## Noise spectra of stochastic pulse sequences: application to large scale magnetization flips in the finite size 2D Ising model

Zhi Chen and Clare C.  $Yu^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92697

(Dated: November 3, 2018)

We provide a general scheme to predict and derive the contribution to the noise spectrum of a stochastic sequence of pulses from the distribution of pulse parameters. An example is the magnetization noise spectra of a 2D Ising system near its phase transition. At  $T \leq T_c$ , the low frequency spectra is dominated by magnetization flips of nearly the entire system. We find that both the predicted and the analytically derived spectra fit those produced from simulations. Subtracting this contribution leaves the high frequency spectra which follow a power law set by the critical exponents.

PACS numbers: 05.40.Ca, 75.40.Gb, 73.50.Td, 75.40.Mg

Noise due to random pulses is ubiquitous. Examples include switching the rotational direction of the flagellar motor in *Escherichia coli* bacteria [1], switching in electrical resistance [2], and switching between degenerate ordered phases of a finite size system. Yet another example is crackling noise in which slowly driven systems produce sudden discrete outbursts spanning a broad range of sizes [3]. Instances of crackling noise include the sound of paper crumpling, Barkhausen noise from domain movement in ferromagnets [4, 5], and seismic activity during earthquakes [6].

The question is how these pulses are reflected in the features of the power spectra commonly used to characterize noise. The answer could be used to estimate or predict the pulse noise spectrum as well as to separate the pulse contribution to the noise spectrum from other sources. For example, suppose one wants to determine the critical exponents of a second order phase transition from the noise spectra [7, 8, 9]. In a finite size system with a discrete broken symmetry, switching between degenerate ordered phases will also contribute to the noise spectra, and it is important to separate out this contribution before determining the critical exponents.

Previous work calculated the noise from stochastic pulse sequences [10, 11, 12, 13]. Machlup showed that random telegraph noise consisting of square pulses with exponentially distributed durations has a Lorentzian noise spectrum [10]. Subsequently others [11, 12, 13] considered a more general pulse shape and distribution, though their theory cannot be applied if the pulse shape depends on the pulse index m, e.g., if the pulses alternate in sign as shown in Fig. 1. In this paper we determine the noise spectrum from a distribution of pulse parameters for a much more general sequence of stochastic pulses with amplitudes that can (but need not) depend on m. We then analyze the magnetization noise spectra of a finite size 2D Ising system near the phase transition. We map the collective jumps (or flips) in the magnetization to a sequence of discrete pulses, and use our algorithm to predict the contribution to the noise using reasonable



FIG. 1: A representative sequence of stochastic pulses.

assumptions about the distribution of pulse parameters and characteristic frequencies from the noise spectrum. We check this prediction with the spectra found from Monte Carlo simulations. We then check our algorithm by determining the distribution of pulse parameters from the magnetization time series, and derive noise spectra in excellent agreement with that found from Monte Carlo simulations. We find that the low frequency magnetization noise spectra have a distinct signature due to the global magnetization flips of the whole spin system which is particularly evident below  $T_c$ . Subtracting this contribution yields the high frequency power law noise spectrum dictated by the critical exponents of the infinite system.

We now present a general formulation to find the ensemble averaged noise spectrum of a sequence of stochastic pulses X(t) consisting of K pulses. As shown in Fig. 1, each pulse has a duration r with an interval qwhere the signal is zero between two successive pulses. The maximum height H(m) of the mth pulse has two factors:  $H(m) = h \cdot a(m)$ , where h is a random variable, and a(m) contains the functional dependence on m. We only consider the case where  $a(m) = A^m$ , A is a constant, and  $0 < |A| \le 1$ . |A| < 1 corresponds to a decay envelope. Denote r, h, and q for the mth pulse by  $r_m$ ,  $h_m$ , and  $q_m$ , respectively. X(t) can be expressed as  $X(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{K} x_m(t - t_m)$ , where the mth pulse is  $x_m(t - t_m)$ , and  $t_m = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} (r_j + q_j), m \ge 2$  is the corresponding time delay. (The first pulse starts at  $t_1 = 0$ .) The mth pulse  $x_m(t')$  starts at  $t' = t - t_m = 0$ .

We denote the Fourier transform of the pulse  $x_m(t')$  by  $F_m(\omega, r_m, h_m, q_m)$ . Then the Fourier transform  $F_X(\omega)$  of  $X(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{K} x_m(t-t_m)$  is given by

$$F_X(\omega) = \sum_{m=1}^K F_m(\omega, r_m, h_m, q_m) e^{-i\omega t_m}.$$
 (1)

 $\exp[-i\omega t_m]$  contains the phase relation between pulses. The power spectrum of X(t) is  $S_X(\omega) = 2F_X^*(\omega)F_X(\omega)/\tau_K$ , where  $\omega > 0$ , and  $\tau_K$ is the total duration of X(t).  $S_X(\omega)$  can be written as:

$$\tau_K S_X(\omega) = 2 \sum_{m=1}^K |F_m(\omega, r_m, h_m, q_m)|^2 + 4 \operatorname{Re} \left[ \sum_{m=1}^{K-1} \sum_{n=1}^{K-m} \sum_{m=1}^{K-1} \sum_{m=1}^{K-m} \frac{1}{m} \right]$$

$$F_n^*(\omega, r_n, h_n, q_n) F_{m+n}(\omega, r_{m+n}, h_{m+n}, q_{m+n}) e^{-i\omega(t_{m+n})}$$

We assume the following: (a) The values of a pulse's parameters are independent of those of other pulses except for a(m). (b) r, q and h have the combined distribution p(r, h, q) which is same for all pulses, and other pulse parameters (if any) are independent of r, h and q. Then the ensemble averaged Fourier transform of the pulse  $x_m(t')$  is  $\int \int \int dr dq dh A^m \overline{F}(\omega, r, h, q) p(r, h, q)$ , where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, and the overline, e.g.,  $\overline{F}$ , denotes the average of over parameters other than r, q and h (e.g., different pulse shapes). (c)  $\overline{F}(\omega, r, h, q)$  is independent of the pulse index m.

From assumption (a),  $F_m/A^m$  and  $F_n/A^n$ , as well as  $(r_m + q_m)$  and  $(r_n + q_n)$   $(m \neq n)$ , are uncorrelated. After taking the ensemble average over pulses and all parameters, we use assumptions (b) and (c) to obtain:

$$\langle \tau_K S_X(\omega) \rangle = 2BI_0 + 4\text{Re}\left[AI_2I_3R_K\right],\tag{3}$$

where  $\langle ... \rangle$  is an ensemble average,  $B = (|A|^2 - |A|^{2K+2}) / (1 - |A|^2)$  for all  $|A|^2 < 1$ , and B = K when  $|A|^2 = 1$ . We define

$$R_{K} = \sum_{m=1}^{K-1} \sum_{n=1}^{K-m} (|A|^{2})^{n} (AI_{1})^{m-1}$$

$$I_{0}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{|F(\omega, r, h, q)|^{2}} p(r, h, q) dr dq,$$

$$I_{1}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega(r+q)} p(r, h, q) dr dq,$$

$$I_{2}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{F}(\omega, r, h, q) p(r, h, q) dr dq,$$

$$I_{3}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \overline{F}^{*}(\omega, r, h, q) e^{-i\omega(r+q)} p(r, h, q) dr dq,$$

$$(4)$$

Doing the sums in  $R_K$  yields

$$R_{K} = \begin{cases} \frac{|A|^{2}[1-(AI_{1})^{K-1}]}{(1-|A|^{2})(1-AI_{1})} - \frac{|A|^{4}(|A|^{2K-2}-(AI_{1})^{K-1})}{(1-|A|^{2})(|A|^{2}-AI_{1})}, \forall |A| < 1\\ \frac{K}{1-AI_{1}} - \frac{1-(AI_{1})^{K}}{(1-AI_{1})^{2}}, \text{for}|A| = 1 \end{cases}$$
(5)

Defining q' = r + q and  $p_0(q') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dh \int_0^{\infty} p(r, h, q' - r) dr$ ,  $I_1$  can be written as  $I_1 = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\omega q'} p_0(q') dq'$ .  $|I_1| < \int_0^{\infty} |e^{-i\omega q'}| p_0(q') dq' = 1$  unless  $p_0(q') = \sum_m a_m \delta(q' - q'_m)$  where  $q'_j - q'_i = 2\pi \ell/\omega$ ,  $(i, j \text{ and } \ell$  are integers),  $a_m > 0$  and  $\sum_m a_m = 1$  [14]. Thus when  $K \to \infty$ , the power spectrum is  $(\omega > 0)$ :

$$\langle S_X(\omega)\rangle = \frac{2C}{\langle r+q\rangle} \Big( I_0 + 2\operatorname{Re}\left[\frac{AI_2I_3}{1-AI_1}\right] \Big), \qquad (6)$$

where  $C = |A|^2 / \left[ K(1 - |A|^2) \right] + o(K^{-1})$  for all |A| < 1, and C = 1 when  $|A|^2 = 1$ .

Eq. (6) can be used to calculate the average noise spec- $-t_{1/2}$  rum of any random pulse sequence satisfying our three assumptions. (Fluctuations uncorrelated with the pulses are not contained in Eq. (6).) A pulse spectrum need not be a Lorentzian, e.g., it may have a bump. An example is a time series of trapezoidal pulses such as the simplified signal in Fig. 2(a). This illustrates how the duration  $\tau_1$  of the flat part of the signal and the rise time  $\tau_2$  are reflected in the spectra. We find that simulated time series produces a spectrum that agrees with the spectrum derived from Eq. (6) as shown in Fig. 3. The pulses are trapezoidal when  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  both have an exponential distribution. We take the time derivative of the time series to obtain a sequence of square pulses as shown in Fig. 2(b) with the exponential distributions  $p_1(q) = p_1(\tau_1)$  and  $p_1(r) = p_1(\tau_2)$  where  $p_1(y) = \exp\left[-\frac{y}{\langle y \rangle}\right]/\langle y \rangle$ ,  $\langle y \rangle$  is the mean value of y. We use Eq. (6) to derive the spectrum using A = -1, the Fourier transform  $\overline{F}(\omega, r, h, q)$ of a square pulse, and  $p(r, h, q) = p_1(q)p_1(r)\delta(h - (1/r))$ . We divide the result by  $\omega^2$  to undo the derivative. When  $\langle \tau_1 \rangle = \langle \tau_2 \rangle$ , Fig. 3 shows that the spectrum is flat at low frequencies with a small bump at higher frequencies. It is well known that summing over Lorentzians with different characteristic frequencies yields 1/f noise. However Fig. 3 implies that doing a similar sum over the spectra of trapezoidal pulse sequences with nonzero  $\tau_2$  will give  $1/f^{\alpha}$  noise where  $\alpha > 1$ .

As a physical example we now apply Eq. (6) to study the noise spectra of the magnetization M per spin of the 2D Ising model near the phase transition. The Hamiltonian is:  $\mathcal{H} = -J \sum_{i < j} s_i s_j$ , where the spin  $s_i = \pm 1$ , (i, j) denotes the nearest neighbor sites on a square lattice and we set the ferromagnetic exchange J = 1. In the thermodynamic limit this model has a second-order phase transition at the temperature  $T_c = 2.2692/k_B$  [15] where  $k_B$  is Boltzmann's constant.

We do Metropolis Monte Carlo simulations to obtain the magnetization time series. We apply periodic boundary conditions to a lattice with  $N = L^2$  points, where



FIG. 2: (Color online) (a) The original and simplified time series (solid lines) of the magnetization for the 2D Ising model (L = 20) at  $T_c$ . (b) The time derivative of the simplified signal shown in (a). (c) Distributions of  $\tau_2$  from simulations at  $T_c$ . Enveloping fits are  $p_2(\tau_2)$  with  $\langle \tau_2 \rangle = 38.45$ , 127.3, and 517.5,  $\sigma_{\tau_2}^2 = 342.5$ , 3942.7, and 68414, for L = 10, 20, and 40, respectively.



FIG. 3: Power spectra of a trapezoidal signal ( $\langle \tau_1 \rangle = 1000$ ,  $\langle \tau_2 \rangle = 1000$ ). The signal varies between 0 and 1.  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are exponentially distributed. Symbols are for spectra averaged over 100 runs, each run having  $2^{23}$  time steps. The solid lines are from Eq. (6) as described in the text.

L=10, 20, 30, 40. We start each run from a high temperature  $(T > T_c)$ , and then gradually cool the system to  $T = 0.5 < T_c$ . We define  $T_c$  for the finite system as the temperature at which the specific heat  $C_V$  has a maximum. At each temperature, we wait until the system equilibrates (according to the protocol in [7]) before recording the time series for at least  $10^6$  Monte Carlo time steps per spin (MCS). The noise spectral density  $S_x(\omega)$  of a time series x(t) with duration  $\tau_x$  is normalized so that the total noise power per time step is  $S_{tot} = (1/\tau_x) \sum_{\omega=0}^{\omega_{max}} S_x(\omega) = \sigma_x^2$ , where  $\sigma_x^2$  is the variance of x(t) [7].

In Fig. 2(a), we show an example of the magnetiza-



FIG. 4: (Color online) Power spectra of the original (symbols) and simplified (lines) magnetization time series (length  $N_K = 2, 621, 440, 10$  runs) of the 2D Ising model (L = 20) at different temperatures.

tion time series at  $T_c$ . The noise spectra are shown in Fig. 4. For  $T \ge T_c$ , starting from high frequencies, we find that the spectra are increasing for decreasing frequencies. However, near a characteristic frequency  $\omega_{knee}$ , the spectra stop increasing, and at lower frequencies they plateau.

When cooling the system below  $T_c$ , the system goes from a disordered paramagnetic phase to an ordered ferromagnetic phase. By spin symmetry, the distribution P(M) of the magnetization per spin is symmetric about M = 0. So in the ordered phase the system is equally likely to be in either an M = M or M = -M state, where  $\pm M$  are the most probable values of M. Thus for a finite size system the magnetization may change from one state to the other and as a result, a jump in the magnetization is created. This is reflected in the noise spectrum. Below  $T_c$  the spectrum is similar to the one at  $T_c$  for  $\omega \geq \omega_{knee}$ . However, as the frequency decreases below  $\omega_{knee}$  there is a second increase in the spectrum which, as we shall show, is due to magnetization flips of almost the entire system. In this frequency range  $(\omega_{jump} < \omega < \omega_{knee}),$  $S_M(\omega) \sim \omega^{-2}$  (see Fig. 4). Below the characteristic frequency  $\omega_{jump}$ , the spectrum plateaus.

We can use our algorithm to predict the contribution of the magnetization flips to the noise spectrum. We can approximate the time series of these jumps by a trapezoidal signal as shown in Fig. 2a.  $\tau_1$  is the dwell time in the flat region of up or down magnetization, and  $\tau_2$  is the duration of the jump. To apply Eq. (6) to obtain noise spectra, we take the time derivative of the simplified signal to obtain a sequence of stochastic square pulses (see Fig. 2b). Thus  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  correspond to q and r in Eq. (6). If each time interval has a constant probability to switch, then  $\tau_1$  follows an exponen-

tial distribution  $p_1(\tau_1)$  with mean  $\langle \tau_1 \rangle$ :  $p_1(\tau_1) = p_1(q)$ . From the noise spectrum at  $T = 2.1 < T_c$ , we estimate  $\langle \tau_1 \rangle \sim 1/\omega_{jump} \sim 10^5$  MCS. When the magnetization of the system flips, it overcomes an energy barrier centered at M = 0 resulting in a bimodal distribution P(M). To find  $p_2(\tau_2)$ , we write  $\tau_2 = t^a + t^b$ , where  $t^a$ is the time to go from  $M = -\tilde{M}$  to M = 0, and  $t^b$  is the time to go from M = 0 to  $M = \tilde{M}$ . We assume that  $t^a$  and  $t^b$  follow the same log-normal distribution  $p'_{2}(z) = \exp[-(\ln z - \rho)^{2}/(2\sigma^{2})]/(z\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^{2}}),$  where  $z = t^{a}$ or  $t^b$ .  $\rho$  and  $\sigma$  are determined from the mean of  $\tau_2$  given by  $\langle \tau_2 \rangle = 2 \exp[\rho + (\sigma^2/2)]$  and the variance of  $\tau_2$  given by  $\sigma_{\tau_2}^2 = 2(\exp[2\rho + 2\sigma^2] - \langle \tau_2 \rangle^2)$ . Since  $\tau_2 = r = t^a + t^b$ , the distribution  $p_2(r) = p_2(\tau_2)$  is given by the convolution  $p_2(\tau_2) = \int dy p'_2(y) p'_2(\tau_2 - y)$ . From the T = 2.1noise spectrum, we estimate  $\langle \tau_2 \rangle \sim 1/\omega_{knee} \sim 100$ , and  $\sigma_{\tau_2} \sim \langle \tau_2 \rangle / 2 \sim 50.$ 

Now we can apply Eq. (6) to analyze the magnetization of the 2D Ising model. For the derivative (Fig. 2b) of the simplified magnetization series, A = -1, the Fourier transform of a square pulse is  $\overline{F}(\omega, r, h, q) =$  $h(1 - e^{-i\omega r})/(i\omega)$ , and q is independent of r. We assume  $h = 1.3/\tau_2$ . Thus the combined distribution becomes  $p(r, h, q) = p_1(q)p_2(r)\delta(h - 1.3/\tau_2)$ . Doing the integrals in Eq. (4) numerically, and dividing the result of Eq. (6) by  $\omega^2$  to undo the derivative, we obtain the spectra shown in Fig. 4 which is a good approximation at low frequencies. Thus we predict that pulses dominate the low frequency spectrum.

We can check this prediction by extracting a simplified trapezoidal signal that represents a series of magnetization jumps as shown in Fig. 2a. In the flat regions with small fluctuations, we replace the original magnetization time series by the mean magnetization in that region. To find the jumps, we start from points with M = 0, then move both forward and backward in time. A jump is identified if and only if M in one direction achieves  $M - \delta M$ , and in the other direction achieves  $-M + \delta M$ , where  $\delta M > 0$  is the offset. In Fig. 4, for  $T < T_c$ , we find that the spectra of the original and simplified magnetization series match at low frequencies  $(\omega \ll \omega_{knee})$ . At  $T_c$  up to frequencies one order of magnitude higher than the crossover frequency, the power spectrum for the simplified signal fits the spectrum of the original signal very well. This is why this contribution must be subtracted from the spectrum before extracting the high frequency power law dictated by the critical exponents. In Fig. 4 the high frequency noise at  $T_c$  follows  $S(\omega > \omega_{knee}) \sim \omega^{-\mu_M}$  where  $\mu_M = 1.8$ . This matches well with the scaling theory prediction [7]:  $\mu_M = 1.8$ .

From the simplified time series, we can extract the parameters for the pulse distributions to derive the pulse contribution to the noise by using Eq. (6) in the same way as we did for the estimate. We use  $h = C(T)/\tau_2$ where C(T) is a function of the temperature T. We use the same distributions for  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$ , and obtain values



FIG. 5: (Color online) Power spectra for the magnetization jumps of the simplified magnetization signal at  $T = T_c$  and  $T = (2.1/k_B) < T_c$  for L = 20. At  $T_c$ ,  $\langle \tau_1 \rangle = 1030.7$ ,  $\langle \tau_2 \rangle = 127.3$ ,  $\sigma_{\tau_2}^2 = 3942.7$ . At  $T = 2.1/k_B$ ,  $\langle \tau_1 \rangle = 1.169 \cdot 10^5$ ,  $\langle \tau_2 \rangle = 115.1$ ,  $\sigma_{\tau_2}^2 = 1718.3$ .

for  $\langle \tau_1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle \tau_2 \rangle$ , and  $\sigma_{\tau_2}^2$  from the simplified time series. As shown in Fig. 2(c),  $p_2(\tau_2)$  fits very well with the actual distribution of  $\tau_2$  for different system sizes. We obtain the spectra shown in Fig. 5 which is an excellent fit to the spectra of the simplified magnetization signals for  $T \leq T_c$ . The square-wave-like time series of the derivative of the simplified signal (Fig. 2b) yields a Lorentzianlike power spectrum. Undoing the derivative with a factor of  $1/\omega^2$  yields a high frequency noise spectrum that goes as  $1/\omega^4$ .

In summary, our method predicts and derives the noise spectra of stochastic pulse sequences from the distribution of pulse parameters. It can be used to separate out the contribution of pulses from other contributions to the spectra. It can even be used for noise spectra not derived from a time series.

This work was supported by DOE grant DE-FG02-04ER46107.

- E. Korobkova *et al.*, Nature (London) **428**, 574 (2004);
   Y. Tu and G. Grinstein, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 208101 (2005);
   E. A. Korobkova, T. Emonet, H. Park and P. Cluzel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 058105 (2006).
- [2] M. B. Weissman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 60, 537 (1988), and references therein.
- [3] J. P. Sethna, K. A. Dahmen, and C. R. Myers, Nature (London) 410, 242 (2001).
- [4] M. Celasco, F. Fiorillo, and P. Mazzetti, Nuovo Cimento B 23, 376 (1974).
- [5] S. Zapperi, C. Castellano, F. Colaiori, and G. Durin, Nature Phys. 1, 46 (2005).
- [6] H. Houston, H. M. Benz, and J. E. Vidale, J. Geophys. Res. 103, 29895 (1998).
- [7] Z. Chen and C. C. Yu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 057204 (2007).
- [8] J. C. A. d'Auriac, R. Maynard, and R. Rammal, J. Stat. Phys. 28, 307 (1982).
- [9] K. B. Lauritsen and H. C. Fogedby, J. Stat. Phys. 72, 189 (1993); K. Leung, J. Phys. A 26, 6691 (1993).

- [10] S. Machlup, J. Appl. Phys. 25, 341 (1954).
- [11] T. Lukes, Proc. Phys. Soc. **78**, 153 (1961).
- [12] C. Heiden, Phys. Rev. **188**, 319 (1969).
- [13] M. Celasco and A. Stepanescu, J. Appl. Phys. 48, 3635 (1977), and references therein.
- [14] P. Mazzetti and G. P. Soardo, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 13, 552 (1967).
- [15] K. Huang, Statistical Mechanics (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1987), 2nd ed.