

CONSTRUCTIBILITY AND QUANTUM MECHANICS

D.J. BENDANIEL
Cornell University
Ithaca NY, 14853, USA

Abstract: A set theory is proposed including an axiom of constructibility, so that the reals are countably infinite yet we can develop functions of a real variable that are locally homeomorphic and of bounded variation. Using an action symmetry postulate, we derive a nonlinear sigma model and the Schrödinger equation. In this theory, quantum mechanics arises without the usual requirement for independently assuming the statistical interpretation of the wave function; thus this approach becomes continuous with prior physics. Some examples are given of questions in physics that may be answered by this approach.

We propose the following axioms:

Extensionality	Two sets with just the same members are equal.
Pairs	For every two sets, there is a set that contains just them.
Union	For every set of sets, there is a set with just all their members.
Infinity	At least one set ω^* exists which contains the null set and for each member there is a next member which contains just all its predecessors.
Replacement	Replacing members of a set one-for-one creates a set. (i.e., bijective replacement).
Regularity	Every non-empty set has a minimal member (i.e. “weak” regularity).
Constructibility	The subsets of ω^* are countably constructible.

The first six axioms are the set theory of Zermelo-Frankel (ZF) without the power set and with the axiom schema of subsets (a.k.a., separation) removed from the axioms of regularity and replacement. Because of the deletion of the axiom schema of subsets, a minimal ω^* , usually denoted by ω and called the set of all finite integers, cannot be shown to exist in this theory; instead this set theory is uniformly dependent on ω^* and then all the finite as well as infinitely many infinite integers are included in ω^* . These infinite integers are one-to-one with ω^* ; a finite integer is any member of ω^* that is not infinite.

We can now adjoin to this sub-theory of ZF an axiom asserting that the subsets of ω^* are constructible. By constructible sets we mean sets that are generated sequentially by some process, one after the other, so that the process well-orders the sets. Gödel has shown that an axiom asserting that all sets are constructible can be consistently added to ZF [1], giving a theory usually called ZFC^+ . It is well known that no more than countably many subsets of ω^* can be shown to exist in ZFC^+ . This result will, of course, hold for the sub-theory ZFC^+ minus the axiom schema of subsets and the power set. Thus we can add an axiom which says that the subsets of ω^* are countably constructible. This axiom, combined with the axiom schema of bijective replacement, lets us create the set of constructible subsets of ω^* . Non-constructible subsets of ω^* do not exist in this theory, since sets exist only if they are created.

We shall refer to these seven axioms as T. The rigorous form of these axioms is given in the appendix. All sets of finite integers in T are finite and hence definable. We now will show that this constructible theory is nevertheless rich enough to contain the functions of a real variable governing physical fields.

We first show T has a countable real line. Recall the definition of “rational numbers” as the set of ratios, commonly called Q, of any two members of the set ω . In T, we can likewise, using the axiom of unions, establish for ω^* the set of ratios of any two of its integers, finite or infinite. This will become an “enlargement” of the rational numbers and we shall call this enlargement Q^* . Two members of Q^* are called “identical” if their ratio is 1. We now employ the symbol “ \equiv ” for “is identical to”. Furthermore, an “infinitesimal” is a member of Q^* “equal” to 0, i.e., letting y signify the member and employing the symbol “ $=$ ” to signify equality, $y = 0 \leftrightarrow \forall k[y < 1/k]$, where k is a finite integer. The reciprocal of an infinitesimal is “infinite”. A member of Q^* that is not an infinitesimal and not infinite is “finite”. The constructibility axiom well-orders the set of constructible subsets of ω^* and gives a metric space. These subsets then represent the binimals forming a countable real line R^* . In this theory R^* is a subset of Q^* .

An **equality-preserving** bijective map $\Phi(x, u)$ between intervals X and U of R^* in which $x \in X$ and $u \in U$ such that $\forall x_1, x_2, u_1, u_2 [\phi(x_1, u_1) \wedge \phi(x_2, u_2) \rightarrow (x_1 - x_2 = 0 \leftrightarrow u_1 - u_2 = 0)]$ creates pieces which are biunique and homeomorphic. Note that $U = 0$ if and only if $X = 0$, i.e., the piece is inherently relational. We can now define “functions of a real variable in T”. $u(x)$ is a function of a real variable in T only if it is a constant or a sequence in x of continuously connected biunique pieces such that the derivative of u with respect to x is also a function of a real variable in T. These functions are thus of bounded variation and locally homeomorphic. If some derivative is a constant, they are polynomials. If no derivative is a constant, these functions do not exist in T but can, however, always be represented as closely as required by a sum of polynomials of sufficiently high degree obtained by iteration of the following algorithm:

$$\int_a^b \left[p \left(\frac{du}{dx} \right)^2 - qu^2 \right] dx \equiv \lambda ; \quad \lambda \text{ is minimized subject to } \int_a^b ru^2 dx \equiv 1, \text{ where } a \neq b, \quad u \left(\frac{du}{dx} \right) \equiv 0 \text{ at } a \text{ and } b ;$$

p, q, r are functions of the real variable x .

Polynomials of sufficiently high degree are obtained iteratively by minimizing λ while keeping the normalization unity so that these polynomials become effectively Sturm-Liouville eigenfunctions. These eigenfunctions have a basic relationship to Hamilton’s Principle and provide our link to physics.

Let $u_1(x_1)$ and $u_2(x_2)$ be such effective eigenfunctions. Letting x_1 be space and x_2 be time, we note that in the theory T we can imply Hamilton’s Principle for a one-dimensional string $u_1 u_2$ from an identity:

$$\int \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1 u_2}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u_1 u_2}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right] dx_1 dx_2 = 0 \rightarrow \delta \int \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1 u_2}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u_1 u_2}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right] dx_1 dx_2 = 0 \rightarrow \delta \int \left[\left(\frac{\partial u_1 u_2}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{\partial u_1 u_2}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \right] dx_1 dx_2 = 0$$

For each eigenstate m , we iterate the eigenfunctions u_{1m} and u_{2m} using the indicial expression $\lambda_{1m} \equiv \lambda_{2m}$.

A bosonic string in finitely many space-like and time-like dimensions can now be produced in general. Let $u_{\ell mi}(x_i)$ and $u_{\ell mj}(x_j)$ be eigenfunctions with non-negative eigenvalues $\lambda_{\ell mi}$ and $\lambda_{\ell mj}$ respectively.

We define a “field” as a sum of eigenstates: $\underline{\Psi}_m = \sum_{\ell} \Psi_{\ell m} \underline{i}_{\ell}$, $\Psi_{\ell m} = A \prod_i u_{\ell mi} \prod_j u_{\ell mj}$, subject to the novel physical postulate that **for every eigenstate m the value of the integral of the Lagrange density over all**

space-time is identically null. Letting ds represent $\prod_i r_i dx_i$ and $d\tau$ represent $\prod_j r_j dx_j$ we have

$$\int \sum_{\ell i} \frac{1}{r_i} \left[P_{\ell mi} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\ell m}}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - Q_{\ell mi} \Psi_{\ell m}^2 \right] ds d\tau - \int \sum_{\ell j} \frac{1}{r_j} \left[P_{\ell mj} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\ell m}}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 - Q_{\ell mj} \Psi_{\ell m}^2 \right] ds d\tau \equiv 0 \text{ for all } m.$$

In this integral expression the P and Q can be functions of any of the x_i and x_j , thus of any $\Psi_{\ell m}$ as well. This is a **nonlinear sigma model**. Just as with the one-dimensional string, the $\Psi_{\ell m}$ can in principle be obtained by simultaneous iterations constrained by the indicial expression $\sum_{\ell i} \lambda_{\ell mi} \equiv \sum_{\ell j} \lambda_{\ell mj}$ for all m .

The physical meaning of the null postulate giving rise to the nonlinear sigma model will become clear.

We first show the important result that this nonlinear sigma model is inherently quantized in theory T.

$$\text{Let } \sum_{\ell mi} \int \frac{1}{r_i} \left[P_{\ell mi} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\ell m}}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 - Q_{\ell mi} \Psi_{\ell m}^2 \right] ds d\tau \text{ and } \sum_{\ell mj} \int \frac{1}{r_j} \left[P_{\ell mj} \left(\frac{\partial \Psi_{\ell m}}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 - Q_{\ell mj} \Psi_{\ell m}^2 \right] ds d\tau \text{ both be}$$

represented by α , since they are identical. Here is a proof in T that α has only finite integral values:

- I α is positive and must be closed to addition and to the absolute value of subtraction;
In the constructible theory T α is an integer times a constant that is either infinitesimal or finite.
- II If the field is not present, $\alpha \equiv 0$; otherwise, if the field is present, then in T α must be finite so that $\alpha \neq 0$; thus $\alpha = 0 \leftrightarrow \alpha \equiv 0$.
- III $\therefore \alpha \equiv n \mathfrak{u}$, where n is an integer and \mathfrak{u} is some finite constant such that $\alpha = 0 \leftrightarrow n \equiv 0$.

If we give \mathfrak{u} the dimensions of action then **the nonlinear sigma model expresses a symmetry of action**.

We can now derive a **generalized quantum mechanics**.

For finitely many space-like dimensions but for just one time-like dimension, we can reduce the nonlinear sigma model to a general Schrödinger equation: Let $\ell = 1, 2$ and suppress m . We introduce:

$\Psi = A \prod_i u_i(x_i) [u_1(\tau) + \mathbf{i} u_2(\tau)]$, where $\mathbf{i} = \sqrt{-1}$, normalizing $[u_1^2(\tau) + u_2^2(\tau)] \int \prod_i u_i^2(x_i) ds \equiv 1$. Then we see

that $\frac{du_1}{d\tau} = -u_2$ and $\frac{du_2}{d\tau} = u_1$ or $\frac{du_1}{d\tau} = u_2$ and $\frac{du_2}{d\tau} = -u_1$. For an **irreducible** time-eigenfunction, the integral α for each and every biunique piece has its minimal non-zero value, i.e., the finite constant \mathfrak{u} .

Thus $A^2 \int \int_{n\pi/2}^{(n+1)\pi/2} \left[\left(\frac{du_1}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{du_2}{d\tau} \right)^2 \right] \prod_i u_i^2(x_i) ds d\tau \equiv A^2 \pi/2 \equiv \mathfrak{u}$. Using the Planck constant h for $4\mathfrak{u}$ and letting τ be $\omega_m t$, the integrand will now assume the usual form in the Lagrange density for the Schrödinger equation, $(h/4\pi\mathfrak{u}) \left[\Psi^* \left(\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial t} \right) - \left(\frac{\partial \Psi^*}{\partial t} \right) \Psi \right]$. The energy in the m^{th} eigenstate, defined as the integral over all space of this term, exists just in units (quanta) of $h\omega_m/2\pi$. Then the aggregate energy in all of the eigenstates E_t is $\sum_m n_m h\omega_m/2\pi$ where n_m is the number of units in the m^{th} eigenstate.

In mathematical foundations, a set U of finite integers is definable in a set theory if and only if there is a formula $\Phi_U(n)$ from which we can unequivocally determine whether a given finite integer n is a member of U or not.[2] This idea can be extended to physics by asserting that a physical field in a finite region of space is definable in some set-theoretical foundation if and only if the set of all possible distributions of the field's energy among eigenstates is mirrored by a definable set of finite integers. Definability must be fundamental to physics because, were there a field whose set of energy distributions among eigenstates was not mirrored by a definable set of finite integers, that field would have at least one energy distribution whose presence or absence is unknowable, so the existence of the field could not be empirically established. For E_t bounded, the ordered set $[n_m]$ maps biuniquely, using the fundamental theorem of arithmetic, with the finite integer $\prod_m P_m^{n_m}$, where P_m is the m^{th} prime starting with 2. The set of these finite integers, corresponding to all the possible distributions of energy among the field's eigenstates, is definable in T . Thus quantum mechanics implies definability of fields in T . Conversely, if the field were not quantized, it could not be definable in T , that is, if \mathfrak{u} were infinitesimal, the set of all possible distributions of energy among the field's eigenstates could not be mirrored by a definable set of finite integers. Accordingly, ***definability of fields in T is necessary and sufficient for quantum mechanics.***

In the process of this discussion, we have also shown that

- ❑ Quantum mechanics is obtained without requiring an additional assumption [3] of the statistical interpretation of the wave function, thereby resolving an important and long-standing controversy.
 - ❑ Quantum mechanics is instead derived in a constructible theory using an action symmetry postulate.
 - ❑ There are inherently no singularities in the physical fields obtainable in this theory.
- In addition, though we do not have the opportunity to discuss these points, we note that
- ❑ Space-time is here relational and its differential properties can be shown to fulfill the strict requirements of Einstein-Weyl causality [4], suggesting a possible foundation for quantum gravity.
 - ❑ A new way to avoid the QED divergence problem posed by Dyson [5] is provided, since the actual convergence or divergence of the essential perturbation series is undecidable in this theory.
 - ❑ Wigner's metaphysical question regarding the apparent unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics in physics [6] is directly answered, since the foundations of mathematics and physics are now linked.

1. Gödel, K., The consistency of the axiom of choice and of the generalized continuum hypothesis. *Annals of Math. Studies*, 1940.
2. Tarski, A., Mostowski, A., Robinson, R., *Undecidable Theories*. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1953.
3. Gottfried, K., Inferring the statistical interpretation of quantum mechanics from the classical limit. *Nature*, 2000, 405.
4. Borchers, H.-J., Sen, R.N., *Mathematical Implications of Einstein-Weyl Causality*. Springer, Berlin, 2006
5. Dyson, F. J., Divergence of perturbation theory in quantum electrodynamics. *Phys.Rev.*, 1952, 85.
6. Wigner, E. P., The unreasonable effectiveness of mathematics in the natural sciences. *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.* 1960, 13.

ZF – Axiom Schema of Subsets – Power Set + Constructibility

Extensionality- Two sets with just the same members are equal.

$$\forall x \forall y [\forall z [z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y] \rightarrow x = y]$$

Pairs - For every two sets, there is a set that contains just them.

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z [\forall w [w \in z \leftrightarrow w = x \vee w = y]]$$

Union - For every set of sets, there is a set with just all their members.

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z [z \in y \leftrightarrow \exists u [z \in u \wedge u \in x]]$$

Infinity - A set ω^* contains 0 and every member has a successor containing just all its predecessors.

$$\exists \omega^* [0 \in \omega^* \wedge \forall x [x \in \omega^* \rightarrow x \cup \{x\} \in \omega^*]]$$

Replacement - Replacing members of a set one-for-one creates a set (i.e., “bijective” replacement).

Let $\phi(x,y)$ a formula in which x and y are free,

$$\forall z \forall x \in z \exists y [\phi(x, y) \wedge \forall u \in z \forall v [\phi(u, v) \rightarrow u = x \leftrightarrow v = y]] \rightarrow \exists r \forall t [t \in r \leftrightarrow \exists s \in z \phi(s, t)]$$

Regularity - Every non-empty set has a minimal member (i.e. “weak” regularity).

$$\forall x [\exists y y \in x \rightarrow \exists y [y \in x \wedge \forall z \neg [z \in x \wedge z \in y]]]$$

Constructibility - The subsets of ω^* are countably constructible.

$$\forall \omega^* \exists S [(\omega^*, 0) \in S \wedge \forall y \forall z [\exists x x \in y \wedge (y, z) \in S \leftrightarrow \exists m_y [m_y \in y \wedge \forall v \neg [v \in y \wedge v \in m_y] \wedge \exists t_y [\forall u [u \in t_y \leftrightarrow u \in y \wedge u \neq m_y] \wedge (t_y \cup m_y, z \cup \{z\}) \in S]]]].$$