

THE GENERALIZED CASSELS-TATE DUAL EXACT SEQUENCE FOR 1-MOTIVES

CRISTIAN D. GONZÁLEZ-AVILÉS AND KI-SENG TAN

ABSTRACT. We establish a generalized Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence for 1-motives over global fields. We thereby extend the main theorem of [4] from abelian varieties to arbitrary 1-motives.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a global field and let $M = (Y \rightarrow G)$ be a (Deligne) 1-motive over K , where Y is étale-locally isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^r for some $r \geq 0$ and G is a semiabelian variety over K . Let M^* be the 1-motive dual to M . If B is a topological abelian group, B^\wedge will denote the completion of B with respect to the family of open subgroups of finite index. Let $\text{III}^1(M)$ (resp. $\text{III}_\omega^1(M)$) denote the subgroup of $\mathbb{H}^1(K, M)$ of all classes which are locally trivial at all (resp. all but finitely many) primes of K . There exists a canonical exact sequence of discrete torsion groups

$$0 \rightarrow \text{III}^1(M) \rightarrow \text{III}_\omega^1(M) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^1(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

where we have written $\mathfrak{C}^1(M)$ for the cokernel of the middle map. By the local duality theorem for 1-motives [7, Theorem 2.3 and Proposition 2.9], the Pontryagin dual of the above exact sequence is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}^1(M)^D \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M^*)^\wedge \rightarrow \text{III}_\omega^1(M)^D \rightarrow \text{III}^1(M)^D \rightarrow 0,$$

where each group $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M^*)$ is endowed with the topology defined in [7, p.99]. A fundamental problem is to describe $\mathfrak{C}^1(M)^D$. This problem was first addressed in the case of elliptic curves E over number

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 11G35; Secondary 14G25.

Key words and phrases. 1-motives, Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence, Tate-Shafarevich groups, Selmer groups.

The first author is partially supported by Fondecyt grant 1080025. The second author was supported in part by the National Science Council of Taiwan, 97-2115-M-002-006-MY2.

fields K (i.e., $Y = 0$ and $G = E$ above), by J.W.S.Cassels (see [2, Theorem 7.1] and [3, Appendix 2]). Cassels showed that $\mathfrak{C}^1(E^*)^D$ is canonically isomorphic to the pro-Selmer group $T\text{Sel}(E)$ of E . This result was extended to abelian varieties A over number fields K by J.Tate, under the assumption that $\mathfrak{III}^1(A)$ is finite (unpublished). In this case $T\text{Sel}(A)$ is isomorphic to $H^0(K, A)^\wedge$ and $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge = H^0(K_v, A)^\wedge = H^0(K_v, A)$ for any v since $H^0(K_v, A)$ is profinite. Further, $\mathfrak{III}_\omega^1(A^*) = H^1(K, A^*)$ and $\mathfrak{III}^1(A^*)^D = \mathfrak{III}^1(A)$. The exact sequence obtained by Tate, now known as the *Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence*, is

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(K, A)^\wedge \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} H^0(K_v, A) \rightarrow H^1(K, A^*)^D \rightarrow \mathfrak{III}^1(A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Further, the image of $H^0(K, A)^\wedge$ is isomorphic to the closure $\overline{H^0(K, A)}$ of the diagonal image of $H^0(K, A)$ in $\prod_{\text{all } v} H^0(K_v, A)$. See [11, Remark I.6.14(b), p.102]. The preceding exact sequence was recently extended to arbitrary 1-motives over number fields by D.Harari and T.Szamuely [7, Theorem 1.2], again under the assumption that $\mathfrak{III}^1(M)$ is finite. These authors established the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)} \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{III}_\omega^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow \mathfrak{III}^1(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the middle map is induced by the local pairings of [7, §2]. This natural analogue of (1) was used in [op.cit., §6] to study weak approximation on semiabelian varieties over number fields. However, it does not provide a description of $\mathfrak{C}^1(M)^D$ when $\mathfrak{III}^1(M)$ is finite. Our objective in this paper is to describe $\mathfrak{C}^1(M)^D$ for any K independently of the finiteness assumption on $\mathfrak{III}^1(M)$. In order to state our main result, let

$$\text{Sel}(M)_n = \text{Ker} \left[H^1(K, T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M)_n \right]$$

be the n -th Selmer group of M , where n is any positive integer and $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)$ is the n -adic realization of M . Let $T\text{Sel}(M) = \varprojlim_n \text{Sel}(M)_n$ be the pro-Selmer group of M . Our main theorem is the following result.

Theorem 1.1. (The generalized Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence for 1-motives). *Let M be a 1-motive over a global field K . Then there*

exists a canonical exact sequence of profinite groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{III}^2(M^*)^D &\rightarrow T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \\ &\rightarrow \text{III}_\omega^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow \text{III}^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $M = (0 \rightarrow A)$ is an abelian variety, then $\text{III}^2(M^*)^D = 0$ and we recover the main theorem of [4]. Applications of Theorem 1.1 will be given in [6].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank C.U.Jensen, P.Jossen and J.S.Milne for helpful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let K be a global field, i.e. K is a finite extension of \mathbb{Q} (the “number field case”) or is finitely generated and of transcendence degree 1 over a finite field of constants k (the “function field case”). For any prime v of K , K_v will denote the completion of K at v and \mathcal{O}_v will denote the corresponding ring of integers. Thus \mathcal{O}_v is a complete discrete valuation ring. Further, X will denote either the spectrum of the ring of integers of K (in the number field case) or the unique smooth complete curve over k with function field K (in the function field case).

All cohomology groups below are flat (fppf) cohomology groups.

For any topological abelian group B , we set $B^D = \text{Hom}_{\text{cont.}}(B, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$ and endow it with the compact-open topology, where \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} carries the discrete topology. If n is any positive integer, B/n will denote B/nB with the quotient topology. Let $B_\wedge = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B/n$ with the inverse limit topology. Further, define $B^\wedge = \varprojlim_{U \in \mathcal{U}} B/U$, where \mathcal{U} denotes the family of open subgroups of finite index in B . If $B_\sim := \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B/\overline{nB}$, where \overline{nB} denotes the closure of nB in B , then there exists a canonical isomorphism $(B_\sim)^\wedge = B^\wedge$. Consequently, there exists a canonical map $B_\wedge \rightarrow B^\wedge$. If B is discrete (or compact), then $B_\sim = B_\wedge$ and therefore $(B_\wedge)^\wedge = B^\wedge$. We also note that $B^\wedge = B$ if B is profinite (see, e.g., [14, Theorem 2.1.3, p.22]). For any positive integer n , B_n will denote the n -torsion subgroup of B and $TB = \varprojlim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ is the total Tate module of B . Note that $TB = 0$ if B is finite.

Let $M = (Y \rightarrow G)$ be a Deligne 1-motive over K , where Y is étale-locally isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^r for some r and G is a semiabelian variety (for basic information on 1-motives over global fields, see [7, §1] or [5, §3]).

Let n be a positive integer. The n -adic realization of M is a finite and flat K -group scheme $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)$ which fits into an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow G_n \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M) \rightarrow Y/n \rightarrow 0.$$

There exists a perfect pairing

$$T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M) \times T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M^*) \rightarrow \mu_n,$$

where μ_n is the sheaf of n -th roots of unity. Further, given positive integers n and m with $n \mid m$, there exist canonical maps $T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(M)$ and $T_{\mathbb{Z}/m}(M) \rightarrow T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)$. Let $T(M)_{\text{tors}} = \varinjlim T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)$. Further, for any $i \geq 0$, define

$$H^i(K, T(M)) = \varprojlim_n H^i(K, T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)).$$

If v is archimedean and $i \geq -1$, $\mathbb{H}^i(K_v, M)$ will denote the (finite, 2-torsion) *reduced* (Tate) hypercohomology groups of M_{K_v} defined in [7, p.103]. All groups $\mathbb{H}^i(K_v, M)$ will be given the discrete topology, except for $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)$ for non-archimedean v . The latter group will be given the topology defined in [7, p.99]. Thus there exists an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M) \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0$, where F is finite and I is an open subgroup of $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)$ which is isomorphic to $G(K_v)/L$ for some finitely generated subgroup L of $G(K_v)$. If n is a positive integer, $G(K_v)/n$ is profinite (see [5, beginning of §5]). Thus the exactness of

$$L/n \rightarrow G(K_v)/n \rightarrow I/n \rightarrow 0$$

shows that I/n is profinite as well. Now the exactness of

$$F_n \rightarrow I/n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n \rightarrow F/n \rightarrow 0$$

shows that $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n$ is profinite (see [14, Proposition 2.2.1(e), p.28]). The latter also holds if v is archimedean. We conclude that $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)_\wedge$ is profinite for every v (see [14, Proposition 2.2.1(d), p.28]).

All groups $\mathbb{H}^i(K, M)$ will be endowed with the discrete topology.

Lemma 2.1. $\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)_\wedge$ is Hausdorff, locally compact and σ -compact.

Proof. This follows from the fact that $\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)_\wedge$ is topologically isomorphic to a countable direct limit of compact groups. Indeed, there exists a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)_\wedge = \varinjlim_{(U, \mathcal{M}) \in \mathcal{F}} \mathbb{H}^0(U, \mathcal{M})_\wedge,$$

where \mathcal{F} is the set of all pairs (U, \mathcal{M}) such that U is a nonempty open affine subscheme of X and \mathcal{M} is a 1-motive over U which extends M (cf. [5, proof of Lemma 2.3]). By [7, Lemma 3.2(3), p.107], each

$\mathbb{H}^0(U, \mathcal{M})_\wedge$ is profinite. Further, since the complement of U in X is a finite set of primes of K and K has only countably many primes, \mathcal{F} is countable. \square

For each $i \geq 0$, let $\mathbb{P}^i(M)$ be the restricted direct product over all primes of K of the groups $\mathbb{H}^i(K_v, M)$ with respect to the subgroups

$$\mathbb{H}_{\text{nr}}^i(K_v, M) = \text{Im} [\mathbb{H}^i(\mathcal{O}_v, \mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^i(K_v, M)]$$

for $v \in U$, where U is any nonempty open subscheme of X such that M extends to a 1-motive \mathcal{M} over U . The groups $P^i(F)$ are defined similarly for any abelian fppf sheaf F on $\text{Spec } K$. By [7, Lemma 5.3]¹, $\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge$ is the restricted direct product of the groups $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)_\wedge$ with respect to the subgroups $\mathbb{H}^0(\mathcal{O}_v, \mathcal{M})_\wedge$. It is therefore Hausdorff, locally compact and σ -compact (see [10, 6.16(c), p.57]). Further, by [7, Theorems 2.3 and 2.10], the dual of $\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge$ is $\mathbb{P}^1(M^*)$, whence the dual of the profinite group $\mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge$ is the discrete torsion group $\mathbb{P}^1(M^*)_{\text{tors}}$.

Recall that a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of topological groups is said to be *strict* if the induced map $A/\text{Ker } f \rightarrow \text{Im } f$ is an isomorphism of topological groups. Equivalently, f is strict if it is open onto its image [1, §III.2.8, Proposition 24(b), p.236]. We will need the following

Lemma 2.2. *Let $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ be an exact sequence of abelian topological groups and strict morphisms. If $C \rightarrow C^\wedge$ is injective, then $A^\wedge \xrightarrow{\hat{f}} B^\wedge \xrightarrow{\hat{g}} C^\wedge$ is also exact.*

Proof. The map $A \rightarrow \text{Im } f$ induced by f is an open surjection, so $A^\wedge \rightarrow (\text{Im } f)^\wedge$ is surjective as well. Further, since $B \rightarrow \text{Im } g$ is an open surjection, the sequence $(\text{Im } f)^\wedge \rightarrow B^\wedge \rightarrow (\text{Im } g)^\wedge \rightarrow 0$ is exact [7, Appendix]. Finally, since C injects into C^\wedge , $(\text{Im } g)^\wedge$ is the closure of $\text{Im } g$ in C^\wedge , whence $(\text{Im } g)^\wedge \rightarrow C^\wedge$ is injective. \square

3. THE POITOU-TATE EXACT SEQUENCE FOR 1-MOTIVES OVER FUNCTION FIELDS

For any positive integer n , there exists a canonical exact commutative diagram

$$(2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)/n & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^1(K, M)_n \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^0(M)/n & \longrightarrow & P^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1(M)_n \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

¹ This result and its proof remain valid in the function field case, using the fact that $H_v^1(\mathcal{O}_v, T_{\mathbb{Z}/p^m}(M)) = 0$ for any m by [13, beginning of §7, p.349].

whose vertical maps are induced by the canonical morphisms $\text{Spec } K_v \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$. For the exactness of the rows, see [7, p.109]. Now, for any $i \geq -1$, set

$$\mathbb{I}^i(M) = \text{Ker} \left[\mathbb{H}^i(K, M) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^i(M) \right].$$

Further, define

$$\text{Sel}(M)_n = \text{Ker} \left[H^1(K, T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(M)_n \right],$$

where the map involved is the composite

$$H^1(K, T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) \rightarrow P^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(M)_n.$$

Now set

$$\begin{aligned} T\text{Sel}(M) &= \varprojlim_n \text{Sel}(M)_n \\ P^1(T(M)) &= \varprojlim_n P^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $(\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)/n)$ and $(\mathbb{P}^0(M)/n)$ are inverse systems with surjective transition maps, the inverse limit of (2) is an exact commutative diagram

$$(3) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)_\wedge & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, T(M)) & \longrightarrow & T\mathbb{H}^1(K, M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge & \longrightarrow & P^1(T(M)) & \longrightarrow & T\mathbb{P}^1(M) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

(see, e.g., [9, Example 9.1.1, p.192]). The above diagram yields an exact sequence

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)_\wedge \rightarrow T\text{Sel}(M) \rightarrow T\mathbb{I}^1(M) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, if $\mathbb{I}^1(M)$ is finite, then $T\text{Sel}(M)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)_\wedge$. In particular, $T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge = (\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)_\wedge)^\wedge = \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)^\wedge$.

Lemma 3.1. *$T\text{Sel}(M)$ is locally compact and σ -compact.*

Proof. By [7, Lemma 3.2(2)] and [5, Lemma 6.5], $\mathbb{I}^1(M)_n$ is finite for any n . Thus $T\mathbb{I}^1(M)$ is profinite and the lemma follows from (4), Lemma 2.1 and [15, Theorem 6.10(c), p.57]. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The canonical map $H^1(K, T(M)) \twoheadrightarrow T\mathbb{H}^1(K, M)$ appearing in diagram (3) induces an isomorphism*

$$H^1(K, T(M))/T\text{Sel}(M) \simeq T\mathbb{H}^1(K, M)/T\mathbb{I}^1(M).$$

Proof. This is immediate from diagram (3) and the definitions of $\mathbb{I}^1(M)$ and $T\text{Sel}(M)$. \square

By definition of $T\text{Sel}(M)$, diagram (3) induces a canonical map

$$\theta_0: T\text{Sel}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge.$$

Proposition 3.3. *There exists a perfect pairing*

$$\text{Ker } \theta_0 \times \text{III}^2(M^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where the first group is profinite and the second is discrete and torsion.

Proof. (Cf. [7, proof of Proposition 5.1, p.119]) There exists a canonical exact commutative diagram

$$(5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & T\text{Sel}(M) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, T(M)) & \twoheadrightarrow & T\mathbb{H}^1(K, M)/T\text{III}^1(M) \\ & & \downarrow \theta_0 & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge & \longrightarrow & P^1(T(M)) & \longrightarrow & T\mathbb{P}^1(M). \end{array}$$

The top row is exact by Lemma 3.2. Clearly, $\text{Ker}(\theta_0) = \text{Ker}(\theta)$. Now, by Poitou-Tate duality for finite modules ([13, Theorem I.4.10, p.70] and [5, Theorem 4.9]), for each n there exists a perfect pairing of finite groups

$$\text{III}^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) \times \text{III}^2(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M^*)) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

We conclude that $\text{Ker}(\theta) = \varprojlim_n \text{III}^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M))$ is canonically dual to $\text{III}^2(T(M^*)_{\text{tors}}) := \varinjlim_n \text{III}^2(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M^*))$. But [5, proof of Lemma 5.8(a)] shows that $\text{III}^2(T(M^*)_{\text{tors}}) = \text{III}^2(M^*)$, which completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.4. In the number field case, $\text{III}^2(M^*)$ is known to be finite [12]. Further [op.cit., proof of Theorem 9.4], the finite group $\text{Ker}(\theta_0) = \varprojlim_n \text{III}^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M))$ is canonically isomorphic to

$$\text{Ker} \left[\mathbb{H}^0(K, M) \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)_\wedge \right],$$

which conjecturally is the same as $\text{III}^0(M)$.

Lemma 3.5. *θ_0 is a strict morphism.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, [10, Theorem 5.29, p.42] and [15, Theorem 4.8, p.45], it suffices to check that $\text{Im } \theta_0$ is a closed subgroup of the locally compact Hausdorff group $\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge$. The image of the map θ in diagram (5) can be identified with the kernel of the map

$$P^1(T(M)) \rightarrow H^1(K, T(M^*)_{\text{tors}})^D$$

coming from the Poitou-Tate exact sequence for finite modules ([13, Theorem I.4.10, p.70] and [5, Theorem 4.12])². Now diagram (5) shows that $\text{Im } \theta_0$ can be identified with the kernel of the continuous composite map

$$\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge \rightarrow P^1(T(M)) \rightarrow H^1(K, T(M^*)_{\text{tors}})^D.$$

Thus $\text{Im } \theta_0$ is indeed closed in $\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge$. \square

There exists a natural commutative diagram

$$(6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} T\text{Sel}(M) & \xrightarrow{\theta_0} & \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\beta_0} & \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge, \end{array}$$

where $\beta_0 = \widehat{\theta}_0$.

Lemma 3.6. *The vertical maps in the preceding diagram are injective.*

Proof. (Cf. [7, proof of Proposition 5.4, p.119]) Let $\xi = (\xi_n) \in T\text{Sel}(M)$ be nonzero. Then, for some n , $\xi_n \in \text{Sel}(M)_n$ is nonzero. Since the canonical map $\text{Sel}(M)_n \rightarrow \text{Sel}(M)_n^\wedge$ is injective by [7, Lemma 5.5], we conclude that the image of ξ_n in $\text{Sel}(M)_n^\wedge$ is nonzero. Consequently, there exists a subgroup N of $\text{Sel}(M)_n$, of finite index, such that $\xi_n \notin N$. It follows that ξ is not contained in the inverse image of N under the canonical map $T\text{Sel}(M) \rightarrow \text{Sel}(M)_n$, which is an open subgroup of finite index in $T\text{Sel}(M)$. We conclude that the image of ξ in $T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge$ is nonzero. This proves the injectivity of the left-hand vertical map in diagram (6). To prove the injectivity of the right-hand vertical map, let $x = (x_v) \in \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge$ be nonzero. Then $x \notin n\mathbb{P}^0(M)$ for some n , whence $x_v \notin n\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)$ for some v (see [7, Lemma 5.3, p.118]). Thus the image of x under the canonical map

$$\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n = (\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n)^\wedge$$

is nonzero, where the equality comes from the fact that $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n$ is profinite. But the preceding map factors through $\mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge$, so the image of x in $\mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge$ is nonzero. \square

Proposition 3.7. *The map $\text{Ker } \theta_0 \rightarrow \text{Ker } \beta_0$ induced by diagram (6) is an isomorphism.*

² This uses the fact that $\varprojlim_n^{(1)} \text{III}^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M)) = 0$, which holds since each $\text{III}^1(T_{\mathbb{Z}/n}(M))$ is finite. See [11, Proposition 2.3, p.14].

Proof. The injectivity of the above map is immediate from Lemma 3.6. Now, by Lemmas 2.2, 3.5 and 3.6, the exact sequence

$$\mathrm{Ker} \theta_0 \rightarrow T\mathrm{Sel}(M) \xrightarrow{\theta_0} \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge$$

induces an exact sequence

$$(\mathrm{Ker} \theta_0)^\wedge \rightarrow T\mathrm{Sel}(M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge.$$

But $(\mathrm{Ker} \theta_0)^\wedge = \mathrm{Ker} \theta_0$ since $\mathrm{Ker} \theta_0$ is profinite by Proposition 3.3, so $\mathrm{Ker} \theta_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Ker} \beta_0$ is indeed surjective. \square

For each v and any $n \geq 1$, there exists a canonical pairing

$$(-, -)_v: \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n \times \mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M^*)_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$$

which vanishes on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{nr}}^0(K_v, M)/n \times \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{nr}}^1(K_v, M^*)_n$. See [7, p.99 and proof of Theorem 2.10, p.104]. Let $\gamma'_{0,n}: \mathbb{P}^0(M)/n \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)_n)^D$ be defined as follows. For $x = (x_v) \in \mathbb{P}^0(M)/n$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)_n$, set

$$\gamma'_{0,n}(x)(\xi) = \sum_{\text{all } v} (x_v, \xi|_{K_v})_v,$$

where $\xi|_{K_v}$ is the image of ξ under the canonical map $\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)_n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M^*)_n$ (the sum is actually finite since $x_v \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{nr}}^0(K_v, M)/n$ and $\xi|_{K_v} \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{nr}}^1(K_v, M)_n$ for all but finitely many primes v). Consider the map

$$\gamma'_0 := \varprojlim_n \gamma'_{0,n}: \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D.$$

By [7, p.122], the sequence

$$(7) \quad T\mathrm{Sel}(M) \xrightarrow{\theta_0} \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge \xrightarrow{\gamma'_0} \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D$$

is a complex.

Lemma 3.8. *The sequence (7) is exact.*

Proof. As noted in the proof of Lemma 3.5, $\mathrm{Im} \theta_0$ is the kernel of the composite map

$$\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge \rightarrow P^1(T(M)) \rightarrow H^1(K, T(M^*)_{\mathrm{tors}})^D.$$

Further, there exists a canonical commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge & \longrightarrow & P^1(T(M)) \\ \downarrow \gamma'_0 & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D & \hookrightarrow & H^1(K, T(M^*)_{\mathrm{tors}})^D, \end{array}$$

where the bottom map is the dual of the surjection of discrete groups $H^1(K, T(M^*)_{\mathrm{tors}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)$ (the latter map is the direct limit over

n of the surjections appearing on the top row of diagram (2) for M^*). We conclude that $\text{Im } \theta_0 = \text{Ker } \gamma'_0$, as claimed. \square

Lemma 3.9. γ'_0 is a strict morphism.

Proof. Since $\mathbb{P}^0(M)_\wedge$ is locally compact and σ -compact and $\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D$ is profinite, it suffices to check, by [10, Theorem 5.29, p.42] and [15, Theorem 4.8, p.45], that $\text{Im } \gamma'_0$ is closed in $\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D$ (cf. proof of Lemma 3.5). By Lemma 3.8 and diagram (5), $\text{Im } \gamma'_0 = \text{Coker } \theta_0$ (with the quotient topology) injects as a closed subgroup of $\text{Coker } \theta$. On the other hand, the Poitou-Tate exact sequence for finite modules ([13, Theorem I.4.10, p.70] and [5, Theorem 4.12]) shows that $\text{Coker } \theta$ is a closed subgroup of the compact group $H^1(K, T(M^*)_{\text{tors}})^D$. It follows that $\text{Im } \gamma'_0$ is a compact (and hence closed) subgroup of the Hausdorff group $\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D$. \square

Now consider

$$\gamma_0 = (\gamma'_0)^\wedge : \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D)^\wedge = \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D.$$

Proposition 3.10. The sequence

$$T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\gamma_0} \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D,$$

is exact.

Proof. This follows by applying Lemma 2.2 to the exact sequence (7) using Lemmas 3.5 and 3.9. \square

The following is the main result of this Section. It extends [7, Theorem 5.6, p.120] to the function field case.

Theorem 3.11. Let K be a global function field and let M be a 1-motive over K . Assume that $\mathbb{H}^1(M)$ is finite. Then there exists a canonical 12-term exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathbb{H}^{-1}(K, M)^\wedge & \xhookrightarrow{\gamma_2^D} & \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^2(K_v, M^*)^D & \xrightarrow{\beta_2^D} & \mathbb{H}^2(K, M^*)^D & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D & \xleftarrow{\gamma_0} & \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge & \xleftarrow{\beta_0} & \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)^\wedge & & \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ \mathbb{H}^1(K, M) & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & \mathbb{P}^1(M)_{\text{tors}} & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & (\mathbb{H}^0(K, M^*)^D)_{\text{tors}} & & \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \\ \mathbb{H}^{-1}(K, M^*)^D & \xleftarrow{\gamma_2} & \bigoplus_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^2(K_v, M) & \xleftarrow{\beta_2} & \mathbb{H}^2(K, M), & & \end{array}$$

where the maps β_i are canonical localization maps, the maps γ_i are induced by local duality and the unlabeled maps are defined in the proof.

Proof. The exactness of the first line follows as in [7, p.122], using [5, Theorem 4.12] and noting that [7, Lemma 5.8] remains valid (with the same proof) in the function field case. The top right-hand vertical map $\mathbb{H}^2(K, M^*)^D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)^\wedge$ is the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{H}^2(K, M^*)^D \rightarrow \mathbb{III}^2(M^*)^D &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } \theta_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ker } \beta_0 \\ &\hookrightarrow T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge = \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)^\wedge, \end{aligned}$$

where the isomorphisms come from Propositions 3.3 and 3.7 and the equality is a consequence of the finiteness hypothesis on $\mathbb{III}^1(M)$. The exactness of the second line of the sequence of the theorem is the content of Proposition 3.10 (again using the equality $T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge = \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)^\wedge$). Since γ_0 is the dual of the natural map $\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(M^*)_{\text{tors}}$ and $\mathbb{III}^1(M^*)^D \simeq \mathbb{III}^1(M)$ by [7, Corollary 4.9 and Remark 5.10] and [5, corollary 6.7], we conclude that there exists an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{H}^{-1}(K, M)^\wedge & \xrightarrow{\gamma_2^D} & \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^2(K_v, M^*)^D & \xrightarrow{\beta_2^D} & \mathbb{H}^2(K, M^*)^D \\ & & & & & & \downarrow \\ & & \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)^D & \xleftarrow{\gamma_0} & \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge & \xleftarrow{\beta_0} & \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)^\wedge \\ & & \downarrow & & & & \\ & & \mathbb{III}^1(M) & & & & \end{array}$$

The above is an exact sequence of profinite groups and continuous homomorphisms, so each morphism is strict [1, §III.2.8, p.237]. Consequently, the dual of the preceding sequence is also exact [15, Theorem 23.7, p.196]. Exchanging the roles of M and M^* in this dual exact sequence and noting that $(\mathbb{H}^0(K, M^*)^\wedge)^D = (\mathbb{H}^0(K, M^*)^D)_{\text{tors}}$ and $(\mathbb{H}^{-1}(K, M^*)^\wedge)^D = \mathbb{H}^{-1}(K, M^*)^D$ (since $\mathbb{H}^{-1}(K, M^*)$ is finitely

generated by [7, Lemma 2.1, p.98]), we obtain an exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & \mathbb{H}^1(M) & & & & \\
& & \downarrow & & & & \\
& & \mathbb{H}^1(K, M) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1(M)_{\text{tors}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathbb{H}^0(K, M^*)^D)_{\text{tors}} \\
& & & & & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{H}^{-1}(K, M^*)^D & \longleftarrow & \bigoplus_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^2(K_v, M) & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{H}^2(K, M).
\end{array}$$

The sequence of the theorem may now be obtained by splicing together the preceding two exact sequences. \square

4. THE GENERALIZED CASSELS-TATE DUAL EXACT SEQUENCE

For $i = 1$ or 2 , define

$$\mathbb{H}^i(T(M)) = \text{Ker} \left[H^i(K, T(M)) \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} H^i(K_v, T(M)) \right]$$

and

$$\mathbb{H}^i(M) = \text{Ker} \left[\mathbb{H}^i(K, M) \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^i(K_v, M) \right],$$

where the v -component of each of the maps involved is induced by the natural morphism $\text{Spec } K_v \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a perfect pairing*

$$\mathbb{H}^1(T(M^*)) \times \mathbb{H}^2(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where the first group is profinite and the second is discrete and torsion.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3. \square

Let S be any finite set of primes of K and define, for $i = 1$ or 2 ,

$$\mathbb{H}_S^i(T(M)) = \text{Ker} \left[H^i(K, T(M)) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} H^i(K_v, T(M)) \right]$$

and

$$\mathbb{H}_S^i(M) = \text{Ker} \left[\mathbb{H}^i(K, M) \rightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} \mathbb{H}^i(K_v, M) \right].$$

Thus $\mathbb{III}_\emptyset^i(T(M)) = \mathbb{III}^i(T(M))$ and $\mathbb{III}_\emptyset^i(M) = \mathbb{III}^i(M)$. Now partially order the family of finite sets S by defining $S \leq S'$ if $S \subset S'$. Then $\mathbb{III}_S^1(M) \subset \mathbb{III}_{S'}^1(M)$ for $S \leq S'$. Set

$$\mathbb{III}_\omega^1(M) = \varinjlim_S \mathbb{III}_S^1(M) = \bigcup_S \mathbb{III}_S^1(M) \subset \mathbb{H}^1(K, M),$$

where the transition maps in the direct limit are the inclusion maps. Thus $\mathbb{III}_\omega^1(M)$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{H}^1(K, M)$ of all classes which are locally trivial at all but finitely many places of K . Clearly, for each S as above, there exists an exact sequence of discrete torsion groups

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{III}^1(M^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{III}_S^1(M^*) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M^*)$$

whose dual is an exact sequence of profinite groups

$$(8) \quad \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\widehat{\theta}_S} \mathbb{III}_S^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow \mathbb{III}^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow 0.$$

The map $\widehat{\theta}_S$ is given by

$$\widehat{\theta}_S((m_v))(\xi) = \sum_{v \in S} (m_v, \xi|_{K_v})_v$$

where, for each $v \in S$, $(-, -)_v$ is the pairing of [7, Theorem 2.3(2) or Proposition 2.9] and $\xi|_{K_v}$ is the image of $\xi \in \mathbb{III}_S^1(M^*) \subset \mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*)$ in $\mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M^*)$ under the map induced by $\text{Spec } K_v \rightarrow \text{Spec } K$. We define $\widehat{\theta} = \varprojlim_S \widehat{\theta}_S: \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbb{III}_\omega^1(M^*)^D$.

Proposition 4.2. *There exists a canonical exact sequence*

$$T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}} \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\widehat{\theta}} \mathbb{III}_\omega^1(M^*)^D.$$

Further, the map $\widehat{\phi}$ factors as

$$T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\beta_0} \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge,$$

where the second map is the canonical one.

Proof. The sequence of Proposition 3.10 is an exact sequence of profinite groups and strict morphisms, so its dual

$$\mathbb{H}^1(K, M^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(M^*)_{\text{tors}} \xrightarrow{\beta_0^D} (T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge)^D$$

is also exact (cf. proof of Theorem 3.11). The above sequence induces an exact sequence of discrete groups

$$\mathbb{H}_S^1(M^*) \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M^*) \rightarrow (T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge)^D$$

whose dual is an exact sequence

$$T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge \rightarrow \prod_{v \in S} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\widehat{\theta}_S} \mathbb{H}_S^1(M^*)^D.$$

Taking the inverse limit over S above and noting that the inverse limit functor is exact on the category of profinite groups [14, Proposition 2.2.4, p.32], we obtain the exact sequence of the proposition. That $\widehat{\phi}$ has the stated factorization follows from the proof. \square

Proposition 4.3. *There exists a canonical isomorphism*

$$\text{Ker} \left[T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\widehat{\phi}} \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \right] = \mathbb{H}^2(M^*)^D,$$

where $\widehat{\phi}$ is the map of Proposition 4.2.

Proof. By Proposition 4.2 and the fact that $\text{Ker } \beta_0 = \text{Ker } \theta_0 = \mathbb{H}^2(M^*)^D$ by Propositions 3.3 and 3.7, it suffices to check that the canonical map $\mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge$ is injective. The argument is similar to that used in the proof of Lemma 3.6. Let $x \in \mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge$ be nonzero. There exists an open subgroup $U \subset \mathbb{P}^0(M)$ of finite index n (say) such that the U -component of x , $x_U + U \in \mathbb{P}^0(M)/U$ is nonzero, i.e., $x_U \notin U$. Then $x_U \notin n\mathbb{P}^0(M)$, whence $(x_U)_v \notin n\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)$ for some v . Thus the image of x in $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n = (\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n)^\wedge$ is nonzero. Since the map $\mathbb{P}^0(M)^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)/n)^\wedge$ factors through $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge$, the image of x in $\mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge$ is nonzero. \square

As noted earlier, the inverse limit functor is exact on the category of profinite groups, so the inverse limit over S of (8) is an exact sequence

$$\prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \xrightarrow{\widehat{\theta}} \mathbb{H}_\omega^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow 0.$$

We now use Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 to extend the above exact sequence to the left and obtain

Theorem 4.4. (The generalized Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence)
There exists a canonical exact sequence of profinite groups

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^2(M^*)^D &\rightarrow T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)^\wedge \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{H}_\omega^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 4.5. *There exists a canonical exact sequence of discrete torsion groups*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \text{III}^1(M) &\rightarrow \text{III}_\omega^1(M) \rightarrow \bigoplus \mathbb{H}^1(K_v, M) \\ &\rightarrow (T\text{Sel}(M^*)^\wedge)^{\text{all } v} \rightarrow \text{III}^2(M) \rightarrow 0. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We conclude this paper with the following result, which extends [8, Theorem 1.2] to the function field case.

Theorem 4.6. *Let K be a global function field and let M be a 1-motive over K . Assume that $\text{III}^1(M)$ is finite. Then there exists an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)} \rightarrow \prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M) \rightarrow \text{III}_\omega^1(M^*)^D \rightarrow \text{III}^1(M) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)}$ denotes the closure of the diagonal image of $\mathbb{H}^0(K, M)$ in $\prod_{\text{all } v} \mathbb{H}^0(K_v, M)$.

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as that of [8, Theorem 1.2], noting that $T\text{Sel}(M)^\wedge = \mathbb{H}^0(K, M)^\wedge$ if $\text{III}^1(M)$ is finite and using Proposition 4.2 in place of [8, Proposition 5.3(1)]. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bourbaki, N.: *General Topology*. Chapters 1-4. Springer, Berlin 1989.
- [2] Cassels, J.W.S: *Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. III. The Tate-Săfarevič and Selmer groups*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), vol. **12** (1962), 259-296 .
- [3] Cassels, J.W.S: *Arithmetic on curves of genus 1. VII. The dual exact sequence*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **216**, no. 1 (1964), 150-158.
- [4] González-Avilés, C.D. and Tan, K.-S.: *A Generalization of the Cassels-Tate dual exact sequence*. Math. Res. Lett. **14**, no. 2 (2007), 295-302.
- [5] González-Avilés, C.: *Arithmetic duality theorems for 1-motives over function fields*. To appear (see <http://front.math.ucdavis.edu/0709.4255>).
- [6] González-Avilés, C.: *On Tate-Shafarevich groups of 1-motives over Galois extensions*. In preparation.
- [7] Harari, D. and Szamuely, T.: *Arithmetic duality theorems for 1-motives*. J. reine angew. Math. **578** (2005), 93-128.
- [8] Harari, D. and Szamuely, T.: *Local-global principles for 1-motives*. Duke Math. J. **143** (2008), no. 3, 531-557.
- [9] Hartshorne, R.: *Algebraic Geometry*. vol. I. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1963.
- [10] Hewitt, E. and Ross, K.: *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, vol. I. Academic Press, Inc., New York, 1963.
- [11] Jensen, C.U.: *Les Foncteurs Dérivés de \varprojlim et leurs Applications en Théorie des Modules*. Lect. Notes in Math. vol. **254**, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg 1972.
- [12] Jossen, P.: *On the second Tate-Shafarevich group of a 1-motive*. To appear.

- [13] Milne, J.S.: *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*. Persp. in Math., vol. 1. Academic Press Inc., Orlando 1986.
- [14] Ribes, L. and Zalesskii, P.: *Profinite groups*. Ergebnisse de Mathematik Series, vol. 40, Springer, Berlin 2000.
- [15] Stroppel, M.: *Locally compact groups*. EMS textbooks in Mathematics, Zurich 2006.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD DE LA SERENA, CHILE
E-mail address: `cgonzalez@userena.cl`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL TAIWAN UNIVERSITY, TAIPEI
10764, TAIWAN
E-mail address: `tan@math.ntu.edu.tw`