

Practical long-distance quantum key distribution system using decoy levels

**D Rosenberg¹, C G Peterson¹, J W Harrington¹, P R Rice¹, N Dallmann¹,
K T Tyagi¹, K P McCabe¹, S Nam², B Baek², R H Hadfield³, R J Hughes¹
and J E Nordholt¹**

¹Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM

²National Institute of Standards and Technology, Boulder, CO

³Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, United Kingdom

Abstract. Quantum key distribution (QKD) has the potential for widespread real-world applications. To date no secure long-distance experiment has demonstrated the truly practical operation needed to move QKD from the laboratory to the real world due largely to limitations in synchronization and poor detector performance. Here we report results obtained using a fully automated, robust QKD system based on the Bennett Brassard 1984 protocol (BB84)[1] with low-noise superconducting nanowire single-photon detectors (SNSPDs) and decoy levels. Secret key is produced with unconditional security over a record 144.3 km of optical fibre, an increase of more than a factor of five compared to the previous record for unconditionally secure key generation in a practical QKD system.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Dd, 03.67.Hk, 85.25.Pb

1. Introduction

Quantum key distribution (QKD) holds the promise of communication with security resting firmly on the foundation of quantum mechanics rather than unproven assumptions regarding current and future computational resources. In the BB84 protocol [1], the most common prepare and measure protocol, the sender (Alice) ideally encodes a single photon with a bit value of either 0 or 1 in one of two conjugate bases and sends it to the receiver (Bob). When Bob receives a photon, he measures it in one of the two bases. Alice and Bob then publicly share their basis choices and only keep the bits where the bases match. Because the information is encoded in a single photon, any tampering by an eavesdropper (Eve) results in an increased error rate detectable by the legitimate users of the system. After performing error correction [2] to remove any errors that may have arisen from the operations of an eavesdropper or from imperfections in the experimental apparatus, Alice and Bob perform a privacy amplification step [3] to erase any partial information Eve might have obtained about the transmission. The final result is a string of 0s and 1s that Alice and Bob share but about which Eve has negligibly small information.

Although it is straightforward to see how QKD with ideal sources and detectors is secure, real-world QKD systems must contend with imperfect experimental components. In 2000, it was pointed out that the use of attenuated lasers (which emit photons in a Poissonian distribution) drastically limits the secure range of laser-based QKD systems [4]. Eve could perform a photon number splitting (PNS) attack in which she selectively changes the channel transmittance based on photon number but still maintains the expected rate of detections at Bob. If the rate of multi-photon pulses at Alice is larger than the rate of photon detections at Bob, then Eve could block all the laser pulses containing single photons, and only allow transmission of the multi-photon pulses, which are inherently insecure. In this case, the entire string of “secure” bits could, in fact, be known to Eve.

Several methods have been proposed to mitigate the effects of PNS attacks. The most straightforward is to simply use a very low mean photon number μ , on order of the channel transmittance, to guarantee that at least some of the detections at Bob originated from single photons at Alice [4]. Unfortunately, this results in very low secret bit rates as well as greatly limiting the possible transmission length due to dark counts in the detectors. The SARG protocol [5], which allows for secure bits to be formed from both one- and two-photon signals, outperforms standard BB84, but it offers no performance advantage compared to BB84 with “decoy states” as described below [6]. Differential phase shift QKD (DPS-QKD) is another method of protecting against PNS attacks [7], but there does not currently exist a security proof against all attacks for the DPS-QKD protocol, so it does not provide the unconditional security needed for QKD.

The use of decoy states [8, 9, 10, 11] with the BB84 protocol has provided a method for achieving high bit rates while protecting against PNS attacks and maintaining the underlying security of BB84. In a decoy state protocol, Alice transmits signals randomly picked from several different mean photon levels μ_j rather than just one. If Eve, who is ignorant of the μ_j value for each specific signal, were to attempt to perform a PNS attack, she would not be able to simultaneously modify the channel transmission for all the μ_j values to reproduce the expected statistics at Bob. By comparing the number of photon detections at each mean photon level, Alice and Bob can determine a rigorous bound on the number of single photons that have been received by Bob and incorporate this bound into the privacy amplification step.

Decoy state protocols have been demonstrated over a free-space link [12] and in several different fibre systems [13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. However, many of the demonstrations, although they are important proof-of-principle experiments, do not perform the full QKD protocol, including error correction and privacy amplification, but instead only estimate the secret bit rate and range of the system. Furthermore, most systems have employed either local synchronization (transmitter and receiver share the same clock) or synchronization over a separate fibre, methods that pose a significant barrier for deployment. Although a practical uni-directional system with remote synchronization has been demonstrated over short distances of 25 km with high bit rates [17, 18], the detectors used in these experiments were not sufficiently quiet to enable long-distance fibre QKD. Here we describe the first practical QKD system capable of secure operation over distances greater than 100 km. The system uses a three-level decoy-state protocol in a fibre phase-encoding quantum key

distribution system with independent clocks at the transmitter and receiver and low-noise superconducting nanowire single photon detectors (SNSPDs). In addition to being secure against PNS attacks, our data is secure against a number of other attacks, including Trojan Horse attacks that affect bi-directional systems, timing attacks based on exploiting detector efficiency mismatch [21], and attacks based on differing timing responses between detectors [22].

2. Finite statistics decoy state protocol

The security of our protocol rests on knowing the number of sifted bits arising from single photons produced by Alice, and the disturbance, or bit error rate, on those bits. Our security statement incorporates finite statistics into each step of the protocol. The number of secret bits distilled from each basis is calculated as a modification from [23]

$$N_{\text{secret}} = N_{\text{sifted}} \left[y_1^- \mu e^{-\mu} (1 - f_{\text{PA}} H_2(b_1^+)) - f_{\text{EC}} H_2(B) - \left(1 - \frac{1}{f_{\text{DS}}} H_2(z)\right) \right] \quad (1)$$

where N_{sifted} is the number of sifted bits in the selected basis, B is the observed bit error rate in this basis, y_1^- is a lower bound on the transmittance of single photons, b_1^+ is an upper bound on the single-photon bit error rate in the conjugate basis, z is the fraction of zeroes among the sifted bits in this basis, and $H_2(\cdot)$ is the Shannon binary entropy function, and f_{PA} , f_{EC} , and f_{DS} are privacy amplification, error correction, and deskewing efficiency factors needed to accommodate the finite statistics of the data.

The decoy state protocol allows us to determine a lower bound on the single photon transmittance y_1^- and an upper bound on the single photon error rate b_1^+ . The value of y_1^- is the minimal value of y_1 satisfying the following inequalities:

$$Y_j^- \leq e^{-\mu_j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_j)^n}{n!} y_n \leq Y_j^+$$

where y_n is the transmittance of an n -photon signal and Y_j^+ (Y_j^-) is the upper (lower) bound on the yield of detection events when mean photon number μ_j is used for transmission. In contrast to other work where Y_j^{\pm} are calculated assuming that the underlying detection statistics are Gaussian, we make no such assumption and use the full binomial distribution to calculate the bounds within some user-defined confidence level, chosen to be 1×10^{-7} for this experiment.

We use two methods to determine the single photon error rate b_1 . The first, referred to as the ‘‘worst-case’’ scenario, makes the conservative assumption that all observed errors occur on single photons. In this case, b_1^+ is simply equal to the number of observed errors divided by the number of sifted bits that arose from single photons prepared by Alice, which can be computed from the lower bound y_1^- . However, we can obtain a tighter bound on b_1 by utilizing the information contained in the differing error rates at each μ_j and constructing a set of inequalities involving the bounds on the observed bit error rates B_j and the n -photon bit error rates b_n :

$$B_j^- \leq e^{-\mu_j} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu_j)^n}{n!} y_n b_n \leq B_j^+$$

Analogous to the method for finding y_1^- , b_1^+ is found by determining the maximal value of b_1 that satisfies these inequalities. Computing this tighter bound on b_1 can be carried out by linear programming, as for y_1^- , but here it is subject to quadratic constraints, so the analysis is computationally more intensive, although still tractable. In either case, the bounds on y_1 and b_1 are valid even if the quantum channel is time-varying.

Equation 1 involves three efficiency factors (f_{EC} , f_{PA} and f_{DS}) which quantify finite statistics effects during the stages of QKD. Asymptotically, all three factors approach unity, but for a finite session length they are strictly greater than one. Reconciliation via practical error-correcting algorithms does not achieve the Shannon capacity of the binary symmetric channel, and the extra overhead in parity checks that needs to be communicated between Alice and Bob is expressed by the factor f_{EC} . Privacy amplification involves removing any partial information Eve may have gained by disturbing those single-photon signals present among the sifted bits. Following Koashi [24], we numerically compute the logarithm (base two) of the number of typical strings that are needed to describe with high confidence the output of a binary symmetric channel with a given bit flip probability, using the bit error rate in one basis to determine the amount of privacy amplification required in the other basis. The factor f_{PA} denotes how much the size of this output differs from the Shannon entropy of the single-photon signals. The last finite statistics effect we consider is due to the imbalance between the two detector efficiencies, which leads to a bias between the zeroes and ones for the sifted bits in each basis. This bias can reduce Eve’s search space of guessing over likely reconciled keys prior to privacy amplification. Asymptotically, Shannon entropy again gives the required reduction in secret information, but any practical algorithm for removing the bias, or *deskewing*, is likely to have inefficiencies, which is encompassed by the factor f_{DS} . We followed Peres [25] in iterating von Neumann’s algorithm for generating unbiased bits out of the reconciled keys to determine the value of f_{DS} .

3. Automated QKD system

A diagram of the automated, reconfigurable QKD system used [26] is shown in Figure 1. A 1550 nm distributed feedback laser is pulsed at a clock rate of 10 MHz, and the resulting photons are sent to Alice’s phase encoder. The photon wavepacket is split into a “short” and a “long” path, and the portion of the wavepacket that traversed the long path is modulated by the electro-optic phase modulator, located outside the interferometer for stability. Likewise, the wavepacket is again split in Bob’s decoder, and only the part of the wavepacket that traveled through Alice’s long path is modulated. Interference ultimately occurs between the Alice-long-Bob-short and Alice-short-Bob-long amplitudes. Polarization maintaining fibre is used within the interferometers to ensure that these two paths are indistinguishable.

The quantum channel consists of 151.5 km of optical fibre with an attenuation of .206 dB per km, and shorter distances are obtained by redefining Alice’s enclave to include a portion of the fibre. The bit and basis selections at Alice and Bob are all determined by the output of physical random number generators, and a high-speed optical switch, driven by a pseudo-random pattern, provides the three intensity levels needed for our decoy state




Figure 1. Optical train of the phase-encoding quantum key distribution system used in this work. The variable optical attenuator (VOA) provides attenuation to the single photon level, from which the amplitude modulator provides the different μ_j levels needed for the decoy level protocol. Red lines indicate polarization maintaining fibre, and blue lines represent single mode fibre. Photons are detected by two superconducting nanowire single photon detectors (SNSPDs) biased to achieve matching detection efficiencies.

protocol. (In a deployed system, the pseudo-random pattern generator would simply be replaced by a physical random number generator.) The superconducting nanowire single photon detectors (SNSPDs) [27] used for these measurements are cooled to 3 Kelvin in a closed-cycle refrigeration system and coupled to single-mode telecom fibre. They operate in ungated mode with a measured full width at half maximum timing jitter of 69 ps and a recovery time of < 10 ns. The detectors were individually current biased to a matching detection efficiency of 0.5%, resulting in a summed average dark count rate over the entire acquisition period of 78.1 counts/second.

The system is fully automated and able to run for many hours without user intervention. Independent Rubidium oscillators are employed as frequency references at Alice and Bob and are synchronized using only the quantum signals. For each acquisition period (typically 1–10 seconds), a photon arrival time histogram is created that is used to determine the current average frequency offset between the two oscillators, which is corrected by adjusting one of the clocks' frequencies. Long-term system stability is achieved through a combination of tuning and QKD runs. Tuning runs are insecure sessions executed at a high photon number to quickly obtain the statistics needed for interferometer and timing adjustments, while QKD runs adhere to the requirements for secure key generation. To minimize the effects of system de-tuning, tuning runs are promptly followed by QKD runs. The use of ungated detectors and post-selection of detection timestamps in software relaxes the system timing requirements to the phase modulator voltage pulse width, 2–3 ns, rather than being constrained to the sub-nanosecond electrical detector gate width required for avalanche photodiodes. Periodically,

the polarization controller is automatically adjusted to compensate for any polarization drifts within the fibre by maximizing transmission through the linear polarizer.

4. Results and conclusions

We chose μ_j values with associated sending probabilities that were near-optimal for a fibre length of 135 km. The selected mean photon numbers were $[\mu_0 = 0.0025, \mu_1 = 0.13, \mu_2 = 0.57]$ (μ_0 , ideally the vacuum state, was constrained to be 23.5 dB below the high μ_2 level by the extinction ratio of the switch) with associated sending probabilities $[0.1, 0.2, 0.7]$. In 5.6 hours of acquisition time and using a timing window of 184 ps, the number of detection events recorded at μ_2 , μ_1 , and μ_0 was 80776, 5729, and 341, respectively, and a total of 40538 sifted bits with a bias (fraction of zeros in one basis) of 0.494 were created. The sifted bits and the basis choices both passed the FIPS 140-2 cryptographic randomness tests [28]. After data were collected, the bits were sifted, error corrected using the modified CASCADE algorithm [29], and privacy amplified as described in equation (1) after determining the bounds on the single photon transmittance and error rate. The efficiency factors due to the finite data size were determined to be $f_{EC} \approx 1.07$, $f_{PA} \approx 1.09$ and $f_{DS} \approx 1.05$.

As shown in Figure 2, b_1^+ , the bound on the single photon error rate, is significantly higher when the worst-case assumption that all the errors occur on single photons is made. The tighter b_1 bound not only yields more secret bits at any given distance, but it also extends the distance over which secret bits can be exchanged. Use of the “worst-case” b_1 results in 3990 secret bits at 135 km and a maximum range of 141.6 km. When the tighter bound on b_1 is used, 6127 secret bits are produced at 135 km and the range of the system is extended to 144.3 km, a new record for key distribution secure against general attacks [23], including photon-number-splitting attacks.

By choosing different mean photon numbers and sending probabilities and acquiring data for longer times, it would be possible to extend the range even further in this system. Figure 3 shows the results of simulations based on the system properties to determine the maximum range of the system. With the same detectors used in this work, this system could achieve a range of 166.1 km. Although the detection efficiency of these detectors is currently quite low, by embedding the detectors in a stack of optical elements designed to increase absorption at the target wavelength [30] and improving the optical coupling to the detector, it should be possible to increase the system detection efficiency considerably. An increase to 50% (assuming the same dark count and background photon rates) would result in bit rates higher by approximately two orders of magnitude at any given distance in the asymptotic limit, and an increase in the tolerable link loss to over 50 dB. From our results, which are the first demonstration of assured security over long distances in a deployable system, we can infer that reasonable improvements in component technology will result in several hundred bits per second over distances of 100 km, more than an order of magnitude higher than what is presently available.




Figure 2. (a) Bound on single photon transmittance y_1^- ; (b) bounds on the single photon error rate b_1^+ assuming either the “worst-case” (dashed green line) or by finding a tighter bound (solid blue line); and (c) secret bit rate as a function of distance. Data was acquired over a 151.5 km link, and the decoy levels and sending probabilities were chosen to maximize the secret bit rate at 135 km.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Joe Dempsey and Corning, Inc. for the optical fibre, Thomas Chapuran and Nicholas Peters for helpful discussions, and G. Gol’tsman for providing the original detectors used in this work. The authors acknowledge support from IARPA, the Department of Commerce, the NIST quantum information science initiative, and the Royal Society of London.




Figure 3. Simulated performance of system used in this work for different acquisition times and distances. The optimal mean photon number and sending probabilities are found for each distance and acquisition time. The data point at 135 km matches the prediction of the simulation. Even with an efficiency of 0.5%, the SNSPDs enable the creation of secret bits out to 166.1 km.

References

- [1] C. H. Bennett and G. Brassard. Quantum cryptography: Public key distribution and coin tossing. In *Proc. IEEE Int. Conf. on Computers, Systems, and Signal Processing*, page 175, Bangalore, India, 1984.
- [2] G. Brassard and L. Salvail. Secret-key reconciliation by public discussion. *Lecture Notes Comput. Sci.*, 765:410, 1994.
- [3] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crepeau, and U. M. Maurer. Generalized privacy amplification. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 41:1915, 1995.
- [4] G. Brassard, N. Lutkenhaus, T. Mor, and B. C. Sanders. Limitations on practical quantum cryptography. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 85:1330, 2000.
- [5] V. Scarani, A. Acin, G. Ribordy, and N. Gisin. Quantum cryptography protocols robust against photon number splitting attacks for weak laser pulse implementations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 92:057901, 2004.
- [6] C.-H. F. Fung, K. Tamaki, and H.-K. Lo. Performance of two quantum-key-distribution protocols. *Phys. Rev. A*, 73:012337, 2006.
- [7] H. Takesue, S. Nam, Q. Zhang, R. H. Hadfield, T. Honjo, K. Tamaki, and Y. Yamamoto. Quantum key distribution over a 40-dB channel loss using superconducting single-photon detectors. *Nature Photonics*, 1:343, 2007.
- [8] Won-Young Hwang. Quantum key distribution with high loss: Toward global secure communication. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 91:057901, 2003.
- [9] H.-K. Lo, X. Ma, and K. Chen. Decoy state quantum key distribution. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94:230504, 2005.
- [10] X.-B. Wang. Beating the photon-number-splitting attack in practical quantum cryptography. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 94:230503, 2005.
- [11] J. W. Harrington, J. M. Ettinger, R. J. Hughes, and J. E. Nordholt. Enhancing practical security of quantum

- key distribution with a few decoy states, 2005, arXiv:quant-ph/0503002.
- [12] T. Schmitt-Manderbach, H. Weier, M. Furst, R. Ursin, F. Tiefenbacher, T. Scheidle, J. Perdigues, Z. Sodnik, C. Kurtsiefer, J. G. Rarity, A. Zeilinger, and H. Weinfurter. Experimental demonstration of free-space decoy-state quantum key distribution over 144 km. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98:010504, 2007.
- [13] Y. Zhao, B. Qi, X. Ma, H.-K. Lo, and L. Qian. Experimental quantum key distribution with decoy states. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96:070502, 2006.
- [14] Y. Zhao, B. Qi, X. Ma, H.-K. Lo, and L. Qian. Simulation and implementation of decoy state quantum key distribution over 60km telecom fiber, 2006, arXiv:quant-ph/0601168.
- [15] D. Rosenberg, J. W. Harrington, P. R. Rice, P. A. Hiskett, C. G. Peterson, R. J. Hughes, A. E. Lita, S. Nam, and J. E. Nordholt. Long-distance decoy-state quantum key distribution in optical fiber. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98:010503, 2007.
- [16] C.-Z. Peng, J. Zhang, D. Yang, W.-B. Gao, H.-X. Ma, H. Yin, H.-P. Zeng, T. Yang, X.-B. Wang, and J.-W. Pan. Experimental long-distance decoy-state quantum key distribution based on polarization encoding. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 98:010505, 2007.
- [17] Z. L. Yuan, A. W. Sharpe, and A. J. Shields. Unconditionally secure one-way quantum key distribution using decoy pulses. *Applied Physics Letters*, 90:011118, 2007.
- [18] J. F. Dynes, Z. L. Yuan, A. W. Sharpe, and A. J. Shields. Practical quantum key distribution over 60 hours at an optical fiber distance of 20km using weak and vacuum decoy pulses for enhanced security. *Optics Express*, 15:8465, 2007.
- [19] Z.-Q. Yin, Z.-F. Han, W. Chen, F.-X. Xu, and G.-C. Guo. Experimental decoy quantum key distribution up to 130 km fiber, 2007, arXiv:quant-ph/0704.2941.
- [20] J. Hasegawa, M. Hayashi, T. Hiroshima, A. Tanaka, and A. Tomita. Experimental decoy state quantum key distribution with unconditional security incorporating finite statistics, 2007, arXiv:quant-ph/0705.3081.
- [21] B. Qi, C.-H. F. Fung, H.-K. Lo, and X. Ma. Time-shift attack in practical quantum cryptosystems. *Quant. Info. Compu.*, 7:73, 2007.
- [22] A. Lamas-Linares and C. Kurtsiefer. Breaking a quantum key distribution system through a timing side channel. *Optics Express*, 15:9388, 2007.
- [23] D. Gottesman, H.-K. Lo, N. Lutkenhaus, and J. Preskill. Security of quantum key distribution with imperfect devices. *Quantum Information and Computation*, 4:325, 2004.
- [24] M. Koashi. Unconditional security of quantum key distribution and the uncertainty principle. *J. of Physics: Conf. Series*, 36:98–102, 2006.
- [25] Y. Peres. Iterating von Neumann’s procedure for extracting random bits. *The Annals of Statistics*, 20:590–597, 1992.
- [26] R. J. Hughes, T. E. Chapuran, N. Dallmann, P. A. Hiskett, K. P. McCabe, P. A. Montano, J. E. Nordholt, C. G. Peterson, R. J. Runser, R. Sedillo, K. Tyagi, and C. C. Wipf. A quantum key distribution system for optical fiber networks. *Proceedings of SPIE*, 5893:1–10, 2005.
- [27] R. H. Hadfield, M. J. Stevens, S. S. Gruber, A. J. Miller, R. E. Schwall, R. P. Mirin, and S. Nam. Single photon source characterization with a superconducting single photon detector. *Optics Express*, 13:10846, 2005.
- [28] NIST, editor. *2001 Security requirements for cryptographic modules*, volume 140-2. NIST, Gaithersburg, MD, 2001.
- [29] T. Sugimoto and K. Yamazaki. Study on secret key reconciliation protocol ‘CASCADE’. *IEICE Trans. Fundamentals*, E83-A:1987, 2000.
- [30] K. M. Rosfjord, J. K. W. Yang, E. A. Dauler, A. J. Kerman, V. Anant, B. M. Voronov, G. N. Gol’tsman, and K. K. Berggren. Nanowire single-photon detector with an integrated optical cavity and anti-reflection coating. *Optics Express*, 14:527, 2006.