

Downfolded Self-Energy of Many-Electron Systems

F. Aryasetiawan^{1,2,3}, J. M. Tomczak^{2,3}, T. Miyake^{2,3}, and R. Sakuma^{1,3}

¹*Graduate School of Advanced Integration Science, Chiba University,
1-33 Yayoi-cho, Inage-ku, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 263-8522 Japan,*

²*Research Institute for Computational Sciences, AIST, 1-1-1 Umezono,
Tsukuba Central 2, Tsukuba-shi, Ibaraki, 305-8568 Japan, and*

³*Japan Science and Technology Agency, CREST.*

Starting from the full many-body Hamiltonian of interacting electrons the effective self-energy acting on electrons residing in a subspace of the full Hilbert space is derived. This subspace may correspond to, for example, partially filled narrow bands, which often characterize strongly correlated materials. The formalism delivers naturally the frequency-dependent effective interaction (the Hubbard U) and provides a general framework for constructing theoretical models based on the Green function language. It also furnishes a general scheme for first-principles calculations of complex systems in which the main correlation effects are concentrated on a small subspace of the full Hilbert space.

PACS numbers: 71.10.-w, 71.27.+a, 71.15.-m

One of the most important quantities in studying the electronic structure of materials is the spectral function, a quantity that contains information about the electronic excitations of the system obtained from photoemission and inverse photoemission experiment corresponding to the addition and removal of an electron. A suitable tool to describe the spectral function is the one-electron Green's function. To calculate the Green function of the full many-body Hamiltonian is a tremendous task and only recently such calculations, within the GW approximation (GWA) [1, 2], are feasible thanks to the rapid progress in computer performance. However, two serious difficulties are hampering further progress: firstly, for many cases, the system size is too large for realistic GW calculations and secondly, from a theoretical point of view, the GWA may not be sufficient to treat correlation problems, especially those in materials with strong correlations containing partially filled narrow bands. These materials with many intriguing properties have been discovered in recent years [3].

The traditional approach for treating such systems is to introduce a model Hamiltonian, focusing on a small subspace of the full Hilbert space that is considered to be most relevant for the correlation problem at hand. Notable examples are the Hubbard model and the Anderson impurity model with the assumption of a local statically screened Coulomb interaction known as the Hubbard U , which is treated as an adjustable parameter of the models. These models have given us a lot of physical insight into correlation problems ranging from the Kondo effect to high temperature superconductivity. The presence of an adjustable parameter U , however, limits the predictive power of the models, preventing accurate and precise quantitative calculations. Moreover, these models are not strictly derived from the many-body Hamiltonian, but rather they are intuitively postulated, which is not satisfying from theoretical point of view.

The purpose of the present work is to derive from the full many-body Hamiltonian an exact expression for the self-energy corresponding to a subspace of the full Hilbert space, expressed in terms of the one-particle Green's function of the subspace. The motivation for this is that in many physical problems it is too complicated to treat the full Hilbert space on equal footing. A sensible and physically motivated approach is to identify a subspace, where most of the correlations take place. This subspace could be identified as, for example, partially filled narrow bands crossing the Fermi level, a typical situation in materials with strong correlations. The task is then to derive an effective self-energy acting on electrons residing in this subspace. As a result of the derivation, the effective screened interaction among electrons belonging to the subspace, i.e., the Hubbard U , emerges naturally.

Let us start by defining some basic variables and quantities. The many-electron Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \int d^3r \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) h_0(\mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r d^3r' \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}) \psi^\dagger(\mathbf{r}') v(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}') \psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (1)$$

where h_0 is the one-particle part of the Hamiltonian and $\psi(\mathbf{r})$ is the field operator. We aim at downfolding the many-body problem onto a subspace of the full Hilbert space, which can consist of, for example, 3d or 4f orbitals. From now on we refer to the subspace as the d subspace. We first divide the complete field operator into the d field and the rest, denoted by d and r , respectively:

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_d(\mathbf{r}) + \psi_r(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_d \chi_d(\mathbf{r}) c_d + \sum_r \chi_r(\mathbf{r}) c_r. \quad (2)$$

χ_d and χ_r are the one-particle orbitals and c_d and c_r are the associated annihilation operators. We use a convention that $(\mathbf{r}t)$ is represented by a number. Since we

will utilize the Schwinger functional derivative technique [4] to develop a closed set of equations for G^d , where a probing field $\varphi(1)$ is applied to probe the linear response of the Green function, we define the following Green's functions in the Dirac or interaction representation

$$iG^d(1, 2) = \frac{\langle T [S\psi_d(1)\psi_d^\dagger(2)] \rangle}{\langle S \rangle}, \quad (3)$$

$$iG^{rd}(1, 2) = \frac{\langle T [S\psi_r(1)\psi_d^\dagger(2)] \rangle}{\langle S \rangle}, \quad (4)$$

$$S = T \exp \left[-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \int d^3r \varphi(\mathbf{r}t) \psi^+(\mathbf{r}t) \psi(\mathbf{r}t) \right]. \quad (5)$$

Before proceeding further with a detailed derivation, we first summarize schematically our final result of a closed set of equations for the effective self-energy and the Green function of the d subspace.

$$\Sigma = \Sigma^d + \Sigma^{rd} + \Sigma^{drd}, \quad (6)$$

$$P^d = -iG^d\Gamma G^d, \quad (7)$$

$$\Gamma = 1 + \frac{\delta\Sigma}{\delta G^d} G^d \Gamma G^d, \quad (8)$$

$$W = W^r + W^r P^d W \quad (9)$$

$$G^d = g^d + g^d \Sigma G^d. \quad (10)$$

In place of the bare Coulomb interaction v , we have in (9) W^r , a frequency-dependent effective interaction among electrons living in the chosen d subspace. This effective interaction is screened by P^d , the polarization propagator of the d electrons with a vertex Γ , yielding the fully screened interaction W of the full system. Γ is an effective vertex acting on the d subspace and consequently different from the full vertex. If the subspace is isolated and identified as the Hilbert space of a Hubbard model and W^r is approximated by a local and static interaction (the Hubbard U), Σ^d is then the exact self-energy of the Hubbard model. The explicit expressions for the self-energies will be shown later. In addition to Σ^d the true effective self-energy Σ contains a term, Σ^{rd} , which is the self-energy arising from the r subspace acting on the d subspace and a hopping term Σ^{drd} representing hybridization between the d and r subspaces and scattering processes of an electron from the d to the r and back to the d subspace. Thus, Σ is not merely a projection of the full self-energy onto the d subspace. As will be explained later, for a *given* W^r the effective self-energy is a functional of G^d only. The last equation is the Dyson equation with a noninteracting Green's function g^d of the d subspace.

We now proceed with the derivation of the above set of equations and use the convention that repeated indices

are summed and repeated variables are integrated, unless they appear on both sides of the equation. From the Heisenberg equation of motion for the field operators ψ_d and ψ_r we obtain the equations of motion for G^d and G^{rd} :

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} G^d(1, 2) - \Delta_d(1, 3) h^0(3) [G^d(3, 2) + G^{rd}(3, 2)] \\ - \Delta_d(1, 3) [M^d(3, 4) + M^{rd}(3, 4)] G^d(4, 2) = \Delta_d(1, 2), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial t_1} G^{rd}(1, 2) - \Delta_r(1, 3) h^0(3) [G^d(3, 2) + G^{rd}(3, 2)] \\ - \Delta_r(1, 3) [M^d(3, 4) + M^{rd}(3, 4)] G^d(4, 2) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$\Delta_i(1, 2) = \chi_i(\mathbf{r}_1) \chi_i^*(\mathbf{r}_2) \delta(t_1 - t_2), \quad (i = d, r), \quad (13)$$

and we have *defined* the mass operators according to

$$\begin{aligned} M^d(1, 4) G^d(4, 2) \\ = -iv(1-3) \langle T [\psi^+(3) \psi(3) \psi_d(1) \psi_d^\dagger(2)] \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^{rd}(1, 4) G^d(4, 2) \\ = -iv(1-3) \langle T [\psi^+(3) \psi(3) \psi_r(1) \psi_d^\dagger(2)] \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

It is important to realize that the mass operator M^{rd} is defined with respect to G^d , rather than G^{rd} . Noting that $\delta S / \delta \varphi(3) = -iT [S \psi^+(3) \psi(3)]$ the four-field term can be related to $\delta G^d / \delta \varphi$ and $\delta G^{rd} / \delta \varphi$ [1, 2, 4]. Thus, defining the self-energy as the mass operator less the Hartree potential V_H we obtain

$$\Sigma^j(1, 4) G^d(4, 2) = iW(3, 1) \frac{\delta G^j(1, 2)}{\delta V(3)}, \quad (j = d, rd) \quad (16)$$

We have defined $V = V_H + \varphi$ and the screened Coulomb interaction $W = (\delta V / \delta \varphi) v = \varepsilon^{-1} v$.

To derive an effective self-energy operator for G^d , we need to eliminate G^{rd} . At this stage it is convenient to work in the basis representation. We denote a basis in the d subspace by Greek letters (α) and in the rest of the subspace by small roman letters (n) whereas capital letters (N) denote the full Hilbert space. Note also that G^d has only matrix elements in the d subspace whereas G^{rd} has only off-diagonal elements between the d - r subspaces. However, the self-energies Σ^d and Σ^{rd} can have matrix elements between the d - d and d - r subspaces.

The equations of motion of G^d and G^{rd} in frequency space become,

$$[\omega\delta_{\alpha\gamma} - h_{\alpha\gamma} - \Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^d(\omega) - \Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^{rd}(\omega)]G_{\gamma\beta}^d(\omega) - h_{\alpha n}G_{n\beta}^{rd}(\omega) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (17)$$

$$[\omega\delta_{nm} - h_{nm}]G_{m\beta}^{rd}(\omega) - [h_{n\gamma} + \Sigma_{n\gamma}^d(\omega) + \Sigma_{n\gamma}^{rd}(\omega)]G_{\gamma\beta}^d(\omega) = 0, \quad (18)$$

where the Hartree potential has been absorbed into $h = h^0 + V_H$. Note that h is static unless a probing time-dependent field $\varphi(\mathbf{r}t)$ is applied. Using the second equation we eliminate G^{rd} :

$$G_{n\beta}^{rd}(\omega) = g_{nm}^r(\omega)[h_{m\gamma} + \Sigma_{m\gamma}^d(\omega) + \Sigma_{m\gamma}^{rd}(\omega)]G_{\gamma\beta}^d(\omega), \quad (19)$$

where $g_{nm}^r = [\omega - h]_{nm}^{-1}$, which is a noninteracting Green's function of the r subspace. A small letter g is used to denote a noninteracting Green's function. The effective self-energy for G^d is defined as follows.

$$[\omega\delta_{\alpha\gamma} - h_{\alpha\gamma} - \Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}(\omega)]G_{\gamma\beta}^d(\omega) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (20)$$

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}(\omega) = \Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^d(\omega) + \Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^{rd}(\omega) + \Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^{drd}(\omega), \quad (21)$$

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^{drd}(\omega) = h_{\alpha n}g_{nm}^r(\omega)[h_{m\gamma} + \Sigma_{m\gamma}^d(\omega) + \Sigma_{m\gamma}^{rd}(\omega)]. \quad (22)$$

We are now in the position to calculate Σ^d and Σ^{rd} . We first note that $G^d(G^d)^{-1} = \Delta_d$ since G^d is only defined within the d subspace and $\delta V_{MN}(t)/\delta V(\mathbf{r}'t') = \chi_M^*(\mathbf{r}')\chi_N(\mathbf{r}')\delta(t-t')$. Multiplying both sides of (16) on the right by $(G^d)^{-1}$, and using the identity $G^d\delta(G^d)^{-1} + \delta G^d(G^d)^{-1} = 0$ we obtain

$$\Sigma_{N\beta}^d(t_1 - t_2) = iW_{N\eta,\mu\nu}(t_3 - t_1)G_{\eta\kappa}^d(t_1 - t_4)\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa\beta}(t_4 - t_2; t_3). \quad (23)$$

The vertex Γ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha\beta}(t_1 - t_2, t_3) \\ &= -\frac{\delta(G^d)_{\alpha\beta}^{-1}(t_1 - t_2)}{\delta V_{\mu\nu}(t_3)} \\ &= \delta_{\alpha\mu}\delta_{\beta\nu}\delta(t_1 - t_2)\delta(t_1 - t_3) \\ & \quad + \frac{\delta\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}(t_1 - t_2)}{\delta G_{\gamma\eta}^d(t_4)}G_{\gamma\kappa}^d(t_4 - t_5)\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\kappa\lambda}(t_5 - t_6, t_3)G_{\lambda\eta}^d(t_6). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

The last line has been obtained by using a chain rule $\delta\Sigma/\delta V = (\delta\Sigma^d/\delta G^d)(\delta G^d/\delta V)$ and the identity $\delta G^d = -G^d\delta(G^d)^{-1}G^d$.

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{K\beta}^{rd}(t_1 - t_2) &= iW_{Km,MN}(t_3 - t_1) \\ & \quad \times \frac{\delta G_{m\gamma}^{rd}(t_1 - t_4)}{\delta V_{MN}(t_3)}(G^d)_{\gamma\beta}^{-1}(t_4 - t_2). \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

To calculate Σ^{rd} we need to take the functional derivative of $G_{n\alpha}^{rd}$ with respect to the probing field. However, $G_{n\alpha}^{rd}$ cannot be inverted and consequently the usual trick of using the identity $\delta(GG^{-1}) = 0$ does not apply. We therefore must calculate $\delta G^{rd}/\delta V$ directly. To do this we use $G^{rd}(\omega)$ in (19), Fourier transform back into time domain and perform the functional derivative. Calculating $\delta G^{rd}/\delta V$ and substituting the result in (25) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \Sigma_{N\beta}^{rd}(t_1 - t_2) \\ &= \Sigma_{NI}^{GW(r)}(t_1 - t_3)g_{In}^r(t_3 - t_5) \\ & \quad \times [h_{n\beta}\delta(t_5 - t_2) + \Sigma_{n\beta}^d(t_5 - t_2) + \Sigma_{n\beta}^{rd}(t_5 - t_2)] \\ & \quad + \Sigma_{N\beta}^{GW(r)}(t_1 - t_2) \\ & \quad + iW_{Nm,\mu\nu}(t_3 - t_1)g_{mn}^r(t_1 - t_5) \\ & \quad \times \left[\frac{\delta\Sigma_{n\beta}^d(t_5 - t_2)}{\delta V_{\mu\nu}(t_3)} + \frac{\delta\Sigma_{n\beta}^{rd}(t_5 - t_2)}{\delta V_{\mu\nu}(t_3)} \right] \\ & \quad + iW_{Nm,\mu\nu}(t_3 - t_1)g_{mn}^r(t_1 - t_5) \\ & \quad \times [h_{n\lambda}\delta(t_5 - t_6) + \Sigma_{n\lambda}^d(t_5 - t_6) + \Sigma_{n\lambda}^{rd}(t_5 - t_6)] \\ & \quad \times G_{\lambda\gamma}^d(t_6 - t_4)\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\beta}(t_4 - t_2; t_3), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\Sigma_{MN}^{GW(r)}(t) = ig_{mn}^r(t)W_{Mm,nN}(t). \quad (27)$$

Finally, the polarization propagator of the d subspace is given by

$$\begin{aligned} P^d(1, 2) &= \frac{\delta\rho^d(1)}{\delta V(2)} = -i\frac{\delta G^d(1, 1^+)}{\delta V(2)} \\ &= \chi_\alpha(\mathbf{r}_1)\chi_\beta^*(\mathbf{r}_1)P_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}^d(t_1 - t_2)\chi_\mu(\mathbf{r}_2)\chi_\nu^*(\mathbf{r}_2), \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

$$P_{\alpha\beta,\mu\nu}^d(t_1 - t_2) = -iG_{\alpha\gamma}^d(t_1 - t_3)\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\eta}(t_3 - t_4, t_2)G_{\eta\beta}^d(t_4 - t_1^+). \quad (29)$$

Writing the full polarization of the system as a sum of the d - and r -subspace polarization, $P = P^d + P^r$, it is straightforward to verify that with

$$W^r = v + vP^rW^r = [1 - vP^r]^{-1}v, \quad (30)$$

Eq. (9) is equivalent to $W = v + vPW$. This completes our derivation of the closed set of equations for G^d and

the effective self-energy, which is schematically given in Eqs. (6), (7), (8), (9), and (10), and in detail in Eqs. (21), (29), (24), (9), and (20). This set of equations may be regarded as a set of downfolded Hedin's equations for a *given* W^r . This quantity may be calculated, for example, within the constrained random-phase approximation (cRPA) scheme [5]. For a given screened interaction W^r , the self-energies and hence the effective self-energy are functionals of G^d only, as can be seen in Eqs. (23), (26), and (22). The dependence on G^{rd} has been eliminated and there is no explicit dependence on G^r . It is important to note that we have defined the effective self-energy such that

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\gamma} G_{\gamma\beta}^d = \Sigma_{\alpha\gamma}^F \mathcal{G}_{\gamma\beta} + \Sigma_{\alpha n}^F \mathcal{G}_{n\beta} \quad (31)$$

where Σ^F and \mathcal{G} are respectively the self-energy and the Green function of the *full* Hilbert space. The first term on the right-hand-side is confined to the d subspace and the second represents a coupling between the d and r subspaces. Thus, the self-energy $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}$ is not a simple projection of the full self-energy onto the d subspace but it contains the effects of the off-diagonal matrix elements of Σ^F and \mathcal{G} between the d and r subspaces. However, G^d is equivalent to the projection of \mathcal{G} onto the d subspace as can be seen in (3).

It is instructive to compute the effective self-energy in our formalism within the GWA, where the vertex corrections $\delta\Sigma^d/\delta V$ and $\delta\Sigma^{rd}/\delta V$ are neglected.

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{GW}(\omega) &= \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{GW(d)}(\omega) + \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{GW(r)}(\omega) \\ &+ \Sigma_{\alpha l}^{GW(r)}(\omega) g_{ln}^r(\omega) [h_{n\beta} + \Sigma_{n\beta}^d(\omega) + \Sigma_{n\beta}^{rd}(\omega)] \\ &+ g_{mn}^r(\omega) [h_{n\lambda} + \Sigma_{n\lambda}^d(\omega) + \Sigma_{n\lambda}^{rd}(\omega)] \\ &\quad \times G_{\lambda\gamma}^d(\omega) W_{\alpha m, \gamma\beta}(\omega) \\ &+ \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{drd}(\omega), \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{GW(r)}$ and $\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{drd}$ are given in (27) and (22), and

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta}^{GW(d)}(\omega) = (i/2\pi) G_{\eta\kappa}^d(\omega + \omega') W_{\alpha\eta, \kappa\beta}(\omega'). \quad (33)$$

The first two terms correspond to the projection of the full GW self-energy onto the d subspace. As discussed in the previous paragraph, our effective self-energy contains the effects of the off-diagonal self-energy between the d and r subspaces: The third and fourth terms with the square brackets are the self-energy contribution from the r subspace on the d subspace. The term $g_{mn}^r(\omega) [h_{n\lambda} + \Sigma_{n\lambda}^d(\omega) + \Sigma_{n\lambda}^{rd}(\omega)] G_{\lambda\gamma}^d(\omega)$ may be interpreted as a self-energy correction to G^d arising from the off-diagonal elements of h , Σ^d , and Σ^{rd} . This together with $W_{\alpha m, \gamma\beta}(\omega)$ forms a GW self-energy correction. The last term Σ^{drd} is the hybridization term representing hoppings of electrons from the d to the r subspace and back

to the d subspace. Using the GW self-energy and keeping W fixed it becomes feasible to solve the vertex equation in (24) since the subspace of interest is usually much smaller than the full Hilbert space. The resulting vertex Γ can then be inserted into the self-energy in (23) to obtain an improved self-energy beyond the GWA.

The set of equations for the downfolded self-energy provides a general framework for constructing theoretical models in the Green function language. It offers an alternative to model Hamiltonians, which cannot readily take into account frequency-dependent interactions. Rather than first mapping the full Hamiltonian to a model Hamiltonian with a static U and then solving the model using the Green function technique, the present formalism allows for a direct route to the self-energy with a full frequency-dependent effective interaction. When W^r is approximated by a local and static value it becomes evident that the set of equations may be interpreted as equivalent to the Hubbard model when Σ^{rd} and Σ^{drd} are neglected. Consequently, these two self-energy terms have no counterparts in the Hubbard model. Two ingredients are therefore missing in the Hubbard model, namely, the frequency dependence of U , which can be important [5], and the self-energy effects arising from the r subspace. In the Anderson impurity model, the orbitals of the impurity may be viewed as forming the d subspace and the influence of the r subspace is included as a hybridization term, which may be identified as the first term in (22). However, the model does not include the self-energy effects Σ^{rd} arising from the r subspace and as in the Hubbard model, the effective interaction is local and static.

Finally let us consider some possible general applications. The present formalism can be used to improve the Hubbard or the Anderson impurity model by providing better parameters and by including the missing self-energy terms and the effects of the frequency-dependent W^r within, for example, the GWA. The formalism also provides a route for numerical simplification of the GWA, by focusing on a certain subspace and treating the rest in an approximate, e.g., mean-field scheme. This is highly desirable since applications of the GWA to complex systems are computationally demanding. Also, the formalism may facilitate a tractable way of going beyond the GWA fully from first-principles by inclusion of vertex corrections on the given subspace only.

In conclusion, we have derived from the full many-body Hamiltonian a closed set of equations for the effective self-energy acting on a subspace of the full Hilbert space. The effective frequency-dependent interaction or the Hubbard U appears naturally in this formalism. Since the equations are exact, they provide a general framework for handling complex systems in which the main correlation effects are concentrated on a certain subspace, such is the case in many correlated materials characterized by partially filled narrow bands. It offers an alterna-

tive to model Hamiltonians by constructing theoretical models in the Green function language allowing for a direct access to the self-energy and a natural inclusion of frequency-dependent interactions, usually not accounted for in conventional model Hamiltonians.

We thank Silke Biermann for many fruitful discussions and suggestions.

[1] L. Hedin, *Phys. Rev.* **139**, A796 (1965); L. Hedin and S. Lundqvist, *Solid State Physics* Vol. 23, eds. H. Ehrenreich,

- F. Seitz, and D. Turnbull (Academic, New York, 1969).
[2] F. Aryasetiawan and O. Gunnarsson, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **61**, 237 (1998); G. Onida, L. Reining, and A. Rubio, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **74**, 601 (2002); W. G. Aulbur, L. Jönsson, and J. W. Wilkins, *Solid State Physics* Vol. 54, eds. H. Ehrenreich and F. Spaepen (Academic, New York, 2000).
[3] M Imada, A. Fujimori, and Y. Tokura, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **70**, 1039 (1998).
[4] J. Schwinger, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA*, **37**, 452 (1951).
[5] F. Aryasetiawan, M. Imada, A. Georges, G. Kotliar, S. Biermann, and A. I. Lichtenstein, *Phys. Rev. B* **70**, 195104 (2004)