

# Could a free particle be found in an autolocalized state?

**Yuri Kornyushin**

Maître Jean Brunschvig Research Unit, Chalet Shalva,  
Randogne, CH-3975

**Abstract.** *A free particle in quantum mechanics is described as a plane wave. Nevertheless, a singular autolocalized solution of Schrödinger equation for a free particle exists. This solution is obtained and analyzed here. The nature of the operator of the kinetic energy in non-relativistic quantum mechanics is discussed. Quantum energy of a free autolocalized particle is calculated. It is negative as expected. Electric field, produced by a charged autolocalized particle is calculated also. Electrostatic energy of this field is calculated as well. The total energy of a system (an autolocalized particle, its magnetic and electric fields) is minimized with respect to the autolocalization radius, and an equilibrium localization radius is obtained.*

## 1. Introduction

Let us write down Schrödinger equation for a free particle [1]:

$$-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta\psi = E\psi, \quad (1)$$

where  $\hbar$  is Planck constant, divided by  $2\pi$ ,  $m$  is the mass of a particle,  $\Delta$  is Laplace operator,  $\psi$  is a wave function, and  $E$  is the energy of the stationary state of a particle. A well-known solution of Eq. (1) is a plane wave [1],  $\psi = \text{const}e^{ikx}$ , where  $i$  is the imaginary unit, and  $k$  is the wave vector. This solution corresponds to a non-localized free particle. The energy of this particle is well known to be  $E = \hbar^2k^2/2m$ . This is the kinetic energy of a non-localized particle.

Wave function  $\psi$  has finite values throughout the space as a rule. But solutions of some problems require introducing singular wave functions. For example, in section 33 “Free motion (spherical coordinates)” of [1], a singular wave function  $Ae^{ikr}/r$  is introduced and used further on ( $r$  is the distance from some center). Let us assume here that for stationary state problems a singular wave function, a modulus of the square of which could be normalized to unity, is acceptable.

## 2. Kinetic energy

Kinetic energy is the energy of a motion [1]. A matter flux and electric current accompany the motion of a charged matter. No motion without a flux of a matter exists. In the ground state of any particle the wave function is real, it contains no

imaginary part [1]. Real wave function yields no flux and no electric current for a charged particle [1]. So the question arises: *is not the kinetic energy of a particle in a ground state zero, or is it?* As an example let us take an electron in a ground state of a hydrogen atom. Corresponding wave function is [1]  $\psi = (g^3/\pi)^{1/2} \exp(-gr)$ , where  $g = me^2/\hbar^2$  [1]. The kinetic energy  $T$  is considered to be the averaged over the whole space operator of the kinetic energy,  $-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$  [1]. From this follows  $T = me^4/2\hbar^2$ . So we have here a very essential kinetic energy without any motion. This casts some doubt upon that the operator  $-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$  is really and always the operator of a kinetic energy.

There is another question: does the averaged over the whole space operator  $-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$  has to be positive? It is assumed tacitly that this is the case.

### 3. Confinement in a ball

Let us consider electron, confined in a ball of a radius  $R$  with infinitely large energetic walls. For this case Schrödinger equation is Eq. (1) and the boundary condition is  $\psi(R) = 0$ . A regular solution of this problem is [1]  $\psi(R) = (2\pi R)^{-1/2}[(\sin kr)/r]$ . The boundary condition is satisfied when  $\sin kr = 0$ . From this follows that  $k = k_n = n\pi/R$ , where  $n$  is an integer. Corresponding energy is as follows [1]:  $E(k) = \hbar^2 k_n^2/2m = (\hbar n\pi)^2/2mR^2$ . This energy should be recognized as a kinetic energy.

Nevertheless a singular solution of the regarded problem exists:

$$\psi = [ag/2\pi(a - 4a^2gR - a^3)]^{1/2}[(e^{-gr}/r) - a(e^{gr}/r)], \quad (2)$$

where  $a$  is some positive factor.

Application of the boundary condition  $\psi(R) = 0$  yields  $a = e^{-2gR}$ , or  $g = [\ln(1/a)]/2R$ . The energy  $E(g) = -\hbar^2 g^2/2m$ . It is negative as it should be for a localized state [1]. As there is no potential inside a ball, this energy corresponds to the averaged over the whole space of the operator,  $-(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta$ . If we call this operator the operator of the kinetic energy, then the kinetic energy  $T$  in the regarded case is negative. This is a result of an assumption that for the stationary state problems a singular wave function, a modulus of the square of which could be normalized to unity, is acceptable.

### 4. A free autolocalized particle

There is a singular autolocalized solution of Eq. (1) for a free particle:

$$\psi = (g/2\pi)^{1/2}(\exp(-gr))/r, \quad (3)$$

where  $1/g$  is the autolocalization radius.

The modulus of the square of the wave function, described by Eq. (3) is normalized to unity. The quantum energy, corresponding to the regarded solution is as follows

$$E(g) = -\hbar^2 g^2/2m. \quad (4)$$

This energy is negative as it should be for a localized state.

Many elementary particles have a magnetic moment. The magnetic energy of a uniformly magnetized sphere of a radius  $R$  and magnetic moment  $\mu$  is  $\mu^2/2R^3$  (see,

e.g., [2]). When the particle is not localized, the magnetic energy tends to zero as  $1/R^3$ . Let us approximate the magnetic energy of an autolocalized particle as follows:

$$E_m = 0.5\mu^2 g^3. \quad (5)$$

The density of the electric charge of a particle is represented by  $e\psi^*\psi$  [1]. In our case  $e\psi^*\psi = e(g/2\pi r^2)\exp-2gr$  [1], where  $e$  is the charge of a particle. Using Gauss theorem, one can calculate the intensity of the electric field,

$$\mathbf{E}(r) = e[1 - (\exp-2gr)]\mathbf{r}/r^3. \quad (6)$$

It is well known that there is no self-interaction [1]. That is, the electrostatic field of the particle does not influence the particle itself. That is why the electrostatic potential, corresponding to the field of a particle is not found in Schrödinger equation (Eq. (1)).

The electrostatic energy, corresponding to the field, described by Eq. (5) is the integral of the square of the field over the whole space divided by  $8\pi$  [3]:

$$E_e = (2\ln 2)e^2 g. \quad (7)$$

The quantum energy of the autolocalized particle, described by Eq. (4) is negative as it is expected. The energies of the electrostatic and magnetic fields are positive as they should be (see Eqs. (5) and (7)).

#### 4. The system and its equilibrium

The regarded system consists of three objects: the autolocalized charged particle itself, its magnetic field, and its electrostatic field. The only parameter here, which could be varied, is the reverse localization radius,  $g$ . Such almost mechanical system achieves equilibrium when the energy achieves a minimum [4]. The total energy of the system regarded is

$$E_t = E_m + E_e + E = 0.5\mu^2 g^3 - (\hbar^2 g^2 / 2m) + (2\ln 2)e^2 g. \quad (8)$$

This energy has a minimum and a maximum, at

$$g_{e,m} = (\hbar^2 / 3m\mu^2) \{1 \pm [1 - (12\ln 2)(em\mu/\hbar^2)^2]^{1/2}\}, \quad (9)$$

where  $+$  relates to the minimum and  $-$  to the maximum. For a neutral particle with  $e = 0$ , there is only a minimum. It occurs at  $g = g_e = (2\hbar^2 / 3m\mu^2)$ . For a neutron  $g_e = 4.77 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . The value of the equilibrium radius for a neutron  $1/g_e = 2.1 \times 10^{-16} \text{ cm}$ . The value of the equilibrium energy for a neutron  $E_{te} = -(2\hbar^6 / 27m^3 \mu^4) = -1.56 \times 10^{12} \text{ eV}$ .

*Let us consider electron now.* The equilibrium radius for electron  $1/g_e = 1.05 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}$ . The equilibrium energy is  $E_{te} = E_t(g_e) = -1.14 \times 10^{12} \text{ eV}$ . The value of the energy, corresponding to a maximum is  $E_{tm} = E_t(g_m) = 26.11 \text{ eV}$ . This value represents a barrier, which electron has to overcome to get to the equilibrium minimum discussed above from a non-localized state, described by a plane wave.

The calculated here values are really the extreme ones. So the calculation conducted could be of no validity. May be the regarded problem should be solved in the framework of a relativistic quantum mechanics.

Let us assume now that the energy conservation law rules autolocalization of a charged particle. This means that for the case of a zero energy of a non-localized particle the total energy  $E_t$  in Eq. (8) is zero. In this case one can neglect magnetic energy in Eq. (8) and obtain the value of the actual autolocalization radius

$$1/g_0 = \hbar^2/4(\ln 2)me^2 = 0.3607 \hbar^2/me^2. \quad (10)$$

This value looks quite reasonable in the context of non-relativistic quantum mechanics.

## 5. Discussion

Schrödinger equation for a free particle, Eq. (1), has an autolocalized singular solution, which was never discussed before. Assuming that this solution is relevant, some consequences of it are analyzed here. The analyzed solution corresponds to a negative energy of a system, which consists of an autolocalized particle, its magnetic field, and the electrostatic field of its charge. For a charged particle the ground state of a non-localized particle is separated from the equilibrium autolocalized state by energetic barrier. The value of this barrier is calculated. For a neutral particle there is no separating barrier.

## References

1. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1963.
2. Yu. V. Korniyushin, *Transport Phenomena in Real Crystals in External Fields*, Naukova Dumka, Kiev, 1981.
3. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Theory of Field*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1962.
4. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Mechanics*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow, 1965.