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#### Abstract

This paper concerns the problem of non-ideal state transfer along the alternating open chain of spins $s=1 / 2$ with the $X Y$ Hamiltonian. It is found that the state transfer along the chain with even number of spins $N(N=4,6,8)$ may be realized with high probability. Privilege of even $N$ in comparison with odd $N$ is demonstrated.
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## 1 Introduction

Transmission of a quantum state from one place to another during specific time interval (quantum state transfer) is an important problem in development of quantum communication systems. The simplest model of such transfer is the quantum state transfer in an open $1 / 2$-spin chain. In this case the problem may be formulated as follows. Let the spin chain be placed in the static magnetic field and all spins are directed along the field except the first one which is directed opposite to the field initially at $t=0$ (initial condition). If at some moment of time $t_{t r}>0$ we detect that $k$-th spin is directed opposite to the field then we say that the quantum state has been transfered from the first

[^0]node to the $k$-th node of the spin chain. Since the total spin projection must be conserved in this experiment, all other spins will be directed along the magnetic field at $t=t_{t r}$. Only such quantum processes will be considered in this letter. We say that the quantum state is transfered along the spin chain if it is transfered from the first to the last node of this chain.

Different aspects of the quantum state transfer problem were studied, for instance, in refs. [1,2,3,4,5,6]. In [3] the possibility of the ideal transfer (i.e. transfer with probability equal to 1 ) of the initial quantum state along the homogeneous $1 / 2$-spin chains of two- and three- nodes was shown. In order to perform the ideal state transfer along the longer chains, authors suggest to use the inhomogeneous symmetric chains with different coupling constants among the neighboring nodes. But long chains constructed in this way have two basic disadvantages: (a) coupling constants have particular values for each pair of nodes of the first half of the symmetric chain so that the increase of the length requires recalculation of all coupling constants and (b) spread of coupling constants increases with increase of the length of the chain, which is hard for the practical implementation.

The fact that inhomogeneous spin chains may resolve the problem of the ideal state transfer stimulates the deep study of such chains. Thus, the spin dynamics in alternating spin chains (i.e. chains with two different alternating coupling constants between nearest neighbor nodes) with the XY Hamiltonian at high temperatures was studied in 4] (odd number of nodes $N$ ) and in [5] (even number of nodes $N$ ). It was demonstrated [5] that the ideal quantum state transfer along the alternating chain with $N=4$ may be performed for set of different pairs of coupling constants. However, $N=4$ seams to be the maximal length of the alternating chain along which the state may be perfectly transfered, which agrees with [3]. The long-distance entanglement in alternating $1 / 2$-spin chain as well as in homogeneous $1 / 2$-spin chain with small end bonds at zero temperature was studied in [6]. They found that the maximal entanglement between ends of the long spin chain is possible only in the limit of the exact dimerization.

This letter concerns mainly the high-probability (rather then ideal) state transfer along the alternating $1 / 2$-spin chains with even number of nodes in an inhomogeneous magnetic field. The reasoning of the non-ideal state transfer originates from the fact that the ideal state transfer is hardly reachable because of, at least, two following obstacles.
(1) Nearest neighbor approximation has been used in study of the ideal state transfer in [3,4,5], which is not enough to generate the ideal state transfer in practice where all nodes interact with each other.
(2) Different coupling constants in an inhomogeneous spin chain may not be produced with absolute accuracy.

The Hamiltonian of this system in the approximation of the nearest neighbors interaction may be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\sum_{n=1}^{N} \omega_{n} I_{n}+\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} D_{n}\left(I_{n, x} I_{n+1, x}+I_{n, y} I_{n+1, y}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{n, \alpha}$ is the projection operator of the $n$th total spin on the $\alpha$ axis, $w_{n}$ is the Larmor spin frequency of the $n$-th node and $D_{n}$ is a spin-spin coupling constant. We set

$$
w_{n}=0, \quad D_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D_{1}, n=1,3, \ldots  \tag{2}\\
D_{2}, n=2,4, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Using Jourdan-Wigner transformation [7]

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{n}^{-}=I_{n, x}-i I_{n, y}=(-2)^{n-1}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-1} I_{l, z}\right) c_{n}  \tag{3}\\
& I_{n}^{+}=I_{n, x}+i I_{n, y}=(-2)^{n-1}\left(\prod_{l=1}^{n-1} I_{l, z}\right) c_{n}^{+} \\
& I_{n, z}=c_{n}^{+} c_{n}-1 / 2
\end{align*}
$$

(where $c_{n}^{+}$and $c_{n}$ are creation and annihilation operators of spin-less fermions) we transform this Hamiltonian to the following one [4,5]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H} & =\frac{1}{2} c^{+} D c, c^{+}=\left(c_{1}^{+}, \ldots, c_{N}^{+}\right), \quad c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{N}\right)^{t},  \tag{4}\\
D & =\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & D_{1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
D_{1} & 0 & D_{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
0 & D_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & D_{j} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & D_{j} & 0
\end{array}\right), j=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1, \text { even } N \\
2, \text { odd } N
\end{array} .\right.
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n\rangle=|\underbrace{0 \ldots 0}_{n-1} 1 \underbrace{0 \ldots 0}_{N-n}\rangle \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

be the state where $n$-th spin is directed opposite to the external magnetic field while all other spins are directed along the field. It was shown [5] that the probability for the system to be initially in the state $|1\rangle$ and finally in the state $|N\rangle$ is defined by the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t)=|\langle N| \exp (-i \mathcal{H} t)| 1\rangle\left.\right|^{2}=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{N j} u_{1 j} \exp \left(-i t \lambda_{j} / 2\right)\right|^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i j}$ are components of the eigenvector $u_{j}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{j}$ of the matrix $D: D u_{j}=\lambda_{j} u_{j}, u_{j}=\left(u_{1 j} \ldots u_{N j}\right)^{T}$. In the case $N=4$, eq. (6) yields [5]:

$$
\begin{align*}
P= & \frac{1}{4} \left\lvert\,\left(1+\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}}\right) \sin \left(\frac{D_{1} t}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2+\delta^{2}-\delta \sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}}{2}}\right)-\right.  \tag{7}\\
& \left.\left(1-\frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}}\right) \sin \left(\frac{D_{1} t}{2} \sqrt{\frac{2+\delta^{2}+\delta \sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}}{2}}\right)\right|^{2}, \delta=\frac{D_{2}}{D_{1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Values $t=\bar{t}$ and $\delta=\bar{\delta}$ corresponding to the ideal state transfer are defined by the requirement

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \frac{\lambda_{1} \bar{t}}{2}=-\sin \frac{\lambda_{2} \bar{t}}{2}= \pm 1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{align*}
D_{1} \bar{t} & =\frac{2(3+4 k) \pi}{\sqrt{2\left(2+\bar{\delta}^{2}+\bar{\delta} \sqrt{4+\delta^{2}}\right)}}, \quad \bar{\delta}=\frac{2|1+2 k-2 n|}{\sqrt{(3+4 k)(1+4 n)}},  \tag{9}\\
D_{1} \bar{t} & =\frac{2(1+4 k) \pi}{\sqrt{2\left(2+\bar{\delta}^{2}+\bar{\delta} \sqrt{4+\delta^{2}}\right)}}, \bar{\delta}=\frac{2|1-2 k+2 n|}{\sqrt{(3+4 n)(1+4 k)}},  \tag{10}\\
n, k & =0,1, \ldots
\end{align*}
$$

The minimal time interval required for the quantum state transfer: $D_{1} \bar{t}_{\text {min }}=$ 5.441 for $\bar{\delta}=1.155$. It corresponds to the eqs. (9) with $k=n=0$.

In the next section (Sec.2) we study the high-probability (instead of perfect) state transfer along the chains with even (Sec.2.1) and odd (Sec.,2.2) values of $N$. Conclusions are given in Sec.3,

## 2 High-probability state transfer

In this section we study the probability of the quantum state transfer along the chains with different numbers of nodes. It seamed out that chains with even and odd $N$ exhibit significantly different properties as follows from Secs 2.1 and 2.2. We will find out that chains with even $N$ are preferable for the highprobability state transfer.

### 2.1 State transfer along the chain with even $N$.

We use some results of [5]. Namely, consider the case $\delta=D_{2} / D_{1}>(N+2) / N$ with $w_{n}=0, n=1, \ldots, N$. Then the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\nu}$ and components of the eigenvectors $u_{k \nu}$ with $1 \leq \nu \leq N$ and $\nu \neq N / 2, \nu \neq N / 2+1$ are given by the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{\nu}= & \begin{cases}\sqrt{D_{1}^{2}+D_{2}^{2}+2 D_{1} D_{2} \cos x_{\nu}}, & \nu=1,2, \ldots, \frac{N}{2}-1 \\
-\sqrt{D_{1}^{2}+D_{2}^{2}+2 D_{1} D_{2} \cos x_{\nu}}, & \nu=\frac{N}{2}+2, \ldots, N\end{cases}  \tag{11}\\
u_{k \nu}= & \begin{cases}A_{\nu} \sin \frac{k x_{\nu}}{2}, & k=2,4, \ldots, N \\
B_{\nu} \sin (N-k+1) \frac{x_{\nu}}{2}, k=1,3, \ldots, N-1\end{cases} \\
& A_{\nu}=\sqrt{2}\left(N+1-\frac{\sin (N+1) x_{\nu}}{\sin x_{\nu}}\right)^{-1 / 2}, \quad B_{\nu}=A_{\nu}(-1)^{\nu+1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $x_{\nu}$ are solutions of the following transcendental equation, $0<x_{\nu}<\pi$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \sin \frac{N}{2} x_{\nu}+\sin \left(\frac{N}{2}+1\right) x_{\nu}=0, \quad x_{N+1-\nu}=x_{\nu}, \quad \nu=1, \ldots, \frac{N}{2}-1 . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\nu=N / 2$ or $\nu=N / 2+1$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{N / 2}= & \sqrt{D_{1}^{2}+D_{2}^{2}-2 D_{1} D_{2} \cosh y},  \tag{13}\\
\lambda_{N / 2+1}= & -\sqrt{D_{1}^{2}+D_{2}^{2}-2 D_{1} D_{2} \cosh y}, \\
u_{k \nu}= & \begin{cases}A_{\nu}(-1)^{k / 2} \sinh \frac{k y}{2}, & k=2,4, \ldots, N \\
B_{\nu}(-1)^{(N-k+1) / 2} \sinh (N-k+1) \frac{y}{2}, & k=1,3, \ldots, N-1\end{cases} \\
& A_{\nu}=\sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\sinh (N+1) y}{\sinh y}-N-1\right)^{-1 / 2}, B_{\nu}=A_{\nu}(-1)^{\nu+1},
\end{align*}
$$

where $y$ is a solution of the following transcendental equation, $y>0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \sinh \frac{N}{2} y-\sinh \left(\frac{N}{2}+1\right) y=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to the eqs.(11) (14), the eq.(6) may be written in the following form:

$$
\begin{align*}
P= & \left|\sum_{j=1}^{N / 2} 2 u_{N j} u_{1 j} \sin \left(t \lambda_{j} / 2\right)\right|^{2}=  \tag{15}\\
& 2 \left\lvert\, \sum_{j=1}^{N / 2-1} A_{j}^{2}(-1)^{j+1} \sin ^{2} \frac{N x_{j}}{2} \sin \left(t \lambda_{j} / 2\right)+\right. \\
& \left.(-1)^{N / 2+1} A_{N / 2}^{2} \sinh ^{2} \frac{N y}{2} \sin \left(t \lambda_{N / 2} / 2\right)\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

In the numerical simulations below we fix $N$ and vary $\delta$ with the purpose to obtain the maximum of $P$ at some moment of time:

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{h}=\max _{\delta, t} P(\delta, t)>0.9 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The value 0.9 in the RHS of (16) is conventional. Appropriate values of $\delta$ and $t$ will be referred to as $\delta_{h}$ and $t_{h}$ respectively. The state transfer characterized by the triad $\left(P_{h}, \delta_{h}, t_{h}\right)$ will be referred to as high-probability state transfer. It is illustrated in Figs 17 3 that this triad is not unique. However, we are interested in the high-probability state transfer having minimal $t_{h}=t_{h_{1}}$. Varying the single parameter $\delta$ we are able to maximize $P_{h_{1}}$. Values of other $P_{h i}, i>1$, are not important for us.

In the examples below we start with $\delta=2$ and increase $\delta$ obtaining the maximum value of $P_{h_{1}}$ and appropriate $t_{h_{1}}$. To anticipate, the shapes of the graphs $P(t)$ (i.e. superposition of slow and fast oscillations, see Figs.173) together with eq.(15) suggests us to estimate $t_{h}$ in terms of the minimal of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\text {min }}=\min \left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{N / 2}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sin \left(t_{h} \lambda_{\min } / 2\right)\right| \approx 1 \Rightarrow t_{h 1} \approx \frac{\pi}{\lambda_{\min }} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Formulae (1113) show that $\lambda_{\text {min }}=\lambda_{N / 2}$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{h 1} \approx \frac{\pi}{\lambda_{N / 2}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, for $N=4$, see Fig.] we have found $\delta_{h 1}=2.272, P_{h_{1}}=0.999, D_{1} t_{h}=$ 8.303. Eigenvalues are following: $\lambda_{1}=2.649 D_{1}, \lambda_{2}=0.377 D_{1}$, so that $D_{1} t_{h_{1}} \approx$ $\pi \frac{D_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}=8.333$.


Fig. 1. Probability of the state transfer along the four-nodes spin chain with $\delta_{h 1}=2.272 ; P_{h 1}=0.999$ is achieved at $D_{1} t_{h 1}=8.303$.

For $N=6$, see Fig 2, one has $\delta_{h_{1}}=2.373, P_{h_{1}}=0.997, D_{1} t_{h_{1}}=21.428$. Eigenvalues are following: $\lambda_{1}=3.060 D_{1}, \lambda_{2}=2.208 D_{1}, \lambda_{3}=0.148 D_{1}$, so that $D_{1} t_{h} \approx \pi \frac{D_{1}}{\lambda_{3}}=21.227$.


Fig. 2. Probability of the state transfer along the six-nodes spin chain with $\delta_{h 1}=2.373 ; P_{h 1}=0.997$ is achieved at $D_{1} t_{h 1}=21.428$.

Similarly, for $N=8$, see Fig,3, one has $\delta_{h 1}=2.557, P_{h 1}=0.989, D_{1} t_{h 1}=$ 58.966. Eigenvalues are following: $\lambda_{1}=3.366 D_{1}, \lambda_{2}=2.828 D_{1}, \lambda_{3}=2.070 D_{1}$, $\lambda_{4}=0.051 D_{1}$. Thus $D_{1} t_{h 1} \approx \pi \frac{D_{1}}{\lambda_{4}}=61.600$.


Fig. 3. Probability of the state transfer along the eight-nodes spin chain with $\delta_{h 1}=2.557 ; P_{h 1}=0.989$ is achieved at $D_{1} t_{h 1}=58.966$.
Table 1

| $N$ | 4 | 6 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $D_{1} t_{h 1}$ | 8.084 | 21.378 | 57.654 | 131.278 | 265.631 | 721.119 | 1403.554 |
| $P_{h 1}$ | 0.990 | 0.997 | 0.957 | 0.939 | 0.949 | 0.962 | 0.901 |

Finally we remark that, for practical detection of the quantum state transfer, one can select the time interval in the neighbourhood of the main peak $P_{h_{1}}$ by condition, say, $P>0.8$ everywhere inside of this time interval and consider that the quantum state has been transfered along the chain if it is detected at the last node during the selected time interval.

### 2.1.1 Spin chains with different $N$ and equal $\delta$.

We demonstrate that the high-probability state transfer is possible along the alternating spin chains having different numbers of nodes $N$ and the same ratio of the coupling constants $\delta$. We take $N=2 k, k=2, \ldots, 8$ and $\delta=2.380$. Results are collected in the Table 1. Disadvantage of this state transfer is the fast growth of $t_{h 1}$ with increase of $N$.

### 2.1.2 State transfer during the given time interval.

We also may arrange the high-probability state transfer during the given time interval. For instance, let $N=8$ and suppose that we want to transfer the quantum state from the first node to the last node of the chain at $D_{1} t_{h_{1}}=60$.

Function $P(\delta)$ at $t=t_{h_{1}}$ is represented in Fig.4. We see that it has the maximum $P_{h_{1}}=0.973$ at $\delta_{h_{1}}=2.510$. Namely the value $\delta=\delta_{h 1}$ is required for our purpose.


Fig. 4. Probability of the state transfer along the eight-nodes spin chain at the fixed moment $D_{1} t_{h_{1}}=60 ; P_{h_{1}}=0.973$ is achieved for $\delta_{h_{1}}=2.510$.

### 2.1.3 Restrictions of the method: the state transfer to the arbitrary node of the chain

The possibility to perform the high-probability state transfer between the end nodes of the spin chain suggests us to check whether the high-probability state transfer to the intermediate nodes of the chain is possible. Expression for the probability of the state transfer to the $k$-th node of the chain, $P_{k}(t)$, is following:

$$
P_{k}(t)=\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N} u_{k j} u_{1 j} e^{-i t \lambda_{j} / 2}\right|^{2}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N / 2} 2 u_{k j} u_{1 j} \sin \left(t \lambda_{j} / 2\right)\right|^{2}, \text { even } k  \tag{19}\\
\left|\sum_{j=1}^{N / 2} 2 u_{k j} u_{1 j} \cos \left(t \lambda_{j} / 2\right)\right|^{2}, \text { odd } k
\end{array}\right.
$$

Unfortunately, the answer is negative at least after the numerical simulations of the state transfer along the chains with $N=4,6,8$. For instance, graphs of $P_{k}, k=1,2,3,4$ for $N=4$ and $\delta=2.272$ (corresponding to Fig (1) are represented in Fig 5 .

Remark that the shapes of the functions $P_{k}(t)$ illustrated in Fig 5 may be interpreted as a spin-wave packet moving between the end nodes of the spin chain [1].


Fig. 5. Comparison of the probabilities $P_{k}$ for the state transfer to the $k$-th node ( $k=2,3,4$ ) and the probability $P_{1}$ for the returning in the 1-st node of the chain with $N=4$ and $\delta=2.272$.

### 2.2 State transfer along the chain with odd $N$.

In this subsection we use the basic formulae derived in [4] where $1 / 2$-spin dynamics of the chain with odd $N$ has been studied. We set $w_{n}=0, n=$ $1, \ldots, N$. Then expressions for the eigenvalues $\lambda_{\nu}$ read:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{\nu}= \begin{cases}D_{1} \sqrt{\Delta_{\nu}}, & \nu=1,2, \ldots, \frac{N-1}{2} \\
0, & \nu=\frac{N+1}{2} \\
-D_{1} \sqrt{\Delta_{\nu}}, & \nu=\frac{N+3}{2}, \frac{N+5}{2}, \ldots, N\end{cases}  \tag{20}\\
& \Delta_{\nu}=1+2 \delta \cos \frac{2 \pi \nu}{N+1}+\delta^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

Expressions for the components of the eigenvectors $u_{j \nu}$ with $1 \leq \nu \leq N$ and $\nu \neq(N+1) / 2$ are following:

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{j \nu}= \begin{cases}\frac{A_{\nu} D_{1}}{\lambda_{\nu}}\left(\delta \sin \frac{\pi \nu(j-1)}{N+1}+\sin \frac{\pi \nu(j+1)}{N+1}\right) & j=1,3, \ldots, N \\
A_{\nu} \sin \frac{\pi \nu j}{N+1}, & j=2,4, \ldots, N-1,\end{cases}  \tag{21}\\
& A_{\nu}=\sqrt{\frac{2}{N+1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

In addition,

$$
u_{j(N+1) / 2}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
B(-\delta)^{(N-j) / 2}, & j=1,3, \ldots, N  \tag{22}\\
0, & j=2,4, \ldots, N-1 .
\end{array} \quad B=\sqrt{\frac{\delta^{2}-1}{\delta^{N+1}-1}} .\right.
$$

Eq.(6) gets the next form:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=\left|2 \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1) / 2} u_{N j} u_{1 j} \cos \left(\lambda_{j} t / 2\right)+u_{N(N+1) / 2} u_{1(N+1) / 2}\right|^{2}=  \tag{23}\\
& \left|2 \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1) / 2} A_{j}^{2} \frac{D_{1}^{2} \delta}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} \sin \frac{2 \pi j}{N+1} \sin \frac{\pi j(N-1)}{N+1} \cos \left(\lambda_{j} t / 2\right)+B^{2}(-\delta)^{(N-1) / 2}\right|^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Behaviour of the function $P(t)$ is completely different in comparison with the case of even $N$. It was shown [3] that the ideal state transfer is possible for $N=3$ and is impossible for $N>3$ if $\delta=1$. Using numerical simulations we obtain that the high-probability state transfer is possible, in principle, only for $\delta \approx 1$.

For instance, $P(t)$ for $N=5$ and $\delta=1$ is represented in Fig. It has a set of maxima. We mark two mostly considerable of them: $P_{h_{1}}=0.942$ at $D_{1} t_{h 1}=6.764$ and $P_{h 2}=0.987$ at $D_{1} t_{h 2}=43.757$.


Fig. 6. Comparison of probabilities for the state transfer along the two five-spin chains with $\delta=1\left(\lambda_{1}=1.732 D_{1}, \lambda_{2}=D_{1}\right)$ and $\delta=2\left(\lambda_{1}=2.646 D_{1}, \lambda_{2}=1.732 D_{1}\right)$

One can demonstrate that the amplitude of $P$ decreases with increase of $\delta$. As an example, the functions $P\left(D_{1} t\right)$ for $N=5$ and two values $\delta=1$ and 2 are represented in Fig,6.

We emphasise two following features of the high-probability state transfer along the chain with odd $N$.
(1) The probability is described by an oscillating function similar to the case of even $N$. However, unlike the case of even $N$, the amplitude of $P$ decreases with increase of $\delta$. Because of this fact, we may not effectively use parameter $\delta$ in order to provide the high-probability state transfer during the reasonable time interval $\left(0, t_{h_{1}}\right)$.
(2) The high-probability state transfer $\left(P_{h} \sim 0.9\right)$ is observable in the neighbourhood of $\delta=1$. However, appropriate $t_{h}$ may be too long for realization in quantum process.

Thus, we conclude that the chains with odd $N$ are less suitable for the highprobability state transfer in comparison with the chains with even $N$.

The obtained result may be justified by the analytical estimation of $P$ for the quantum state transfer along the chain with odd $N$. Using eqs.(20-23) one has

$$
\begin{align*}
& P \leq\left(F_{1}+F_{2}\right)^{2},  \tag{24}\\
& F_{1}=\left|2 \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1) / 2} A_{j}^{2} \frac{D_{1}^{2} \delta}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} \sin \frac{2 \pi j}{N+1} \sin \frac{\pi j(N-1)}{N+1} \cos \left(\lambda_{j} t / 2\right)\right|, \\
& F_{2}=\left|B^{2}(-\delta)^{(N-1) / 2}\right| .
\end{align*}
$$

Consider $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ separately. For our convenience, we consider the case $\delta \geq 1$ without loss of generality. Using inequality $\left|\cos \left(\lambda_{j} t / 2\right)\right| \leq 1$ and equations (20) 21) one gets the following chain of inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{1} \leq \frac{4}{N+1} \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1) / 2}\left|\frac{D_{1}^{2} \delta}{\lambda_{j}^{2}} \sin ^{2} \frac{2 \pi j}{N+1}\right|=  \tag{25}\\
& \frac{4}{N+1} \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1) / 2} r_{j}(\delta) \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi j}{N+1} \leq \frac{2 \Delta(\delta)}{N+1} \sum_{j=1}^{(N-1) / 2}\left(1-\cos \frac{2 \pi j}{N+1}\right)= \\
& \frac{\Delta(\delta)(N-1)}{N+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Here

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{j}(\delta)=\frac{\left(2+2 \cos \frac{2 \pi j}{N+1}\right)}{\left(\delta+1 / \delta+2 \cos \frac{2 \pi j}{N+1}\right)}, \quad j=1, \ldots,(N-1) / 2, \quad 0 \leq r_{j} \leq 1  \tag{26}\\
& \Delta(\delta)=\max \left(r_{j}(\delta), 1 \leq j \leq(N-1) / 2\right)=r_{1} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
=\frac{\left(2+2 \cos \frac{2 \pi}{N+1}\right)}{\left(\delta+1 / \delta+2 \cos \frac{2 \pi}{N+1}\right)} \leq 1
$$

Deriving (25) we used the inequality $(\delta+1 / \delta) \geq 2$ and the identity $\sum_{j=1}^{(N-1) / 2} \cos \frac{2 \pi j}{N+1}=$ 0.

Next,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{2}=\delta^{(N-1) / 2} \frac{\delta^{2}-1}{\delta^{N+1}-1}=\frac{\delta^{(N-1) / 2}}{\sum_{k=0}^{(N-1) / 2} \delta^{2 k}}=  \tag{28}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\sum_{k=0}^{(N-3) / 4}\left(1 / \delta^{2 k+1}+\delta^{2 k+1}\right)\right)^{-1}, \text { odd } & (N-1) / 2 \\
\left(\sum_{k=1}^{(N-1) / 4}\left(1 / \delta^{2 k}+\delta^{2 k}\right)+1\right)^{-1}, \text { even }(N-1) / 2
\end{array}\right\} \leq \frac{2}{N+1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \leq \mathcal{P}=\left(\frac{\Delta(\delta)(N-1)+2)}{N+1}\right)^{2} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\delta=1$, then $\Delta(1)=1$ so that the inequality (29) yields $P \leq 1$, which means that the state transfer may approach the high-probability state transfer at some moment of time $t_{h}$. However, $t_{h}$ may be too long as it was mentioned above. It follows from the eq.(29) that $\mathcal{P}$ decreases with increase of $\delta$. For instance, if $\delta=2$ and $N=5$, then $\Delta(2)=6 / 7$ and $\mathcal{P}=361 / 441 \approx 0.819$ which agrees with Fig.6.

Emphasize that sign " $="$ in the inequalities (25) and (29) may appear only if the following conditions are valid at some moment of time $t_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\cos \left(\lambda_{j} t_{0} / 2\right)\right|=1, \quad \forall j=1, \ldots,(N-1) / 2,  \tag{30}\\
& \delta=1 \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the parameter $\delta$ is fixed by the condition (31), one has one parameter $t_{0}$ in order to satisfy $(N-1) / 2$ conditions (30). This is possible if only $N=3$ when the system (30) reduces to the single equation [3]. In the case $N>3$ the sign " $\leq "$ must be replaced by the sign " $<"$ in inequalities (25) and (29).

Similar to the case of even $N$, numerical simulations show that there is no high-probability state transfer to the inner nodes of the chain.

Remark that the high-probability state transfer along the chains with even $N$
may be realized only if $N$ is not too large. In fact, $\lambda_{N / 2} \rightarrow 0$ and $t_{h_{1}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, while $t_{h_{1}}$ may not be too long in quantum process. This observation removes principal differences between long chains with even and odd $N$ in the quantum state transfer systems.

## 3 Conclusions

We have demonstrated that the alternating short spin chains with even numbers of nodes $N$ are preferable for the purpose of the quantum state transfer. Although the ideal state transfer for $N>4$ is impossible in the alternating chain, the state transfer along the chain with even $N$ may be performed with high probability. This interesting phenomenon is especially important because the ideal state transfer is hardly achievable in practice.
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