

# Metaferroelectrics: Artificial Ferroelectricity in Metamaterials

Lasha Tkeshelashvili

*Institut für Theoretische Festkörperphysik, Universität Karlsruhe (TH), 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany*

(Dated: November 3, 2018)

Metamaterials are artificial periodic structures which represent effective homogeneous medium for electromagnetic fields. Here I show that there exists an important class of such composite systems, metaferroelectrics. They are properly designed metamaterials with non-ferroelectric constituents which, nevertheless, exhibit ferroelectric response. Furthermore, for relatively small samples the effect is anisotropic and strongly depends on the sample shape. Metaferroelectrics will help to understand deeper the physics of ferroelectricity and show enormous potential for applications in the field of nano-optoelectronics.

PACS numbers: 77.80.-e, 77.84.Lf, 77.90.+k

Metamaterials represent artificial periodic structures usually made of metallic nanoparticles. They exhibit a number of striking properties which lead to such unique effects as perfect lensing, electromagnetic cloaking, etc. [1]. The lattice constants of these systems are smaller than the wavelength of electromagnetic waves. Therefore, for the electromagnetic fields, metamaterials effectively represent a homogeneous medium. Perhaps this is the main reason why the role of periodicity was not fully appreciated for tailoring their electromagnetic properties. In reality, as is demonstrated below, the proper choice of lattice parameters is of paramount importance, and in certain cases, it determines qualitatively new phenomena such as artificial ferroelectricity.

Ferroelectrics have been a subject of intensive research for many years [2, 3, 4]. Nevertheless, the underlying physics of these materials remain less understood compared to their magnetic counterparts, ferromagnets [5]. More than a half century ago Slater proposed that depolarization fields, stemming from the dipole-dipole interaction, may be responsible for appearance of spontaneous electric polarization [6]. However, it occurs that for existing ferroelectrics dipolar fields alone do not suffice [7]. Here, I show that in metamaterials with non-ferroelectric constituents the depolarization fields lead to the spontaneous polarization, and therefore, make possible to realize artificial ferroelectricity. Such metaferroelectrics will advance our understanding of physics of ferroelectricity and show a huge potential for possible applications [3].

In particular, let us consider metamaterial which consists of cubic lattice of metallic nanospheres of radius  $r$  embedded in a dielectric matrix with dielectric constant  $\varepsilon_m$ . Clearly, the lattice constant  $d$  must obey  $d \geq 2r$ . To be specific the metal is assumed to be silver. Below the plasma frequency the dispersive properties of silver is best represented by the Drude dielectric function [7]:

$$\varepsilon_{Dr}(\omega) = \varepsilon_\infty - \frac{\omega_p^2}{\omega(\omega - i\delta)}, \quad (1)$$

where the plasma frequency  $\omega_p$  is related to the density

of free electrons  $n_e$  as follows:

$$\omega_p^2 = \frac{n_e e^2}{\varepsilon_0 m_{\text{eff}}}, \quad (2)$$

and  $\delta$  is the damping constant. Further,  $(-e)$  is the electron charge,  $m_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective electron mass in the metal, and  $\varepsilon_0$  is the permittivity of free space.

The induced electric dipole moment  $\mathbf{p}$  of an isolated silver sphere in the external electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  is [8]:

$$\mathbf{p} = 4\pi r^3 \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_m \frac{\varepsilon_{Dr} - \varepsilon_m}{\varepsilon_{Dr} + 2\varepsilon_m} \mathbf{E}. \quad (3)$$

This result is obtained for the static uniform electric field. However, it is still valid in the quasistatic limit when electromagnetic waves have wavelength much bigger than the sphere radius [9]. Indeed, the comparison with exact Mie theory shows that for  $3\text{nm} \leq r \leq 25\text{nm}$  the quasistatic approximation gives very accurate results. For the spheres with bigger radius quadrupole and higher order multipoles become important [10].

The dipole resonance frequency  $\omega_R$ , at which  $\mathbf{p}$  would become infinite in the absence of losses, is  $\text{Re}\{\varepsilon_{Dr}(\omega_R) + 2\varepsilon_m\} = 0$ . This gives:

$$\omega_R^2 = \frac{\omega_p^2}{\varepsilon_\infty + 2\varepsilon_m} - \delta^2. \quad (4)$$

It should be noted that, for  $r \geq 3\text{nm}$  silver nanoparticles, the values of  $\omega_R$  obtained on the bases of equation (4) agrees very well with experimental data as well as with quantum mechanical calculations [8]. In the case of smaller spheres quantum size effects come into play and equation (1) is no longer valid.

The dynamical equation which correctly reproduces expression (3) reads [11, 12]:

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} + \omega_R^2 \mathbf{x} = -\frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E}, \quad (5)$$

with  $\mathbf{p} = -q\mathbf{x}$  [7].  $q$  is the absolute value of the oscillator charge  $q = N_e e$ , and  $N_e$  is the total number of free electrons in the sphere  $N_e = (4\pi r^3/3)n_e$ . Further,  $\mathbf{x}$  is the

average electron displacement. The damping constant  $\gamma$  and the mass of the oscillator  $m$  are some functions of frequency [11]. However, for our purpose only the values of these quantities in the static limit are important. At zero frequency  $\gamma$  is an irrelevant parameter, and  $m = gN_e m_{\text{eff}}$  with  $g = \omega_p^2 / (3\varepsilon_m \omega_R^2)$ .

Now, in an artificial lattice the local field acting on a sphere is  $\mathbf{E} + (L/\varepsilon_0)\mathbf{P}$ , where  $L$  is the depolarization factor [7], and  $\mathbf{P}$  is the polarization density. The equation of motion (5) then becomes:

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} + \omega_R^2 \mathbf{x} = -\frac{q}{m} \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{L}{\varepsilon_0} \mathbf{P} \right). \quad (6)$$

Taking into account that the unit cell volume is  $d^3$ , the polarization density can be written as  $\mathbf{P} = (-q\mathbf{x})/d^3$ . This allows to rewrite equation (6) as:

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} + \omega_{\text{eff}}^2 \mathbf{x} = -\frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E}, \quad (7)$$

with the effective frequency  $\omega_{\text{eff}}$  given by

$$\omega_{\text{eff}}^2 = \omega_R^2 - \frac{Lq^2}{\varepsilon_0 m d^3}. \quad (8)$$

Assuming that  $\mathbf{E} \sim \exp(i\omega t)$  equation (7) gives:

$$\mathbf{P} = \frac{q^2 / (m d^3)}{\omega_{\text{eff}}^2 - \omega^2 + i\gamma\omega} \mathbf{E}. \quad (9)$$

The factor in front of  $\mathbf{E}$  diverges at zero frequency when  $\omega_{\text{eff}}^2 = 0$ . This means that arbitrarily small electric fields can induce nonzero electric dipole moment and the system becomes ferroelectric [7]. The condition that the metamaterial is ferroelectric,  $\omega_{\text{eff}}^2 = 0$ , can be cast in a more convenient form:

$$d_F^3 = 4\pi L \varepsilon_m r^3. \quad (10)$$

It is rather interesting that the lattice constant  $d_F$  does not depend on the parameters of the Drude dispersion relation (1). Let us assume that the background dielectric is silicon  $\varepsilon_m = 11.68$  [13]. For the (infinite) cubic lattice  $L = 1/3$  [7], and equation (10) gives  $d_F = 3.66r$ . Here the following remark is in order. If the metallic nanospheres were point objects, and in the case of highly symmetric cubic lattice, the dipole approximation employed above would be correct for arbitrary  $d_F$ . However, the real spheres have a finite radius and the lattice constant must be bigger than certain  $d_{\text{cr}}$ . For  $d \leq d_{\text{cr}}$  coupling between neighboring spheres excites quadrupole and other higher order modes and the dipole approximation becomes inaccurate. The detailed numerical studies (see e.g. [14, 15]) show that  $d_{\text{cr}} = 3.2r$ . Since  $d_F > d_{\text{cr}}$  for the exemplary system considered here, the approximations involved are self-consistent and accurate.

Moreover, as was stressed above, the spheres behave as effective dipoles. The dipole fields decrease with the

distance as  $\sim 1/R^3$ . On the other hand, the number of dipoles located at the distance  $R$  from the given lattice site can be estimated as  $\sim R^2$ . Therefore, if the sample size is  $\sim R_s$ , the field stemming from the surface dipoles is proportional to  $\sim 1/R_s$ , which is a slowly decreasing function. This means that, for relatively small systems, the depolarization fields depend on the sample shape [5]. In general, they are inhomogeneous and anisotropic, and therefore, are represented as a space-dependent tensor.

Nevertheless, for ellipsoids, the depolarization fields appear to be homogeneous. If the coordinate axes coincide with the principle axes of the ellipsoid, all non-diagonal elements of the depolarization tensor are identically zero. Furthermore, the following normalization relation  $L_x + L_y + L_z = 1$  holds, where  $L_x$ ,  $L_y$ , and  $L_z$  are the diagonal elements of the depolarization tensor [5].

In the case of prolate ellipsoid,

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2 + z^2}{b^2} = 1$$

with the major and minor axes  $a$  and  $b$  respectively ( $a > b$ ), we have:

$$\begin{aligned} L_x &= \frac{1 - e_{\text{PE}}^2}{2e_{\text{PE}}^3} \left( \ln \frac{1 + e_{\text{PE}}}{1 - e_{\text{PE}}} - 2e_{\text{PE}} \right), \\ L_y &= L_z = \frac{1}{2}(1 - L_x), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the eccentricity  $e_{\text{PE}}$  is given by  $e_{\text{PE}} = \sqrt{1 - (b/a)^2}$ .

For an oblate ellipsoid,

$$\frac{x^2 + y^2}{a^2} + \frac{z^2}{c^2} = 1$$

with the major and minor axes  $a$  and  $c$  respectively ( $a > c$ ), the depolarization factors are:

$$\begin{aligned} L_x &= L_y = \frac{1}{2}(1 - L_z), \\ L_z &= \frac{1 + e_{\text{OE}}^2}{e_{\text{OE}}^3} (e_{\text{OE}} - \arctan e_{\text{OE}}), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and the eccentricity  $e_{\text{OE}}$  is  $e_{\text{OE}} = \sqrt{(a/c)^2 - 1}$ .

Then, the equation of motion (7) takes the form:

$$\frac{d^2 \mathbf{x}}{dt^2} + \gamma \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} + \hat{\omega}_{\text{eff}}^2 \mathbf{x} = -\frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E}, \quad (13)$$

where the effective frequency tensor  $\hat{\omega}_{\text{eff}}$  reads:

$$\hat{\omega}_{\text{eff}}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_R^2 - \frac{L_x q^2}{\varepsilon_0 m d^3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega_R^2 - \frac{L_y q^2}{\varepsilon_0 m d^3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega_R^2 - \frac{L_z q^2}{\varepsilon_0 m d^3} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Note that  $\mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{E}$  in equation (13) are represented by the column vectors.

The system exhibits the ferroelectric response in a given direction if the corresponding diagonal element in

equation (14) vanishes. Below some important particular cases are considered in more details:

(i) If a sample has the spherical shape  $L_x = L_y = L_z = 1/3$ . Therefore, in this case the depolarization fields are the same as for the infinite lattice and  $d_F^{sp} = 3.66r$ . The system response is isotropic and polarization density  $\mathbf{P}$  is a three-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{P} = (P_x, P_y, P_z)$ .

(ii) If a sample has the cylindrical or needle geometry which is oriented parallel to the  $x$ -axis  $L_x = 0$  and  $L_y = L_z = 1/2$ . In this limiting case equations (10) and (14) give  $d_F^{cl} = 4.19r$ . The system exhibits the easy-plane anisotropy and the polarization density is a planar vector  $\mathbf{P} = (0, P_y, P_z)$ . The ferroelectric response is isotropic in the plane normal to the  $x$ -axis.

(iii) Finally, for a thin film or disk with the plane normal to the  $z$ -axis  $L_x = L_y = 0$  and  $L_z = 1$ . Thus, equations (10) and (14) suggest that  $d_F^n = 5.27r$ . The system shows easy-axis anisotropy, and therefore, the polarization density becomes a one-dimensional vector  $\mathbf{P} = (0, 0, P_z)$  with only one nonzero component.

In summary, here I have demonstrated that artificial ferroelectricity can be achieved in properly designed metamaterials. Most interestingly, the constituents of the composite system are assumed to be non-ferroelectric. In particular, the suggested structure consists of a cubic lattice of silver nanospheres embedded in a silicon matrix. Moreover, it should be stressed that for relatively small systems, due to the long-range nature of dipole-dipole interaction, the corresponding lattice constant  $d_F$  strongly depends on the sample shape and the effect becomes anisotropic. Metaferroelectrics show enormous potential for applications in the field of nano-optoelectronics.

I acknowledge support from the DFG-Forschungszentrum Center for Functional Nanostructures (CFN) at the Universität Karlsruhe within Project No. A1.2 and from USA CRDF Grant No. GEP2-2848-TB-06.

- 
- [1] K. Busch *et al.*, Phys. Rep. **444**, 101 (2007).
  - [2] A. F. Devonshire, Adv. Phys. **3**, 85 (1954).
  - [3] C. H. Ahn, K. M. Rabe, and J.-M. Triscone, Science **303**, 488 (2004).
  - [4] M. Dawber, K. M. Rabe, and J. F. Scott, Rev. Mod. Phys. **77**, 1083 (2005).
  - [5] A. I. Akhiezer, V. G. Bar'yakhtar, and S. V. Peletminskii, *Spin Waves* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968).
  - [6] J. C. Slater, Phys. Rev. **78**, 748 (1950).
  - [7] J. C. Slater, *Quantum Theory of Molecules and Solids, Vol. 3: Insulators, Semiconductors and Metals* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967).
  - [8] J. A. A. J. Perenboom, P. Wyder, and F. Meier, Phys. Rep. **78**, 173 (1981).
  - [9] C. F. Bohren and D. R. Huffman, *Absorption and Scattering of Light by Small Particles* (Wiley, New York, 1983).
  - [10] R. Aroca, *Surface Enhanced Vibrational Spectroscopy* (Wiley, Chichester, 2006).
  - [11] P. Clippe, R. Evrard, and A. A. Lucas, Phys. Rev. B **14**, 1715 (1976).
  - [12] Y. Feng-qi, Zh. Chun-ping, and Zh. Guang-yin, Phys. Rev. B **42**, 11003 (1990).
  - [13] C. D. Salzberg and J. J. Villa, J. Opt. Soc. Am. **47**, 244 (1957).
  - [14] R. Ruppin, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 3440 (1982).
  - [15] I. Romero, J. Aizpurua, G. W. Bryant, and F. J. G. de Abajo, Opt. Express **14**, 9988 (2006).