [6] we have⁸:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{g} &:= \mathbf{h}^* \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = \mathbf{h}^* (\eta_{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{g}^a \otimes \mathbf{g}^\beta) = \eta_{\alpha\beta} \theta^a \otimes \theta^\beta, \\ \mathbf{g}^a &:= \mathbf{h}^{*-1} \theta^a,\end{aligned}\tag{4}$$

Here we introduce the ansatz:

$$\theta^\alpha := \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \vartheta^\beta = h_\beta^\alpha \gamma^\beta,\tag{5}$$

and using the Clifford bundle formalism we first derive from our Lagragian theory the exact wave equation for the graviton field and investigate the role

⁶ $\bigwedge^p T^*M$ denotes the bundle of p -forms, $\bigwedge T^*M = \bigoplus_{p=0}^4 \bigwedge^p T^*M$ is the bundle of multiform fields, $\mathcal{C}\ell(M, \dot{\mathbf{g}})$ denotes the Clifford bundle of differential forms. The symbol \sec means section. All 'tricks of the trade' necessary for performing the calculations of the present paper are described in [5].

⁷Given arbitrary coordinate fuctions $\{x^\mu\}$ covering $U \subset M$ with coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$ such that $\mathbf{x}^\mu(\mathbf{e}) = x^\mu$, we we write, as usual, $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$ for the coordinate tangent vector fields and $\{dx^\mu\}$ for the coordinate cotangent covectors.

⁸In [6] we show explicitly how to determine the diffeomorphism \mathbf{h} once \mathbf{g} is known in a given basis.

of the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) in obtaining a reliable conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime

Finally we ask the question: does Eq.(3) fix any gauge condition for the field \mathbf{g} of the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure $(M \simeq \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbf{g}, D, \tau_{\mathbf{g}}, \uparrow)$ that represents the field \mathbf{h} in our theory? We show that no gauge condition is fixed a priori, as is the case in General Relativity (GR). Moreover writing $\mathbf{g} = g^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}$ we show that in our theory it is *not* necessary to fix Logunov gauge condition⁹

$$\mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0, \quad (6)$$

which, is indeed a result of a postulate in Logunov's theory [2, 3]. Since Logunov consider Eq.(6) of fundamental relevance, since according to him it fixes a *unique* solution of Einstein equations¹⁰ once a matter distribution and a *co-ordinate chart* are given, thus eliminating (possible) ambiguities in predictions of experiments, we discuss briefly this issue.

2 The Exact Wave Equation for the \mathbf{g}^α

We recall that the dynamics of the fields \mathbf{g}^α in M is governed by the Lagrangian density

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_g^M + \mathcal{L}_m^M, \quad (7)$$

where \mathcal{L}_m^M is the Lagrangian density of the matter fields and

$$\mathcal{L}_g^M = -\frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \delta \mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{4} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha) + \frac{1}{4} m^2 \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\alpha, \quad (8)$$

is the gravitational Lagrangian density which is invariant under local Lorentz transformations¹¹, which is a kind of gauge freedom, a crucial ingredient of our theory, as discussed in [6].

The \mathbf{g}^α couple universally to the matter fields in such a way that the energy momentum 1-form of the matter fields are given by

$$\star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathfrak{T}_M^\alpha = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_m^M}{\partial \mathbf{g}_\alpha}. \quad (9)$$

Each one of the fields \mathbf{g}^α in Eq.(8) resembles a potential of an electromagnetic field. Indeed, the first term is of the Yang-Mills type, the second term is a kind of

⁹In this paper $|g_{\mu\nu}|$ means the determinant of the matrix whose entries are the $g_{\mu\nu}$.

¹⁰Even for the case of a zero mass graviton.

¹¹We observe that various coefficients in Eq.(8) have been selected in order for \mathcal{L}_g^M to be invariant under arbitrary local Lorentz transformations. This means, as the reader may verify that under the transformation $\mathbf{g}^a \mapsto u \mathbf{g}^a u^{-1}$, $u \in \sec \text{Spin}_{1,3}^e(M, \mathbf{g}) \hookrightarrow \sec \mathcal{C}\ell(M, \mathbf{g})$, \mathcal{L}_g^M is invariant modulo an exact form.

gauging fixing term (analogous to the *Lorenz* condition for the gauge potential of the electromagnetic potential), and more important, the condition

$$\underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\delta} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = 0 \quad (10)$$

is equivalent to the condition given by Eq.(3). Indeed, given the coordinates functions $\{\mathbf{y}^\mu\}$ for $V \subset M$, $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, $\mathbf{y}^\mu(\mathfrak{e}) = y^\mu$ we have $\mathbf{g}^\alpha = \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha(y) dy^\beta$ (and $\mathring{\mathbf{g}} = \mathring{g}'_{\alpha\beta} dy^\alpha \otimes dy^\beta$) then

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\delta} \mathbf{g}^\alpha &= -\mathring{\phi} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = -dy^\kappa \lrcorner \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\left(\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\kappa}} \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dy^\beta \right)} \\ &= -\mathring{D}_\kappa (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \mathring{g}'^{\kappa\beta}) = -\mathring{D}_\kappa (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha \mathring{g}'^{\kappa\beta}) \\ &= -\mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\kappa} = -\mathring{g}'^{\alpha\lambda} \mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}_\lambda^\kappa = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where as usual we put

$$\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\kappa}} (\mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha dy^\beta) := (\mathring{D}_\kappa \mathbf{h}_\beta^\alpha) dy^\beta. \quad (12)$$

Also, the third term in Eq.(8) is a self-interacting term, which is proportional to the square of the total ‘vorticity’ $\Omega = d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha$ associated to the 1-form fields \mathbf{g}^α . This shows that in the Lagrangian density the \mathbf{g}^α does *not* couple with the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational field, which according to the Lagrangian formalism is given by $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^M}{\partial \mathbf{g}^\alpha}$.¹² We finally recall that as shown in details in [6] $\mathcal{L}_g := \mathbf{h}^{*-1} \mathcal{L}_g^M$ has the same form of \mathcal{L}_g^M with the substitutions $\mathbf{g}^\alpha \mapsto \theta^\alpha$ and $\star \mapsto \star$ and differs (when the graviton mass is null) from the Einstein-Hilbert Lagrangian by an exact differential.

As showed in details. e.g., in [5, 7] variation of $\int \mathcal{L}_g^M$ produces the following equations of motions

$$d \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathcal{S}^\alpha + \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathfrak{t}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathbf{g}^\alpha = - \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathfrak{T}^\alpha \quad (13)$$

with $\underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathfrak{t}^\alpha \in \sec \bigwedge^3 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}})$ and $\underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathcal{S}^\alpha \in \sec \bigwedge^2 T^*M \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}\ell(T^*M, \mathring{\mathbf{g}})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathfrak{t}^\alpha &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^M}{\partial \mathbf{g}_\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} [(\mathbf{g}_\kappa \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^\alpha) \wedge \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha - d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge (\mathbf{g}_\kappa \lrcorner \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} d\mathbf{g}_\alpha)] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} d \left(\mathbf{g}_\kappa \lrcorner \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathbf{g}^\alpha \right) \wedge \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} d \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \left(\mathbf{g}_\kappa \lrcorner d \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathbf{g}^\alpha \right) \wedge \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} d \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} \mathbf{g}_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} d\mathbf{g}_\kappa \wedge \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha) \\ &- \frac{1}{4} d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \left[\mathbf{g}_\kappa \lrcorner \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} (d\mathbf{g}^\iota \wedge \mathbf{g}_\iota) \right] - \frac{1}{4} \left[\mathbf{g}_\kappa \lrcorner (d\mathbf{g}^\iota \wedge \mathbf{g}_\iota) \right] \wedge \underset{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}{\star} (d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

¹²On this respect see the discussion of [10].

$$\star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{S}_M^\kappa = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}_g^M}{\partial d\mathbf{g}_\kappa} = -\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}(d\mathbf{g}_\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\kappa) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{g}_\kappa \wedge \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}(d\mathbf{g}^\alpha \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha). \quad (15)$$

In what follows, we need the following equivalent expression for the $\star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa$ obtained, e.g., in [7],

$$\star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa = \frac{1}{2} \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} [-(\mathbf{g}_\alpha \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^\alpha) \wedge \mathbf{g}^\kappa - (\mathbf{g}^\alpha \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}_\alpha) \wedge \mathbf{g}^\kappa + (\mathbf{g}^\kappa \lrcorner d\mathbf{g}^\alpha) \wedge \mathbf{g}_\alpha - d\mathbf{g}^\kappa]. \quad (16)$$

We write moreover

$$\star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{S}^\kappa = -\frac{1}{2} \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}^\kappa + \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{R}^\kappa \quad (17)$$

and insert this result in Eq.(13) obtaining:

$$-\frac{1}{2} d \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} d\mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\kappa = - \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \left(\mathbf{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}^{-1} d \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{R}^\kappa \right) \quad (18)$$

Before proceeding we recall that taking into account Eq.(10) we have the "conservation law"

$$d \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \left(\mathbf{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}^{-1} d \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathcal{R}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{g}^\kappa \right) = 0, \quad (19)$$

which however is not very much significant since the components $\mathbf{t}_{\kappa l}$ of $\mathbf{t}_\kappa = \mathbf{t}_{\kappa l} dy^l$ does not define a symmetric tensor [12].

We now add the *null* term $-\frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathbf{g}^\kappa$ to both members Eq.(18) and next apply the operator $\star_{\mathring{\mathbf{g}}}^{-1}$ to both sides of that equation, thus obtaining the equivalent equation:

$$-\frac{1}{2} \delta d\mathbf{g}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa = - \left(\mathbf{t}^\kappa + \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \delta \mathcal{R}^\kappa - \frac{1}{2} d\delta \mathbf{g}^\kappa \right) \quad (20)$$

We now recall the definition of the Hodge D'Alembertian, which in the Clifford bundle formalism is the square of the Dirac operator acting on sections of the Clifford bundle [5], i.e.,

$$\mathring{\Delta} \mathbf{g}^\kappa := (-\delta d - d\delta) \mathbf{g}^\kappa = \mathring{\Delta}^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa \quad (21)$$

and recall moreover the following nontrivial decomposition [5] of $\mathring{\Delta}^2$,

$$\mathring{\Delta}^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa = \mathring{\Delta} \cdot \mathring{\Delta} \mathbf{g}^\kappa + \mathring{\Delta} \wedge \mathring{\Delta} \mathbf{g}^\kappa, \quad (22)$$

where $\overset{\circ}{\square} := \overset{\circ}{\phi} \cdot \overset{\circ}{\phi}$ is the *covariant D'Alembertian* and $\overset{\circ}{\phi} \wedge \overset{\circ}{\phi}$ is the *Ricci operator*.

For the flat Minkowski spacetime where the components of the Ricci tensor are null, we have in an arbitrary (coordinate basis)

$$\overset{\circ}{\phi} \wedge \overset{\circ}{\phi} \mathbf{g}_\kappa = \overset{\circ}{\mathcal{R}}_\kappa = \overset{\circ}{R}_{\kappa l} dx^l = 0. \quad (23)$$

This permits us to rewrite Eq.(20) as

$$\frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{\square} \mathbf{g}^\kappa + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \mathbf{g}^\kappa = -\mathfrak{T}^\kappa - \mathfrak{t}^\kappa - \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\delta} \mathfrak{R}^\kappa. \quad (24)$$

Now, writing

$$\mathbf{g}_\kappa = \phi_{\kappa l} dx^l, \mathfrak{T}_\kappa = \mathfrak{T}_{\kappa l} dx^l, \mathfrak{t}_\kappa = \mathfrak{t}_{\kappa l} dx^l, \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\delta} \mathfrak{R}^\kappa = \mathfrak{k}_{\kappa l} dx^l \quad (25)$$

and taking into account that [5]

$$\overset{\circ}{\square} \mathbf{g}_\kappa = (\dot{g}^{\alpha\beta} \dot{D}_\alpha \dot{D}_\beta \phi_{\kappa l}) dx^l \quad (26)$$

we get from Eq.(24)

$$\dot{g}^{\alpha\beta} \dot{D}_\alpha \dot{D}_\beta \phi_{\kappa l} + m^2 \phi_{\kappa l} = -2(\mathfrak{T}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{t}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{k}_{\kappa l}), \quad (27)$$

which is the correct (covariant) equation for the graviton field.¹³

We immediately observe that since the first member of Eq.(27) defines a symmetric tensor, then $(\mathfrak{T}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{t}_{\kappa l} + \mathfrak{k}_{\kappa l})$ define the components of a symmetric tensor and then from Eq.(20) and taking into account that $\underset{\mathbf{g}}{\delta} \mathbf{g}^\kappa = 0$ we have

$$\underset{\mathbf{g}}{\delta} \left(\mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\delta} \mathfrak{R}^\kappa \right) = 0. \quad (28)$$

It follows that \mathfrak{T}^κ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{T}^\kappa &= \mathfrak{T}^\kappa + \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{g}}^\kappa, \\ \mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{g}}^\kappa &= \mathfrak{t}^\kappa + \underset{\mathbf{g}}{\delta} \mathfrak{R}^\kappa \end{aligned}$$

may be considered the total (*symmetric*) energy-momentum 1-forms of the matter plus the gravitational field. Moreover, $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbf{g}}$ is to be considered as the energy-momentum 1-forms of the gravitational field and this result explicitly shows *how* the gravitational field (in our theory represented by the fields \mathbf{g}_κ) couples with itself.

¹³The relation between the $\phi_{\kappa l}$ and $h_{\kappa l}$ is determined once we know the diffeomorphism \mathbf{h} in Eq.(4).

3 Which Gauge to Use for g in the Effective Lorentzian Spacetime?

If we recall that [5] for an arbitrary $K \in \sec \bigwedge^p T^*M$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d\mathbf{h}^*K &= \mathbf{h}^*dK \\ \star_{\mathbf{g}} d \star_{\mathbf{h}} K &= \mathbf{h}^* \star_{\mathbf{g}} d \star_{\mathbf{g}} K, \\ \mathbf{h}^* L_{\star_{\mathbf{g}}} \mathbf{h}^* K &= \mathbf{h}^* (L_{\star_{\mathbf{g}}} K), \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

we can write Eq.(13) equivalently as:

$$d \star_{\mathbf{g}} S^\alpha + \star_{\mathbf{g}} t^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} m^2 \star_{\mathbf{g}} \theta^\alpha = - \star_{\mathbf{g}} T^\alpha, \quad (30)$$

with

$$S^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathcal{S}^\alpha, t^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{t}^\alpha, \theta^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathbf{g}^\alpha, T^\alpha = \mathbf{h}^* \mathfrak{T}^\alpha \quad (31)$$

which is valid for an *arbitrary* coframe basis, not only for the \mathbf{g} orthonormal ones.

So, let us examine the structure of Eq.(30) in a coordinate basis $\{\vartheta^\mu = dx^\mu\}$. We immediately see that a conservation law (excluding the energy associated with the graviton mass) exists for $\star_{\mathbf{g}}(T^\mu + t^\mu)$ if

$$\delta_{\mathbf{g}} \vartheta^\mu = 0. \quad (32)$$

This of course, implies that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -d\delta x^\mu - \delta dx^\mu = 0, \quad (33)$$

i.e., the coordinates must be harmonic.

Now, if

$$D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}, D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = -\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\mu \vartheta^\rho \quad (34)$$

we have

$$\delta_{\mathbf{g}} \vartheta^\mu = -\vartheta^\nu \lrcorner D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \vartheta^\mu = \vartheta^\nu \lrcorner (\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu \vartheta^\alpha) = \Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0, \quad (35)$$

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0 \quad (36)$$

Now if $\Gamma_{\nu\alpha}^\mu g^{\nu\alpha} = 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\mu\nu}) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\mu\nu}) + \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\nu g^{\mu\alpha} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\mu\nu}) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\mu\nu}) + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu g^{\mu\alpha} - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu g^{\mu\alpha} \\ &= \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\mu\nu}) - \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu g^{\mu\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

and since $D_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\nu}) = 0$ we get that

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\nu}) = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu g^{\mu\alpha} \quad (37)$$

In particular in a coordinate basis $\{\gamma^\mu = dx^\mu\}$, where $\{x^\mu\}$ are global coordinates for M in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge¹⁴ where the connection coefficients $\mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\alpha}^\nu = 0$ ($\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\nu\mu}^\rho \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\rho}$, $\mathbf{g} = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$) we have

$$\diamond x^\mu = 0 \Rightarrow \mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-|\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}|}\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}(\sqrt{-|\mathbf{g}_{\mu\nu}|}\mathbf{g}^{\mu\nu}) = 0$$

Also, for arbitrary *non* harmonic coordinates functions $\{x^\mu\}$ we get ($\mathring{D}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}$, $D_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\kappa \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa}$) that

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} - K_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu}. \quad (38)$$

Now, observe that the so called *Logunov gauge condition* (Eq.(6))

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\nu}) = 0.$$

does *not* imply that the coordinates are harmonic, for we have (using the formulas in the Appendix)

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\nu}) = D_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\nu}) + K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\kappa} = K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\kappa} \quad (39)$$

and thus

$$\mathring{D}_\mu(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\nu}) = 0 \Rightarrow K_{\mu\kappa}^\nu \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\mu\kappa} = 0, \quad (40)$$

and we see that under those conditions the coordinate functions must always satisfy the constraint:

$$\diamond x^\mu = -\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu} = -\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\nu}^\mu g^{\alpha\nu}. \quad (41)$$

Finally we comment that Logunov [2, 3] imposed Eq.(6) as a gauge condition in his theory because he *postulated* (differently from what is the case of our theory, see below)) that the relation between $g^{\alpha\beta}$ and the gravitational field $\mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}$ is given by

$$\varkappa g^{\alpha\beta} := \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} + \mathbf{h}^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (42)$$

Now, the second member of Eq.(42) implies immediately taking into account Eq.(3) that $\mathring{D}_\alpha(\chi g^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$ and thus from the second line in Eq.(66) (in Appendix) we get that $\mathring{D}_\alpha(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}g^{\alpha\beta}) = 0$.

In our theory, defining $\{\vartheta_\mu\}$ as the *reciprocal* basis of $\{\vartheta^\mu\}$ relative to \mathbf{g} , i.e., $\mathbf{g}(\vartheta_\mu, \vartheta^\nu) = \delta_\mu^\nu$ we can write directly from Eq.(4) that

¹⁴Recall that the energy-momentum conservation law of any *Lorentz invariant* field theory is unambiguously formulated global coordinates in Einstein-Lorentz-Poincaré gauge.

$$\mathbf{g} = \eta^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{h}_\alpha^\mu \mathbf{h}_\beta^\nu \vartheta_\mu \otimes \vartheta_\nu = g^{\mu\nu} \vartheta_\mu \otimes \vartheta_\nu,$$

and so differently from Logunov's theory we have:

$$\kappa g^{\mu\nu} = \kappa \eta^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{h}_\alpha^\mu \mathbf{h}_\beta^\nu, \quad (43)$$

from where it follows that there is no reason to impose a priori any gauge condition for the "metric" field \mathbf{g} of the effective Lorentzian spacetime, as it is the case in GR.

4 Conclusions

We proved that if gravitation is to be described by a *massive* graviton field living in Minkowski spacetime which is represented by a symmetric tensor field \mathbf{h} carrying the representations of spin *two* and *zero* of the Lorentz group and thus satisfying the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) the *effective* Lorentzian spacetime structure that represents the field of a given energy-momentum distribution is such that the field \mathbf{g} solving the effective Einstein-Hilbert equation does *not* need to satisfy in general any fixed gauge a priori, as it is the case in GR. We have shown moreover that the Logunov gauge condition $\mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$ cannot be deduced (in our theory without the introduction of ad hoc hypothesis and in Logunov's theory such a condition it is indeed the result of a postulate. Moreover, we proved that the gauge condition given by Eq.(3) is essential in order to get a conservation law for the energy-momentum tensor of the gravitational plus the matter fields in Minkowski spacetime. Logunov thought that the importance of the gauge condition $\mathring{D}_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\gamma\kappa} \right) = 0$ in determining the effective Lorentzian spacetime generated by an energy-momentum distribution can be seen from the following example [2, 3]. Let $\{t, r, \theta, \varphi\}$ be the usual spherical coordinates in Minkowski spacetime.

If we try to solve the (effective) Einstein-Hilbert equations in the zero mass graviton case) for the field generated by a point mass at the origin of the coordinate system we get immediately that the following "metric" fields (besides many others) are solutions of those equations,

$$\mathbf{g}_s = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right) dt \otimes dt - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r} \right)^{-1} dr \otimes dr - r^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \quad (44)$$

and

$$\mathbf{g}_i = \left(\frac{r + \lambda - m}{r + \lambda + m} \right) dt \otimes dt - \left(\frac{r + \lambda + m}{r + \lambda - m} \right) dr \otimes dr - (r + \lambda + m)^2 (d\theta \otimes d\theta + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi \otimes d\varphi), \quad (45)$$

with λ an arbitrary real parameter. Now, both solutions have the same asymptotic behavior when $r \rightarrow \infty$. Which of both solutions should we use for the descriptions of physical processes? Note that \mathbf{g}_s and \mathbf{g}_i are *not* diffeomorphically equivalent since both metrics are given in the *same* coordinate basis. So,

according to Logunov to fix the metric we need an additional criterion and such criterion does not exist in GR. Only the metric \mathbf{g}_i when $\lambda = 0$ satisfies Logunov gauge condition, and this author makes the strong claim that this is the *only* one that fits correctly all known data on solar system experiments. We find hard to believe that astronomers methods for determining the coordinates of their probes always fix these coordinates as being the spherical coordinates of Minkowski spacetime, but in any case we think that the question merits to be more deeply investigated.

Appendix

Let $(M, \overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}, \overset{\circ}{D})$ and (M, \mathbf{g}, D) be the two Lorentzian structures¹⁵ on the same manifold M such that

$$\overset{\circ}{D}\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}} = 0, D\mathbf{g} = 0, \quad (46)$$

with the *nonmetricity* of D relative to $\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}$ being given by:

$$\mathbf{Q} := -D\overset{\circ}{\mathbf{g}}.$$

Let moreover the connection coefficients of $\overset{\circ}{D}$ and D in arbitrary coordinates $\{x^\mu\}$ covering $U \subset M$ be:

$$\overset{\circ}{D}_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, D_{\partial_\alpha} dx^\rho = -\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho dx^\beta, \quad (47)$$

and

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = -D_\alpha \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\sigma}, \quad (48)$$

Define the components of the *strain tensor* of the connection D by:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = 2\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\rho - 2\overset{\circ}{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (49)$$

Then

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \frac{1}{2}(\overset{\circ}{g}_{\mu\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\mu + \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\mu} S_{\alpha\sigma}^\mu), \quad (50)$$

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \overset{\circ}{g}^{\rho\sigma}(Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} - Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta}). \quad (51)$$

Also,

$$Q_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + Q_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + Q_{\beta\sigma\alpha} = S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} + S_{\sigma\alpha\beta} + S_{\beta\sigma\alpha}, \quad (52)$$

where $S_{\alpha\beta\sigma} = \overset{\circ}{g}_{\rho\sigma} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho$.

Putting

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = \frac{1}{2} S_{\alpha\beta}^\rho. \quad (53)$$

we have

$$K_{\alpha\beta}^\rho = -\frac{1}{2} \overset{\circ}{g}^{\rho\sigma} (D_\alpha \overset{\circ}{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_\beta \overset{\circ}{g}_{\sigma\alpha} - D_\sigma \overset{\circ}{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \quad (54)$$

¹⁵More general formulas relating two arbitrary general connections may be found, e.g., in [5, ?].

The relation between the curvature tensor $R_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ associated with the connection D and the Riemann curvature tensor $\mathring{R}_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\beta}$ of the Levi-Civita connection \mathring{D} associated with the metric $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ are given by:

$$R_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{R}_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\beta} + J_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{[\alpha\beta]}, \quad (55)$$

where:

$$J_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\beta} = \mathring{D}_{\alpha} K_{\beta\mu}^{\rho} - K_{\beta\sigma}^{\rho} K_{\alpha\mu}^{\sigma} = D_{\alpha} K_{\beta\mu}^{\rho} - K_{\alpha\sigma}^{\rho} K_{\beta\mu}^{\sigma} + K_{\alpha\beta}^{\sigma} K_{\sigma\mu}^{\rho}. \quad (56)$$

Multiplying both sides of Eq.(55) by $\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}$ we get for the curvature 2-forms of the two connections D and \mathring{D} :

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu}^{\rho} = \mathring{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu}^{\rho} + \mathfrak{J}_{\mu}^{\rho}, \quad (57)$$

where we have written:

$$\mathfrak{J}_{\mu}^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2} J_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{[\alpha\beta]} \theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}. \quad (58)$$

The relation between the Ricci tensors¹⁶ of the connections D and \mathring{D} is:

$$R_{\mu\alpha} = \mathring{R}_{\mu\alpha} + J_{\mu\alpha}, \quad (59)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mu\alpha} &= \mathring{D}_{\alpha} K_{\rho\mu}^{\rho} - \mathring{D}_{\rho} K_{\alpha\mu}^{\rho} + K_{\alpha\sigma}^{\rho} K_{\rho\mu}^{\sigma} - K_{\rho\sigma}^{\rho} K_{\alpha\mu}^{\sigma} \\ &= D_{\alpha} K_{\rho\mu}^{\rho} - D_{\rho} K_{\alpha\mu}^{\rho} - K_{\sigma\alpha}^{\rho} K_{\rho\mu}^{\sigma} + K_{\rho\sigma}^{\rho} K_{\alpha\mu}^{\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

Recall that the connection \mathring{D} plays with respect to the tensor field \mathbf{g} a role analogous to that played by the connection D with respect to the metric tensor $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$ and in consequence we shall have similar equations relating these two pairs of objects. In particular, the strain of \mathring{D} with respect to \mathbf{g} equals the negative of the strain of D with respect to $\mathring{\mathbf{g}}$, since we have:

$$S_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{\rho} - \mathring{b}_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} = -(\mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^{\rho} - b_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho}),$$

where $b_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} = \mathring{\Gamma}_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} + \mathring{\Gamma}_{\beta\alpha}^{\rho}$ and $\mathring{b}_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} = \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} + \Gamma_{\beta\alpha}^{\rho}$. Furthermore, we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{\alpha\beta}^{\rho} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathring{g}^{\rho\sigma} (D_{\alpha} \mathring{g}_{\beta\sigma} + D_{\beta} \mathring{g}_{\alpha\sigma} - D_{\sigma} \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\rho\sigma} (\mathring{D}_{\alpha} g_{\beta\sigma} + \mathring{D}_{\beta} g_{\alpha\sigma} - \mathring{D}_{\sigma} g_{\alpha\beta}). \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

Now, recall that given arbitrary coordinates $\{x^{\alpha}\}$ covering $U \subset M$ and $\{x'^{\alpha}\}$ covering covering $V \subset M$ ($U \cap V \neq \emptyset$) a set of functions $A_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}(x^{\alpha})$ is said to be the components of a relative tensor field of type $\begin{pmatrix} r \\ s \end{pmatrix}$ and weight w if under

¹⁶For the Ricci tensor $Ricci = R_{\mu\alpha} dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\alpha}$, we use the convention $R_{\mu\alpha} := R_{\mu}{}^{\rho}{}_{\alpha\rho}$.

the coordinate transformation $x^\alpha \mapsto x'^\beta$ with Jacobian $J = \det\left(\frac{\partial x'^\alpha}{\partial x^\beta}\right)$ these functions transform as [4]

$$A'^{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}_{\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_s}(x'^\beta) = J^w \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x'^{\lambda_1}}{\partial x^{\mu_1}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu_1}}{\partial x^{\kappa_1}} \dots \frac{\partial x^{\nu_s}}{\partial x^{\kappa_s}} A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}(x^\alpha). \quad (62)$$

The covariant derivative of a relative tensor field relative to a given *arbitrary* connection ∇ defined in M such that $\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}} dx^\mu = -\mathbf{L}^\mu_{\nu\alpha} dx^\alpha$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_\kappa A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\kappa} A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s} + \mathbf{L}^{\mu_p}_{\iota\kappa} A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{p-1} \iota \mu_{p+1} \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s} \\ &\quad - \mathbf{L}^\iota_{\nu_q \kappa} A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_{q-1} \iota \nu_{q+1} \dots \nu_s} - w J^w \mathbf{L}^\sigma_{\kappa\sigma} A^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_r}_{\nu_1 \dots \nu_s}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

In particular for the case of the connection \mathring{D} we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_\alpha(\sqrt{-|\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}|}) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-|\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}|} \right) - \mathring{\Gamma}^\rho_{\gamma\rho} \sqrt{|\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}|} = 0, \\ \mathring{D}_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-|\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}|}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-|\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}|}} \right) + \mathring{\Gamma}^\rho_{\gamma\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-|\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}|}} = 0, \\ D_\alpha(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} \right) - \Gamma^\rho_{\gamma\rho} \sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} = 0, \\ \mathring{D}_\alpha \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}} \right) &= \partial_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}} \right) + \Gamma^\rho_{\gamma\rho} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Now, if we define

$$\varkappa := \sqrt{\frac{|g_{\mu\nu}|}{|\mathring{g}_{\mu\nu}|}}, \quad (65)$$

we can easily prove the the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K^\rho_{\rho\sigma} &= -\frac{1}{2} \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} D_\sigma \mathring{g}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} \mathring{D}_\sigma g_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{\varkappa} \partial_\sigma(\varkappa) \\ g^{\alpha\beta} K^\rho_{\alpha\beta} &= -\frac{1}{\varkappa} \mathring{D}_\sigma(\varkappa g^{\rho\sigma}) = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|}} \mathring{D}_\sigma(\sqrt{-|g_{\mu\nu}|} g^{\rho\sigma}) \\ \mathring{g}^{\alpha\beta} K^\rho_{\alpha\beta} &= \frac{1}{\varkappa^{-1}} D_\sigma(\varkappa^{-1} \mathring{g}^{\rho\sigma}). \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

Another useful formulas valid for our *particular* connections \mathring{D} and D are:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathring{D}_\alpha K^\rho_{\rho\beta} &= \mathring{D}_\beta K^\rho_{\rho\alpha} \\ D_\alpha K^\rho_{\rho\beta} &= D_\beta K^\rho_{\rho\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

References

- [1] Barnes, K. J., Lagrangian Theory for the Second-Rank Tensor Field, *Phys. J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 788-794 (1965).

- [2] Logunov, A. A., Mestvirishvili, *The Relativistic Theory of Gravitation*, Mir Publ., Moscow, 1989.
- [3] Logunov, A. A., *Relativistic M. A., Theory of Gravity*, Nova Science Publ., New York, 1999.
- [4] Lovelock, D., and Rund, H., *Tensors, Differential Forms, and Variational Principles*, J. Wiley & Sons, New York, 1975.
- [5] Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., and Oliveira, E. Capelas, *The Many Faces of Maxwell, Dirac and Einstein Equations. aA Clifford Bundle Approach*. Lecture Notes in Physics **722**, Springer, Heidelberg, 2007.
- [6] Notte-Cuello, E.A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., A Maxwell Like Formulation of the Gravitational Theory in Minkowski Spacetime, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **16**, 1027-1041 (2007). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0612098](#)]
- [7] Notte-Cuello, E.A., and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., Freud's Identity of Differential Geometry, the Einstein-Hilbert Equations and the Vexatious Problem of the Energy-Momentum Conservation in GR, to appear in *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras* (2008). [[arXiv:0801.2559v4](#)]
- [8] Frankel T., *The Geometry of Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [9] Fronsdal, C. Massless Fields with Integer Spin, *Phys. Rev. D* **18**, 3624-3629 (1978).
- [10] Padmanabhan T., *From Gravitons to Gravity: Myths and Reality*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **17**, 367-398 (2008). [[arXiv:gr-qc/0409089v1](#)]
- [11] Souza, Q. A. G. and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr., The Dirac Operator and the Structure of Riemann-Cartan-Weyl Spaces, in Letelier, P. A. , and Rodrigues, W. A. Jr. (eds.), *Gravitation: The Spacetime Structure*, Proc. of the 8th Latin American Symposium on Relativity and Gravitation, Aguas de Lindóia, July 1993, World Sci., Singapore (1994).
- [12] Thirring, W., *A Course in Mathematical Physics*, vol.2, Springer-Verlag, New York, Wien, 1979.