Magnetism in small bimetallic Mn-Co clusters

Shreemoyee Ganguly,¹ Mukul Kabir,^{1,2,*} Soumendu Datta,¹ Biplab Sanyal,³ and Abhijit Mookerjee¹

¹Department of Material Sciences, S.N. Bose National Center for Basic Sciences,

JD Block, Sector III, Salt Lake City, Kolkata 700 098, India

Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

³Division of Materials Theory, Department of Physics and Materials Science,

Uppsala University, Box 530, SE-75121 Uppsala, Sweden.

(Dated: March 2, 2022)

Effects of alloying on the electronic and magnetic properties of Mn_xCo_y (x + y=n=2-5; x=0-n) and Mn_2Co_{11} nanoalloy clusters are investigated using the density functional theory (DFT). Unlike the bulk alloy, the Co-rich clusters are found to be ferromagnetic and the magnetic moment increases with Mn-concentration, and is larger than the moment of pure Co_n clusters of same size. For a particular sized cluster the magnetic moment increases by $2 \mu_B/Mn$ -substitution, which is found to be independent of the size and composition. All these results are in good agreement with recent Stern-Gerlach (SG) experiments [Phys. Rev. B **75**, 014401 (2007) and Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 113401 (2007)]. Likewise in bulk Mn_xCo_{1-x} alloy, the local Co-moment decreases with increasing Mn-concentration.

PACS numbers: 75.75.+a, 36.40.Cg, 73.22.-f

I. INTRODUCTION

Nanoallov clusters have received considerable attention for their peculiar catalytic, optical, magnetic, electronic, and geometric properties.^{1,2,3,4,5} For such clusters, chemical and physical properties can be tailored by varying not only the size but also the composition for a specific purpose. This opens the way to a large variety of potential applications in areas such as high-density recording,⁶ catalysis,^{7,8,9} optics,^{10,11,12} and biomedical.¹³ Particularly, the interest in transition metal clusters arises from a desire to seek a solution to the technologically important question: how magnetic properties change in reduced dimensions? The candidates chosen for the present study, Mn and Co have very interesting properties in low dimensions. Manganese, though antiferromagnetic as bulk, shows finite magnetic moment in reduced dimension^{14,15,16,17} whereas. Cobalt shows enhanced magnetic moment compared to the bulk. 18,19,20 Therefore, it will be interesting to see how the properties of the bimetallic cluster formed out of these two elements change with composition, atomic ordering, and size.

The first step to the study of cluster properties is the determination of the ground state structures and the complexity to locate that increases with the cluster size as the number of local minima in the potential energy surface increases. This leaves a number of possible geometric and/or magnetic isomers in pure clusters for each size. Compared to the pure clusters, in alloy clusters "homotops"¹ are possible in addition to such usual isomers. Jellinek introduced the term "homotop" to describe $A_x B_y$ alloy cluster isomers, with a fixed size (x + y=n) and composition (x/y ratio), which have the same geometrical arrangement of atoms, but differ in the way A- and B-type atoms are arranged. Due to the presence of these homotops, there arises a large number of combinatorial possibilities which makes the finding of lowest energy structures for alloy clusters even more computationally expensive task than that for pure clusters. Thus most of the theoretical studies done on bimetallic alloy clusters take resort to some empirical manybody potential to reduce the computational expense.^{1,21,22,23,24,25,26} Our study is one of the very few which uses an *ab-initio* methodology for transition metal nanoalloy clusters. Here we study bimetallic $Mn_x Co_y$ clusters in the size ranging from 2 to 5 for all possible stoichiometry. Using first-principles DFT we study the evolution of structural, electronic and magnetic properties as we change the size and composition. The interplay between these properties yield many interesting features. Such features are analyzed in greater depth through density of states and partial charge density.

In earlier works, we have studied pure Mn_n (Refs.^{16,17}) and Co_n (Ref.²⁰) clusters. A transition from ferromagnetic to ferrimagnetic Mn-Mn coupling is observed at n =5 for Mn_n clusters and the ferrimagnetic states continue to be the ground state for larger clusters. On the other hand, pure Co_n clusters are found be ferromagnetic with magnetic moment higher than the bulk. Calculated magnetic moments of pure Mn_n and Co_n clusters show very good agreement with the Stern-Gerlach molecular beam experiments.^{14,15,18,19}

Neutron scattering studies of bulk $Mn_x Co_{1-x}$ alloy have been used to determine the variation of individual atomic moments, μ_{Mn} and μ_{Co} , with increasing Mn:Co ratio.^{27,28,29} On the Co-rich side, μ_{Mn} and μ_{Co} are aligned antiferromagnetically, with the magnitude of both μ_{Co} and μ_{Mn} decreasing monotonically with increasing Mn content such that the mean per-atom moment ($\bar{\mu}$) of the alloy also decreases strongly with Mn content. Infact, $\bar{\mu}$ decreases from 1.72 μ_B for x=0 to 0 μ_B for x=0.32(Ref.³⁰). However, small Mn-Co clusters have been found

²Department of Materials Science and Engineering,

to behave in a completely different way.^{27,31} Recently, Knickelbein has found that unlike bulk $Mn_x Co_{1-x}$ alloys, in which the presence of Mn forces the mean peratom moment to decrease, the significant presence of Mn in medium sized Mn_xCo_y (n=x+y=11-29) clusters results in overall magnetic moment that are comparable to those of the corresponding pure Co_n clusters, and in some cases (e.g. n=11-14) even larger. More recently Yin et al. have measured the magnetic moments of $Mn_r Co_u$ $(y \leq 60; x \leq y/3)$ clusters and found an increase in peratom magnetic moment for Co-rich Mn_xCo_y cluster with increasing Mn concentration.³¹ This enhancement in moment due to Mn doping is independent of cluster size and composition. On the other hand, for Mn-rich clusters, for more than 40% Mn concentration, the average magnetic moment of $Mn_x Co_y$ cluster decreases with increasing Mn concentration. This suggests that unlike bulk Mn-Co alloys, both Mn and Co within the small Mn-Co clusters retain substantial moments even at high Mn fractions. However, the magnitudes of individual Mn and Co moments could not be measured, and consequently the nature of magnetic coupling can not be concluded in a SG experiment. Motivated by these recent SG experiments, 27,31 in the present paper, we study $Mn_x Co_y$ clusters from first-principles in the size range n=2-5. In order to make a direct comparison to the experiments we also study Mn₂Co₁₁ cluster, which lies within the experimental regime.²⁷

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

Calculations are performed using DFT based pseudopotential plane wave method.³² We have chosen the projector augmented wave method,³³ and used the Perdew-Bruke-Ernzerhof exchange-correlation functional³⁴ for the spin-polarized generalized gradient correction. The 3d and 4s electrons of Mn and Co are treated as valence electrons. The wave functions are expanded in a plane wave basis set within 270 eV kinetic energy. Reciprocal space integrations are carried out at the Γ point. Symmetry unrestricted optimizations (of both geometry and spin) are performed using the conjugate gradient and quasi-Newtonian methods until all the force components are less than a threshold value of 0.005 eV/Å. Simple cubic supercells are used with periodic boundary conditions, and it is made sure that two neighboring clusters are separated by at least 10 Å vacuum space. This ensures that the interaction of a cluster with its periodic image is negligible. Earlier we have used same methodology to study pure Mn_n and Co_n clusters.^{16,20} For each cluster size all possible "homotops" have been considered for several geometric structures with all possible compositions. We have also considered all possible spin multiplicities for each of these structures. These ensures the robustness for the ground state search. It should be mentioned here that calculations are done within the collinear spin assumption.

The binding energy per atom (E_B) is defined as,

$$E_B(\operatorname{Mn}_x \operatorname{Co}_y) = \frac{1}{n} [xE(\operatorname{Mn}) + yE(\operatorname{Co}) - E(\operatorname{Mn}_x \operatorname{Co}_y)],$$
(1)

where x (y) is the number of Mn (Co) -atoms in Mn_xCo_y cluster, n(=x+y) is the cluster size and $E(Mn_xCo_y)$, E(Mn), and E(Co) are the total energies of Mn_xCo_y cluster, and an isolated Mn- and Co-atom, respectively. For a given n and for a certain composition, the structure with the highest binding energy is considered to be the *ground state*. The local magnetic moment μ_X at X-atom can be calculated from,

$$\mu_{\rm X} = \int_0^R [\rho_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r}) - \rho_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r})] \, d\mathbf{r} \tag{2}$$

where $\rho_{\uparrow}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\rho_{\downarrow}(\mathbf{r})$ are spin-up and spin-down chargedensities, respectively and R is the radius of the sphere centered on the atom X. For a particular cluster, R is taken such that no two spheres overlap i.e. R is equal to half of the shortest bond length in that cluster.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

A. Ground states and significant isomers

It is necessary to carry out calculations for not only the ground state, but also for the low energy isomers, i.e. clusters with different geometries, homotops and different magnetic arrangements, which have energies close to that of the ground state. This is because when, in our earlier works,^{16,20} theoretical results were compared with experimental results, it was noted that for a particular size of cluster, the isomers with different magnetic moments are likely to be present in the SG beam with a statistical weight and essentially the measured magnetic moment is the weighted average of the moments of all those isomers. Previously we have extensively studied the pure Mn_n (Ref.¹⁶) and Co_n (Ref.²⁰) clusters within the same theoretical methodology. Therefore, we do not elaborate pure clusters here, rather we refer the readers to Ref.¹⁶ and Ref.²⁰.

 $Mn_x Co_y$ (x + y = 2): Due to the half-filled 3d and filled 4s states and due to high $4s^23d^5 \rightarrow 4s^13d^6$ promotion energy Mn-atoms bind very weakly when they are brought together. The binding energy of Mn₂ dimer is 0.52 eV/atom and the bond length is comparatively higher than all other 3d transition metal dimers.¹⁶ On the other hand, Co₂ dimer has much higher binding energy (1.45 eV/atom) and smaller bond length (1.96 Å).²⁰ Both the pure dimers are ferromagnetic with 10 (Mn₂) and 4 μ_B (Co₂) moments. The bond length of MnCo dimer is in between the pure dimers and the binding energy increases monotonically in Mn₂<MnCo<Co₂ order (Table I). The Mn-Co coupling is also ferromagnetic in the mixed dimer.

TABLE I: Structure, binding energy E_B , relative energy to the ground state (GS), $\Delta E = E - E_{\text{GS}}$, per-atom magnetic moment $\bar{\mu}$ and average bondlength $\langle L_B \rangle$ for the ground states of $\text{Mn}_x \text{Co}_y$ clusters with x + y = 2.

Cluster	Structure	E_B	ΔE	$\bar{\mu}$	$\langle L_B \rangle$
		(eV/atom)	(eV)	(μ_B/atom)	(Å)
Co_2	linear	1.45	0.00	2	1.96
MnCo	linear	1.09	0.00	3	2.06
Mn_2	linear	0.52	0.00	5	2.58

 $Mn_x Co_y (x + y = 3)$: The linear and triangular structures were taken as initial guess and the results are shown in Fig.1 and Table II. The ground state structure are found to be triangular for all the compositions. The pure Co_3 has two degenerate ground states. The magnetic moment is enhanced by 2 and 4 μ_B from the two degenerate ground state structures of Co₃ due to single Mn doping. For Mn_2Co the lowest energy state with a stable spin distribution³⁵ is ferrimagnetic, with one of the Mn atoms having opposite spin to the other Mn and Co. The closest isomer to this is a linear ferromagnetic structure. However, it lies 0.39 eV above the ground state. Here the Mn-Mn distance is large (4.54 Å) causing them to be ferromagnetically coupled. The ferromagnetic ground state of pure Mn₃ was found to be nearly degenerate with a ferrimagnetic structure.¹⁶



FIG. 1: (Color online) Ground state structures and significant isomers of $Mn_x Co_y$ (x + y = 3) clusters. Lighter (Magenta) shades represent Co-atoms and darker (blue) shades represent Mn-atoms. The bond lengths are quoted in Å. The numbers in the parenthesis represent the number of Co-atoms (y), difference in total energy from the corresponding ground state (ΔE), and total magnetic moment, respectively. Down arrow represents the atom with antiparallel moment.

TABLE II: Same as Table I for x + y = 3 clusters.

Cluster	Structure	E_B	ΔE	$\bar{\mu}$	$\langle L_B \rangle$
		(eV/atom)	(eV)	(μ_B/atom)	(Å)
Co ₃	Triangular	1.78	0.00	1.67	2.16
	Triangular	1.78	0.00	2.33	2.19
${\rm MnCo}_2$	Triangular	1.67	0.00	3.00	2.26
${\rm Mn_2Co}$	Triangular	1.16	0.00	1.00	2.40
	Linear	1.12	0.13	3.67	2.27
	(with endon Mn)				
Mn_3	Triangular	0.82	0.00	5.00	2.74
	Triangular	0.80	0.05	1.67	2.48

 $Mn_x Co_y$ (x + y = 4): For these clusters square and tetrahedral structres were considered. Results are summarized in Table III and some of the relaxed structures are shown in Fig.2. The ground state structures come out to be tetrahedral for all compositions. Co_4 has a Jahn-Teller distorted tetrahedral ground state²⁰ similar to that obtained by Castro *et al.*,³⁶ and the closest isomer is a rhombus. Single Mn-substitution (MnCo₃) increases the ground state moment by 2 μ_B . The first isomer is a planar structure, a quadrilateral, having equal magnetic moment as the tetrahedral ground state. The next two closest isomers also have tetrahedral structure: one with average magnetic moment 0.5 μ_B /atom lower and average bond length 0.03 Å shorter and the other with average bond length 0.01 Å longer and average magnetic moment 0.5 μ_B /atom higher than that of the ground state. Thus the isomer with higher bond length has higher moment. This trend is seen for all compositions and sizes (Tables I to IV). The closest non-ferromagnetic MnCo₃ isomer lies much higher (0.82 eV) than the ground state and has zero net moment.

Substitution of another Co with Mn (Mn₂Co₂) further enhances the total magnetic moment by 2 μ_B compared to MnCo₃ cluster. In this case, the closest ferrimagnetic isomer, with average magnetic moment 1 μ_B /atom, lies

TABLE III: Same as Table I for x + y = 4 clusters.

Cluster	Structure	E_B	ΔE	$\bar{\mu}$	$\langle L_B \rangle$
		(eV/atom)	(eV)	(μ_B/atom)	(Å)
Co_4	Tetrahedral	2.28	0.00	2.5	2.34
	Rhombus	2.25	0.11	2.5	2.25
$MnCo_3$	Tetrahedral	2.06	0.00	3.0	2.34
	Quadrilateral	2.05	0.05	3.0	2.32
	Tetrahedral	2.02	0.18	2.5	2.31
	Tetrahedral	2.00	0.25	3.5	2.35
Mn_2Co_2	Tetrahedral	1.87	0.00	3.5	2.41
	Tetrahedral	1.82	0.22	4.0	2.46
Mn_3Co	Tetrahedral	1.60	0.00	4.0	2.54
	Tetrahedral	1.54	0.22	4.5	2.60
	Rhombus	1.51	0.35	1.5	2.39
Mn_4	Tetrahedral	1.18	0.00	5.0	2.54
	Tetrahedral	1.16	0.08	2.5	2.59
	Tetrahedral	1.13	0.20	2.0	2.58
	Tetrahedral	1.13	0.20	0.0	2.65



 $(1,\,0.35~\text{eV},\,06\,\mu_B)~(0,\,0.00~\text{eV},\,20\,\mu_B)~(0,\,0.08~\text{eV},\,10\,\mu_B)$

FIG. 2: (Color online) Ground state structures and significant isomers of $Mn_x Co_y$ (x + y = 4) nanoalloy clusters. Same conventions are followed as in Fig.1.

far above (0.56 eV) from the ground state. A further substitution of a Co-atom with Mn atom (Mn₃Co) again leads to further 2 μ_B increase in magnetic moment. A planar (rhombus) ferrimagnetic isomer lies 0.35 eV above the ground state. Mn₄ has binding energy 1.07 eV/atom, which is much lower than that of Co₄ and is ferromagnetic. However, it has a close ferrimagnetic isomer, which lies only 0.08 eV higher in energy. It is interesting to note here that for these clusters with n=4, the energy splitting between the ferromagnetic ground state and optimal ferrimagnetic state decreases with increasing Mn.

 $Mn_x Co_y$ (x + y = 5): The trigonal bi-pyramidal (TBP), square pyramidal (SQPD) and (planar) pentagonal geometries are considered as starting configurations. The results are tabulated in Table IV and minimum energy structures are shown in Fig.3. All the clusters with different compositions have trigonal bi-pyramidal ground state. For MnCo₄ cluster, the ground state magnetic moment is enhanced by 2 μ_B compared to the pure Copentamer due to single Mn substitution. The single-Mn doping also enhances the energy splitting between TBP and SQPD structures. We have found a similar trend in magnetic moment for Mn₂Co₃ as it is further enhanced by 2 μ_B due to another Mn-substitution. For this cluster the nearest 'homotop' lies 0.15 eV higher.

The incremental behavior of magnetic moment with Mn-substitution is no longer observed as the clusters become ferrimagnetic, when the number of Mn-atoms increase to three or more, i.e., when the clusters become Mn-rich. Thus, magnetic moment of Mn₃Co₂ drops by 4 μ_B from the pure Co₅, as in this cluster one of the Mn-

TABLE IV: Same as Table I for x + y = 5 clusters.

Cluster	Structure	E_B	ΔE	$\bar{\mu}$	$\langle L_B \rangle$
		(eV/atom)	(eV)	(μ_B/atom)	(Å)
Co_5	TBP	2.55	0.00	2.6	2.34
	SQPD	2.52	0.15	2.6	2.27
$MnCo_4$	TBP	2.46	0.00	3	2.38
	SQPD	2.41	0.23	2.6	2.29
	SQPD	2.40	0.29	3	2.35
Mn_2Co_3	TBP	2.25	0.00	3.4	2.43
	TBP	2.24	0.03	3	2.40
	TBP	2.22	0.15	3.4	2.42
	TBP	2.22	0.15	3.4	2.37
Mn_3Co_2	TBP	2.03	0.00	1.8	2.45
	TBP	2.01	0.10	1.8	2.45
	TBP	1.99	0.19	3.8	2.50
	TBP	1.99	0.22	3.4	2.44
	TBP	1.97	0.30	3.8	2.54
Mn_4Co	TBP	1.76	0.00	2.2	2.52
	TBP	1.76	0.02	0.2	2.49
	TBP	1.75	0.04	4.2	2.55
	TGPD	1.73	0.15	2.2	2.52
	SQPD	1.72	0.18	3.8	2.51
	TBP	1.71	0.25	4.2	2.60
	TBP	1.70	0.30	1.8	2.51
Mn_5	TBP	1.41	0.00	0.6	2.54
	TBP	1.40	0.06	2.6	2.58
	TBP	1.40	0.07	1.0	2.59

atoms has antiparallel spin alignment with the others. The first isomer found is a homotop of the ground state. A ferromagnetic homotop, which follows an increment of $2 \mu_B/Mn$ -substitution, lies much higher (0.30 eV), and has larger (~ 2.80 Å) Mn₁-Mn₁ separations. In contrast, in the ferrimagnetic ground state $Mn_{\perp}-Mn_{\uparrow}$ distance is much shorter (2.44 Å) than the $Mn_{\uparrow}-Mn_{\uparrow}$ distance (2.88 Å). This is in general true for other clusters (Fig.3). The Mn_4Co is also ferrimagnetic, which has $2\mu_B$ less moment than that of pure Co_5 . However, it has a ferromagnetic structure which obeys the '2 μ_B /Mn-substitution increment' rule and this structure lies only 0.04 eV higher. This cluster also has two different homotops in the form of a distorted tetragonal pyramid (TGPD) and a SQPD and they lie 0.15 and 0.18 eV higher, respectively. It has previously been reported that pure Mn_5 is ferrimagnetic in its ground state and a ferromagnetic isomer lies 0.19 eV higher.¹⁶

B. Binding energy and stability

The coodination number increases with cluster size, and thus the binding energy, which we have discussed earlier in detail for pure Mn_n and Co_n clusters.^{16,17,20} However, the binding energy of pure Co_n clusters are much larger than that of pure Mn_n clusters of same size. This is because the Mn-atoms have half-filled 3d and filled 4s shells and also have high $4s^23d^5 \rightarrow 4s^13d^6$ promotion energy. As a consequence, the Mn-atoms do not bind



FIG. 3: (color online) Ground state structures and significant isomers of $Mn_x Co_y$ (x + y = 5) nanoalloy clusters. Same conventions are followed as in Fig.1.

strongly when they are brought together.^{16,17}

The Fig.4 shows that, in general, the binding energy decreases with increasing number of Mn-atoms (x) for a particular sized cluster n. This again is due to the weaker binding among Mn atoms, and relatively weak Mn-Co binding than Co-Co bonding.

The stability S of these nanoalloy clusters with the variation of Mn-atoms x in it can be defined as,

$$S(n,x) = E(n,x+1) + E(n,x-1) - 2E(n,x), \quad (3)$$

where E(n, x) is the bound state energy of the $Mn_x Co_y$ (n = x + y) cluster, and is shown in Fig.5 for n = 5. The MnCo₄ clusters is seen to have maximum stability. A sudden dip in stability is seen for Mn₂Co₃, which can be described in terms of different bond distributions. We see



FIG. 4: (color online) Binding energy of Mn_xCo_y alloy clusters as a function of Mn-atoms.



FIG. 5: (color online) (a) Relative stability and (b) different (Mn-Mn, Mn-Co, and Co-Co) bond lengths of n = 5 cluster as a function of Mn-atoms.

that Mn_2Co_3 has maximum (minimum) Mn-Mn (Co-Co) bond length, and thus, has the weakest (strongest) Mn-Mn (Co-Co) interaction among all the n=5 clusters with different compositions. This reduced hybridization of the Mn-atoms in turn reduces the stability of this cluster compared to its neighbors in x, and also leaves the cluster ferromagnetic. Similarly, for n=4 cluster, Mn_2Co_2 has larger average Mn-Mn distance (2.83 Å) than Mn_3Co (2.74 Å) and found to be less stable.

C. Magnetic moment

The atoms in the pure Co_n clusters are found to be aligned ferromagnetically,²⁰ whereas a ferromagnetic to ferrimagnetic transition has been observed for pure Mn_n



FIG. 6: (color online) Calculated magnetic moment per atom as a function of Mn-atoms.



FIG. 7: (a) Co-Co bond length and (b) average local Comoment (μ_{Co}) in Mn_xCo₂ clusters as a function of x.

at n = 5 and remains the same for larger sized clusters.¹⁶ The local magnetic moment of Mn ($\mu_{\rm Mn}$) is found to be higher than $\mu_{\rm Co}$ due to more number of unpaired *d*-electrons in Mn-atom ($3d^54s^2$) than in Co-atom ($3d^74s^2$).

Fig.6 shows the average magnetic moment $\bar{\mu}$ as a function of the number of Mn-atoms, x, for different cluster sizes n. For n = 2 and 4, $\bar{\mu}$ increases with increasing number of Mn-atoms. This is expected since for these sizes, all the clusters with different compositions are ferromagnetic. As mentioned earlier, the net number of unpaired *d*-electrons in Mn (five) is greater than that in Co (three). Thus as Co-atoms are replaced by Mn-atoms, total cluster moment increases by $\sim 2\;\mu_B/{\rm Mn}\text{-substitution}.$ For cluster size n=3 and composition x=2, and also for n=5 and x > 3, i.e., as the clusters become Mn-rich, $\bar{\mu}$ decreases with x. We see that these Mn-rich clusters are ferrimagnetic. For pure Mn_n clusters ferrimagnetic coupling is observed for $n \ge 5$ (Ref.¹⁶). On the other hand, for bimetallic $Mn_x Co_y$ clusters, ferrimagnetic coupling of Mn-atoms is observed for n=5 with $x \ge 3$. This could be because, for pure Mn_3 and Mn_4 , the ferrimagnetic isomers having average magnetic moment 1.67 and 2.50 μ_B /atom, respectively, lie just 0.05 eV and 0.08 eV above their corresponding ferromagnetic ground states. Thus perturbing these clusters with Co-atoms could induce the corresponding ground state to be ferrimagnetic.

Another interesting observation is made that if we dope a Co₂ dimer with increasing number of Mn-atoms, the Co-Co bond length k.pdf increasing (Fig.7a). This indicates that Mn-atoms reduce the hybridization between Co-atoms in the dimer. Therefore, we have further studied the average $\mu_{\rm Co}$ in Co₂ dimer with increasing x, and is shown in Fig.7b. It is seen that $\mu_{\rm Co}$ remain almost the same for all the Mn_xCo₂ clusters (1.94, 1.88, 1.88, and 1.85 μ_B /atom for Co₂, MnCo₂, Mn₂Co₂, and Mn₃Co₂, respectively). Although the Co-Co bond length in Mn_xCo₂ increases with increasing x, the coordination



of Co-atoms also increases as we go along $\text{Co}_2 \rightarrow \text{MnCo}_2 \rightarrow \text{Mn}_2\text{Co}_2 \rightarrow \text{Mn}_3\text{Co}_2$, which leaves the effective hybridization of the Co-atoms unaffected.

The magnetization density (difference between the up and down spin densities) further illustrates (Fig.8) the magnetic nature of these nanoalloy clusters. It is interesting to note that in ferrimagnetic Mn_2Co (Mn_4Co) cluster the Mn_{\uparrow} - Mn_{\downarrow} separation is 2.64 Å (2.61 and 2.65 Å). This is significantly smaller than $Mn_{\uparrow}-Mn_{\uparrow}$ separation in ferromagnetic Mn_3 and Mn_4 clusters, 2.74 and 2.70, respectively.¹⁶ Also the average $Mn_{\uparrow}-Mn_{\uparrow}$ bond in ferrimagnetic Mn_4Co is longer (Fig.8c) than the $Mn_{\uparrow}-Mn_{\downarrow}$ one. Thus it seems that wherever there is a contraction of Mn-Mn bond due to Co doping, the two Mn atoms concerned get antiferromagnetically aligned. This is further supported by the fact that for Mn_3Co , where the distances between the Mn atoms (2.74 Å) is not reduced by Co-doping, the Mn atoms remain ferromagnetically coupled. The dependence of Mn-Mn coupling on the separation is due to the modification of hybridization. We will further discuss the magnetic nature of the clusters in Section III H through partial charge density.

D. Comparison of magnetic moment with Stern-Gerlach experiment

In a recent SG experiment, $Mn_x Co_y$ (n=11-29) clusters were produced via pulsed laser vaporization from cylindrical Mn_xCo_{1-x} alloy ($Mn_{0.15}Co_{0.85}$ or $Mn_{0.5}Co_{0.5}$) target rods.²⁷ The mass spectrum shows that average Mnfraction in the allow clusters are always less than in the corresponding source rod. However, this Mn-fraction increases with the increase in cluster size. Therefore, in the experiment, alloy clusters were always Co-rich compared to the bulk source.²⁷ Clusters generated from both the $Mn_{0.15}Co_{0.85}$ and $Mn_{0.5}Co_{0.5}$ target rods have moments that are similar to that of the pure cobalt clusters of same size. In fact in *smaller* size range, n=11-14, the average moment is enhanced by 28-60% for clusters generated from $Mn_{0.50}Co_{0.50}$ source. We have compared the magnetic moments of pure Co_n clusters with 50-50 Mn-Co nanoalloy clusters (Fig.9) in the size range n = 2-5 to see whether the observed experimental trend continues for the smaller sizes. It should be pointed out here that, for $Mn_{0.50}Co_{0.50}$ clusters, we have considered the Corich clusters to mimic the experimental situation, 27 for

FIG. 8: (Color online) Isosurfaces of magnetization density for (a) Mn_2Co , (b) Mn_3Co , and (c) Mn_4Co corresponding to 0.02, 0.03, and 0.04 $e/Å^3$, respectively. Dark (Blue) and light (magenta) color represent Mn- and Co-atoms, respectively. The Mn_{\downarrow} is marked by down arrow. Blue (Red) surface indicates positive (negative) magnetization density.

sizes where an exact 50-50 concentration is not possible. We see a 80-31% enhancement in magnetic moment compared to pure Co_n clusters. As we have already seen that these nanoalloy clusters are ferromagnetic unless the cluster is Mn-rich, thus when we replace Co-atoms in pure Co_n clusters with Mn, the magnetic moment increases.

In a separate SG experiment,³¹ Yin *et al.* has found that magnetic moment increases as the number of Coatoms, y, in $Mn_x Co_y$ increases in the range 15-45 keeping x constant at 1-9 (i.e., all the cluster are Co-rich). This observation also holds good in the present study for smaller clusters as long as the alloy cluster is Co-rich. For an example, as we go along MnCo (6 μ_B) \rightarrow MnCo₂ $(9 \ \mu_B) \rightarrow \text{MnCo}_3 \ (12 \ \mu_B) \rightarrow \text{MnCo}_4 \ (15 \ \mu_B)$ the total moment increases with increasing y. They have also found that the total enhancement in the magnetic moment to be 1.7 μ_B /Mn-substitution, and is independent of the cluster size n and composition, which is justified by the virtual bond state model.³¹ The present calculation is in good agreement and we find this enhancement to be $2 \mu_B$ /Mn-substitution (Tables I-IV) as long as cluster is ferromagnetic (Co-rich).



FIG. 9: (color online) Magnetic moment of pure Co_n and $\text{Mn}_{0.5}\text{Co}_{0.5}$ clusters. For cluster sizes n = 3 and 5, the cobalt rich clusters (MnCo₂ and Mn₂Co₃, respectively) are considered. See the text.



FIG. 10: (color online) Average local Co-moment (μ_{Co}) as a function of Mn-atoms for different cluster sizes.

E. Comparison with bulk alloy

The individual atomic moments of Mn and Co for $Mn_x Co_{1-x}$ bulk alloy have been determined with increasing Mn:Co ratio through neutron scattering studies²⁷. On the Co-rich side μ_{Mn} and μ_{Co} are aligned antiferromagnetically, with the magnitude of both $\mu_{\rm Mn}$ and $\mu_{\rm Co}$ decreasing monotonically with increasing Mn-content. Same trend is also seen for the average magnetic moment of the alloy $\bar{\mu}=x\mu_{\rm Mn}$ +(1 - x) $\mu_{\rm Co}$. However, it is seen from the SG experiments^{27,31} that Co-rich Mn_xCo_{1-x} nanoallov clusters retain substantial magnetic moment in the size range n=11-29. Moreover, for clusters with n=11-14 obtained by laser vaporization of Mn_{0.15}Co_{0.85} rods, the magnetic moment increases by 88-148% compared to the bulk value.²⁷ At 20% Mn-concentration for cluster size n=5 we estimate 241% enhancement in magnetic moment from the corresponding bulk value. This enhancement of $\bar{\mu}$ in low dimension over bulk alloy is not only due to decrease in coordination number, which effectively reduces the hybridization among the orbitals, but also due to the ferromagnetic Mn-Co coupling (unlike bulk alloy) in Co-rich $Mn_x Co_y$ nanoalloy clusters.

The average $\mu_{\rm Mn}$ and $\mu_{\rm Co}$ (calculated using Eq. 2) are studied individually for different sizes with increasing Mn-concentration. The average $\mu_{\rm Mn}$ oscillates with increasing x. For clusters like Mn₂Co which are ferrimagnetic, the average magnetic moment of Mn is very low $(0.08\mu_B/\text{atom})$, whereas for ferromagnetic (like Mn₂Co₂) clusters it is high $(4.21\mu_B/\text{atom})$. Here, it is to be noted that even for such ferrimagnetic clusters the individual $\mu_{\rm Mn}$ values are high but due do their ferrimagnetic Mn-Mn alignment the net Mn-moment is low. The average $\mu_{\rm Co}$ is plotted in Fig.10. It is clear from Fig.10 that, likewise in bulk alloy, the average $\mu_{\rm Co}$ decreases with increasing Mn concentration for all cluster sizes,³⁷ and, as we will see in Section III G, is because of increasing Mn neighbor. However, $\mu_{\rm Mn}$ doesn't behave in similar



FIG. 11: (Color online) The ground state (a), first (b), and second (c) isomers for $Co_{11}Mn_2$ nanoalloy cluster. Same color conventions are used as in Fig.1. The numbers in the parenthesis represent the total moment and relative energy to the ground state, ΔE , respectively.

fashion, and unlike the bulk alloy, in Co-rich $Mn_x Co_y$ nanoalloy clusters, μ_{Mn} and μ_{Co} are ferromagnetically coupled.

F. A medium sized cluster (n = 13) and direct comparison with SG experiment

In order to perform a direct comparison with the SG experiment²⁷ we have studied a $Mn_x Co_y$ cluster of size n=13, with 15% Mn-concentration i.e., Mn₂Co₁₁ nanoalloy cluster. The ground state is found to be nearly degenerate with magnetic moments 1.92 and 2.38 μ_B /atom. The ground state has binding energy 3.18 eV/atom (Fig.11a), whereas the nearly degenerate isomer lies only 0.01 eV higher (Fig.11b). In the first structure the Mn-Mn distance is 2.46 Å and one of the Mn-atom sits at the center, and consequently the magnetic moment of this (Mn) atom gets quenched. Whereas, the Mn-Mn distance is comparatively larger (4.78 \AA) in the second cluster as both the Mn-atoms sit on the surface. These are the reasons that the second structure has larger magnetic moment than the first one. Another icosahedral isomer (Fig.11c) has large magnetic moment of 2.69 μ_B /atom and lies 0.15 eV higher than the ground state.

The icosahedral ground state structure for this Mndoped cluster is quite interesting. For pure Co_{13} the stable ground state is a distorted hexagonal structure²⁰ and, on the other hand, we have previously found an icosahedral ground state for pure Mn₁₃ cluster.^{16,17} Replacing two Co-atoms in Co₁₃ with Mn results in a



FIG. 12: (Color online) The *d*-projected density of states for the (a) central, and (b) surface Mn-atom of Mn₂Co₁₁ cluster in its ground state (marked as 1, and 2, respectively, in Fig.11a). A Gaussian broadening of 0.1 eV has been used. Corresponding integrated density of states up to the Fermi level, E_F , are also shown for the (c) central, and (d) surface Mn-atoms.

structural change from a distorted-hexagonal-like structure to an icosahedron. The calculated magnetic moment of the pure Co₁₃ is found to be 25 μ_B , which is in good agreement with experimental values, 26-30 μ_B (Refs.^{18,19}). On the other hand, two degenerate ground states of Mn₂Co₁₁ nanoalloy cluster have 25-31 μ_B magnetic moments, which is 0-6 μ_B larger than that of (calculated) the pure Co₁₃ cluster. This is in good agreement with the experiment: For n=13 cluster produced from Mn_{0.15}Co_{0.85} bulk source an enhancement in average magnetic moment is seen over the corresponding pure Co₁₃ cluster.¹⁹ It should also be pointed out here that the SG experiment was done at finite temperature (91 K), so the cluster beam may contain both the degenerate states which are separated only by 0.01 eV energy.

G. Projected density of states

To understand the decrease in average $\mu_{\rm Co}$ when the environment is made more Mn-rich than Co (Fig.10), the integrated projected density of states (IPDOS) of the *d*-orbital has been investigated for the cobalt atoms in Mn₂Co₃ and Mn₄Co clusters. For the first cluster in the TBP ground state (Fig.3), the IPDOS of the Co-atom, which is co-planer with two Mn-atoms, is 4.41 for the majority spin channel, whereas it is 2.30 for the minority spin. For a off-plane Co-atom in same structure, the IPDOS is 4.30 for the majority spin channel and 2.40 for the minority spin one. The reason for this greater spin polarization of the co-planar Co-atom is twofold. Firstly, the in-plane Co-atom has more (two) Co-atoms as its nearest neighbors than the off-planar ones (one). Secondly, the in-plane Mn-Co distance (2.62 Å) is larger than that for the off-planar Mn-Co distance (2.41 Å). Thus the effect of Mn-atoms felt by the in-plane Co-atom is less than that by the off-planar ones. This reinforces the observation that a Co-rich environment favors more spin polarization in Co than a Mn-rich environment. For the Co-atom in the second cluster, Mn₄Co, the IPDOS for the majority (minority) spin channel is 3.81 (2.50), giving rise to a decrease in spin polarization (compared to average *d*-polarization of Co-atoms in Mn₂Co₃), when the environment is made more Mn-rich at the cost of Co.

The *d*-projected density of states (*d*-DOS) and the corresponding IPDOS for Mn₂Co₁₁ are also studied. These are shown in Fig.12 for the central, and apex Mn-atoms labeled as 1 and 2, respectively, in Fig.11a. We see that for the central Mn-atom (Fig.12a), the spin distribution in the majority and minority spin channels are nearly equal. Consequently, the magnitude of IPDOS (Fig.12c) for the majority and minority spin channels are close, 2.75 and 2.35, respectively. Thus for this central Mnatom spin polarization is very low. On the other hand for the surface Mn-atom (Fig.12d), the majority spin channel is almost fully occupied (Fig.12b) and the magnitude of corresponding IPDOS for the majority and minority spin channels are 4.32 and 0.61, respectively. This gives a large spin polarization on this atom. This is because the surface Mn is less coordinated and hence has less hybridization than the central one. Further, the Co-atoms marked as 3 and 4 in Fig.11a have equal coordination number and the former has slightly larger (2.43 Å) average bond length than the later one (2.38 Å). Although we find that the Co-atom marked as 3 has slightly weaker spin polarization $(1.74 \,\mu_B)$ than that of marked as 4 (1.83) μ_B), as the former is bonded with one more Mn-atom than the later. This once again confirms our observation that $\mu_{\rm Co}$ decreases with increasing Mn neighbors.

H. Partial charge densities

In order to take a deeper look into the electronic behavior responsible for antiparallel alignment of one μ_{Mn} to other Co- and Mn-atoms in Mn₄Co, we have investigated the eigenvalue spectrum of the occupied levels. We find among the eight highest occupied levels (i.e., HOMO -n' levels, where n' = 0 - 7), four of them are occupied by minority spins. On the other hand, among the eleven deepest occupied levels, six levels are occupied by minority electrons. Comparing this spin distribution picture with that of Mn₃Co, we see that for this ferromagnetic cluster also, only four of the eight highest occupied levels are occupied by minority spins likewise in the ferrimagnetic Mn_4Co . However, only one of the deepest eleven states are occupied with minority spin. Therefore, it seems that these deep valence levels play a major role in determining the magnetic structure of these two



FIG. 13: (Color online) The charge density isosurfaces of the six down electrons in the deepest energy levels for Mn_4Co cluster. They are shown at (a) 0.04, (b) 0.01, (c) 0.01, (d) 0.01, (e) 0.01, and (f) 0.03 $e/Å^3$, respectively. Dark (Blue) and light (magenta) color represent Mn- and Co-atoms, respectively, and the Mn_{\downarrow} is marked by down arrow.



FIG. 14: (Color online) The charge density distribution for two of the majority electrons in the deep levels for Mn₄Co cluster. Dark (Blue) and light (magenta) color represent Mnand Co-atoms, respectively, and the Mn₁ is marked by down arrow. The isosurfaces are drawn at 0.04 $e/Å^3$ density.



FIG. 15: (Color online) The charge density distribution for (a) the minority electron at 0.01 e/Å³ isodensity and (b) for one of the majority electrons at 0.03 e/Å³ isodensity in the deep levels of Mn₃Co cluster. Dark (Blue) and light (magenta) color represent Mn- and Co-atoms, respectively.

clusters.

We have further looked at the charge density distribution of the six minority spin electrons in ferrimagnetic Mn_4Co nanocluster, which occupy these deepest molecular orbitals. At large isosurface values the charge densities are localized on the Mn_{\downarrow} and are distributed mostly

between this Mn_{\perp} and the Co-atom for lower isosurface values (Fig.13). The shape of the charge densities indicates that these electrons are *d*-electrons. Thus, single Co-doping in ferromagnetic Mn₄ makes Mn₄Co ferrimagnetic. On the other hand, the charge densities of the majority electrons are spread out among the Co-atom and the three Mn_{\uparrow} -atoms at small isosurface values. Charge densities for two of these majority electrons are shown in Fig.14. The shape of these charge density isosurfaces also exhibits *d*-orbital character. It is interesting to observe that the Mn_{\perp} is completely devoid of majority charge contribution for these deep valence levels. Thus it must be so that the Mn₁ goes from the $4s^23d^5$ to $4s^13d^6$ configuration in Mn_4Co . Due to Co doping the Mn_1-Mn_1 separation in the cluster is reduced, which consequently enhances the hybridization and cause the six d-electrons in this Mn_{\perp} to belong to the minority spin channel.

In contrast the charge density of the electrons occupying the deepest energy levels of Mn_3Co cluster exhibits quite a different picture. The charge densities of both the majority and minority electrons for small isosurface value (Fig.15) are spread out amongst all the four atoms in the cluster and not localized on any one of them.

IV. SUMMARY

We have studied structure, bonding, and magnetism in small bimetallic $Mn_x Co_y (x+y=2-5)$ clusters from firstprinciples DFT calculation. Due to van der Waals like weaker bonding among Mn-atoms and relatively strong Co-Co bonding than Mn-Co bonding, the binding energies of the alloy clusters decrease with increasing Mnconcentration. Interesting effects in binding energy, stability and magnetism in the nanoalloy clusters are explained through the interplay between bond length and coordination. The Co-rich clusters are found to be ferromagnetic unlike the bulk alloy and the corresponding magnetic moment is higher than the pure Co_n clusters as is seen in the recent SG experiments.^{27,31} Moreover, the magnetic moment of Co-rich nanoalloy clusters increase with Mn-concentration and this increment is 2 μ_B/Mn substitution and is independent of cluster size and composition. Co-atoms are found to be more magnetically polarized in a Co-rich environment than in Mn-rich one, i.e., likewise in bulk alloy, as the environment is made more Mn-rich, the average $\mu_{\rm Co}$ decreases.

Acknowledgments

We thank D. G. Kanhere for stimulating discussion. M. K. thanks M. B. Knickelbein for sharing his experimental data before it was published as Ref.²⁷, which greatly motivated this work. S. D. thanks CSIR for financial support. B. S. and A. M. acknowledge Asian-Swedish Research Links Programme for financial support.

- * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed
- ¹ J. Jellinek, and E. B. Krissinel, in *Theory of Atomic and Molecular Clusters*, edited by J. Jellinek (Springer, Berlin, 1999), pp.277-308.
- ² Progress in Experimental and Theoretical Studies of Clusters, edited by T. Kondow and F .Maufune (World Scientific, New York, 2003).
- ³ K. J. Klabunde, Free Atoms, Clusters and Nanoscale Particles, (Academic, New York, 1994); K. J. Klabunde, Nanoscale Materials in Chemistry (Wiley, New York, 2001).
- ⁴ M. P. Andrews and S. C. O'Brien, J. Phys. Chem. **96**, 8233 (1992).
- ⁵ J. Jellinek, Faraday Discussions **138**, 11 (2008).
- ⁶ S. Sun, C. B. Murray, D. Weller, L. Folks, and A. Moser, Science **287**, 1989 (2000).
- ⁷ J. H. Sinfelt, Bimetallic Catalysts. Discoveries, Concepts, and Applications, (John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1983).
- ⁸ A. M. Molenbroek and J. K. Norskov, and B. S. Clausen, J. Phys. Chem. B **105**, 5450 (2001).
- ⁹ P. L. Hansen, A. M. Molenbroek and A. V. Ruban, J. Phys. Chem. B **101**, 1861 (1997).
- ¹⁰ T. Shibata, B. A. Bunker, Z. Zhang, D. Meisel, C. F. Vardeman II, and J. D. Gezelter, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **124**, 11989 (2002).
- ¹¹ S. Darby, T. V. Mortimer-Jones, R. L. Johnston, and C. Roberts, J. Chem. Phys. **116**, 1536 (2002).
- ¹² A. V. Ruban, H. L. Skriver, and J. K. Norskov, Phys. Rev. B 59, 15990 (1999)
- ¹³ C. Loo, A. Lowery, N. Halas, J. West, and R. Drezek, Nano Lett. **5**, 709 (2005); X. H. Huang, P. K. Jain, I. H. El-Sayed, and M. A. El-Sayed, Nanomedicine **2**, 681 (2007); Q. A. Pankhurst, J. Connolly, S. K. Jones, and J. Dobson, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **36**, R167 (2003); P. Tartaj, M. del P. Morales, S. Veintemillas-Verdaguer, T. Gonzlez-Carreo, and C. J. Serna, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **36**, R182 (2003); C. C. Berry, and A. S. G. Curtis, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. **36**, R198 (2003).
- ¹⁴ M. B. Knickelbein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **86**, 5255 (2001).
- ¹⁵ M. B. Knickelbein, Phys. Rev. B **70**, 14424 (2004).
- ¹⁶ M. Kabir, A. Mookerjee and D. G. Kanhere, Phys. Rev. B 73, 224439 (2006).
- ¹⁷ M. Kabir, D. G. Kanhere and A. Mookerjee, Phys. Rev.

- B. **75**, 214433 (2007).
- ¹⁸ X. Xu, S. Yin, R. Moro and W. A. de Heer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 237209 (2005).
- ¹⁹ M. B. Knickelbein, J. Chem. Phys. **125**, 044308 (2006).
- ²⁰ S. Datta, M. Kabir, S. Ganguly, B. Sanyal, T. Saha-Dasgupta and A. Mookerjee, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 014429 (2007).
- ²¹ A. Christensen, P. Stolze, and J. K. Norskov, J.Phys. Condens Matter 7, 1047 (1995).
- ²² M. J. Lopez, P. A. Marcos, and J. A. Alonso, J. Chem. Phys. **104**, 1056 (1996).
- ²³ J. Jellinek and E. B. Krissinel, Chem. Phys. Lett. **258**, 283 (1996).
- ²⁴ E. B. Krissinel and J. Jelllinek, Chem. Phys. Lett. **272**, 301 (1997).
- ²⁵ E. B. Krissinel and J. Jellinek, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **62**, 185 (1997).
- ²⁶ J. Jellinek and M. J. Lopez, J. Chem. Phys. **110**, 8899 (1999).
- ²⁷ M. B. Knickelbein, Phys. Rev. B **75**, 014401 (2007).
- ²⁸ J. W. Cable Phys. Rev. B **25**, 4670 (1982).
- ²⁹ A. Z. Men'shikov, G. A. Takzeï, Y. A. Dorofeev, V. A. Kazantsev, A. K. Kostyshin, and I. I. Sych, Sov. Phys. JETP **62**, 734 (1985).
- ³⁰ M. Matsui, T. Ido, K. Sato, and K. Adachi, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 28, 791 (1970).
- ³¹ S. Yin, R. Moro, X. Xu, W. A. de Heer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 113401 (2007).
- ³² G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1758 (1999).
- ³³ P. E. Blöchl, Phys. Rev. B **50**, 17953 (1994).
- ³⁴ J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 3865 (1996).
- ³⁵ A spin arrangement in any magnetic clusters is magnetically stable only if the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) of the majority spin lies above the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) of the minority spin and vice versa.
 ³⁶ M. C. L. C. L. D. D. G. L. L. C. D. C. L. L. C. L. C.
- ³⁶ M. Castro, C. Jamorski and D. R. Salahub, Chem. Phys. Lett. **271**, 133 (1997).
- ³⁷ It should be noted that the pure Co₃ has two degenerate ground states with 1.67 and 2.33 μ_B /atom.