arXiv:0806.1486v1 [cond-mat.soft] 9 Jun 2008 [arXiv:0806.1486v1 \[cond-mat.soft\] 9 Jun 2008](http://arxiv.org/abs/0806.1486v1)

Effect of Polymerization on the Boson Peak, from the Liquid to Glassy Phase

S. Caponi^{1,2}, S. Corezzi^{3,4}, D. Fioretto^{2,4}, A. Fontana^{1,2}, G. Monaco⁵, F. Rossi^{1,2}

 1 Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Trento, Via Sommarive 14, I-38050 Povo (Trento), Italy

 2 CNR-INFM CRS Soft, Università di Roma "La Sapienza", P. A. Moro 2, I-00185 Roma, Italy

 3 CNR-INFM Polylab, Università di Pisa, Largo Pontercorvo 3, I-56127 Pisa, Italy

 4 Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Perugia, Via A. Pascoli, I-06100 Perugia, Italy

 ${}^{5}E$ uropean Synchrotron Radiation Facility, BP 220, F-38043 Grenoble, France

(Dated: November 21, 2018)

Raman scattering measurements are used to follow the modification of the vibrational density of states in a reactive epoxy–amine mixture during isothermal polymerization. Combining with Brillouin light and inelastic x-ray scattering measurements, we analyze the variations of the boson peak and of the Debye level while the system passes from the fluid to a glassy phase upon increasing the number of covalent bonds among the constituent molecules. We find that the shift and the intensity decrease of the boson peak are fully explained by the modification of the elastic medium throughout the reaction. Surprisingly, bond–induced modifications of the structure do not affect the relative excess of states over the Debye level.

PACS numbers: 78.30.-j, 82.35.-x,63.50.Lm, 64.70.pj

The excess of low–frequency modes over the Debye level in the vibrational density of states $g(\omega)$ of disordered materials, the so–called boson peak (BP), remains the object of controversial debate [\[1,](#page-3-0) [2](#page-3-1), [3,](#page-3-2) [4](#page-3-3), [5,](#page-3-4) [6](#page-3-5), [7,](#page-3-6) [8\]](#page-3-7). The BP has been investigated on temperature [\[9](#page-3-8), [10,](#page-3-9) [11\]](#page-3-10), on pressure [\[12,](#page-3-11) [13,](#page-3-12) [14](#page-3-13), [15\]](#page-3-14), and on changes in sample preparation [\[16,](#page-3-15) [17](#page-3-16), [18\]](#page-3-17) by measurements of specific heat, Raman, neutron, and nuclear inelastic scattering. Sample densification is common to all the conditions where significant changes of the BP have been observed. In this respect, the various techniques reveal the same trend: an increase in density causes a decrease in the BP intensity and a shift of its maximum toward higher frequencies. A so clear experimental evidence, however, does not correspond to an as clear interpretation.

Recent studies suggest the central role of changes of elastic properties in the BP evolution, although general consensus has not emerged: shift and intensity decrease of the BP for hyperquenched [\[17\]](#page-3-16) and permanently densified glasses [\[14\]](#page-3-13) can be fully explained by the corresponding changes of the Debye level, which describes the elastic medium; on the other hand, for a polymer glass the effect of pressure on the BP is found to be stronger than elastic medium transformation, at least for exceptionally high levels of densification [\[15](#page-3-14)]. Following the idea in [\[17\]](#page-3-16), any variable which defines the Debye level (not only density, but also sound velocity) could play a decisive role in the BP modification. Despite the effects of sound velocity may be very pronounced in the liquid —where the BP continues to be present [\[19,](#page-3-18) [20](#page-3-19)] studies are limited to glass–formers below their T_q , and only temperature and pressure–induced effects have been explored. An even more intriguing aspect concerns the limiting effect, for the BP scaling properties, of changes in the local structure. Results for permanently densified glasses indeed suggest that these properties may be lost in the presence of structural modifications in the short– range order [\[14](#page-3-13)].

In this Letter we consider effects on the BP and on the Debye level in a reactive mixture as the monomers, initially liquid, irreversibly polymerize under constant T and P. As reaction proceeds, an increasing number of loose van der Waals bonds are replaced by stiffer covalent bonds that slowdown the molecular motions and ultimately lead to a frozen, glassy structure (chemical vitrification [\[21,](#page-3-20) [22\]](#page-3-21)). Meanwhile, the sample density and sound velocity increase, both contributing to significantly change the Debye level. Given the nature of the process, chemical vitrification double challenges the low–frequency vibrational properties to scale with elastic properties. First, it is not obvious that the vibrational density of states scales in the liquid the same way as in the glass. Second, the gradual passage from the fluid to a glassy phase is clearly accompanied by a large number of microscopic structural changes —i.e., local reorganization of atoms in different molecular groups— carried out by the bonding process.

Starting from the initially liquid mixture, we have monitored by Raman scattering (RS) the density of vibrational states and measured by Brillouin light (BLS) and inelastic x–ray scattering (IXS) the sound velocities and elastic moduli, throughout the reaction. Our results show that, even in the liquid phase, the transformation of the elastic medium continues to describe the BP evolution. Unexpectedly, bond–induced modifications of the structure that affect both atomic vibrations and slow cooperative motions, do not affect the relative excess of states over the Debye level.

The system we study is an epoxy–amine mixture consisting of diglycidyl ether of bisphenol–A (DGEBA) and diethylenetriamine (DETA) in the stoichiometric ratio of 5:2, during polymerization at a constant $T=275.3$ K. At this temperature the monomers bond slowly to each other and the total reaction time is ∼ 2 days. The reaction proceeds by stepwise addition of the amino hydrogen to the epoxy group, without elimination of by–product

molecules. Starting from a liquid sample ($T_g \sim 230 \text{ K}$), the final product is a glassy network–polymer. In all experiments, the reagents were mixed in a glass container, stirred for about 2 min, and then transferred in the measurement cell (a cylindrical pyrex cell of inner diameter 10 mm for RS and BLS measurements, a stainless steel cell equipped with kapton windows for IXS measurements). The RS experiment was performed using a standard experimental setup Jobin Yvon U1000, in a wide frequency range (from 3 to 4500 cm[−]¹) in order to follow also the evolution of the molecular peaks. The light polarizations were perpendicular (VV) and orthogonal (HV) to the scattering plane. The IXS experiment was performed at the beam line ID16 of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility with an incident photon energy of 21.748 keV and an instrumental energy resolution of 1.5 meV [\[23\]](#page-3-22). Spectra at different values of the reaction time were taken in a Q range from 1 to 10 nm[−]¹ . BLS unpolarized (VU) spectra were measured in 90◦–scattering geometry using a tandem Fabry–Perot interferometer. The measurements were performed with a laser light of 532 nm wavelength. The refractive index at the same wavelength was measured throughout reaction by the angle of minimum deflection. The sample density ρ as a function of time was obtained as reported in Ref. [33;](#page-3-23) the whole variation is $\Delta \rho \sim 4\%$.

Figure [1](#page-1-0) shows the experimental depolarized Raman intensity, $I_{HV}(\omega)$. The spectra are collected sequentially during the reaction; they do not present any luminescence background and the data treatment does not require any intensity normalization factor [inset (a) of Fig. [1\]](#page-1-0). Intensity variations in the high frequency region only occur for those peaks related to vibrations of atoms involved in the reaction [inset (b) of Fig. [1\]](#page-1-0). The depolarization ratio, i.e., the ratio of depolarized $[I_{HV}(\omega)]$ to polarized $[I_{VV}(\omega)]$ Raman intensity, is constant (from 5) to 80 cm[−]¹) for all the spectra, showing that no depolarization mechanism is activated by the chemical changes. It is clear the presence of the boson peak, which contributes to the spectra with a maximum at ~ 15 cm⁻¹, and of the quasielastic scattering (QES), centered at zero frequency. As reaction proceeds, the QES significantly decreases till it becomes negligible, and the BP shifts toward higher frequencies while its intensity decreases, in agreement with previously reported observations on changing thermodynamic variables [\[20](#page-3-19)].

As suggested in the literature [\[24,](#page-3-24) [25,](#page-3-25) [26](#page-3-26), [27](#page-3-27), [28](#page-3-28)] QES is usually ascribed to some kind of relaxational process. Therefore, we effectively model the Raman intensity $I_{HV}(\omega)$ in the frequency region of interest (up to $\sim 60 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) as a superposition of two contributions, one (I_{QES}) related to relaxational processes, and the other (I_{BP}) related to the density of vibrational states $g(\omega)$, i.e.

$$
I_{HV}(\omega) = I_{QES}(\omega) + I_{BP}(\omega)
$$

= $C^{rel}(\omega)L(\omega) + C^{vib}(\omega)g(\omega)[n(\omega) + 1]/\omega(1)$

where $C^{rel}(\omega)$ and $C^{vib}(\omega)$ are the vibration-to-light

Raman intensity, I_{rv}(ω) (arb. units) $\left(\omega \right) \; \left(\mathsf{arb.} \; \mathsf{units} \right)$ 1560 1600 1640 $\omega/2\pi$ Raman intensity, I_{HV} 3x10['] 1125 1200 1275 $\omega/2\pi$ 142 min 742 min 1142 min 1472 min 2133 min 3690 min U $\tilde{}$ 0 20 40 60 80 100 120 140 0 Frequency shift, $\omega/2\pi$ (cm⁻¹) FIG. 1: Depolarized Raman spectra at some selected reac-

 $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$

(a)

6x10⁴

tion times as indicated in the legend, during the isothermal reaction DGEBA-DETA 5:2 at $T=275.3$ K. The insets show the same spectra as in the main panel, (a) in the frequency range of the molecular peak at 1610 cm[−]¹ (aromatic quadrant stretch) invariant on reaction, and (b) in the frequency range of the band at 1260 cm^{-1} (breathing of the epoxide ring), whose intensity decrease corresponds to the epoxy group consumption.

FIG. 2: The quantity $I_{HV}^{res}(\omega)/{\{\omega^2[n(\omega)+1]\}}$ —proportional to the reduced density of vibrational states, $q(\omega)/\omega^2$ — obtained from the Raman spectra after subtraction of the QES contribution, at different reaction times.

coupling coefficients for the relaxational and vibrational term, and $n(\omega) + 1 = \{1 - \exp(-\hbar\omega/k_BT)\}^{-1}$ is the Bose population factor. Since in this work we are only interested in the BP variations, our analysis aims at subtracting from the total signal the QES contribution, described by the function $L(\omega)$. Here, we approximate $I_{QES}(\omega)$ with an effective Lorentzian shape centered at zero frequency [\[10,](#page-3-9) [29](#page-3-29)], and adopt the criterion to keep the width

 \cdots

FIG. 3: Longitudinal v_L (\square) and transverse v_T (\circ) sound velocities measured by BLS, high–frequency unrelaxed value of the longitudinal sound velocity v_L^{∞} (\bullet) measured by IXS, and high–frequency unrelaxed value of the transverse sound velocity v_T ^{∞} (solid line) obtained using the Cauchy–like relation shown in the inset. Inset: Apparent moduli measured by BLS. The linear fit gives $M' = (3.16 \pm 0.08) + (2.99 \pm 0.02)G'$.

of this line fixed for all the spectra and to adjust the intensity in such a way that in the subtracted spectra the QES contribution is totally cancelled. This operation is consistent with assuming that $C^{rel}(\omega)$ at frequency lower than the BP region is almost constant, in agreement with experimental determinations made in other systems [\[9](#page-3-8), [10](#page-3-9), [30\]](#page-3-30). The residual spectra, $I_{HV}^{res}(\omega)$, only represent the second term in the rhs of Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-1). Using $C^{vib}(\omega) \sim \omega$ for frequencies near the BP maximum [\[31\]](#page-3-31), we thus obtain a quantity proportional to the reduced density of vibrational states $g(\omega)/\omega^2$, as

$$
\frac{I_{HV}^{res}(\omega)}{\omega^2[n(\omega)+1]} \propto \frac{g(\omega)}{\omega^2} ,\qquad (2)
$$

presented in Fig. [2.](#page-1-2) Here the BP is clearly visible for $\omega > 5$ cm⁻¹, undergoing a variation during the reaction of ∼ 50% in intensity and \sim 20% in frequency position.

We now compare these variations with the variations of the Debye frequency, ω_D , given by

$$
\omega_D{}^3 = \frac{6\pi^2 \rho N_A N_F \langle v \rangle^3}{M} \tag{3}
$$

where ρ is the density, N_A the Avogadro's number, N_F the number of atoms per molecule, M the molar weight. and $\langle v \rangle$ the mean sound velocity defined as

$$
\frac{1}{\langle v \rangle^3} = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{1}{v_L^3} + \frac{2}{v_T^3} \right) \tag{4}
$$

with v_L and v_T the longitudinal and transverse sound velocities. As the number of atoms in the mixture is

FIG. 4: Reduced density of vibrational states after rescaling by the Debye frequency ω_D , at different times of reaction. Data are the same as in Fig. [2.](#page-1-2) The thickness of the master curve compares with the uncertainty in the $x-$ and $y-$ scaling factors. Inset: ω_D as a function of reaction time.

unchanged on reaction (no molecule is expelled as by– product), N_F and M for our sample were calculated by averaging the corresponding values for the two components (DGEBA and DETA) weighted by their molar fraction.

It has to be noticed that the v_L and v_T values in Eq. [\(4\)](#page-2-0) are those corresponding to the frequencies of the BP (\sim 0.5 THz), therefore the high–frequency unrelaxed values v_L^{∞} and v_T^{∞} . For the studied process these values do not coincide, but in the glass, with the sound velocities measured by BLS. The results for v_L^{∞} , obtained by fitting the IXS data at $Q = 1$ and 2 nm⁻¹ using the damped harmonic oscillator model, are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-2-1) The IXS data agree well with the longitudinal sound velocity measured by BLS in the final stage of reaction, i.e., close and below the glass transition. However, at an early stage of reaction the IXS velocity is up to 10% higher than the BLS one. This difference reveals the effect on acoustic modes of the structural relaxation. On approaching the glassy state, the relaxation active in the fluid shifts toward lower frequencies and the apparent velocity v_L measured by BLS in the GHz domain tends to its unrelaxed value v_L^{∞} , always measured by IXS.

To evaluate v_T^{∞} we exploit the Cauchy–like relation $M' = a + bG'$, between the real part of the longitudinal $M' = \rho v_L^2$ and transverse $G' = \rho v_T^2$ elastic moduli, which is found to hold true, with the same parameters, for the unrelaxed moduli and for the apparent moduli measured at finite frequencies throughout reaction [\[33\]](#page-3-23) (inset of Fig. [3\)](#page-2-1). For DGEBA-DETA 5:2 the parameters are $a =$ 3.16 ± 0.08 and $b = 2.99 \pm 0.02$. The obtained v_T^{∞} is reported in Fig. [3.](#page-2-1) It contributes, together with v_L^{∞} , to an \sim 19% increase of $\langle v \rangle$ from the beginning to the end of the reaction.

The calculated Debye frequency ω_D (inset of Fig. [4\)](#page-2-2) increases on reaction by $\sim 20\%$. In order to remove this effect from the transformation of $g(\omega)/\omega^2$ shown in Fig. [2,](#page-1-2) we measure the frequencies in Debye frequency units, $\Omega(t) = \omega/\omega_D(t)$, while imposing the conservation of the total number of vibrational states, $g(\Omega)d\Omega = g(\omega)d\omega$. The rescaled spectra $g(\Omega)/\Omega^2$ are calculated as

$$
\frac{g(\Omega)}{\Omega^2} = \frac{g(\omega)}{\omega^2} \omega_D^3(t) . \tag{5}
$$

Within the experimental uncertainty in the Debye frequency $[\Delta \omega_D(t) \sim 1.9\%$ for $t < 1700$ min, $\sim 1\%$ for $t > 1700$ min the obtained rescaled spectra collapse one on the other without any adjusting parameter (Fig. [4\)](#page-2-2). Thus, the BP variations on polymerization are fully described by the transformation of the elastic continuum. They hide no appreciable change in the relative excess of states above the Debye level, $3/\omega_D^3$: the decrease of vibrational states is compensated by the corresponding decrease of the level.

Our results are in agreement with studies of hyperquenched and permanently densified glasses [\[14,](#page-3-13) [17](#page-3-16)], for a shift in the BP position even more pronounced. However, two important aspects of the reactive process characterize the present with respect to previous investigations. First, on increasing the number of covalent bonds the system passes from the fluid to a glassy phase; the scaling property of the BP with the elastic continuum deformation holds throughout the reaction, i.e., above as well as below the glass transition. In this respect, it

should be noted that, in contrast to the previously studied cases, most of the Debye level variation is due to the sound velocity increase that occurs above the glass transition, rather than to sample densification, suggesting that density not necessarily plays a dominant role in the BP transformation. Second, on polymerization, changes of the macroscopic properties are not induced by thermodynamic variables; instead, they are driven by changes of a chemical nature, which also modify the structure of the sample on a mesoscopic and microscopic length scale. As the number of chemical bonds increases, modifications of the intermediate–range order appear in the x–ray scattering data as a progressively increasing pre– peak at small wave vectors in the static structure factor [\[23\]](#page-3-22); modifications of the local environment around the atoms involved in the reaction are revealed by the high– frequency Raman scattering data, as shown in Fig. [1\(](#page-1-0)b). These continuous changes in the structure seem not to affect the relative distribution of excess vibrational states over the Debye level.

In conclusion, we studied the variations of the vibrational spectra induced by covalent bond formation during the process of chemical vitrification of a reactive mixture. We find that the BP, as the polymer structure develops, always scales with the corresponding value of the Debye frequency. The results of this study indicate that a significant transformation of the BP — even in the liquid phase — is sufficiently described by the changes of the elastic properties of the medium, independently of the variable inducing those changes.

- [1] S. N. Taraskin, Y. L. Loh, G. Natarajan, and S. R. Elliott, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1255 (2001).
- [2] W. Götze and M. R. Mayr, Phys. Rev. E 61 , 587 (2000).
- [3] T. S. Grigera et al., Nature **422**, 289 (2003).
- [4] B. Rufflé, D. A. Parshin, E. Courtens, and R. Vacher Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 015501 (2008).
- [5] B. Schmid and W. Schirmacher Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 137402 (2008).
- [6] V. L. Gurevich et al., Phys. Rev. B **67**, 094203 (2003).
- [7] U. Buchenau, A. Wischnewski, M. Ohl, and E. Fabiani, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 19 205106 (2007).
- [8] O. Pilla et al., J. Phys. Cond. Matt. 16, 8519 (2004).
- [9] A. Fontana et al., Europhys. Letters 47, 56 (1999).
- [10] S. Caponi et al., Phys. Rev. B 76, 092201 (2007).
- [11] W. Steurer et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 135504 (2008).
- [12] S. Sugai and A. Onodera Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 4210 (1996).
- [13] Y. Inamura et al., Physica B 284-288, 1157 (2000).
- [14] A. Monaco, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 135501 (2006).
- [15] K. Niss et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 055502 (2007).
- [16] S. Caponi et al., J. Phys. Cond. Matt. 19, 205149 (2007).
- [17] A. Monaco, et al. Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 205502 (2006).
- [18] S. Kojima and M. Kodama, Physica B 263, 336 (1999).
- [19] A.G. Kalampounias, S.N. Yannopoulos, G.N. Papatheodorou, J. of Non-Cryst. Solids 352 4619 (2006).
- [20] A. Brodin et al., Phys. Rev. B 53, 11511 (1996).
- [21] S. Corezzi, D. Fioretto, and P. A. Rolla, Nature 420, 563 (2002).
- [22] S. Corezzi, D. Fioretto, and J. M. Kenny, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 065702 (2005).
- [23] S. Corezzi et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 255702 (2006).
- [24] G. Winterling, Phys. Rev. B **12**, 2432 (1975).
- [25] K. S. Gilroy and W. A. Phillips, Philos. Mag. B 43, 735 (1981); W. A. Phillips, Rep. Prog. Phys. 50, 1657 (1987).
- [26] A. P. Sokolov, V.N. Novikov, B. Strube, Europhys. Letters 38, 49 (1997).
- [27] J. Wiedersich et al., Phys. Rev. B 64, 64207 (2001).
- [28] S. Kojima and V.N. Novikov, Phys. Rev B 54, 222 (1996).
- [29] S. N. Yannopoulos and D. Th. Kastrissios, Phys. Rev. E 65, 021510 (2002).
- [30] A. P. Sokolov et al., Phys. Rev. B **52**, R9815 (1995).
- [31] The vibration–to–light coupling coefficient, $\hat{C}^{vib}(\omega)$, is generally unknown; however, in all the investigated systems ranging from molecular glasses to polymers it is found $C^{vib}(\omega) \sim \omega$ in the frequency region of the boson peak, independent of temperature [\[9](#page-3-8), [30](#page-3-30), [32](#page-3-32)].
- [32] N. V. Surovtsev and A. P. Sokolov Phys. Rev. B 66, 054205 (2002).
- [33] D. Fioretto et al., J. Chem. Phys. DOI: 10.1063/ 1.2932105.