On the possibility of metamaterial properties in spin plasmas

G. Brodin and M. Marklund^{*}

Department of Physics, Umeå University, SE-901 87 Umeå, Sweden

The fluid theory of plasmas is extended to include the properties of electron spin. The linear theory of waves in a magnetized plasma is presented, and it is shown that the spin effects causes a change of the magnetic permeability. Furthemore, by changing the direction of the external magnetic field, the magnetic permability may become negative. This leads to instabilities in the long wavelength regimes. If these can be controlled, however, the spin plasma becomes a metamaterial for a broad range of frequencies, i.e. above the ion cyclotron frequency but below the electron cyclotron frequency. The consequences of our results are discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

The theoretical concept of materials with a negative index of refraction has a long history, while the practical developments are relatively recent in this field. In his Moscow school, Mandelstam presented results for materials exhibiting a negative index of refraction [1, 2] (see also the historical account in Ref. [3]). Negative permittivity and permeability materials, and the consequences of a negative group velocity, were moreover given attention by Pafomov [4] and Agranovich and Ginzburg [5], and have since then been discussed by several authors, most notably by Veselago [6], who gave a detailed analysis of the consequences of such material properties (see also [3, 7, 8, 9]). Although not known to be found naturally, such materials have recently been realized in laboratory environments [10, 11], and the experimental development in conjunction with theoretical insights (see Refs. [12, 13]) has spawned a rapidly growing interest in these materials (see, e.g. [14, 15]) for a review). From a sharp resonance in the material response to the applied external field, one may obtain negative ε and μ . The normal procedure for obtaining negative-index-of-refraction materials is to put together two structured materials that both have negative permittivity and negative permeability, such that the resulting composite material has a negative refractive index [15, 16]. A nonlinear metamaterial can also be constructed through the nonlinear properties of the constituent materials [17, 18], admitting new types of solitary wave structures [19, 20, 21].

The field of quantum plasmas is a rapidly growing field of research. From the non-relativistic

^{*} E-mail: mattias.marklund@physics.umu.se

domain, with its basic description in terms of the Schrödinger equation, to the strongly relativistic regime, with its natural connection to quantum field theory, quantum plasma physics provides promises of highly interesting and important application, fundamental connections between different areas of science, as well as difficult challenges from a computational perspective. The necessity to thoroughly understand such plasmas motivates a reductive principle of research, for which we successively build more complex models based on previous results. The simplest lower order effect due to relativistic quantum mechanics is the introduction of spin, and as such thus provides a first step towards a partial description of relativistic quantum plasmas.

Already in the 1960's, Pines studied the excitation spectrum of quantum plasmas [22, 23], for which we have a high density and a low temperature as compared to normal plasmas. Recently there has been an increased interest in the properties of quantum plasmas [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 42, 43, 44]. The studies has been motivated by the development in nanostructured materials [45] and quantum wells [46], the discovery of ultracold plasmas [47, 48], or a general theoretical interest. The list of quantum mechanical effects that can be included in a fluid picture includes the dispersive particle properties accounted for by the Bohm potential [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36], the zero temperature Fermi pressure [24, 25, 26, 27, 28], spin properties [37, 38, 39, 40, 41] as well as certain quantum electrodynamical effects [49, 50, 51, 52]. Within such descriptions, [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 37, 38, 50, 51, 52] quantum and classical collective effects can be described within a unified picture, sometimes even showing a surprising overlap between classical and quantum behaviour [41].

Here we study the linear theory of electromagnetic wave propagation in a magnetized plasma, with a special focus on the properties caused by the electron spin. We are then able to present a scheme for such a system to display metamaterial behavior. Specifically this is induced by exposing a low temperature high density plasma to an external magnetic field, which creates a magnetization in the plasma due to the electron spin. By changing the direction of the external magnetic field, the magnetic permeability may become negative. It should be noted that the above procedure induces instabilities in the long wavelength regime. A number of ways to control these instabilities are pointed out. Assuming that this can be done successfully, the spin plasma becomes a metamaterial for a broad range of frequencies, i.e. above the ion cyclotron frequency but below the electron cyclotron frequency. The conditions needed to create a sufficient magnetization is discussed in the final section of the manuscript.

II. BASIC EQUATIONS

The theory for quantum plasmas including the effects of particle dispersion [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36], the Fermi pressure [24, 25, 26, 27, 28] and effects due to the electron spin [37, 38, 39] has been described in a number of recent papers. For our purposes it will be sufficient to include the spin effects, as the Fermi pressure and the Bohm-De Broigle potential will not affect whether the plasma is a metamaterial or not.¹ Furthermore, neglecting terms that are quadratic in the spin vector,² the governing spin plasma equations can be written

$$\frac{\partial n_s}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_s \mathbf{v}_s) = 0, \tag{1}$$

$$m_s n_s \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_s \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{v}_s = q_s \left(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v}_s \times \mathbf{B}\right) + \frac{2\mu_s}{\hbar} s^a \nabla B_a - \nabla P_s,\tag{2}$$

together with the spin evolution equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_s \cdot \nabla\right) \mathbf{s} = \frac{2\mu_s}{\hbar} \mathbf{s}_s \times \mathbf{B}.$$
(3)

Here q_s and m_s is the charge and mass of species s, $\mu_s = q_s \hbar/2m_s$ is the magnetic moment, $P_s = k_B T \nabla n_s$ is the pressure(for simplicity we use an isothermal pressure model), n_s is the number density, \mathbf{v}_s is the velocity and \mathbf{s}_s is the spin vector.

Next we concentrate on the linear wave modes in a magnetized plasma described by Eqs. (1)-(3) together with Maxwell's equations

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{B} = \mu_0 \mathbf{J} + \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t}$$
(5)

where, in addition to the free current density, we include the magnetization current source

$$\mathbf{J}_m = \nabla \times \mathbf{M}_s = \nabla \times \left(\frac{2\mu_s n_s}{\hbar} \mathbf{s}_s\right),\tag{6}$$

For this purpose we chose a coordinate system such that the unperturbed magnetic field is $\mathbf{B}_0 = B_0 \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, the wavevector is $\mathbf{k} = k_{\perp} \hat{\mathbf{x}} + k_z \hat{\mathbf{z}}$, and the variables are divided into an equilibrium value

¹ This is clear from the dispersion presented in [52], where the effect of the Fermi pressure and the Bohm de Broigle potential is incorpatated in an effective thermal velocity, as far as the linear wave modes are concerned.

 $^{^{2}}$ The terms that are quadratic in the spin vector can be omitted if the characteristic spatial scale is longer than the thermal de-Broigle wavelength.

(index 0) and a perturbed part (index 1). For simplicity index 1 are omitted on the electric field and the velocity, since these variables have a zero unperturbed part. As a preparation, we first consider the linear theory without the spin terms. Using the continuity equation to express the density in terms of the velocity, the momentum equation relates the velocity to the electric field. Then from the momentum equation we solve for the velocity in terms of the electric field to find the susceptibility tensor for each particle species. Combining this with Maxwell's equations, the result becomes

$$\left(\delta_{ij}\left(1 - \frac{k^2 c^2}{\omega^2}\right) + \frac{k_i k_j c^2}{\omega^2} + \chi_{ij}\right) E^j = 0$$
(7)

with δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta and the susceptibility tensor is

$$\chi_{ij} = \sum_{s} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}(\omega^{2}-k_{z}^{2}v_{ts}^{2})}{\omega_{d}^{4}} & -i\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}\omega_{cs}(\omega^{2}-k_{z}^{2}v_{ts}^{2})}{\omega\omega_{d}^{4}} & -\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}k_{\perp}k_{z}v_{ts}^{2}}{\omega_{d}^{4}} \\ i\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}\omega_{cs}(\omega^{2}-k_{z}^{2}v_{ts}^{2})}{\omega\omega_{d}^{4}} & -\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}(\omega^{2}-k^{2}v_{ts}^{2})}{\omega_{d}^{4}} & i\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}\omega_{cs}k_{\perp}k_{z}v_{ts}^{2}}{\omega\omega_{d}^{4}} \\ -\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}k_{\perp}k_{z}v_{ts}^{2}}{\omega_{d}^{4}} & -i\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}\omega_{cs}k_{\perp}k_{z}v_{ts}^{2}}{\omega\omega_{d}^{4}} & -\frac{\omega_{ps}^{2}(\omega^{2}-k_{\perp}^{2}v_{ts}^{2}-\omega_{cs}^{2})}{\omega_{d}^{4}} \end{pmatrix}$$
(8)

where $v_{ts} = (k_B T/m_s)^{1/2}$ is the thermal velocity, $\omega_{ps} = (n_0 q_s^2/\varepsilon_0 m_s)^{1/2}$ the plasma frequency, $\omega_{cs} = q_s B_0/m_s$ the gyrofrequency and $\omega_d^4 = (\omega^2 - k_\perp^2 v_{ts}^2) (\omega^2 - k_z^2 v_{ts}^2) - \omega_{cs}^2 (\omega^2 - k_z^2 v_{ts}^2) - k_\perp^2 k_z^2 v_{ts}^4$.

The next aim is to add the electron spin contribution, where the spin effects due to the ions is neglected due to their small magnetic moment. In general the spin vector is a dynamical variable whose relation to the EM-field is complex already in the linear theory. Thus from now on, we limit ourselves to the case where the dynamics is slow compared to the spin precession period, which is equivalent to limiting ourselves to frequencies $\omega \ll |\omega_{ce}|$ In that case we can write the (electron) spin vector as

$$\mathbf{s} = -\frac{\hbar}{2}\widehat{\mathbf{B}}\tanh\left(\frac{\mu_B B_0}{k_B T}\right) \tag{9}$$

where the tanh-factor is due to thermodynamic considerations.³ The linearized magnetization current then becomes

$$\mathbf{J}_m = -\frac{\mu_B}{2} \tanh\left(\frac{\mu_B B_0}{k_B T}\right) \left(\nabla n_1 \times \hat{\mathbf{z}} + n_0 \nabla \times \left(\frac{\mathbf{B}_1 - B_{1z} \hat{\mathbf{z}}}{B_0}\right)\right)$$
(10)

³ Using Fermi-dirac statistics, the slight overweight of particles with spin orientation in the lower energy state results in a macroscopic spin-vector proportional to $\tanh(\mu_B B_0/k_B T)$.

The magnetic field \mathbf{B}_1 can be expressed in terms of \mathbf{E} from Faradays law, and the density is given in terms of the velocity from the continuity equation, which is expressed in terms of \mathbf{E} from the given susceptibility of each species. Thus the magnetization current (10) is also expressed in terms of \mathbf{E} . However, before that procedure is implemented, we must also modify the standard susceptibility Eq. (8) to account for the spin dependent force in the momentum equation. This can be achieved by noting that when solving the momentum equation for the velocity, the magnetic dipole spin force can simply be accounted for by including the different components as "effective electric fields". Thus when solving for the electron velocity in terms of the electric fields, the spin force is included simply by making the substitutions

$$\overline{E}_{jx} = E_x + \tanh\left(\frac{\mu_B B_0}{k_B T}\right) \frac{i\hbar k_x}{m_e} B_{1z}$$

$$\overline{E}_{jy} = E_y \qquad (11)$$

$$E_z + \tanh\left(\frac{\mu_B B_0}{k_B T}\right) \frac{i\hbar k_z}{m_e} B_{1z}$$

Again expressing B_{1z} in terms of **E** through Faraday's law, these alterations can be expressed as a spin modification of the free current susceptibility. Thus formally we can write

$$j^{i} = j^{i}_{free} + j^{i}_{sp} = \chi^{ij}_{free} E_j + \chi^{ij}_{sp} E_j$$

where the direct spin magnetization contained in χ_{sp}^{ij} can be determined from (10) (by expressing \mathbf{B}_1 and n_1 in terms of \mathbf{E}), and the free part of the susceptibility can be divided as

$$\chi_{free}^{ij} = \chi_L^{ij} + \chi_{md}^{ij}$$

where χ_L^{ij} is the (free current) susceptibility due to the Lorentz force given by (8), and the contribution from the magnetic dipole force χ_{md}^{ij} can be found from (8) combined with the substitution in (11) and the z-component of (4). The theory outlined here is straightforward, but results in rather cumbersome formulas. To reduce the complexity, and arrive at more transparent expressions, we introduce the following simplifications:

- 1. The plasma is quasi-neutral, which is a valid approximation provided $\omega_{pi}^2 \gg \omega_{ci}^2$
- 2. The perpendicular (to the magnetic field) free electron (i.e. non-spin) part of the current can be approximated by the $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{B}$ -drift, which is valid for frequencies well below the electron gyro frequency.
- 3. The displacement current in Maxwells equations is small. This is valid when point 1 applies together with $\omega_{pi}^2 \gg \omega^2$, and amounts to neglecting the Kronecker delta term in (7)

4. Only electron thermal motion is of significance, which is valid if $k^2 v_{ti}^2 \ll \omega^2$.

The theory outlined above now reduces to Eq. (7) with χ_{ij} given by

$$\chi = \begin{pmatrix}
-\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}}{\omega^{2} - \omega_{ci}^{2}} & -i\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}\omega}{\omega_{ci}(\omega^{2} - \omega_{ci}^{2})} & -\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}k_{\perp}k_{z}\widetilde{c}_{s}^{2}}{\omega_{ci}^{2}} \\
i\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}\omega}{\omega_{ci}(\omega^{2} - \omega_{ci}^{2})} & -\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}(\omega^{2} - k^{2}\widetilde{c}_{s}^{2})}{\omega^{2} - \omega_{ci}^{2}} & i\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}k_{\perp}k_{z}\widetilde{c}_{s}^{2}}{\omega\omega_{ci}} \\
-\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}k_{\perp}k_{z}\widetilde{c}_{s}^{2}}{\omega_{ci}^{2}} & -i\frac{\widetilde{\omega}_{pi}^{2}k_{\perp}k_{z}\widetilde{c}_{s}^{2}}{\omega\omega_{ci}} & -\frac{\omega_{pi}^{2}}{\omega^{2}}\left(1 - \frac{\omega^{2}}{k_{z}^{2}c_{s}^{2}}\right)
\end{pmatrix}$$
(12)

where $\tilde{\omega}_{pi} = \omega_{pi}(1 - \mu_0 M_0/B_0)^{-1/2}$ is the spin modified ion plasma frequency, $\tilde{c}_s = [c_s^2 - (\mu_B B_0/m_i) \tanh(\mu_B B_0/k_B T)]^{1/2}$ is the spin modified ion-acoustic velocity and $c_s = v_{ti}(m_e/m_i)^{1/2}$ is the standard ion-acoustic velocity. Furthermore, the unperturbed magnetization M_0 is given by $M_0 = n_0 \mu_B \tanh(\mu_B B_0/k_B T)$. We note that by contrast to the other tensor components, χ_{33} is not modified by the spin effects. As a specific example, we can investigate the magnetohydrodynamic limit $\omega \ll \omega_{ci}$, in which case we get the dispersion relation

$$\left(\omega^{2} - k_{z}^{2}\widetilde{C}_{A}^{2}\right)\left[\left(\omega^{2} - k^{2}\widetilde{C}_{A}^{2} - k_{\perp}^{2}\widetilde{c}_{s}^{2}\right)\left(\omega^{2} - k_{z}^{2}c_{s}^{2}\right) - k_{\perp}^{2}k_{z}^{2}\widetilde{c}_{s}^{4}\right] = 0.$$
(13)

by putting the determinant of (7) to zero. Here $\tilde{C}_A = C_A (1 - \mu_0 M_0 / B_0)^{1/2}$ is the spin-modified Alfvén velocity, and $C_A = (B_0^2 / \mu_0 m_i n_0)^{1/2}$ is the standard Alfvén velocity. Eq. (13) agrees with the results of Refs. [40] in the appropriate limiting cases, provided a sign error is corrected in the last term of their dispersion relation. The first factor of Eq. (13) describes the shear Alfvén mode, whereas the second factor has two roots, describing the fast and slow magnetosonic modes.

III. THE POSSIBILITY OF A PLASMA AS A METAMATERIAL

Next we are interested in the possibilities to get metamaterial properties. As a starting point we note from (12) and (7) that the (relative) dielectric component ε_{xx} is given by

$$\varepsilon_{xx} = -\frac{\omega_{pi}^2}{\omega^2 - \omega_{ci}^2} \tag{14}$$

which become negative for frequencies above the ion cycclotron frequency. Here the first Kronecker delta term in (7) has been neglected due to point 3 above. Next we note that the relative magnetic permeability is given by $\mu_r = B_0/(B_0 - \mu_0 M_0)$, which causes the transition from $\omega_{pi}^2 \longrightarrow \tilde{\omega}_{pi}^2$ in (12). In thermodynamic equilibrium, the spin magnetization $M_0 = \mu_B n_0 \tanh(\mu_B B_0/k_B T)$ enhances the external field, which correspond to a paramagnetic situation where $\mu_r > 1$. However, let us now assume that we have a laboratory plasma immersed in an external magnetic field, where the internal spin magnetization gives a significant contribution to B_0 . Then consider what happens if we rapidly switch direction of the external field 180°, and study the properties before the spin state has time to reach a new thermodynamic equilibrium state. In particular we are interested in the case where the external contribution to B_0 is smaller than the internal contribution $\mu_0 M_0$, and directed in the opposite direction. The above linearized theory then still applies, but with the difference that $\mu_r = B_0/(B_0 - \mu_0 M_0)$ is now is a negative quantity. A first observation of the changed properties of this system is that long wavelength waves (i.e. $kC_A < \omega_{ci}$) described in (13) is now unstable, since \tilde{C}_A^2 changes sign with μ_r and becomes negative for the above scenario. We shall assume that it is still useful to study the stable waves with shorter wavelengths, however. In particular this is of interest in case one of the following conditions apply

- 1. The plasma system is of a rather small size, and the long wavelength waves (i.e. $kC_A < \omega_{ci}$) are stabilized by inhomogeneities not included in the model.
- 2. The growth rate γ of the long wavelength (at most of the order $\gamma \sim \omega_{ci}$) is slow enough such that there is still time to study the physics on a time scale much shorter than ω_{ci}^{-1}
- 3. Some dissipative mechanism not included in our model will be sufficient to stabilize the instability (however, note that the addition of a finite resistivity will not suffice for this purpose).

As a specific example we study transverse waves with $\omega > \omega_{ci}$ propagating along B_0 (that is in the opposite direction of $B_0 - \mu_0 M_0$). The dispersion relation then reduces to:

$$\frac{\omega^2 \omega_{ci}}{\omega_{ci} \mp \omega} = k^2 \widetilde{C}_A^2 \tag{15}$$

where the +(-) sign corresponds to a right hand (left hand) circular polarization. As described above, both μ_r and \tilde{C}_A^2 changes sign with $(B_0 - \mu_0 M_0)$, and thus (15) confirms that waves are unstable in the long wavelength regime. However, for shorter wavelengths, $\left|k\tilde{C}_A\right| > 2\omega_{ci}$, the waves are stable independently of the sign of \tilde{C}_A^2 . Next considering this special case, such that k and ω are real, we find that the time-averaged Poynting vector $\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$ is

$$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{H} \rangle = |\mathbf{E}|^2 \frac{k}{\omega} \frac{(B_0 - \mu_0 M_0)}{B_0}$$
(16)

which apparently changes sign with $B_0 - \mu_0 M_0$ such that the above system shows the characteristics of a metamaterial.

IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Previous theories [37, 38] on magnetized spin plasmas have been extended. In particular, the linear theory have been generalized to cover the frequency range $\omega_{ci} \lesssim \omega \ll \omega_{ce}$. The purpose have been to investigate whether it is possible to produce the characteristics of a metamaterial. It is found that in principle this is possible by switching the direction of an external magnetic field 180° , provided the spin magnetization of the plasma is sufficiently large. However, it is a great challenge to produce the desired plasma conditions in the laboratory. In particular we need to combine low temperatures with high densities to obtain a sufficient magnetization. Ways to reach high plasma densities have been known for a rather long time, and methods to reach extremely low plasma temperatures has recently been found [47, 48]. On the other hand, the ultra cold plasmas are still of too low density to be useful for the above purpose. Laser produced plasmas can reach sufficient densities, and it is possible that experiments can be designed to keep the temperature sufficiently low. A challenge with such a setting might be that the plasma background dynamics is too fast for successful experiments of this kind to be done. In conclusion, the production of a plasma metamaterial consists of two challenges. Firstly, to produce a plasma with a sufficient magnetization, and secondly to master the long wavelength instabilities that are introduced when the direction of the external field is changed.

- [1] L.I. Mandelstam, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 15, 475 (1945).
- [2] L.I. Mandelstam, The 4th Lecture of L.I. Mandelstam given at Moscow State University (05/05/1944), Collection of Scientific Works, Vol. 2 (1994) Nauka, Moscow (in Russian).
- [3] V.M. Agranovich and Yu. N. Gartstein, in *Physics of Negative Refraction and Negative Index Materials*, eds. C.M. Krowne and Y. Zhang (Springer, 2007).
- [4] V. E. Pafomov, Sov. Phys. JETP **36**, 1321 (1959).
- [5] V. M. Agranovich and V. L. Ginzburg, Spatial Dispersion in Crystal Optics and the Theory of Excitons (John Wiley & Sons, London, 1966); See also V. M. Agranovich and V. L. Ginzburg, Crystal Optics with Spatial Dispersion of Excitons (Springer, Berlin, 1984), p. 252.
- [6] V. G. Veselago, Sov. Phys. Usp. 10, 509 (1968).
- [7] V.M. Agranovich et al., Phys. Rev. B 69, 165112 (2004).
- [8] V.M. Agranovich *et al.*, J. Luminescence **110**, 167 (2004).
- [9] Y. Zhang and A. Mascarehnas, Mod. Phys. Lett. B 19, 21 (2005).
- [10] D. R. Smith and N. Kroll, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 2933 (2000); D. R. Smith et al., ibid. 84, 4184 (2000).

- [11] R. A. Shelby, D. R. Smith and S. Schultz, Science 292, 77 (2001); C. G. Parazzoli *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 107401 (2003); A. A. Houck *et al.*, *ibid.* 90, 137401 (2003); P. Parini *et al.*, Nature (London) 426, 404 (2003).
- [12] U. Leonhardt, Science **312**, 1777 (2006).
- [13] J.B. Pendry, D. Schurig, and D.R. Smith, Science **312**, 1780 (2006).
- [14] J. B. Pendry and D. R. Smith, Phys. Today 57, 37 (2004); J. B. Pendry, Contemporary Phys. 45, 191 (2004).
- [15] S. Anantha Ramakrishna, Rep. Prog. Phys. 68, 449 (2005).
- [16] C.M. Krowne and Y. Zhang (eds.), Physics of Negative Refraction and Negative Index Materials (Springer, 2007).
- [17] G. D'Aguanno, N. Mattiucci, M. Scalora, and M. J. Bloemer, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 213902 (2004).
- [18] M. Scalora *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 013902 (2005).
- [19] M. Marklund, P.K. Shukla, L. Stenflo, and G. Brodin, Phys. Lett. A 341, 231 (2005).
- [20] M. Marklund, P.K. Shukla, and L. Stenflo, Phys. Rev. E 73, 037601 (2006).
- [21] I.V. Shadrivov and Y.S. Kivshar, in *Physics of Negative Refraction and Negative Index Materials*, eds. C.M. Krowne and Y. Zhong (Springer, 2007).
- [22] D. Pines, J. Nucl. Energy C: Plasma Phys. 2, 5 (1961).
- [23] D. Pines, *Elementary Excitations in Solids* (Westview Press, 1999)
- [24] G. Manfredi, Fields Inst. Comm 46, 263 (2005)
- [25] F. Haas, G. Manfredi, and M. R. Feix, Phys. Rev. E 62, 2763 (2000).
- [26] F. Haas, Phys. Plasmas **12**, 062117 (2005).
- [27] L. G. Garcia, F. Haas, L. P. L. de Oliveira, and J. Goedert, Phys. Plasmas 12, 012302 (2005).
- [28] P. K. Shukla, Phys. Lett. A **352**, 242 (2006).
- [29] F. Haas, L. G. Garcia, J. Goedert, and G. Manfredi, Phys. Plasmas 10, 3858 (2003).
- [30] L. G. Garcia, F. Haas, L. P. L. de Oliviera, and J. Goedert, Phys. Plasmas 12, 012302 (2005).
- [31] P. K. Shukla and L. Stenflo, Phys. Lett. A **355**, 378 (2006).
- [32] P. K. Shukla, Phys. Lett. A **357**, 229 (2006).
- [33] P. K. Shukla, L. Stenflo, and R. Bingham, Phys. Lett. A 359, 218 (2006).
- [34] P. K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 245001 (2006).
- [35] P. K. Shukla, S. Ali, L. Stenflo, and M. Marklund, Phys. Plasmas 13, 112111 (2006).
- [36] F. Haas, Europhys. Lett. 44, 45004 (2007).
- [37] M. Marklund and G. Brodin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 025001 (2007).
- [38] G. Brodin and M. Marklund, New J. Phys. 9, 277 (2007).
- [39] G. Brodin and M. Marklund, Phys. Plasmas 14, 112107 (2007).
- [40] G. Brodin and M. Marklund, Phys. Rev. E 76, 055403(R) (2007).
- [41] G. Brodin, M. Marklund and G. Manfredi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 175001 (2008)
- [42] P. K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 245001 (2006).

- [43] P. K. Shukla and B. Eliasson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 096401 (2007).
- [44] D. Shaikh and P. K. Shukla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 125002 (2007).
- [45] H. G. Craighead, Science **290**, 1532 (2000).
- [46] G. Manfredi and P.-A. Hervieux, Appl. Phys. Lett. 91, 061108 (2007).
- [47] W. Li, P. J. Tanner, and T. F. Gallagher, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 173001 (2005).
- [48] R. S. Fletcher, X. L. Zhang, and S. L. Rolston, Phys. Rev. Lett. 96, 105003 (2006).
- [49] M. Marklund and P. K. Shukla, Rev. Mod. Phys. 78, 591 (2006).
- [50] E. Lundström et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **96**, 083602 (2006).
- [51] G. Brodin, M. Marklund, B. Eliasson and P. K. Shukla, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98, 125001 (2007)
- [52] J. Lundin, J. Zamanian, M. Marklund and G. Brodin, Phys. Plasmas, 14, 062112 (2007).