

# Flux, kinetic energy and self-action

Yuri Kornushin

Maître Jean Brunschvig Research Unit, Chalet Shalva, Randogne, CH-3975

*A concept of kinetic energy in Quantum Mechanics is analyzed. Kinetic energy is a non-zero positive value in many cases of bound states, when a wave function is a real-valued one and there are no motion and flux. This can be understood, using expansion of the wave function into Fourier integral, that is, on the basis of virtual plane waves. The ground state of a hydrogen atom is calculated in a special way, regarding explicitly all the terms of electrostatic and kinetic energy. The correct value of the ground state energy and the radius of decay are achieved only when the electrostatic energies of the electron and the proton (self-energy) are not taken into account. This proves again that self-action should be excluded. Model of a spherical ball with uniformly distributed charge of particles is considered. It is shown that the electrostatic energy is a non-zero negative value in such models. This occurs because the self-energy of particles should be subtracted.*

## 1. Introduction

Let us write down Schrödinger equation for a free particle [1]:

$$-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta\psi = E\psi, \quad (1)$$

where  $\hbar$  is Planck constant, divided by  $2\pi$ ,  $m$  is the mass of a particle,  $\Delta$  is Laplace operator,  $\psi$  is a wave function, and  $E$  is the energy of the stationary state of a particle. A well-known solution of Eq. (1) is a plane wave [1],  $\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \text{const}[\exp i(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})]$ , where  $i$  is the imaginary unity,  $\mathbf{k}$  is the wave-vector and  $\mathbf{r}$  is a radius-vector. This solution corresponds to a non-localized free particle. The energy of this particle is well known to be  $E(k) = \hbar^2 k^2 / 2m$  [1]. This is a kinetic energy of a non-localized particle [1].

When a charged particle is localized, it has a kinetic energy and creates an electric field. The energy of this field should not be taken into account when the total energy of a system is calculated, as it would be a self-action. So here we have electric field with no energy.

We shall discuss the kinetic energy when there is no flux/current, electrostatic field with no electrostatic energy, and electrostatic energy when there is no electrostatic field. It shows again and again how wonderful Quantum Mechanics is.

## 2. Kinetic energy in a general case

Kinetic energy is the energy of a motion [1]. A matter flux and electric current accompany the motion of a charged matter. Matter flux  $\mathbf{J}$  is given by the following equation [1]:

$$\mathbf{J} = (i\hbar/2m)(\psi\nabla\psi^* - \psi^*\nabla\psi). \quad (2)$$

It seems that no motion without a flux of a matter exists. In many of the bound states (both ground states and excited ones) of any particle, e.g., electron, the wave functions are real, they contain no imaginary parts [1]. As follows from Eq. (2), real wave function yields no flux and no electric current for a charged particle. As an example let us take an electron in the ground state of a hydrogen atom. Corresponding wave function is [1]  $\psi(r) = (g^3/\pi)^{1/2}\exp(-gr)$ , where  $g = me^2/\hbar^2$  and  $r$  is the distance from the proton [1].

The operator of the momentum is  $-i\hbar\nabla$ , where  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator. The kinetic energy operator  $T$  is the operator of the momentum in square, divided by  $2m$  [1]. This operator,  $-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$ , averaged quantum-mechanically over the whole space, is an average kinetic energy [1]. From this follows that for the electron in the ground state of a hydrogen atom  $T = me^4/2\hbar^2$ . So we have here a very essential kinetic energy with no visible motion [2]. This can be explained using virtual plane waves expansion.

As was mentioned above, the operator  $-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$ , averaged over the whole space yields kinetic energy. This energy is not zero because the wave function is inhomogeneous, it depends on coordinates. Let us expand the wave function into Fourier integral:

$$\psi(r) = \int a_{\mathbf{k}}[\exp(i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r})]d\mathbf{k}. \quad (3)$$

The expansion coefficients are normalized, so that

$$\int a_{\mathbf{k}}^* a_{\mathbf{k}} d\mathbf{k} = 1. \quad (4)$$

The kinetic energy operator  $-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$ , averaged over the whole space, is the kinetic energy of a system. Using Eq. (3) we have:

$$T = (\hbar^2/2m) \int k^2 a_{\mathbf{k}}^* a_{\mathbf{k}} d\mathbf{k}. \quad (5)$$

Equation (5) shows that the kinetic energy is a sum of kinetic energies of constituting plane waves with the appropriate weight.

### 3. Hydrogen atom

Let us consider in this section a simple problem of a free hydrogen atom. Let us do it in alternative way, like it was done in [3]. Wave function of the electron in the ground state of a hydrogen atom is [3]

$$\psi(r) = [\exp(-r/2R)]/2(2\pi)^{1/2}R^{3/2}, \quad (6)$$

where  $R$  is related to the equilibrium (or not) size of the electronic shell.

The energy of the ground state is described by a well-known relation [1]:

$$W_0 = -(me^4/2\hbar^2), \quad (7)$$

where  $e$  is the electron (negative) charge and  $m$  is the electron mass here.

The electrostatic field created by the electron shell, which electric charge density is

$$\rho(r) = e\psi^*(r)\psi(r) = (e/8\pi R^3)\exp(-r/R), \quad (8)$$

can be calculated using Gauss theorem. The corresponding electrostatic potential is

$$\varphi(r) = (e/r) - (e/2R)[(2R/r) + 1]\exp(-r/R). \quad (9)$$

In the vicinity of  $r = 0$  the electrostatic potential is as follows:

$$\varphi(r) \approx (e/2R) - (e/12R^3)r^2 + \dots \quad (10)$$

Kinetic energy of the electron is a quantum mechanically averaged value of its operator:

$$T = -(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta. \quad (11)$$

It follows from Eqs. (6) and (11) that

$$\langle T \rangle = \hbar^2/8mR^2. \quad (12)$$

In quantum mechanics the electrostatic energy of an elementary particle does not exist, because there are no self-action in quantum mechanics. In the simple problem of a hydrogen atom in a ground state there is no electrostatic energy of the electron and the proton. The only electrostatic energy that exists really is the energy of electron-proton interaction. This energy is negative, because of the opposite charges of the interacting particles. So hydrogen atom is a very interesting object, which has electrostatic field and negative electrostatic energy. Such a situation is never possible in Classical Electrostatics.

So the total energy of a hydrogen atom (without self-action), which consists of the kinetic energy of the electron and the electrostatic interaction energy of the positively charged core placed in the center of the electronic shell, is as follows:

$$W(R) = (\hbar^2/8mR^2) - (e^2/2R). \quad (13)$$

As was mentioned above, the electrostatic energy of the electron itself should not be taken into account as it represents the so-called self-action. The same should be said about the electrostatic energy of the proton. Energy, described by Eq. (13) has a minimum at  $R = R_e = \hbar^2/2me^2$ , as is well known [1]. The (correct) minimum value of the ground state energy is given by Eq. (7).

Here we calculated the energy of the ground state of a hydrogen atom in a way, regarding all the terms of the electrostatic and kinetic energy. We did it on purpose. Thus we see explicitly, that the energy of the ground state of a hydrogen atom, calculated here without taking into account the electrostatic energy of the electron and proton (without self-action), is of a correct well known and measured experimentally value [1].

Now let us imagine a positronium (hydrogen type atom consisting of electron and positron) in a ground state. In this case we have no local charge, no electrostatic field but we have negative electrostatic energy of a system.

#### 4. Uniformly charged spherical ball model.

Let us consider in this section  $N$  electrons with the electric charge of each one distributed uniformly in a spherical ball of a radius  $R$ . Electrostatic energy of such a ball is [4]:

$$U = 0.6e^2N(N - 1)/R. \quad (14)$$

Self-action exists in Classical Electrostatics. So we have  $N^2$  instead of  $N(N - 1)$  in Eq. (14).

For a spherical ball of  $N$  protons with a radius  $R$  and uniformly distributed electric charge of each one we have the same equation, Eq. (14), for the electrostatic energy.

Let us consider now a spherical ball of a radius  $R$ , containing  $N$  electrons and  $N$  protons, each one distributed uniformly. The total charge in any point is zero. So there is no electrostatic field. The total electrostatic energy of such a ball consists of the electrostatic energy of electrons [Eq. (14)], electrostatic energy of protons [Eq. (14)], and interaction energy,  $U_i = -1.2e^2N^2/R$ . So the total electrostatic energy is equal to the interaction energy and the following relation gives it:

$$U_t = -1.2e^2N/R. \quad (15)$$

This result is a particular case of more general expressions, published in [4].

In Classical Electrostatics, as was mentioned, we have  $N^2$  instead of  $N(N - 1)$  in Eq. (14). Hence we have the total electrostatic energy zero. In Quantum Mechanics, where self-action does not exist, we have negative total electrostatic energy in a locally electrically neutral system. This occurs because every particle produces electrostatic field and in spite of the local neutrality we should subtract from zero total electrostatic energy the energy of each separate particle.

Here we have no local electric charge, no local electrostatic field and a considerable negative electrostatic energy.

#### 5. Discussion

The concept of the kinetic energy in Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics is considered here. Kinetic energy yields non-zero positive values for many cases with no motion and flux. The momentum operator contains a factor of imaginary unity  $i$ . So when wave function is a real-valued one, the momentum is imaginary. It is a problem how to interpret physically imaginary momentum. The existence of a positive kinetic energy in states with no flux can be understood, using expansion in virtual plane waves. This expansion presents the kinetic energy as a sum of partial kinetic energies of constituting virtual plane waves. The real-valued wave function is a superposition of moving plane-waves. Their velocities cancel, but their kinetic energies add.

The energy of the ground state of a hydrogen atom is calculated in a special way, considering all the terms of the electrostatic and kinetic energy of the electron and the proton. It is shown that the correct values of the energy of a ground state and the radius of decay could be obtained only when the electrostatic energy of the electron and the proton are not taken into account. This supports once more the concept that self-action should not be taken into account in Quantum Mechanics.

When self-action in Quantum Mechanics is not taken into account we have some cases of zero local charge, but non-zero negative electrostatic energy. This occurs, in particular, in positronium and in a model of a spherical ball, consisting of equal number of positive and negative elementary particles.

A model of charged elementary particles, the charge of each one of them is distributed uniformly in a spherical ball of a radius  $R$ , was considered here. An alternative model, where each charged particle occupies a restricted volume, a sphere of a radius  $R_m$  could be considered also. It is possible to consider a model with  $NR_m^3 \approx R^3$ . In this case Eq. (14) acquires the following form:

$$U = -1.2e^2N/R_m = -1.2e^2N^{4/3}/R. \quad (16)$$

The difference between Eq. (14) and Eq. (16) is due to the fact that the charge of each particle in this model is considered to be localized in a sphere of a radius  $R_m$ .

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Kirk McDonald of Princeton University, Norman H. Redington of MIT, Mark Dykman of Michigan State University, and Miron Amusia of A. F. Ioffe Institute in Sankt-Petersburg for useful discussions.

#### References

1. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963.
2. Y. Korniyushin, <http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.3232v3>.
3. Y. Korniyushin, <http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0702422v2>.
4. M. Ya. Amusia and Y. Korniyushin, *Contemporary Physics* **41** (4), (2000) 219.