

Exact universality from any entangling gate without inverses

Aram W. Harrow
 Dept. of Mathematics, U. of Bristol, Bristol, BS8 1TW, U.K.
 a.harrow@bris.ac.uk

December 12, 2018

Abstract

This note proves that arbitrary local gates together with any entangling bipartite gate V are universal. Previously this was known only when access to both V and V^\dagger was given, or when approximate universality was demanded.

A common situation in quantum computing is that we can apply only a limited set $S \subset \mathcal{U}_d$ of unitary gates to some d -dimensional system. The first question we want to ask in this situation is whether gates from S can (approximately) generate any gate in $\mathcal{PU}_d = \mathcal{U}_d/\mathcal{U}_1$ (the set of all $d \times d$ unitary matrices up to an overall phase). When this is possible, we say that S is (approximately) universal. See [1, 3, 4, 7] for original work on this subject, or Sect 4.5 of [9] or Chapter 8 of [8] for reviews.

Formally, S is universal (for \mathcal{PU}_d) if, for all $W \in \mathcal{PU}_d$, there exists $U_1, \dots, U_k \in S$ such that

$$W = U_k U_{k-1} \cdots U_2 U_1,$$

whereas U is approximately universal (for \mathcal{PU}_d) if, for all $W \in \mathcal{PU}_d$ and all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $U_1, \dots, U_k \in S$ such that

$$d(W, U_k U_{k-1} \cdots U_2 U_1) < \epsilon. \quad (1)$$

Here $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ can be any metric, but for concreteness we will take it to be the \mathcal{PU}_d analogue of operator distance:

$$d(U, V) := 1 - \inf_{|\psi\rangle \neq 0} \frac{\langle \psi | U^\dagger V | \psi \rangle}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle}.$$

Similar definitions could also be made for \mathcal{U}_d , other groups, or even semigroups.

A natural way to understand universality is in terms of the group generated by S , which we denote $\langle S \rangle$, and define to be smallest subgroup of \mathcal{PU}_d that contains S . An alternate and more constructive definition is that $\langle S \rangle$ consists of all products of a finite number of elements of S or their inverses. When S contains its own inverses (i.e. $S = S^{-1} := \{x : x^{-1} \in S\}$) then $\langle S \rangle$ provides a concise way to understand universality: S is universal iff $\langle S \rangle = \mathcal{PU}_d$ and S is approximately universal iff $\langle S \rangle$ is dense in \mathcal{PU}_d .

But what if S does not contain its own inverses? The equivalence between approximate universality and $\langle S \rangle$ being dense in \mathcal{PU}_d still holds. One direction remains trivial: if S is approximately universal then $\langle S \rangle$ is dense in \mathcal{PU}_d . The easiest way to prove the converse is with simultaneous Diophantine approximation, which implies that for any $U \in \mathcal{PU}_d$ and for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $d(U^n, U^{-1}) \leq \epsilon$. The

proof is due to Dirichlet, and for completeness we include it here¹. For any $W \in \mathcal{PU}_d$ and $\epsilon > 0$, the fact that $\langle S \rangle$ is dense in \mathcal{PU}_d means that there exists an $\frac{\epsilon}{2}$ -approximation to W of the form $U_1^{\pm 1} \dots U_k^{\pm 1}$, with each $U_i \in S$. Now we replace each U_i^{-1} term with $U_i^{n_i}$ for n_i satisfying $\|U_i^{n_i} - U_i^{-1}\| \leq \epsilon/2k$. By the triangle inequality this yields an ϵ -approximation to W out of a finite sequence of unitaries from S .

The case of exact universality is more difficult, and is the subject of the current note. Again if S is universal then $\langle S \rangle = \mathcal{PU}_d$, and again we would like to argue that the converse holds. Unfortunately this statement is not known to be true, and there may well be counter-examples along the lines of the Banach-Tarski paradox. However in the special case where S contains a non-trivial one-parameter subgroup then we can prove that universality with inverses implies universality without inverses. In fact we prove something a little stronger: not only can any element of \mathcal{PU}_d be written as a finite product of elements from S , but there is a uniform upper bound on the length of these products. If we define S^L to be the set of products of L elements from S , then we can prove

Theorem 1.

- (a) Suppose $S \subset \mathcal{PU}_d$, $\langle S \rangle = \mathcal{PU}_d$ and there exists a Hermitian matrix H such that H is not proportional to the identity and $e^{iHt} \in S$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then S is exactly universal for \mathcal{PU}_d . In fact there exists an integer L such that $S^L = \mathcal{PU}_d$.
- (b) Suppose $S \subset \mathcal{U}_d$, $\langle S \rangle = \mathcal{U}_d$ and there exists a Hermitian matrix H such that H has nonzero trace, H is not proportional to the identity and $e^{iHt} \in S$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then S is exactly universal for \mathcal{U}_d , and there exists L such that $S^L = \mathcal{U}_d$.

The main interest of this theorem is in its application to the setting of a bipartite quantum system where local unitaries are free and nonlocal operations are restricted. Say that $d = d_A d_B$ and that $S = \mathcal{U}_{d_A} \times \mathcal{U}_{d_B} \cup \{V\}$, where $\mathcal{U}_{d_A} \times \mathcal{U}_{d_B}$ is embedded in $\mathcal{U}_{d_A d_B}$ according to $(U_A, U_B) \rightarrow U_A \otimes U_B$ and V is some arbitrary unitary in $\mathcal{U}_{d_A d_B}$. In other words, we can perform V as well as arbitrary local unitaries, meaning unitaries of the form $U_A \otimes U_B$. Say that V is *imprimitive* if there exists $|\varphi_A\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d_A}, |\varphi_B\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^{d_B}$ such that $V(|\varphi_A\rangle \otimes |\varphi_B\rangle)$ is entangled. Equivalently V is imprimitive if it cannot be written as $U_A \otimes U_B$ for any $U_A \in \mathcal{U}_{d_A}, U_B \in \mathcal{U}_{d_B}$, nor, if $d_A = d_B$, as $\text{SWAP} \cdot (U_A \otimes U_B)$. Then [1] proved that $\langle S \rangle = \mathcal{PU}_d$ if and only V is imprimitive. It was claimed in [1] that in fact S was exactly universal when V is imprimitive, but their proof assumed that $V^\dagger \in S$. Theorem 1 then fills in the missing step in the proof of [1], and together with the fact that local unitaries contain at least one nontrivial one-parameter subgroup and the results of [1], we obtain

Corollary 2. *If $S = \mathcal{U}_{d_A} \times \mathcal{U}_{d_B} \cup \{V\}$ and V is imprimitive then S is exactly universal for $\mathcal{U}_{d_A d_B}$. In fact, there exists an integer L such that $S^L = \mathcal{U}_{d_A d_B}$.*

This corollary is used in [6] to prove that unitary gates have the same communication capacities with or without the requirement that clean protocols be used. Exact universality there is used to show that a protocol (possibly inefficient) exists for exact communication using a fixed bipartite unitary gates supplemented by arbitrary local operations. Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.

¹We prove the claim for $U \in \mathcal{U}_d$, and the \mathcal{PU}_d result will follow from the fact that ignoring a global phase can only decrease distance. Let the eigenvalues of U be $(e^{2\pi i \alpha_1}, \dots, e^{2\pi i \alpha_d})$ for some $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$. Here $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^d$ is the d -dimensional torus, which can be obtained by gluing together opposite faces of the hypercube $[0, 1]^d$. Note that under the L_∞ -norm, a ball of radius $\epsilon/2$ will have volume ϵ^d . Thus, if $n \geq 1/\epsilon^d$ then the set $\{0, \alpha, 2\alpha, \dots, (n-1)\alpha\}$ will have two distinct points, $n_1\alpha$ and $n_2\alpha$, with $\|n_1\alpha - n_2\alpha\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$. If $n' = |n_2 - n_1|$ then $0 < n' < n$ and $\|n'\alpha\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$. This implies that $\|U^{n'-1} - U^{-1}\|_\infty \leq |1 - e^{i\epsilon}| = 2 \sin \epsilon/2 \leq \epsilon$.

Proof. We start with an overview of the proof, and then discuss the details of each step. Let G denote the group we are working with, which could be either \mathcal{PU}_d or \mathcal{U}_d , and let $m = d^2 - 1$ if $G = \mathcal{PU}_d$ or $m = d^2$ if $G = \mathcal{U}_d$. Note that G is an m -dimensional manifold[5].

- (1) We will define a smooth (i.e. infinitely differentiable) map f from \mathbb{R}^m to G . It will have the property that df_0 (its derivative at the point 0) is non-singular.
- (2) We will construct a map $\tilde{f} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow G$ such that $d\tilde{f}_0$ is non-singular and there exists an integer ℓ such that $\tilde{f}(x) \in S^\ell$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.
- (3) We will construct an open neighborhood N of the identity matrix $I \in G$ such that $N \subset S^{\ell+\ell'}$ for some integer ℓ' .
- (4) We will show that $G = N^n$ for some integer n , and thus that $G = S^{n(\ell+\ell')}$.

Step 1: For some $U_1, \dots, U_m \in G$ to be determined later, we define

$$f(x) = U_1 e^{iHx_1} U_1^\dagger U_2 e^{iHx_2} U_2^\dagger \dots U_m e^{iHx_m} U_m^\dagger.$$

The partial derivatives at $x = 0$ are given by

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(0) = iU_j H U_j^\dagger.$$

We would like to choose U_1, \dots, U_m so that the $U_j H U_j^\dagger$ are linearly independent. Consider first the $G = \mathcal{PU}_d$ case. Then the space of Hermitian traceless matrices (which we call \mathfrak{su}_d) is a $d^2 - 1$ -dimensional irrep of G , so the span of $\{U H U^\dagger : U \in G\}$ is equal to all of \mathfrak{su}_d . Thus, there exists a basis of $m = d^2 - 1$ matrices of the form $U_j H U_j^\dagger$.

When $G = \mathcal{U}_d$, the tangent space is instead the set of Hermitian matrices \mathfrak{u}_d , which decomposes into irreps as $\mathfrak{u}_d = \mathfrak{su}_d \oplus \mathbb{R}I$. Since H is neither traceless nor proportional to I , it has nonzero overlap with both irreps. Again we would like to show that the span of $\{U H U^\dagger : U \in G\}$ (which we denote by \mathfrak{h}) is equal to \mathfrak{u}_d . First, we use the fact that \mathcal{U}_d acts transitively on matrices of fixed spectrum. Averaging over all $d!$ diagonal matrices isospectral to H we obtain find that $(\text{tr } H)I/d$, which we have assumed is nonzero, is in \mathfrak{h} . Second, we replace H with $H - (\text{tr } H)I/d$ (which is in \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{su}_d) and use the result for \mathcal{PU}_d to show that the span of $\mathfrak{su}_d \subset \mathfrak{h}$. Thus \mathfrak{h} equals all of \mathfrak{u}_d . Since \mathfrak{h} was spanned by matrices of the form $U H U^\dagger$, this means we can choose a set of d^2 linearly independent matrices $U_1 H U_1^\dagger, \dots, U_m H U_m^\dagger$ to form a basis for $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{u}_d$.

In either case, df_0 has m linearly independent columns of length m , and thus is non-singular. Denote the smallest singular value of df_0 by ϵ .

Step 2: Since $\langle S \rangle = G$, S is approximately universal and so we can approximate U_j and U_j^\dagger with products of elements of S , which we call \tilde{U}_j and \tilde{U}_j^\dagger respectively. Demand that each approximation be accurate to within $\epsilon/4m$, so that the total error is $\leq \epsilon/2$. We then define \tilde{f} as follows:

$$\tilde{f}(x) := \tilde{U}_1 e^{iHx_1} \tilde{U}_1^\dagger \tilde{U}_2 e^{iHx_2} \tilde{U}_2^\dagger \dots \tilde{U}_m e^{iHx_m} \tilde{U}_m^\dagger.$$

Note that $d(f(x), \tilde{f}(x)) \leq \epsilon/2$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Since ϵ is the smallest singular value of df_0 , then the smallest singular value of $d\tilde{f}_0$ must be $\geq \epsilon/2$, and thus $d\tilde{f}_0$ is non-singular.

Additionally, each $e^{iHx_j} \in S$ and each \widetilde{U}_j and \widetilde{U}_j^\dagger is a product of a finite number of elements from S , so there exists ℓ such that $\tilde{f}(x) \in S^\ell$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Step 3: According to the inverse function theorem (see e.g. [5]), \tilde{f} is a local diffeomorphism at 0. This means that there exists a neighborhood X of 0 such that $\tilde{f}(X)$ is a neighborhood of $\tilde{f}(0)$ and $\tilde{f} : X \rightarrow \tilde{f}(X)$ is a diffeomorphism (one-to-one, onto, smooth and such that \tilde{f}^{-1} is also smooth). Let $B_\delta(U) := \{V : d(U, V) < \delta\}$ denote the open ball of radius δ around U . Since $\tilde{f}(X)$ is a neighborhood of $\tilde{f}(0)$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $B_{2\delta}(\tilde{f}(0)) \subset \tilde{f}(X)$. Now we again use the approximate universality of S to construct a δ -approximation to $\tilde{f}(0)^{-1}$, which we call V . Then $V \cdot \tilde{f}(X)$ contains $B_\delta(I) =: N$. Additionally, if $V \in S^{\ell'}$ then $N \subset V \cdot \tilde{f}(X) \subset S^{\ell+\ell'}$.

Step 4: If $n > \pi/2 \sin^{-1}(\delta/2)$ then $B_\delta(I)^n = G$. This is because $G = \{e^{iH} : \|H\|_\infty \leq \pi\}$ (optionally modulo overall phase) and $B_\delta(I) = \{e^{iH} : \|H\|_\infty \leq 2 \sin^{-1}(\delta/2)\}$. Thus $G = S^{n(\ell+\ell')}$. \square

We conclude with some open questions. First, it would be nice to know the exact conditions on S for which $\langle S \rangle = G$ implies exact universality. A perhaps more important question is that of efficiency. If S is approximately universal and contains its own inverses, then the Solovay-Kitaev theorem[2, 8] states that any gate can be approximated to an accuracy ϵ by S^ℓ for $\ell = \text{poly} \log(1/\epsilon)$. But if S does not contain its own inverses, the best bound known on ℓ is the trivial $\text{poly}(1/\epsilon)$ bound from Dirichlet's theorem.

Acknowledgments: My funding is from the U.S. Army Research Office under grant W9111NF-05-1-0294, the European Commission under Marie Curie grants ASTQIT (FP6-022194) and QAP (IST-2005-15848), and the U.K. Engineering and Physical Science Research Council through "QIP IRC."

References

- [1] J.-L. Brylinski and R. Brylinski, *Universal quantum gates*, Mathematics of Quantum Computation, 2002, available at [arXiv:quant-ph/0108062](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0108062).
- [2] C. M. Dawson and M. A. Nielsen, *The Solovay-Kitaev algorithm*, Quantum Inf. Comput. **6** (2006), no. 1, 81–95, available at [arXiv:quant-ph/0505030](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0505030).
- [3] D. Deutsch, A. Barenco, and A. Ekert, *Universality in Quantum Computation*, 1995, [arXiv:quant-ph/9505018](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9505018).
- [4] M. Freedman, A. Kitaev, and J. Lurie, *Diameters of Homogeneous Spaces*, 2002, [arXiv:quant-ph/0209113](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0209113).
- [5] V. Guillemin and A. Pollack, *Differential Topology*, Prentice Hall, 1974.
- [6] A.W. Harrow and P.W. Shor, *Time reversal and exchange symmetries of unitary gate capacities*, 2005, [arXiv:quant-ph/0511219](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/0511219).
- [7] S. L. Lloyd, *Almost any quantum logic gate is universal*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995), no. 2, 346–349.
- [8] A. Yu. Kitaev, A. H. Shen, and M. N. Vyalyi, *Classical and Quantum Computation*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 47, AMS, 2002.
- [9] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2000.