## arXiv:0806.1688v3 [cond-mat.other] 9 Feb 2009

## Anyons from fermions with conventional two-body interactions

Yue Yu

Institute of Theoretical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, P.O. Box 2735, Beijing 100190, China

Yi Li

Department of Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

(Dated: today)

## Abstract

Emergent anyons are the key elements of the topological quantum computation and topological quantum memory. We study a two-component fermion model with conventional two-body interaction in an open boundary condition and show that several subsets in the low-lying excitations obey the same fusion rules as those of the toric code model. Those string-like non-local excitations in a given subset obey mutual semionic statistics. We show how to peel off one of such subset from other degenerate subsets and manipulate anyons in cold dipolar Fermi atoms or cold dipolar fermionic heteronuclear molecules in optical lattices by means of the established techniques. Introductions Anyons are long wistful objects in two-dimensional condensed matter systems [1, 2]. Interesting to explore anyons is currently renewed because of the potential application of anyons in topological quantum computation and topological quantum memory [3, 4]. The researches mainly focus on two topics: non-abelian fractional quantum Hall states [5, 6, 7] and particular lattice spin models, e.g., Kitaev toric code model [3], Levin-Wen model [8] and Kitaev honeycomb-lattice spin model [9].

Experimentally exciting, manipulating and detecting abelian anyons have been suggested or tried for the toric code model [10, 11] and for the insulating phase of Kitaev honeycomblattice model [12]. Although these non-trivial tries are interesting, the reliable evidence for the existence of anyons still lacks since neither the toric code model nor honeycomblattice spin model is easy to be realized owing to those unconventional interactions between constitution particles, e.g., cold atoms [13].

A widely interesting question is: do we have a simple system with conventional two-body interactions whose non-local elementary excitations are anyons? We here study a twocomponent fermion system which is a set of decoupled Ising chains[14]. These chains form a two-dimensional square lattice with each chain along the horizontal diagonal direction (See Fig. 1). The fermions within a chain interact with on-site repulsive and nearest neighbor attractive potentials. Nussinov and Ortiz [15] have found the decoupled Ising chains are of the same spectrum as the toric code model and Liven-Wen model. However, the topological order is trivial when the plain lattice is curled up to a torus.

We here show that although the topological order of decoupled Ising chains with a periodic boundary condition is trivial, when the in-chain coupling constants are special chosen with respect to the chemical potential, there are low-lying non-local excitations in an open boundary condition which may obey anyonic statistics. We will see that the low-lying excitations of this model are classified by several kinds of closed subsets. One kind of them is local, including a single hole, a double occupant and a spin-flip. Other two kinds consist of a local fermion and two string-like non-local excitations. The fusion rules and exchange phase factor in later two are exactly the same as those of the excitations in the toric code model. The statistics of the non-local excitations themselves are bosonic while it is mutual semionic. We find that the ground state in this model is stable for a dipole-dipole long range interactions if inter-chain couplings are negligible. Thus, this system can be realized in cold dipolar Fermi atoms, e.g., rare-earth atoms of Ytterbium [16], or the cold fermionic heteronuclear molecules like <sup>40</sup>K<sup>87</sup>Rb [17], in optical lattice. With respect to the ground state degeneracy, any subset of the excitations is accompanied by many energetically degenerate subsets. We discuss how to peel off a subset from other degenerate subsets and manipulate anyons by means of the established cold atom and molecular techniques.

Two-component fermion model in square lattice We consider a simple Hamiltonian for twocomponent fermions in a square lattice (Fig. 1)

$$H = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle_{hd},s} J_s(2n_{s,i}-1)(2n_{s,j}-1) + U\sum_i (2n_{\uparrow,i}-1)(2n_{\downarrow,i}-1),$$
(1)

where  $n_{s,i} = c_{s,i}^{\dagger} c_{s,i}$  with  $c_{s,i}$  being annihilation operators of (pseudo)spin-s fermions. The symbol  $\langle ij \rangle_{hd}$  means the sum is over nearest neighbors along the horizontal diagonals of squares. This is a set of decoupled Ising chains along the horizontal diagonals of squares. We restrict on the nearest neighbor attractive interaction while on-site is repulsive, i.e.,  $J_s > 0$  and U > 0. In the case, the ground states of this Hamiltonian are  $2^n$ -fold degenerate, i.e., every individual chain is ferromagnetic, i.e., for a set of spins  $\{s_1, \dots, s_a, \dots, s_n\}$ ,

$$|G_{\{s\}}\rangle = \prod_{a=1}^{n} |G_{s_a}\rangle = \prod_{a,i_a} c^{\dagger}_{s_a,i_a} |0\rangle, \qquad (2)$$

where *n* is the number of chains,  $i_a$  is the site index in the *a*-th chain. Restricted to the open boundary condition, the low-lying excitations above a given ground state  $|G_{\{s\}}\rangle$ , for a given site  $i_a$ , reads (See Fig. 1 for a given ground state  $|G_{\uparrow}\rangle$  which will be defined later.)

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle &= (c_{s_{a},i_{a}}^{\dagger} + c_{s_{a},i_{a}})|G_{\{s\}}\rangle = c_{s_{a},i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle,\\
\mathcal{D}_{i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle &= (c_{\bar{s}_{a},i_{a}}^{\dagger} + c_{\bar{s}_{a},i_{a}})|G_{\{s\}}\rangle = c_{\bar{s}_{a},i_{a}}^{\dagger}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle,\\
\mathcal{F}_{i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle &= i\mathcal{H}_{i_{a}}\mathcal{D}_{i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle = ic_{s_{a},i_{a}}c_{\bar{s}_{a},i_{a}}^{\dagger}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle,\\
\mathcal{W}_{P}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle &= \prod_{i'_{b}\leq i_{a}}\mathcal{F}_{i'_{b}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle = \prod_{i'_{b}\leq i_{a}}ic_{s_{b},i'_{b}}c_{\bar{s}_{b},i'_{b}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle,\\
\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^{h}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle &= \prod_{i'_{b}< i_{a}}\mathcal{F}_{i'_{b}}\mathcal{H}_{i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle = \prod_{i'_{b}< i_{a}}ic_{s_{b},i'_{b}}c_{\bar{s}_{b},i'_{b}}c_{\bar{s}_{a},i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle,\\
\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^{d}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle &= \prod_{i'_{b}< i_{a}}\mathcal{F}_{i'_{b}}\mathcal{D}_{i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle = \prod_{i'_{b}< i_{a}}ic_{s_{b},i'_{b}}c_{\bar{s}_{a},i_{a}}|G_{\{s\}}\rangle,\\
\end{aligned}$$
(3)

where P and P' denote two plaquettes on the right and left of  $i_a$ , respectively.  $\bar{s} = \downarrow (\uparrow)$ if  $s = \uparrow (\downarrow)$ . The order of sites is defined by  $i'_b < i_a$  if  $i'_b$  is on the left hand of  $i_a$  for b = a or  $i'_b$  is in a chain lower than the chain with  $i_a$ .  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}$  create a hole, a double occupant, and a spin-flip.  $\mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^d$  and  $\mathcal{W}^h$  create a half-infinite string of spin-flips, a spinflip string with a double occupant and a spin-flip string with a hole, respectively, since the spins of fermions at sites  $i'_b < i_a$  are flipped from their ground state configuration while those at  $j_c > i_a$  keep in their ground state configuration. The excitation energies of these local and non-local excitations in turn are  $4J_{s_a} + 2U$ ,  $4J_{\bar{s}_a} + 2U$ ,  $4J_{\uparrow} + 4J_{\downarrow}, 2J_{\uparrow} + 2J_{\downarrow},$  $2J_{\uparrow} + 2J_{\downarrow} + 2U$  and  $2J_{\uparrow} + 2J_{\downarrow} + 2U$ , respectively. The finite energies of the string-like excitations mean they are deconfined. Note that the ground state may be instable against an on-site energy  $V_B \sum_i (2n_{\uparrow(\downarrow),i} - 1)$  if  $V_B > 0$  and if  $V_B < 0$ , a pair of string-like excitations may be linearly confined and the statistics of the string-like excitations becomes ill-defined. These excitations are highly degenerate due to the degeneracy of the ground states. For example, if  $\{s_1, \dots, s_a \dots, s_n\} \rightarrow \{s_1, \dots, \bar{s}_a \dots, s_n\}, \mathcal{H} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $(\leq, <) \rightarrow (\geq, >)$  in (3). One can also flip spin in other chains to get a new degenerate excitation.



FIG. 1: (Color on line) The ground state ( taking  $|G_{\uparrow}\rangle$  as an example), and the low-lying excitations in a set of decoupled Ising spin chains which form a square lattice. From left to right and up to down, they are  $|G_{\uparrow}\rangle$ ,  $|\mathcal{H}_{i_a}\rangle$ ,  $|\mathcal{D}_{i_a}\rangle$ ,  $|\mathcal{F}_{i_a}\rangle$ ,  $|\mathcal{W}_P\rangle$ ,  $|\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^h\rangle$ ,  $|\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^d\rangle$  and  $|\mathcal{W}_{P'}\rangle$ . Up- and down-arrows label the fermion with spin-up and spin down. Empty circle is unoccupied site and up-down arrow is double occupied. The white plaquette P" has  $(G_{P^n}^s, G_{P^n}^{\bar{s}}) = (1, 1)$ , the yellow has (-1, 1), the red has (1, -1), and the grey has (-1, -1).

Fusion rules Note that  $\mathcal{O}^2 = I$  for  $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^d, \mathcal{W}^h$ . The fusion rules of these excitations are given in Table 1. The fusion rules of the closed subset  $\{I, \mathcal{D}_{i_a}, \mathcal{W}_P, \mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^h\}$  (or  $\{I, \mathcal{H}_{i_a}, \mathcal{W}_P, \mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^d\}$ ) are exactly the same as the fusion rules in Kitaev toric code model if we identify  $\mathcal{D}_{i_a}, \mathcal{W}_P$ , and  $\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^h$  as  $\psi, e$  and m [3, 9]. The subset  $\{I, \mathcal{F}_{i_a}, \mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^h, \mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^d\}$  has similar fusion rules but  $\mathcal{F}$  is bosonic.  $\{I, \mathcal{H}_{i_a}, \mathcal{D}_{i_a}, \mathcal{F}_{i_a}\}$  is also a closed subset and has similar fusion rules but with two fermions  $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$  and one boson  $(\mathcal{F})$ .

	$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{W}_P$	$\mathcal{W}^h_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^d_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$
$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$	Ι	$\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{W}^d_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_P$	$\mathcal{W}^h_{P,P'}$
$\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$	Ι	$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{W}^h_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_P$	$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^d_{P,P'}$
$\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$	Ι	$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^{d}_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^h_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_P$
$\mathcal{W}_P$	$\mathcal{W}^{d}_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^h_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$	Ι	$\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$
$\mathcal{W}^h_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_P$	$\mathcal{W}^d_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$	Ι	$\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$
$\mathcal{W}^{d}_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_P$	$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^h_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$	Ι	$\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$
$\mathcal{W}_{P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^{h}_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}^{d}_{P,P'}$	$\mathcal{W}_P$	$\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{i_a}$	$\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$	$\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{i_a}$	Ι

Table 1: Fusion rules of excitations.

Discrete gauge symmetry The conserved quantities are simply  $Q_{s,i} = 2n_{s,i} - 1$ , (in fact, they are  $n_{s,i}$ ), which have eigen values  $\pm 1$  at each site. This generates  $Z_2 \times Z_2$  gauge symmetry. For a plaquette P, one can label the plaquette by  $G_P^{s_a} = (2n_{i_a,s_a} - 1)(2n_{j_a,s_a} - 1)$  for a pair of nearest neighbors  $(i_a, j_a)$  in the *a*-th chain, which also have eigen values  $\pm 1$ . Obviously, the ground state is of all  $G_P^s = 1$ . The excitations are also of all  $G_{P''}^s = 1$  except for the right plaquette P and left plaquette P' of  $i_a$  where  $(G_P^{s_a}, G_P^{\bar{s}_a}, G_{P'}^{s_a}) = (-1, 1, -1, 1)$  for  $\mathcal{H}_{i_a}$ , (1, -1, 1, -1) for  $\mathcal{D}_{i_a}$ , (-1, -1, -1, -1) for  $\mathcal{F}_{i_a}$ , (1, 1, -1, -1) for  $\mathcal{W}_P$ ,  $(\pm 1, \mp 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$  for  $\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^h$  and  $(\mp 1, \pm 1, \pm 1, \mp 1)$  for  $\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^d$ . To distinguish latter two, one uses  $(Q_{s_a,i_a}, Q_{\bar{s}_a,i_a}) =$ (-1, -1) for  $\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^h$  and (1, 1) for  $\mathcal{W}_{P,P'}^d$ . In this sense, these string-like excitations are also called  $Z_2 \times Z_2$  vortices.

Boundary conditions A string-like excitation may be thought as a spin flipping domain wall, a topological defect (See Fig. 1, late four). The open boundary condition allows odd number of domain walls while the periodic boundary condition restricts the wall number to even in each chain. If we curl up the plain lattice to a torus, the topological order is completely trivial and the system is identical to a one-dimensional one. In the open boundary condition, two walls as ends of a string, e.g,  $\cdots \uparrow \uparrow \circ \downarrow \downarrow \cdots$  and  $\cdots \downarrow \downarrow \uparrow \uparrow \cdots$ , may locate at different chains so that one can circle around another. Therefore, their (mutual) exchange statistics can be well defined.

Statistics in a given subset We now study the statistics of the non-local excitations within a subset. The local excitations  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D}$  are the fermionic while  $\mathcal{F}$  is bosonic. For a string-like excitation, the site of its domain wall,  $i_a$ , may be used to label its 'position', e.g.,  $\mathcal{W}_P = \mathcal{W}_{i_a}$ , etc.  $\mathcal{W}$  is bosonic because it is a string of  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\mathcal{W}^{d,h}$  themselves are bosonic, e.g.,

$$\mathcal{W}_1^h \mathcal{W}_2^h = (\mathcal{H}_1)(\mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{H}_2) = (\mathcal{H}_1 \mathcal{D}_1 \mathcal{H}_2)(\mathcal{H}_1) = \mathcal{W}_2^h \mathcal{W}_1^h$$

Since  $\mathcal{W}$  and  $\mathcal{W}^{d,h}$  are distinguishable, the exchange between them does not make sense.

However, because  $\mathcal{WW}^{h,d}$  fuses to a fermion while themselves are bosons, when  $\mathcal{W}$  circles around  $\mathcal{W}^{h,d}$  or vice verse, a minus sign is acquired. In general, this fact can be proved by applying the consistent conditions, i.e., the pentagon and hexagon equations [18]. For the present case, one can take Kitaev's graphical proof [9]. For simplicity, denote  $\{I, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^d\}$  (or  $\{I, \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{W}, \mathcal{W}^h\}$ ) =  $\{I, \psi, e, m\}$ . Since  $e^2 = m^2 = I$ , we can create two pairs of e and two pairs of m from a given ground state at an initial time  $T_0$  (See Fig. 2). These excitations move along the pathes as shown in Fig. 2. At certain time  $T_1$ , they fuse to four fermions  $\psi$ . As time flies, while blue and black fermions stay alone, green and red fermions exchange their positions. Finally, at  $T_2$ , the fermions exchange, this process contributes a minus sign  $R_{\psi\psi} = -1$  to the ground state comparing to a process without fermion exchange. Now, examine this process in non-local excitation exchanges. As shown in Fig. 3, this fermion exchange is corresponding to four exchanges:  $R_{em}, R_{ee}, R_{mm}$  and  $R_{me}$ . That is,

$$R_{me}R_{ee}R_{mm}R_{em} = R_{me}R_{em} = R_{\psi\psi} = -1, \qquad (4)$$

since  $R_{ee} = R_{mm} = 1$  as e and m themselves are bosonic. The minus sign when e and m doubly exchange, or equivalently, e encircles m,  $R_{me}R_{em} = -1$ , proves the mutual statistics between e and m is semionic. Note that for the subset  $\{I, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{W}^d, \mathcal{W}^h\}$ , since  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{W}^d \mathcal{W}^h$  is bosonic,  $R_{\mathcal{W}^d \mathcal{W}^h} R_{\mathcal{W}^h \mathcal{W}^d} (= R_{\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}} = 1)$  is trivial.



FIG. 2: (Color on line) Two pairs of m (dashed lines) and two pairs of e (solid lines) created from the ground state at  $T_0$ ; they fuse into four fermions  $\psi$  (colored ellipses) at  $T_1$ ; then they split back to four pairs at  $T_2$  and annihilate to the ground state at  $T_f$ . The green and red fermions exchange, which differ a minus sign from none of exchange. The arrow indicates the time direction.

*Peeling off a subset from degeneracy* So far, we only say string-like excitations obey mutual semionic statistics but not call them anyons or semions. The reason for this is there are



FIG. 3: (Color on line) Divide the fermion exchange  $R_{\psi\psi}$  into exchanges between the same nonlocal excitations,  $R_{ee}$  and  $R_{mm}$ , and double exchange e and m,  $R_{me}R_{em}$ , which is equal to moving e (or m) around m (or e).

many degenerate non-local states which are not in the same subset. For example, flipping any chain's spin for  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$  results in a degenerate excitation with  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$  but already out of the subset of  $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{P}}$ . In this sense, these excitations can not be identified as individual quasiparticles.

To peel off a designed subset, we need to set barriers between individual degenerate ground states without changing their energies. To control the electron spin of each individual chain is not easy. However, it becomes possible in a cold atom (molecule) system because the 'spin' we are studying actually labels the different hyperfine states of atoms in the cold atom context. Once an atom is in a given hyperfine state, local fluctuations from the environment can not switch it to others. Therefore, we can peel off a given string-like excitation from others by preparing the ground state. For example, we can apply a magnetic field so that only the atoms with a given hyperfine state are loaded into the lattice and then turn off the magnetic field after the system is stable at the ground state. A global ferromagnetic ground state  $|G_{\uparrow}\rangle = |G_{\{\uparrow,\uparrow,\cdots,\uparrow\}}\rangle$  is prepared. All excitations in (3) then can be prepared by creating, annihilating the fermions or changing fermions from  $\uparrow$  to  $\downarrow$  hyperfine states by means of recently developed stimulated Raman spectroscopy or photoemission spectroscopy technique[19]. Here annihilating and creating a fermion do not means removing fermions from or reloading them into lattice sites. It can be turned into other hyperfine states which are almost not coupled to the 'spin'  $\uparrow$  and  $\downarrow$  hyperfine states or reverse. The non-local excitations may be controllably prepared. We may merely prepare excitations in a given subset and they are barricaded from their degenerate states. The non-local excitations obeying mutual simonic statistics in this subset are now identified as *mutual semions*.

Recently, a realization of this model in superconducting circuits has been proposed [20]. It is also a possible way to peel off a semionic subset. Cold fermions with dipole-dipole interaction For cold fermions in optical lattice, off-site interaction between the cold atoms can be induced by their diploe-dipole interaction. Recently, the degenerate Fermi gases of rare-earth atoms of Ytterbium (Yb) have been obtained [16]. They are possible candidate to be a practical system of our model because the fermionic isotopes <sup>171</sup>Yb and <sup>173</sup>Yb are stable in nature and their metastable state <sup>3</sup>P<sub>2</sub> has a large magnetic dipole moment  $3\mu_B$ . Deeply bound cold fermionic heteronuclear molecules have much larger dipole moment, e.g., the electric dipole moment of <sup>40</sup>K<sup>87</sup>Rb in its absolute bound ground state is 0.3  $ea_B$  [17]. Load the fermions in an optical lattice and polarize all dipoles along the horizontal diagonal of squares by using an external field. The interaction potential is  $V_d(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = d^2 \frac{1-3\cos^2 \Theta}{R^3}$  where  $\Theta$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  (the diploe moment of an atom). The interactions along the diagonal become attractive. The repulsive interaction is restricted in the region with  $\Theta > \Theta_c$  for  $\cos^2 \Theta_c = 1/3$ . The interacting Hamiltonian can be written as

$$V = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle_{hd,s}} |V_{ij,s}| n_{s,i} n_{s,j} - \sum_{\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle_{hd,s}} |V_{ij}| n_{s,i} n_{s,j} - \sum_{ij,0 < \Theta < \Theta_{c,s}} |V_{ij}| n_{s,i} n_{s,j} + \sum_{ij,\Theta > \Theta_{c,s}} V_{ij} n_{s,i} n_{s,j} (5)$$

where  $\langle \langle ij \rangle \rangle_{hd}$  denotes the sum along the horizontal diagonal other than the nearest neighbors. It is very easy to stabilize the ground state because one may increase the distance between the horizontal chains or adjust the optical lattice potentials so that the inter-chain couplings become weak.

Strictly speaking, the anyons emerging from these dipolar particle systems are logarithmic confinement in thermodynamic limit, i.e.,  $E_{\text{pair}} - E_g \propto \ln L$  as  $L \to \infty$  for L the distance between a pair of the non-local excitations. This weak divergence in a practical optical lattice may be abided, e.g., if the short range model we proposed has  $E_{\text{pair}} - E_g \sim 1$ , this logarithmic excitation energy is 4.5 for L = 50. Even L = 1000, this energy only increases about 15 times.

*Conclusions* In conclusions, we have proved that it is possible to find a non-trivial mutual anyonic statistics in a fermionic system with conventional two-body interaction in open boundary condition. How to peel up a semionic quasiparticle from many degenerate states and manipulate them were discussed.

Acknowledgements The authors thank J. P. Hu, X. L. Qi, X. R. Wang, Z. D. Wang, C. J. Wu, K. Yang, S. Yi, G. M. Zhang and S. C. Zhang for the useful discussions. One of authors (Y. Y.) thanks Professor Ruibao Tao and Physics Department, Fudan University for the

warm hospitality. This work was supported in part by the NNSF of China, the national program for basic research of MOST of China and a fund from CAS.

- [1] J. M. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, Nuovo Cimento **37** B, 1 (1977).
- [2] F. Wilczek, Phys. Rev. Lett. 48, 1144 (1982).
- [3] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2(2003).
- [4] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1083 (2008).
- [5] G. Moore and N. Read, Nucl. Phys. B **360**, 362 (1991).
- [6] N. Read and E. Rezayi, Phys. Rev. B 59, 8084 (1999).
- [7] M. H. Freedman, M. J. Larsen, and Z. Wang, Commun. Math. Phys. 227, 605 (2002).
- [8] M. A. Levin and X.-G. Wen, Phys. Rev. B **71**, 045110 (2005).
- [9] A. Kitaev, Ann. Phys. **321**, 2(2006).
- [10] Y.-J. Han, R. Raussendorf and L.-M. Duan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 150404 (2007).
- [11] C. -Y. Lu, W. -B. Gao, O. Gühne, X. -Q. Zhou, Z. -B. Chen, and J. -W. Pan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 030502 (2009). J. K. Pachos, W. Wieczorek, C. Schmid, N. Kiesel, R. Pohlner, and H. Weinfurter, arXiv:0710.0895. L. Jiang, G. K. Brennen, A. V. Gorshkov, K. Hammerer, M. Hafezi, E. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and P. Zoller, Nature Physics 4, 482 (2008). J. -F. Du, J. Zhu, M. -G. Hu, and J. -L. Chen, arXiv:0712.2694. M. Aguado, G. K. Brennen, F. Verstraete, and J. I. Cirac, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 260501 (2008). B. Paredes and I. Bloch, arXiv:0711.3796.
- [12] C. Zhang, V. W. Scarola, S. Tewari and S. Das Sarma, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 18415 (2007). K. P. Schmidt, S. Dusuel and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 057208 (2008); J. Vidal, S. Dusuel and K. P. Schmidt, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 177204 (2008).
- [13] L. M. Duan, E. Demler, M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 090402 (2003).
- [14] This conventional interacting fermion model can be mapped into a simple spin model in a honeycomb lattice. see, Yue Yu and Yi Li, arXiv:0806.1688v2 (2008).
- [15] Z. Nussinov and G. Ortiz, Phys. Rev. B77,064302 (2008).
- [16] T. Fukuhara, Y. Takasu, M. Kumakura, and Y. Takahashi, Phys. Rev. Lett. **98**, 030401 (2007).
- [17] S. Ospelkaus, A. Pe'er, K.-K. Ni, J. J. Zirbel, B. Neyenhuis, S. Kotochigova, P. S. Julienne, J. Ye, D. S. Jin and P. S. Julienne, Nature Physics 4, 622 (2008).

- [18] G. Moore, N. Seiberg, Commun. Math. Phys. 123, 177 (1989).
- [19] T. L. Dao, A. Georges, J. Dalibard, C. Salomon, and I. Carusotto, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 240402 (2007).
   J. T. Stewart, J. P. Gaebler and D. S. Jin, Nature 454, 744 (2008).
- [20] Zheng-Yuan Xue, Shi-Liang Zhu, J. Q. You, and Z. D. Wang, arXiv:0812.0737 (2008).