# Stable solutions of  $-\Delta u = f(u)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$

L. Dupaigne<sup>1,2</sup> and A. Farina<sup>1,3</sup>

October 25, 2018

<sup>1</sup>LAMFA, UMR CNRS 6140, Université Picardie Jules Verne 33, rue St Leu, 80039 Amiens, France

 $^{2}$ corresponding author, louis.dupaigne@math.cnrs.fr

 $^3$  alberto.farina@u-picardie.fr

### Abstract

Several Liouville-type theorems are presented for stable solutions of the equation  $-\Delta u = f(u)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , where  $f > 0$  is a general convex, nondecreasing functions. Extensions to solutions which are merely stable outside a compact set are discussed.

### 1 Introduction

<span id="page-0-1"></span>For  $N \geq 1$  and  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$  consider the equation

(1) 
$$
-\Delta u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

The aim of this paper is to classify solutions  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  which are stable i.e. such that for all  $\varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,

<span id="page-0-0"></span>(2) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(u)\varphi^2 dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx.
$$

For some of our results, we shall assume in addition  $u > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and/or  $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . We shall also discuss extensions to solutions which are merely stable outside a compact set (i.e. [\(2\)](#page-0-0) holds for test functions supported in the complement of a given compact set  $K \subset \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ .

Stable radial solutions of [\(1\)](#page-0-1) are by now well-understood : by the work of Cabr´e and Capella [\[4\]](#page-26-0), refined by Villegas in [\[14\]](#page-27-0), every bounded radial stable solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1) must be constant if  $N \leq 10$ . The result holds for any nonlinearity  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ . Conversely, there exist unbounded radial stable solutions in any dimension. Take for example,  $u(x) = |x|^2 / 2N$  solving [\(1\)](#page-0-1) with  $f(u) = -1$ . Also, there are examples of bounded radial stable solutions when  $N \geq 11$ . See e.g. [\[14\]](#page-27-0), [\[9\]](#page-26-1). When dealing with nonradial solutions, much less is known. In the case  $N = 2$ , any stable solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1) with bounded gradient is one-dimensional (i.e. up to a rotation of space, u depends only on one variable) under the sole assumption that

 $f$  is locally Lipschitz continuous (see [\[10\]](#page-26-2)). In arbitrary dimension, a complete analysis of stable solutions and solutions which are stable outside a compact set is provided for two important nonlinearities  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$ ,  $p > 1$  and  $f(u) = e^u$  in [\[7\]](#page-26-3), [\[9\]](#page-26-1), [\[8\]](#page-26-4) and [\[5\]](#page-26-5).

Under a mere nonnegativity assumption on the nonlinearity, we begin this paper by stating that up to space dimension  $N = 4$ , bounded stable solutions of [\(1\)](#page-0-1) are trivial :

<span id="page-1-0"></span>**Theorem 1.1** Assume  $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $f \geq 0$  and  $1 \leq N \leq 4$ . Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded, stable solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1). Then, u is constant.

Remark 1.2 It would be interesting to know whether Theorem [1.1](#page-1-0) still holds if one assumes that u is unbounded but  $\nabla u$  is bounded.

### 1.1 Power-type nonlinearities

For our next set of results, we restrict to the following class of nonlinearities

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(3)  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_*^+), f > 0$  is nondecreasing and convex in  $\mathbb{R}_*^+$ .

As demonstrated in [\[9\]](#page-26-1) for the particular case of the power nonlinearities  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$ , two critical exponents play an important role, namely the classical Sobolev exponent

<span id="page-1-4"></span>(4) 
$$
p_S(N) = \frac{N+2}{N-2}, \quad \text{for } N \ge 3
$$

and the Joseph-Lundgren exponent

<span id="page-1-3"></span>(5) 
$$
p_c(N) = \frac{(N-2)^2 - 4N + 8\sqrt{N-1}}{(N-2)(N-10)}, \quad \text{for } N \ge 11.
$$

In order to relate the nonlinearity  $f$  and the above exponents, we introduce a quantity q defined for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+_*$  by

(6) 
$$
q(u) = \frac{f'^2}{f f''}(u) = \frac{(\ln f)'}{(\ln f')'}(u)
$$

whenever  $ff''(u) \neq 0$ ,  $q(u) = +\infty$  otherwise. When  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$ ,  $p \geq 1$ , q is independent of u and coincides with the conjugate exponent of p i.e.  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . In this section, we assume that  $q(u)$  converges as  $u \to 0^+$  and denote its limit :

<span id="page-1-2"></span>(7) 
$$
q_0 = \lim_{u \to 0^+} q(u) \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}.
$$

**Remark 1.3** If  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u \ge 0$  solves [\(1\)](#page-0-1), and [\(3\)](#page-1-1) holds, then  $f(0) =$  $\Omega$ 

<span id="page-1-5"></span>In dimension  $N = 1, 2$ , this follows directly from the classical Liouville theorem for superharmonic nonnegative functions. For a proof in dimension  $N \geq 3$ , see Step 6. in Section 6. We then observe that

**Lemma 1.4** If  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_*^+)$  is convex nondecreasing,  $f(0) = 0$ and [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds, then in fact  $q_0 \in [1, +\infty]$ .

**Proof.** Indeed, assume by contradiction there exists  $\theta > 1$  such that  $0 \leq q(u) \leq 1/\theta$  in a neighbourhood of 0. Consequently, near 0,

<span id="page-2-1"></span><span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\frac{f''}{f'} - \theta \frac{f'}{f} \ge 0.
$$

So,  $f'/f^{\theta}$  is nondecreasing hence bounded above near 0. Integrating again, we deduce that  $f^{1-\theta}(u) \leq Cu + C'$  near 0, which is not possible if  $f(0) = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

Define now  $p_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , the conjugate exponent of  $q_0$  by

$$
(8) \t\t\t 1/p_0 + 1/q_0 = 1.
$$

The exponent  $p_0$  must be understood as a measure of the "flatness" of f at 0. All nonlinearities  $f$  such that  $(3)$  holds and which either are analytic at the origin or have at least one non-zero derivative at the origin or are merely of the form  $f(u) = u^p g(u)$ , where  $p \ge 1$  and  $g(0) \ne 0$ , satisfy [\(7\)](#page-1-2). Exponentially flat functions such as  $f(u) = e^{-1/u^2}$  also qualify (with  $p_0 = +\infty$ ). However, there should exist (convex increasing) nonlinearities failing [\(7\)](#page-1-2). This being said, we establish the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.5** Assume  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}$  is nondecreasing, convex,  $f > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds. Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded,nonnegative, stable solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1). Then,  $u \equiv 0$  if either of the following conditions holds

- 1.  $1 \le N \le 9$ ,
- 2.  $N = 10$  and  $p_0 < +\infty$ , where  $p_0$  is given by [\(8\)](#page-2-0),

3.  $N \ge 11$  and  $p_0 < p_c(N)$ , where  $p_0$  is given by [\(8\)](#page-2-0) and  $p_c(N)$  by [\(5\)](#page-1-3)

<span id="page-2-3"></span>**Remark 1.6** Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) was first proved by A. Farina, when  $f(u) =$  $|u|^{p-1}u$ . See [\[9\]](#page-26-1). As observed e.g. in [9], for  $N \ge 11$ , there exists a non constant bounded positive stable solution for  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$  as soon as  $p > p_c(N)$ . So our result is sharp in the class of power-type nonlinearities for  $N \geq 11$ . We do not know whether Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) remains true when  $N = 10$  and  $p_0 = +\infty$ . We do not know either if for  $N \leq 10$ , assumption [\(7\)](#page-1-2) can be completely removed. See Theorem [1.11](#page-3-0) in Section [1.2](#page-2-2) for partial results in this direction. See also [\[14\]](#page-27-0) for a positive answer in the radial case.

### <span id="page-2-2"></span>1.2 Some generalizations : unbounded and signchanging solutions, beyond power-type nonlinearities

<span id="page-2-4"></span>First, we discuss the case of unbounded solutions. When  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$ , the assumption  $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is unnecessary, see [\[9\]](#page-26-1). For general powertype nonlinearities, Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) remains true for unbounded solutions under an additional assumption on the behaviour of f at  $+\infty$ :

Corollary 1.7 Assume as before that  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_*^+)$  is nondecreasing, convex,  $f > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+_*$  and [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds. Let  $\underline{p_{\infty}} \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by

<span id="page-3-6"></span>(9) 
$$
\overline{q_{\infty}} := \limsup_{u \to +\infty} q(u),
$$

$$
1/p_{\infty} + 1/\overline{q_{\infty}} = 1.
$$

Let  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  denote a nonnegative, stable solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1). Then,  $u \equiv 0$ if either of the following conditions hold

- 1.  $1 \leq N \leq 9$  and  $1 < p_{\infty}$ ,
- 2.  $N = 10$ ,  $p_0 < +\infty$  and  $1 < p_\infty < +\infty$ ,
- 3.  $N \ge 11$ ,  $p_0 < p_c(N)$  and  $1 < p_{\infty} < p_c(N)$ .

Next, we look at solutions which may change sign. When  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$ , the assumption  $u \geq 0$  is also unnecessary, see [\[9\]](#page-26-1). For power-type nonlinearities, Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) can be extended to the case of solutions of arbitrary sign if  $f$  is odd :

<span id="page-3-1"></span>**Corollary 1.8** Assume that  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}^+^+)$  is nondecreasing and that when restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^+_*$ , f is convex and  $f > 0$ . Assume [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds. Assume in addition that f is odd. Let  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  denote a bounded, stable solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1). Then,  $u \equiv 0$  if either of the following conditions hold

- 1.  $1 \leq N \leq 9$  and  $1 < p_0$ ,
- 2.  $N = 10$  and  $1 < p_0 < +\infty$ ,
- 3.  $N > 11$  and  $1 < p_0 < p_c(N)$ .

Remark 1.9 The above Corollary remains true if f is not odd but simply if  $f(0) = 0$  and the assumptions made on f also hold for f defined for  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$  by  $\tilde{f}(u) = -f(-u)$ .

<span id="page-3-5"></span>**Corollary 1.10** Assuming in addition  $1 < \underline{p_{\infty}}$  if  $N \leq 9$  (respectively  $1 < p_{\infty} < +\infty$  if  $N = 10$  and  $1 < p_{\infty} < p_c(N)$  when  $N \ge 11$ ), Corollary [1.8](#page-3-1) remains valid for any stable solution. That is, one can drop the assumptions  $u \geq 0$  and  $u \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ .

Finally, we study nonlinearities for which [\(7\)](#page-1-2) fails. To do so, we introduce  $\overline{q_0}$ ,  $q_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  defined by

<span id="page-3-2"></span>(10)  $\overline{q_0} = \limsup_{u \to 0^+} q(u), \quad \underline{q_0} = \liminf_{u \to 0^+} q(u).$ 

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Theorem 1.11** Assume  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_*^+)$  is nondecreasing, convex,  $f > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and let  $\overline{q_0}, \underline{q_0}$  defined by [\(10\)](#page-3-2). Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded, nonnegative, stable solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1). Then,  $u \equiv 0$  if either of the following conditions hold

<span id="page-3-7"></span><span id="page-3-4"></span><span id="page-3-3"></span>1.  $3 \leq N$  and  $q_0 > \frac{N}{2}$ , 2.  $1 \leq N \leq 6$  and  $\overline{q_0} < \infty$ , 3. 1  $\leq N$  and  $\frac{4}{N-2} (1 + 1/\sqrt{\overline{q_0}}) > 1/\underline{q_0}$ .

.

**Remark 1.12** The above theorem is of particular interest when  $f'$  is convex or concave near the origin. Assume  $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$  (this is not restrictive, see Remark [3.3\)](#page-10-0). Apply Cauchy's mean value theorem : given  $u_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , there exists  $v_n \in (0, u_n)$  such that

$$
q(u_n) = \left. \frac{f'^2}{f f''} \right|_{u=u_n} = \left. \frac{2f' f''}{f' f'' + f f'''} \right|_{u=v_n}
$$

If  $f''' \geq 0$  near 0, we deduce that  $\overline{q_0} \leq 2$  $\overline{q_0} \leq 2$ . By case 2 of the Theorem, we conclude that if  $f'$  is convex near 0 and  $N \leq 6$ , then  $u \equiv 0$ . Similarly, if  $f'$  is concave near 0,  $q_0 \geq 2$ . By case [3](#page-3-4) of the Theorem, we conclude that if f' is concave near  $0$  and  $N \leq 9$  (or  $N = 10$  and  $\overline{q_0} < +\infty$ ), then  $u \equiv 0$ .

Remark 1.13 Our methods yield absolutely no result under the assumption  $10 \geq N \geq 5$  and

$$
\underline{q_0} \le \frac{N-2}{4} < \overline{q_0} = \infty.
$$

### 1.3 Solutions which are stable outside a compact set

Set aside the case where  $f$  is a power or an exponential nonlinearity, little is known about the classification of solutions of [\(1\)](#page-0-1) which are stable outside a compact set. Even in the radial case. Now, recall the definition of the critical exponents given in [\(4\)](#page-1-4) and [\(5\)](#page-1-3). As demonstrated in [\[9\]](#page-26-1), the nonlinearities  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$ ,  $p = p_S(N)$ ,  $N \ge 3$  and  $p \ge p_c(N)$ ,  $N \ge 11$ must be singled out. For such values of  $p$ , radial solutions which are stable outside a compact set are nontrivial and completely classified, while for other values of  $p > 1$ , all solutions which are stable outside a compact set (whether radial or not) must be constant. See [\[9\]](#page-26-1). When dealing with more general nonlinearities, the first basic step consists in determining the behaviour of a solution  $u$  at infinity. This can be done by exploiting the classification of stable solutions obtained in Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) and Corollary [1.8](#page-3-1) :

<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Proposition 1.14** Assume  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R})$ . Assume  $u = 0$  is the only bounded stable  $C^2$  solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1). If  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded solution of (1) which is stable outside a compact set, then,

$$
\lim_{|x|\to\infty}u(x)=0.
$$

Remark 1.15 As follows from the proof, the same result is valid for bounded positive solutions which are stable outside a compact set, under the weaker assumption that all bounded positive stable solutions of the equation are constant.

<span id="page-4-1"></span>**Remark 1.16** If  $f'(0) > 0$ , then in fact there exists no bounded solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1) which is stable outside a compact set. See the proof of Proposition [1.14.](#page-4-0)

**Remark 1.17** Clearly, if we assume instead that f vanishes only at  $u_0 \neq$ 0 then  $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} u(x) = u_0$ . Similarly, we leave the reader check that if the set of zeros of f is totally disconnected and the only bounded stable solutions of the equation are constant, then  $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = u_0$ , where  $u_0$ is a zero of f.

Remark 1.18 We do not know if a version of Proposition [1.14](#page-4-0) holds if one assumes that f vanishes only at  $-\infty$  or  $+\infty$ . If  $f(u) = e^u$  and  $N = 2$  (see e.g. [\[8\]](#page-26-4)), there exist (infinitely many) solutions of [\(1\)](#page-0-1) which are stable outside a compact set and such that

$$
\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = -\infty.
$$

**Proof of Proposition [1.14.](#page-4-0)** For  $k \geq 1$ , let  $\tau_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $\lim_{k \to \infty} |\tau_k| =$  $+\infty$  and let  $u_k(x) = u(x + \tau_k)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . Standard elliptic regularity implies that a subsequence of  $(u_k)$  converges in the topology of  $C^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ to a solution  $v$  of [\(1\)](#page-0-1). In addition, since  $u$  is stable outside a compact set, v is stable. Therefore, v is constant and  $f(v) = 0$ , so  $v = 0$ . If  $f'(0) > 0$ , then  $v = 0$  is clearly unstable, which is absurd. This proves Remark [1.16.](#page-4-1) In addition, since  $v = 0$  is the unique cluster point of  $(u_k)$ , the whole sequence must converge to 0. Proposition [1.14](#page-4-0) follows.  $\Box$ 

In light of Proposition [1.14,](#page-4-0) it is natural to try to characterize the speed of decay of our solutions as  $|x| \to \infty$ . When f is power-type, we have the following:

<span id="page-5-2"></span>**Theorem 1.19** Assume  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_*^+)$  is nondecreasing, convex,  $f > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^*_*$ ,  $f(0) = 0$  and [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds. Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded positive solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1), which is stable outside a compact set. If either of the following conditions holds

- 1.  $1 \leq N \leq 9$ ,
- 2.  $N = 10$  and  $p_0 < +\infty$ ,
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>3.  $N \ge 11$  and  $p_0 < p_c(N)$ ,

then there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$  sufficiently large,

(11) 
$$
u(x) \leq Cs(|x|).
$$

In the above inequality, the speed of decay  $s(R)$  is defined for  $R > 0$  as the unique solution  $s = s(R)$  of

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(12) 
$$
f(A_1 R^2 f(s)) = A_2 f(s),
$$

where  $A_1, A_2$  are two positive constants depending on N only. In other words, s is given by  $s(R) = f^{-1} (C_1 R^{-2} g(C_2 R^{-2}))$  where  $C_1, C_2$  are two positive constants depending on  $N$  only and  $q$  is the inverse function of  $t \mapsto f(t)/t$ .

<span id="page-5-3"></span>Remark 1.20 In the above theorem, we have implicitly assumed that the functions f and  $t \to f(t)/t$  are invertible in a neighborhood of 0. This is indeed true : by convexity of f,  $t \rightarrow f(t)/t$  is nondecreasing. By Step 6 in Section 6, we must have  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$ . If there existed two values  $0 < t_1 < t_2$  such that  $\frac{f(t_1)}{t_1} = \frac{f(t_2)}{t_2}$ , then, by convexity, f would be linear on  $(t_1, t_2)$ , hence on  $(0, t_2)$  by convexity. This contradicts  $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t} = 0$ . So,  $t \to f(t)/t$  is invertible for  $t > 0$  small and so must be f.

Remark 1.21 Equation [\(12\)](#page-5-0) looks somewhat complicated at first glance. For many nonlinearities (including  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ ), one can actually set the constants  $A_1, A_2$  equal to 1. [\(12\)](#page-5-0) then takes the simplified form

$$
\frac{f(s)}{s} = R^{-2}.
$$

In particular, when  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1} u$ , we recover the familiar speed  $s(R) =$  $R^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}$ .

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Remark 1.22** If  $p_0 < \infty$ , for all  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$
s(R) \leq CR^{-\frac{2}{(p_0-1)}+\epsilon} \quad \text{for } R \geq 1.
$$

However, even when  $p_0 < \infty$ , there should exist nonlinearities f failing the estimate  $s(R) \leq CR^{-2/(p_0-1)}$ .

**Proof of Remark [1.22.](#page-6-0)** An easy calculation shows that for all  $\delta > 0$ small, there exists  $C, \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $C^{-1}u^{p_0+\delta} \le f(u) \le Cu^{p_0-\delta}$  and  $C^{-1}u^{p_0+\delta-1} \leq f'(u) \leq Cu^{p_0-\delta-1}$  for  $u \in (0,\varepsilon)$  provided [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds and  $p_0 < +\infty$ . Plugging this information into the definition of  $s(R)$  yields the desired conclusion. desired conclusion.

From here on, our aim is to prove a Liouville-type result for solutions which are stable outside a compact set. As follows from the analysis in [\[9\]](#page-26-1), we must distinguish the sub and the supercritical case. We first consider the case where  $p_0$  is subcritical i.e.

<span id="page-6-1"></span>(13) 
$$
p_0 < \infty, \ N \leq 2 \quad \text{or} \quad p_0 < p_S(N), \ N \geq 3.
$$

In this case, we make the following extra global assumption on  $f$ :

<span id="page-6-2"></span>(14) 
$$
(p_0 + 1)F(s) \ge sf(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R},
$$

<span id="page-6-4"></span>where  $F$  denotes the antiderivative of  $f$  vanishing at 0. Then, we have

**Theorem 1.23** Assume  $f \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^+) \cap C^2(\mathbb{R}_*^+)$  is nondecreasing, convex,  $f > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^*_+$  and [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds. Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded, nonneg-ative solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1), which is stable outside a compact set. Assume  $p_0$ is subcritical (i.e. [\(13\)](#page-6-1) holds) and f satisfies the global inequality [\(14\)](#page-6-2). Then,  $u = 0$ .

We turn next to the supercritical case. We say that  $p_0$  is in the supercritical range if

<span id="page-6-3"></span>(15) 
$$
\begin{cases} p_S(N) < p_0 < +\infty, \\ \text{or} \\ p_S(N) < p_0 < p_c(N), \end{cases} \qquad 3 \le N \le 10,
$$

In this case, we begin by showing that the asymptotic decay estimate [\(11\)](#page-5-1) can be further improved. Namely, we show that not only  $u(x) =$  $O(s(|x|))$  but in fact  $u(x) = o(s(|x|))$ . The price we pay is the following set of assumptions : we request that near the origin, there exist constants  $\varepsilon, c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

<span id="page-7-0"></span>(16) 
$$
f(u) \ge c_1 u^{p_0} \quad \text{for } u \in (0, \varepsilon)
$$

<span id="page-7-1"></span>(17) 
$$
f'(u) \le c_2 u^{p_0 - 1} \quad \text{for } u \in (0, \varepsilon).
$$

By convexity of f, the above inequalities reduce to one when  $f(0) = 0$ :

<span id="page-7-4"></span>(18) 
$$
c_2u^{p_0} \ge uf'(u) \ge f(u) \ge c_1u^{p_0}, \quad \text{for } u \in (0, \varepsilon).
$$

Compare this assumption with the already known estimate given in the proof of Remark [1.22.](#page-6-0)

<span id="page-7-3"></span>Theorem 1.24 Make the same assumptions as in Theorem [1.19.](#page-5-2) As-sume in addition that f satisfies the local estimates [\(16\)](#page-7-0), [\(17\)](#page-7-1). For  $p_0$  in the supercritical range [\(15\)](#page-6-3), any bounded positive solution  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of [\(1\)](#page-0-1), which is stable outside a compact set, satisfies

$$
(19)
$$

<span id="page-7-6"></span>
$$
u(x) = o\left(|x|^{-\frac{2}{p_0 - 1}}\right) \quad \text{and } |\nabla u(x)| = o\left(|x|^{-\frac{2}{p_0 - 1} - 1}\right) \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty.
$$

Finally, to obtain the Liouville theorem in the supercritical range, we assume in addition that

<span id="page-7-2"></span>(20) 
$$
(p_0 + 1)F(s) \le sf(s) \quad \text{for all } s \in \mathbb{R}.
$$

Note that the inequality is reversed compared to [\(14\)](#page-6-2). Also note that since f is nondecreasing, we automatically have  $F(s) \leq sf(s)$ . [\(20\)](#page-7-2) can thus be seen as an improved global convexity assumption on  $F$ . We have

<span id="page-7-5"></span>**Theorem 1.25** Assume  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$  is nondecreasing, convex,  $f > 0$ in  $\mathbb{R}^*_+$  and [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds. Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a bounded, nonnegative solution of  $(1)$ , which is stable outside a compact set. Assume  $p_0$  is in the supercritical range  $(15)$  and f satisfies the local bounds  $(16)$ ,  $(17)$  as well as the global inequality [\(20\)](#page-7-2). Then,  $u \equiv 0$ .

Remark 1.26 As mentioned in Remark [1.6](#page-2-3) , the above theorem is false for exponents  $p_0 \geq p_c(N)$ ,  $N \geq 11$  or  $p_0 = p_S(N)$ ,  $N \geq 3$ .

**Remark 1.27** For the nonlinearity  $f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u$ , all the extra assumptions  $(14)$ ,  $(20)$ ,  $(16)$ ,  $(17)$  are automatically satisfied.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we prove Theorem [1.1.](#page-1-0) Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1) is the object of Section 3. In Section 4, we discuss the extensions given in Corollaries [1.7,](#page-2-4) [1.8](#page-3-1) and [1.10.](#page-3-5) Theorem [1.11,](#page-3-0) which deals with nonlinearities which are not of power-type, is proved in Section 5. Section 6 is devoted to the proof of Theorem [1.19,](#page-5-2) pertaining to the rate of decay of solutions which are stable outisde a compact set. The refined asymptotics obtained in Corollary [1.24](#page-7-3) is also derived in this section. Section 7 covers Theorem [1.23,](#page-6-4) dealing with subcritical nonlinearities, while the supercritical case is addressed in Section 8.

## 2 The case of low dimensions  $1 \leq N \leq 4$ : proof of Theorem 1.1

The proof bears resemblences with an argument found in [\[2\]](#page-26-6). It relies on two simple arguments : a growth estimate of the Dirichlet energy on balls and a Liouville-type result for certain divergence-form equations (mainly due to Berestycki, Caffarelli and Nirenberg [\[3\]](#page-26-7)), which applies to solutions with controlled energy. The specific form of the afore-mentioned equation is obtained by linearizing [\(1\)](#page-0-1) and taking advantage of the stability assumption. The limitation  $N \leq 4$  arises from the energy estimate on balls.

**Proof.** For  $R > 0$ , let  $B_R$  denote the ball of radius R centered at the origin. We begin by proving that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $R > 0$  such that

(21) 
$$
\int_{B_R} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C R^{N-2}.
$$

Let  $M \ge ||u||_{\infty}$ ,  $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and multiply [\(1\)](#page-0-1) by  $(u - M)\varphi$ :

<span id="page-8-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} -\Delta u(u-M)\varphi\ dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)(u-M)\varphi\ dx.
$$

Integrating by parts and recalling that  $f \geq 0$ , it follows that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \varphi \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u - M) \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) (u - M) \varphi \, dx
$$
  
\$\leq 0\$,

whence,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \varphi \, dx \le -\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{1}{2} \nabla (u - M)^2 \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{(u - M)^2}{2} \Delta \varphi \, dx
$$
  

$$
\le 2M^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Delta \varphi| \, dx.
$$

Let  $\varphi_0$  denote any nonnegative test function such that  $\varphi_0 = 1$  on  $B_1$  and apply the above inequality with  $\varphi(x) = \varphi_0(x/R)$ . We obtain [\(21\)](#page-8-0).

<span id="page-8-1"></span>Since  $u$  is stable, there exists a solution  $v > 0$  of the linearized equation

(22) 
$$
-\Delta v = f'(u)v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

Let  $\sigma_j = \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$  for  $j = 1, ..., N$ . Then, since v and  $\partial u / \partial x_j$  both solve the linearized equation [\(22\)](#page-8-1), it follows that

(23) 
$$
-\nabla \cdot (v^2 \nabla \sigma_j) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

It is known that any solution  $\sigma \in H^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$  of [\(23\)](#page-8-2) such that

<span id="page-8-2"></span>
$$
\int_{B_R} v^2 \sigma^2 \leq CR^2,
$$

must be constant (see Proposition 2.1 in [\[2\]](#page-26-6)). By [\(21\)](#page-8-0), we deduce that if  $N \leq 4$ , then  $\sigma_j$  is constant, i.e. there exists a constant  $C_j$  such that

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} = C_j v.
$$

In particular, the gradient of  $u$  points in a fixed direction i.e.  $u$  is onedimensional and solves

$$
-u'' = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}.
$$

Since  $f \geq 0$  and u is bounded, this is possible only if u is constant and  $f(u) = 0.$ 

### 3 The Liouville theorem for stable solutions : proof of Theorem [1.5](#page-2-1)

The proof is split into two separate cases, according to the value of  $q_0$ . We first consider the case  $q_0 > \frac{N}{2}$ . It suffices to prove the following lemma. **Lemma 3.1** Assume  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+), f > 0$  is nondecreasing, convex and

$$
\underline{q_0} := \liminf_{u \to 0^+} q(u) > N/2.
$$

Assume  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u \geq 0$  and

(24) 
$$
-\Delta u \ge f(u) \quad in \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

Then,  $u \equiv 0$ .

Remark 3.2 A stronger version of the above lemma has been recently proved by L. D'Ambrosio and E. Mitidieri  $(6)$ .

**Proof.** Assume by contradiction that  $u \neq 0$ . By the Strong Maximum Principle,  $u > 0$ .

**Step 1.** Since  $q_0 > \frac{N}{2}$ , there exists  $q > \frac{N}{2}$  such that

<span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\frac{f''f}{f'^2}<\frac{1}{q},
$$

in a neighborhood of 0. Equivalently,  $\frac{f''}{f'} - \frac{1}{q} \frac{f'}{f} < 0$ . Hence, the function f ′  $\frac{f}{f^{1/q}}$  is decreasing near 0. In particular, there exists a constant  $C > 0$ such that  $\frac{f'}{f}$  $\frac{f'}{f^{1/q}} \geq C$  near 0, which implies that for some  $p < \frac{N}{N-2}$ ,  $c_1 > 0$ ,

(25) 
$$
f(u) \ge c_1 u^p.
$$

The above inequality holds in a neighborhood of 0. **Step 2.** Since  $p < p<sub>S</sub>(N)$ , there exists  $\varphi > 0$  solving

(26) 
$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta \varphi = c_1 \varphi^p & \text{in } B_1 \\
\varphi = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_1.\n\end{cases}
$$

<span id="page-9-1"></span> $\Box$ 

We are going to prove that a rescaled version of  $\varphi$  must lie below u. Let indeed  $R > 0$  and  $\varphi_R(x) = R^{-\frac{2}{p-1}} \varphi(x/R)$  for  $x \in B_R$ ,  $\varphi_R(x) = 0$  for  $|x| > R$ . Then,

$$
\begin{cases}\n-\Delta \varphi_R = c_1 (\varphi_R)^p & \text{in } B_R \\
\varphi_R = 0 & \text{on } \partial B_R.\n\end{cases}
$$

Furthermore, since  $p < \frac{N}{N-2}$ ,

<span id="page-10-2"></span>(27) 
$$
\frac{\|\varphi_R\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R)}}{R^{2-N}} \le \frac{R^{-\frac{2}{p-1}}}{R^{2-N}} \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(B_1)} \to 0, \text{ as } R \to +\infty.
$$

**Step 3.** Since  $u > 0$  is superharmonic, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

<span id="page-10-1"></span>(28) 
$$
u(x) \ge c |x|^{2-N}
$$
 for  $|x| \ge 1$ .

Indeed, the above inequality clearly holds for  $|x| = 1$ , with  $c = \min_{\{|x|=1\}} u$ . In addition, the function  $z = u-c|x|^{2-N}$  is superharmonic in  $[1 \leq |x| \leq M]$ . By the Maximum Principle,  $z \ge \min(0, \min_{[|x|=M]} z(x))$ , in  $[1 \le |x| \le M]$ . Hence,  $z \geq \liminf_{M \to \infty} \min(0, \min_{[|x|=M]} z(x)) = 0$ . [\(28\)](#page-10-1) is established. **Step 4.** Collecting [\(27\)](#page-10-2) and [\(28\)](#page-10-1), we obtain for  $R > 0$  sufficiently large

 $u \geq \varphi_R$ .

We conclude using the celebrated sliding method : first, by [\(27\)](#page-10-2),  $\|\varphi_R\|_{\infty} \to$ 0 as  $R \to \infty$ , so that by [\(25\)](#page-9-0),  $f(\varphi_R) \ge c_1(\varphi_R)^p$ , provided R is sufficiently large. In particular,

$$
-\Delta(u - \varphi_R) \ge f(u) - f(\varphi_R) \ge 0.
$$

By the Strong Maximum Principle,  $u > \varphi_R$ . Next, we slide  $\varphi_R$  in a given direction, say  $\tilde{\varphi}_{R,t}(x) = \varphi_R(x + te_1)$ , where  $e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ . We want to prove that  $u \geq \tilde{\varphi}_{R,t}$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . If not, there exists  $t_0 \in (0, +\infty)$  such that  $u \geq \tilde{\varphi}_{R,t_0}$  and  $u(x_0) = \tilde{\varphi}_{R,t_0}(x_0)$  at some point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ . But again we have

$$
-\Delta(u-\varphi_{R,t_0})\geq f(u)-f(\tilde{\varphi}_{R,t_0})\geq 0.
$$

and the Strong Maximum Principle would imply that  $u \equiv \tilde{\varphi}_{R,t_0}$ . This is not possible since  $\varphi_{R,t_0}$  is compactly supported while u is not. The above argument holds if  $e_1$  is replaced by any other direction  $e \in S^{N-1}$ . In particular,  $u \ge \max \varphi_R > 0$ , which is possible, since u is superharmonic, only if u is constant. Since,  $f > 0$ , we obtain a contradiction. Hence,  $u \equiv 0.$ 

 $\Box$ 

<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Remark 3.3** If  $f(0) \neq 0$  or  $f'(0) \neq 0$ , then [\(25\)](#page-9-0) clearly holds in a neighborhood of 0 and we may work as above to conclude that u is constant. We may therefore assume for the rest of the proof that  $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ .

<span id="page-10-3"></span>We turn next to the case  $q_0 \leq N/2$ , which is a consequence of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.4** Assume  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$  is nondecreasing, convex ,  $f > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^*_+$ , [\(7\)](#page-1-2) holds and  $q_0 < +\infty$ . Then, the differential inequality

(29) 
$$
-\Delta u \le f(u) \qquad in \ \mathbb{R}^N
$$

does not admit any solution  $u \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $u > 0$  such that [\(2\)](#page-0-0) holds, if either of the following conditions holds

- <span id="page-11-0"></span>1.  $1 \leq N \leq 9$ ,
- 2.  $N = 10$  and  $p_0 < +\infty$ ,
- 3.  $N \ge 11$  and  $p_0 < p_c(N)$ ,

<span id="page-11-6"></span>Remark 3.5 With no change to the proof, Theorem [3.4](#page-10-3) remains true if u is only assumed to be locally Lipschitz continuous. The differential inequality [\(29\)](#page-11-0) must then be understood in the weak sense i.e.

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \nabla u \nabla \varphi \, dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) \varphi \, dx,
$$

for all Lipschitz functions  $\varphi \geq 0$  with compact support.

It remains to prove Theorem [3.4.](#page-10-3) We begin with the following weighted-Poincaré inequality.

**Lemma 3.6** Assume  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary open set in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Let  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ ,  $u \geq 0$  satisfy

<span id="page-11-4"></span><span id="page-11-2"></span>
$$
-\Delta u \le f(u) \qquad in \ \Omega.
$$

Assume in addition that for all  $\varphi \in C_c^1(\Omega)$ ,

(30) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} f'(u)\varphi^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 dx.
$$

Let  $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$  denote a convex function and  $\eta \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . Let

$$
\psi(u) = \int_0^u \phi'^2(t) dt.
$$

Then,

<span id="page-11-1"></span>(31) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \left[ (f' \phi^2 - f \psi) \circ u \right] \eta^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left[ \phi^2 \circ u \right] |\nabla \eta|^2.
$$

<span id="page-11-3"></span>**Remark 3.7** If  $\phi$  is not convex, then the following variant of [\(31\)](#page-11-1) holds.

<span id="page-11-5"></span>(32) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} [(f'\phi^2 - f\psi) \circ u] \eta^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} [K \circ u] \Delta(\eta^2) dx - \int_{\Omega} [\phi^2 \circ u] \eta \Delta \eta dx,
$$
  
where  $K(u) = \int_0^u \psi(s) ds$ .

**Proof.** Multiply [\(29\)](#page-11-0) by  $\psi(u)\eta^2$  and integrate by parts :

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \nabla \left(\psi(u)\eta^2\right) dx \le \int_{\Omega} f(u)\psi(u)\eta^2 dx
$$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi'(u)^2 |\nabla u|^2 \eta^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \psi(u) \nabla u \nabla \eta^2 dx \le
$$

$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi'(u)^2 |\nabla u|^2 \eta^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} K(u) \Delta \eta^2 dx \le
$$

where  $K(u) = \int_0^u \psi(s) ds$ . Hence,

<span id="page-12-0"></span>(33) 
$$
\int_{\Omega} \phi'(u)^2 |\nabla u|^2 \eta^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} K(u) \Delta \eta^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} f(u) \psi(u) \eta^2 dx
$$

Next, we apply [\(30\)](#page-11-2) with  $\varphi = \phi(u)\eta$  and obtain

$$
\int_{\Omega} f'(u)\phi(u)^{2}\eta^{2} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\phi(u)\eta)|^{2} dx = \int_{\Omega} |\phi'(u)\eta \nabla u + \phi(u)\nabla \eta|^{2} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\Omega} \phi'(u)^{2}\eta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi(u)^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} \phi(u)\phi'(u)\eta \nabla \eta \nabla u dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\Omega} \phi'(u)^{2}\eta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi(u)^{2} |\nabla \eta|^{2} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \nabla \eta^{2} \nabla \phi(u)^{2} dx
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \int_{\Omega} \phi'(u)^{2}\eta^{2} |\nabla u|^{2} dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi(u)^{2} (\vert \nabla \eta \vert^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \eta^{2}) dx
$$

Plug [\(33\)](#page-12-0) in the above. Then,

$$
\int_{\Omega} \left( f'(u)\phi(u)^2 - f(u)\psi(u)^2 \right) \eta^2 dx \le \int_{\Omega} K(u)\Delta \eta^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} \phi(u)^2 \left( |\nabla \eta|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\Delta \eta^2 \right) dx
$$

This proves Remark [3.7.](#page-11-3) Finally, when  $\phi$  is convex,

$$
\psi(u) = \int_0^u \phi'^2(s) \, ds \le \phi'(u)\phi(u).
$$

Integrating, we obtain that  $K \leq \frac{1}{2}\phi^2$  and [\(31\)](#page-11-1) follows.

**Proof of Theorem [3.4](#page-10-3) continued.** Take  $\alpha \geq 1$  and  $\phi = f^{\alpha}$ . In order to take advantage of Lemma [3.6,](#page-11-4) we need to make sure that the quantity  $(f'\phi^2 - f\psi) \circ u$  remains nonnegative and better, bounded below by some positive function of  $u$ . Clearly, the best one can hope for is an inequality of the form

$$
(f'\phi^2 - f\psi) \circ u \geq c f'\phi^2 \circ u.
$$

To obtain such an inequality, we apply L'Hôpital's Rule :

$$
\lim_{\rho+} \frac{f'\phi^2}{f\psi} = \lim_{\rho+} \frac{f'f^{2\alpha-1}}{\psi}
$$
  
= 
$$
\lim_{\rho+} \frac{f''f^{2\alpha-1} + (2\alpha - 1)f^{2\alpha-2}f'^2}{\alpha^2 f^{2\alpha-2}f'^2}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{1}{\alpha^2} (1/q_0 + 2\alpha - 1) > 1,
$$

where the last inequality holds if  $\alpha \in [1, 1+1/\sqrt{q_0})$ . Note that this interval is nonempty since we assumed  $q_0 < +\infty$ . Hence, for some constant  $c > 0$ ,

<span id="page-12-1"></span>(34) 
$$
f'\phi^2 - f\psi \geq c f'\phi^2
$$

in a neighbourhood [0,  $\epsilon$ ] of the origin. Modifying  $\phi$ , the above inequality can be extended to a given compact interval [0, M] as follows. Take  $\phi \in$ 

 $W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$  defined by

<span id="page-13-0"></span>(35) 
$$
\phi(u) = \begin{cases} f(u)^{\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \le u \le \epsilon \\ f(\epsilon)^{\alpha - 1} f(u) \exp\left(\int_{\epsilon}^{u} \sqrt{\frac{f''}{f}} ds\right) & \text{if } u > \epsilon \end{cases}
$$

where  $\epsilon, \alpha$  are chosen as before. Then  $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ . For  $u > \epsilon$ , we claim that the quantity  $\frac{f'}{f}$  $\frac{f'}{f} \phi^2 - \psi$  is constant. Indeed,

$$
\left(\frac{f'}{f}\phi^2 - \psi\right)' = \left(\frac{f'}{f}\right)' \phi^2 + 2\frac{f'}{f}\phi\phi' - \phi'^2
$$
  
=  $\left(\frac{f''}{f} - \frac{f'^2}{f^2}\right)\phi^2 + 2\frac{f'}{f}\phi\phi' - \phi'^2$   
=  $\frac{f''}{f}\phi^2 - \left(\frac{f'}{f}\phi - \phi'\right)^2 = \phi^2 \left(\frac{f''}{f} - \left(\frac{f'}{f} - \frac{\phi'}{\phi}\right)^2\right)$   
= 0,

where we used the definition of  $\phi$  in the last equality. So for  $u > \varepsilon$ ,

$$
f'\phi^2 - f\psi = f\left(\frac{f'}{f}\phi^2 - \psi\right) = f\left(\frac{f'(\varepsilon)}{f(\varepsilon)}\phi^2(\varepsilon) - \psi(\varepsilon)\right)
$$
  
 
$$
\geq f'(\varepsilon)\phi^2(\varepsilon) - f(\varepsilon)\psi(\varepsilon) \geq c_{\varepsilon} > 0,
$$

where we used [\(34\)](#page-12-1) at  $u = \varepsilon$ . Since  $f' \phi^2$  is bounded above by a constant on any compact interval of the form  $[\varepsilon, M]$ , we conclude that [\(34\)](#page-12-1) holds throughout  $[0, M]$  for a constant  $c > 0$  perhaps smaller. We have just proved that given  $\alpha \in [1, 1 + 1/\sqrt{q_0})$  and a bounded positive function u, there exists  $c > 0$  such that

<span id="page-13-2"></span>(36) 
$$
[f'\phi^2 - f\psi] \circ u \ge c [f'\phi^2] \circ u.
$$

Recall that we established the above inequality in order to apply Lemma [3.6.](#page-11-4) Unfortunately, since the function  $\phi$  we introduced in [\(35\)](#page-13-0) may not be convex, we cannot apply Lemma [3.6](#page-11-4) directly. We make use of [\(32\)](#page-11-5) instead. In order to obtain a meaningful result, we need to understand how the different functions of  $u$  introduced in  $(32)$  compare. By definition of  $\phi$ , we easily deduce the following set of inequalities

(37) 
$$
\begin{cases} [f'\phi^2 - f\psi] \circ u \geq c f' f^{2\alpha} \circ u \\ \phi^2 \circ u \leq C f^{2\alpha} \circ u \\ K \circ u \leq C f^{2\alpha} \circ u, \end{cases}
$$

So, we just need to relate  $f$  and  $f'$  to be able to compare all quantities involved in the estimate. Fix  $q_1 < q_0$ . By definition of  $q_0$ , there exists a neighborhood of zero where

<span id="page-13-1"></span>
$$
\frac{ff''}{f'^2} \le 1/q_1.
$$

ddf.tex 0ctober 25, 2018 15

In particular,  $f'/f^{1/q_1}$  is nonincreasing and in a neighborhood of zero we have

$$
(38) \t\t\t f' \geq cf^{1/q_1}.
$$

By continuity, up to choosing  $c > 0$  smaller, the above inequality holds in the whole range of a given bounded positive function  $u$ . Recall now  $(37)$ , [\(38\)](#page-14-0) and apply [\(32\)](#page-11-5). The estimate reduces to

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{1/q_1+2\alpha} \circ u] \eta^2 dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{2\alpha} \circ u] \left( |\nabla \eta|^2 + |\eta \Delta \eta| \right) dx
$$

Choose  $\eta = \zeta^m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ ,  $\zeta \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $1 \ge \zeta \ge 0$ :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{1/q_1+2\alpha} \circ u] \zeta^{2m} dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{2\alpha} \circ u] \left( \zeta^{2m-2} |\nabla \zeta|^2 + \zeta^{2m-1} |\Delta \zeta| \right) dx
$$
  

$$
\le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{2\alpha} \circ u] \zeta^{2m-2} \left( |\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta| \right) dx
$$

Using Hölder's inequality, it follows that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{1/q_1+2\alpha}\circ u]\zeta^{2m} dx \leq C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{2\alpha m'}\circ u]\zeta^{2m} dx \right)^{1/m'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left( |\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta| \right)^m \right)^{1/m}.
$$

Assume temporarily that

(39) 
$$
f^{1/q_1+2\alpha} \circ u \geq c \ f^{2\alpha m'} \circ u.
$$

Then, the inequality simplifies to

<span id="page-14-2"></span><span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{2\alpha m'} \circ u] \zeta^{2m} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta|)^m.
$$

Choose now  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta \equiv 1$  in  $B_R$  and  $|\nabla \zeta| \le C/R$ ,  $|\Delta \zeta| \le C/R^2$ :

(40) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f^{2\alpha m'} \circ u] \zeta^{2m} dx \leq C R^{N-2m},
$$

The above inequality is true as soon as [\(39\)](#page-14-1) holds, which itself reduces to choosing the exponents such that

<span id="page-14-3"></span>
$$
2\alpha m' \ge 1/q_1 + 2\alpha.
$$

This holds for some  $q_1 < q_0$  provided  $2\alpha(m'-1) > 1/q_0$ . Since  $\alpha$  can be chosen arbitrarly close to  $1 + 1/\sqrt{q_0}$  and restricting to m' less than but as close as we wish to  $\frac{N}{N-2}$ , we finally need only assume

(41) 
$$
\frac{4}{N-2} (1 + 1/\sqrt{q_0}) > 1/q_0.
$$

Since  $m' < \frac{N}{N-2}$ ,  $N - 2m < 0$ . So, the right-hand-side of [\(40\)](#page-14-2) converges to 0 as  $R \to \infty$ , whence  $f \circ u = 0$  and  $u = 0$ , as desired. Solving [\(41\)](#page-14-3) for  $q_0$  yields the conditions stated in Theorem [3.4.](#page-10-3)

## 4 Extensions to unbounded and sign-changing solutions

We deal first with possibly unbounded solutions.

Proof of Corollary [1.7.](#page-2-4) Note that by Lemma [3.1,](#page-9-1) we need only consider the case  $q_0 < +\infty$ . We modify the rest of the proof of Theorem [3.4](#page-10-3) as follows : take  $\phi \in W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$  defined by

$$
\phi(u) = \begin{cases} f(u)^{\alpha} & \text{if } 0 \le u \le \epsilon \\ f(\epsilon)^{\alpha - 1} f(u) \exp\left(\int_{\epsilon}^{u} \sqrt{\frac{f''}{f}} ds\right) & \text{if } \epsilon < u \le 1/\epsilon \\ f(u)^{\beta} + A & \text{if } u > 1/\epsilon, \end{cases}
$$

where  $\alpha$  is chosen in  $[1, 1 + 1/\sqrt{q_0})$  as previously,  $\beta$  in  $[1, 1 + 1/\sqrt{\overline{q_{\infty}}})$  and A such that  $\phi$  is  $W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$ . Then, [\(36\)](#page-13-2) holds if in addition

$$
\liminf_{u \to +\infty} \frac{f'\phi^2}{f\psi}(u) > 1.
$$

We leave the reader check that this is true under assumption [\(9\)](#page-3-6), for  $\beta \in [1, 1 + 1/\sqrt{\overline{q_{\infty}}})$ . Apply [\(32\)](#page-11-5) with  $\eta = \zeta^m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ ,  $\zeta \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$ :

<span id="page-15-0"></span>(42)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f' \phi^2 \circ u] \zeta^{2m} dx \le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(\phi^2 + K) \circ u] \zeta^{2m-2} (|\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta|) dx
$$
  

$$
\le C \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(\phi^2 + K)^{m'} \circ u] \zeta^{2m} dx \right)^{1/m'} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta|)^m dx \right)^{1/m}
$$

By definition of  $\phi$  and [\(38\)](#page-14-0), there exists constants  $c, c' > 0$  such that for  $u \in [0,1], f' \phi^{2}(u) \geq c f' \phi^{2\alpha}(u) \geq c' f^{2\alpha+q_{1}}(u)$ , where  $q_{1} < q_{0}$ . We also clearly have  $(\phi^2 + K)^{m'}(u) \leq C f^{2\alpha m'}$  for  $u \in [0, 1]$ . So,

$$
f'\phi^2 \ge c \left(\phi^2 + K\right)^{m'} \qquad \text{on } [0, 1],
$$

provided that  $2\alpha m' \geq 1/q_1 + 2\alpha$ . Similarly, the reader will easily check using [\(9\)](#page-3-6) that given  $q_2 < \overline{q_{\infty}}$ , there exists  $c > 0$  such that

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
f' \geq cf^{1/q_2} \qquad \text{in } [1, +\infty),
$$

whence  $f' \phi^2 \ge c (\phi^2 + K)^{m'}$  in  $[1, +\infty)$  provided that  $2\beta m' \ge 1/q_2 + 2\alpha$ . We conclude that

(43) 
$$
f'\phi^2 \circ u \ge c \left(\phi^2 + K\right)^{m'} \circ u,
$$

provided that  $2\alpha m' \geq 1/q_1 + 2\alpha$  and  $2\beta m' \geq 1/q_2 + 2\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha$  can be chosen arbitrarily close to  $1 + 1/\sqrt{q_0}$ ,  $\beta$  to  $1 + 1/\sqrt{\overline{q_\infty}}$ ,  $q_1$  to  $q_0$ ,  $q_2$  to  $\overline{q_\infty}$ 

and  $m'$  to  $N/(N-2)$ , we conclude that suitable parameters can be chosen provided [\(41\)](#page-14-3) and

$$
\frac{4}{N-2}\left(1+1/\sqrt{\overline{q_{\infty}}}\right) > 1/\overline{q_{\infty}}
$$

hold. These inequalities are true under the assumptions of Remark [1.7.](#page-2-4) So, collecting [\(42\)](#page-15-0) and [\(43\)](#page-15-1), we obtain for some  $m > N/2$ ,

<span id="page-16-0"></span>(44) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [(\phi^2 + K)^{m'} \circ u] \zeta^{2m} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta|)^{m'} dx.
$$

Choose at last  $\zeta$  such that  $\zeta \equiv 1$  in  $B_R$  and  $|\nabla \zeta| \le C/R$ ,  $|\Delta \zeta| \le C/R^2$ : the right-hand side of [\(44\)](#page-16-0) converges to 0 as  $R \to \infty$  and the conclusion follows. follows.

We work next with sign-changing solutions.

**Proof of Corollaries [1.8](#page-3-1) and [1.10.](#page-3-5)** We simply remark that if  $u \in$  $C^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  is a solution of [\(1\)](#page-0-1), then  $u^+$  (respectively  $u^-$ ) is locally Lipschitz continuous and solves the differential inequality [\(29\)](#page-11-0) (respectively  $-\Delta u^{-} \leq \tilde{f}(u^{-})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ , where  $\tilde{f}(t) := -f(-t)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{R}^{-}$ ). Since we assumed  $q_0 < +\infty$ , we may then apply Theorem [3.4](#page-10-3) and Remark [3.5.](#page-11-6) Corollary [1.8](#page-3-1) follows. For Corollary [1.10,](#page-3-5) we replace Theorem [3.4](#page-10-3) by the adaptation presented in the proof of Corollary [1.7.](#page-2-4)  $\Box$ 

### 5 Beyond power-type nonlinearities

Proof of Theorem [1.11.](#page-3-0) Case [1.](#page-3-7) of the theorem was proved in Lemma [3.1.](#page-9-1) For cases [2.](#page-3-3) and [3.](#page-3-4) take  $\alpha \ge 1$  and  $\phi = f^{\alpha}$ . Let  $L = \liminf_{0^+} f' \phi^2 / f \psi$ and let  $(u_n)$  denote a sequence along which  $f' \phi^2 / f \psi$  converges to L. By Remark [3.3,](#page-10-0) we may always assume that  $f(0) = 0$ . So, applying Cauchy's mean value theorem, there exists  $v_n \in (0, u_n)$  such that

$$
\frac{f'\phi^2}{f\psi}(u_n) = \frac{f' f^{2\alpha - 1}}{\psi}(u_n)
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{f'' f^{2\alpha - 1} + (2\alpha - 1)f^{2\alpha - 2}f'^2}{\alpha^2 f^{2\alpha - 2}f'^2}\Big|_{u = v_n}
$$

Passing to the limit, we obtain

<span id="page-16-1"></span>(45) 
$$
L = \liminf_{0^+} \frac{f'\phi^2}{f\psi} \ge \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left( \frac{1}{\overline{q_0}} + 2\alpha - 1 \right) > 1,
$$

where the last inequality holds if  $\alpha \in [1, 1+1/\sqrt{\overline{q_0}})$ . Note that this interval is nonempty since we assumed  $\overline{q_0} < \infty$ . At this point, we repeat the steps performed in the proof of Theorem [3.4](#page-10-3) : from equation [\(45\)](#page-16-1), we deduce that [\(34\)](#page-12-1) holds in a neighborhood  $[0, \varepsilon]$  of the origin. Modifying  $\phi$  as in [\(35\)](#page-13-0), the verbatim arguments lead to [\(36\)](#page-13-2) and [\(37\)](#page-13-1). For the rest of the proof, we argue slightly differently according to the case considered.

Case [2.](#page-3-3) of Theorem [1.11](#page-3-0) In place of [\(38\)](#page-14-0), we simply use the convexity of f. Since u is bounded, there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$
f'(u) \ge \frac{f(u)}{u} \ge cf(u).
$$

So, [\(40\)](#page-14-2) holds for some  $m > N/2$  whenever  $\frac{4}{N-2} \left(1 + 1/\sqrt{\overline{q_0}}\right) > 1$ , which is true for  $N \leq 6$ , provided  $\overline{q_0} < \infty$ . Following the proof of Theorem [3.4,](#page-10-3) we obtain case [2.](#page-3-3) of Theorem [1.11.](#page-3-0)

**Case [3.](#page-3-4) of Theorem [1.11](#page-3-0)** By definition of  $q_0$ , [\(38\)](#page-14-0) now holds for  $q_1 < q_0$ . Resuming our inspection of the proof of Theorem [3.4,](#page-10-3) we see that [\(40\)](#page-14-2) holds under assumption [3.](#page-3-4) of Theorem [1.11](#page-3-0) and the desired conclusion follows.

### 6 Speed of decay : proof of Theorem [1.19](#page-5-2)

In this section, we characterize the speed of decay of solutions which are stable outside a compact set. To do so, we shall again take advantage of Lemma [3.6](#page-11-4) or actually its general form [\(32\)](#page-11-5), with a different choice of test function  $\phi \circ u$ . We divide the proof in several steps. Step 1. We begin by proving the usual estimate

$$
[f'\phi^2 - f\psi](u) \ge c[f'\phi^2](u)
$$

where this time  $\phi(u) = \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{\alpha}$  and  $\alpha$  is chosen in a suitable range.

First, by Lemma [3.1](#page-9-1) and Remark [3.3](#page-10-0) , we may restrict to the case where  $q_0 < +\infty$ , whence  $p_0 > 1$ , and we may also assume  $f(0) = f'(0) =$ 0. By Proposition [1.14,](#page-4-0)  $\lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = 0$ . For  $u \in \mathbb{R}^*_+$ , take  $\phi \in$  $W^{1,\infty}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R})$  defined by

(46) 
$$
\phi(u) = \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{\alpha},
$$

where  $\alpha > \frac{1}{2}$ . We begin by computing

(47) 
$$
L = \liminf_{u \to 0^+} \frac{f' \phi^2}{f \psi}(u).
$$

Let  $(u_n)$  denote a sequence along which  $f' \phi^2 / f \psi$  converges to L. Observe that since  $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$ , then  $\psi(0) = 0$  and

<span id="page-17-0"></span>(48) 
$$
\lim_{u \to 0} f' f^{2\alpha - 1} u^{-2\alpha} = \lim_{u \to 0} \frac{f'(u)}{u} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha - 1} = 0,
$$

if  $\alpha > 1/2$ . So, applying Cauchy's mean value theorem, there exists

 $v_n \in (0, u_n)$  such that

$$
\frac{f'\phi^2}{f\psi}(u_n) = \frac{f' f^{2\alpha-1} u^{-2\alpha}}{\psi}\Big|_{u=u_n}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{f'' f^{2\alpha-1} u^{-2\alpha} + (2\alpha - 1) f'^2 f^{2\alpha-2} u^{-2\alpha} - 2\alpha f' f^{2\alpha-1} u^{-2\alpha-1}}{\alpha^2 u^{-2\alpha-2} f^{2\alpha} (-1 + u f'/f)^2}\Big|_{u=v_n}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{f'' u^2/f + (2\alpha - 1) f'^2 u^2/f^2 - 2\alpha u f'/f}{\alpha^2 (-1 + u f'/f)^2}\Big|_{u=v_n}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{f f'' / f'^2 + (2\alpha - 1) - 2\alpha f / (u f')}{\alpha^2 (1 - f/(u f'))^2}\Big|_{u=v_n}
$$

For  $u \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ , let

(49) 
$$
p(u) = \frac{uf'(u)}{f(u)}
$$

It follows that

<span id="page-18-1"></span>(50) 
$$
\frac{f'\phi^2}{f\psi}(u_n) = \frac{1/q + 2\alpha - 1 - 2\alpha/p}{\alpha^2(1 - 1/p)^2}\Big|_{u=v_n}
$$

$$
= 1 + \frac{1/q - (\alpha(1 - 1/p) - 1)^2}{\alpha^2(1 - 1/p)^2}\Big|_{u=v_n}
$$

We claim that [\(7\)](#page-1-2) implies

(51) 
$$
p_0 = \lim_{u \to 0^+} p(u),
$$

where  $p_0$  is the conjugate exponent of  $q_0$  i.e. [\(8\)](#page-2-0) holds. Take indeed any cluster point  $p_1$  of  $p$  and a sequence  $(u_n)$  such that  $p$  converges to  $p_1$  along  $(u_n)$ . Applying Cauchy's mean value theorem, there exists  $v_n \in (0, u_n)$ such that  $\mathbf{v}$ 

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
p(u_n) = \frac{f' + uf''}{f'}\bigg|_{u=v_n} = 1 + p/q(v_n).
$$

Let  $\underline{p_0} = \liminf_{u \to 0^+} p(u)$  and  $\overline{p_0} = \limsup_{u \to 0^+} p(u)$ . Pass to the limit as  $n \to +\infty$ :

$$
1 + \frac{p_0}{q_0} \le p_1 \le 1 + \overline{p_0}/q_0.
$$

Applying the above inequality to  $p_1 = \underline{p_0}$ ,  $\overline{p_0}$ , we obtain

$$
\overline{p_0}(1 - 1/q_0) \le 1 \le \underline{p_0}(1 - 1/q_0)
$$

and [\(51\)](#page-18-0) follows. Next, we apply [\(51\)](#page-18-0) in [\(50\)](#page-18-1). Thus,

<span id="page-18-2"></span>
$$
L = 1 + \frac{1/q_0 - (\alpha/q_0 - 1)^2}{\alpha^2/q_0^2}.
$$

So,  $L > 1$  if

(52) 
$$
\alpha \in (q_0 - \sqrt{q_0}, q_0 + \sqrt{q_0}).
$$

,

We conclude that given  $\alpha > 1/2$  in the range [\(52\)](#page-18-2), there exists  $c > 0$  such that for u small enough

<span id="page-19-0"></span>(53) 
$$
[f'\phi^{2} - f\psi](u) \ge c[f'\phi^{2}](u) \ge c\left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha+1}
$$

where we used the convexity of  $f$  in the last inequality. Note that since  $u(x) \to 0$  as  $|x| \to +\infty$ , the above inequality holds for  $u = u(x)$  and x in the complement of a ball of large radius.

**Step 2.** Next, we need to estimate the other functions of  $u$  appearing in  $(32)$ . We claim that for small values of u,

(54) 
$$
K(u) \leq C \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha}.
$$

To see this, it suffices to prove that  $\limsup_{u\to 0^+} K(u)/\phi^2(u) < \infty$ . Take a sequence  $(u_n)$  converging to zero and apply Cauchy's mean value theorem : there exists  $v_n \in (0, u_n)$  such that

<span id="page-19-1"></span>
$$
\frac{K}{\phi^2}(u_n) = \frac{\psi}{2\phi\phi'}(v_n)
$$

It follows from [\(53\)](#page-19-0) that  $f' \phi^2 - f \psi \geq 0$  for small u. So,  $\psi(v_n) \leq$  $[f'\phi^2/f](v_n)$  for large *n* so that

$$
\frac{K}{\phi^2}(u_n) \le \frac{f' \phi}{2f \phi'}(v_n) = \frac{1}{2\alpha(1 - 1/p(v_n))}.
$$

Recalling [\(51\)](#page-18-0) and since we assumed that  $p_0 > 1$ , [\(54\)](#page-19-1) follows. Step 3. In this step, we prove an estimate of the form

$$
\int_{B_R(x_0)} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha+1} dx \le C R^{N-2m},
$$

where  $m = 2\alpha + 1$  and  $B_R(x_0)$  is a suitably chosen ball shifted towards infinity.

Choose  $\zeta \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ,  $0 \leq \zeta \leq 1$  supported outside a ball  $B_{R_0}(0)$  of large radius, so that [\(2\)](#page-0-0) holds for functions supported outside  $B_{R_0}(0)$  and that [\(53\)](#page-19-0) and [\(54\)](#page-19-1) hold for  $u = u(x)$ ,  $x \in \text{supp }\zeta$ . By Lemma [3.6,](#page-11-4) we may apply [\(32\)](#page-11-5) with  $\eta = \zeta^m$ ,  $m \ge 1$ . Using [\(53\)](#page-19-0), [\(54\)](#page-19-1) and the convexity of f, we obtain for  $\alpha > 1/2$  in the range [\(52\)](#page-18-2)

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha+1} \zeta^{2m} dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f'(u) \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha} \zeta^{2m} dx
$$
  

$$
\le C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha} \zeta^{2m-2} \left(|\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta|\right) dx.
$$

Fix  $m = 2\alpha + 1$  and apply Hölder's inequality. It follows that

<span id="page-19-2"></span>(55) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha+1} \zeta^{2m} dx \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|\nabla \zeta|^2 + |\Delta \zeta|\right)^{2m} dx.
$$

We work on balls shifted towards infinity. More precisely, we take a point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $|x_0| > 10R_0$  and set  $R = |x_0|/4$ . Then,  $B(x_0, 2R) \subset$  ${x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x| \ge R_0}$  and we may apply [\(55\)](#page-19-2) with  $\zeta = \varphi(|x-x_0|/R)$ and  $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$  given by

$$
\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |t| \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |t| \ge 2. \end{cases}
$$

We get

(56) 
$$
\int_{B_R(x_0)} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha+1} dx \leq C_3 R^{N-2m}.
$$

Step 4. In this step, we prove the estimate

<span id="page-20-0"></span>
$$
R^{\epsilon}||f(u)/u||_{L^{\frac{N}{2-\epsilon}}(B(x_0,R))} \leq C
$$

By Lemma [1.4,](#page-1-5)  $q_0 \geq 1$ . Under the assumptions of Theorem [1.19,](#page-5-2) we can choose the exponent m so large that for small  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $m > N/(2-\varepsilon)$  (recall that  $m = 2\alpha + 1$  and  $\alpha > 1/2$  can be chosen freely in the range [\(52\)](#page-18-2)). Furthermore, by Hölder's inequality and [\(56\)](#page-20-0), we obtain

<span id="page-20-1"></span>
$$
(57) \quad R^{\epsilon} \|f(u)/u\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2-\epsilon}}(B(x_0,R))} \le R^{\epsilon} \|f(u)/u\|_{L^m(B(x_0,R))} |B_R|^{\frac{2-\epsilon}{N} - \frac{1}{m}}
$$

$$
\le CR^{\epsilon} \left(R^{N-2m}\right)^{1/m} R^{2-\epsilon - \frac{N}{m}} = C.
$$

**Step 5.** Now, we think of  $u$  as a solution of a linear problem, namely

(58) 
$$
-\Delta u = \frac{f(u)}{u}u =: V(x)u \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

According to classical results of J. Serrin [\[12\]](#page-26-9) and N. Trudinger [\[13\]](#page-26-10) (see also Theorem 7.1.1 on page 154 of [\[11\]](#page-26-11)), for any  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  and any  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ , there exists a constant

<span id="page-20-4"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span>
$$
C_S = C_S(R^{\varepsilon}\|V\|_{L^{\frac{N}{2-\varepsilon}}(B(x_0,2R))}, N,p) > 0
$$

such that

(59) 
$$
||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_R(x_0))} \leq C_S R^{-N/p} ||u||_{L^p(B_{2R}(x_0))}.
$$

Note that for our choice of  $x_0$ , equation [\(57\)](#page-20-1) holds and so  $C_s$  is a true constant, independant of R and  $x_0$ .

Step 6. The inequality [\(59\)](#page-20-2) gives a pointwise estimate in terms of an integral average of u. In order to control the latter, we consider  $\tilde{u}$ the average of u over the sphere  $\partial B_r(x_0)$ , defined for  $r > 0$  by  $\tilde{u}(r) =$  $\int_{\partial B_r(x_0)} u \, d\sigma$ . We claim that there exists  $C = C(N) > 0$  such that

(60) 
$$
\frac{f(\tilde{u}(r))}{\tilde{u}(r)} \leq \frac{C}{r^2}.
$$

To prove this, we first observe that since  $f$  is convex,  $\tilde{u}$  satisfies the differential inequality

<span id="page-20-3"></span>
$$
-\tilde{u}'' - \frac{N-1}{r}\tilde{u}' \ge f(\tilde{u}).
$$

ddf.tex 0ctober 25, 2018 22

Now, since  $f \geq 0$ ,  $\tilde{u}' \leq 0$ . In particular  $r \mapsto f(\tilde{u}(r))$  is nonincreasing. Fix  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and integrate the differential inequality between 0 and r:

$$
-\tilde{u}'(r) \ge r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} f(\tilde{u}(s)) ds \ge r^{1-N} \int_0^{\lambda r} s^{N-1} f(\tilde{u}(s)) ds \ge \frac{\lambda^N r f(\tilde{u}(\lambda r))}{N}.
$$

Integrate a second time between r and  $r/\lambda$ . Then,

$$
\tilde{u}(r) \geq \tilde{u}(r/\lambda) + \frac{\lambda^N}{N} \int_r^{r/\lambda} s f(\tilde{u}(\lambda s)) ds \geq r^2 f(\tilde{u}(r)) \frac{\lambda^N}{2N} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda^2} - 1\right).
$$

Taking  $\lambda = \frac{N-2}{N}$ , [\(60\)](#page-20-3) follows with  $C = \frac{1}{2N} \left(\frac{N-2}{N}\right)^N \left(\left(\frac{N}{N-2}\right)^2 - 1\right)$ . **Step 7.** Recall that we are trying to establish an  $L^p$  estimate,  $p > 1$  in order to use  $(59)$ . To start with, we use  $(60)$  to obtain an  $L<sup>1</sup>$  estimate of

 $f(u)$ . Namely, we prove that there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  depending on N only, such that

<span id="page-21-0"></span>(61) 
$$
\int_{B_R(x_0)} f(u) dx \le C_1 R^{-2} g(C_2/R^2),
$$

where g is the inverse function of  $t \mapsto \frac{f(t)}{t}$ , which exists for small values of t by Remark [1.20.](#page-5-3) For simplicity, we write  $B_R$  in place of  $B_R(x_0)$  in what follows. To prove [\(61\)](#page-21-0), observe that for  $r \in (R, 2R)$ ,

$$
\int_{B_R} f(u) dx = c_N R^{N-2} \int_{R}^{2R} r^{1-N} dr \int_{B_R} f(u) dx
$$
  

$$
\leq c_N R^{N-2} \int_{R}^{2R} r^{1-N} dr \int_{B_r} f(u) dx =
$$
  

$$
c_N R^{N-2} \int_{R}^{2R} r^{1-N} dr \int_{B_r} -\Delta u dx
$$
  

$$
\leq -c_N R^{N-2} \int_{R}^{2R} r^{1-N} dr \int_{\partial B_r} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} d\sigma =
$$
  

$$
-c_N R^{N-2} \int_{R}^{2R} \tilde{u}' dr \leq c_N R^{N-2} \tilde{u}(R).
$$

Estimate [\(61\)](#page-21-0) follows, using [\(60\)](#page-20-3).

**Step 8.** The assumptions on f allow us to convert [\(61\)](#page-21-0) into an  $L^p$ estimate. Indeed, since  $q_0 < \infty$  (in fact, one only needs  $\overline{q_0} < \infty$ ), one can easily check that there exists  $p > 1$  such that the function  $h(t) = f(t^{1/p})$ is convex for small  $t$ . By Jensen's inequality,

$$
h\left(\int_{B_R} u^p dx\right) \leq \int_{B_R} f(u) dx \leq C_1 R^{-2} g(C_2/R^2).
$$

By Remark [1.20,](#page-5-3) f is invertible and so is h. Composing by  $h^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$
\int_{B_R} u^p dx \leq C R^N h^{-1} \left( C_1 R^{-2} g(C_2/R^2) \right).
$$

Combining this with [\(59\)](#page-20-2), we finally obtain

$$
||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_R)} \leq CR^{-N/p} \left( R^N h^{-1} \left( C_1 R^{-2} g(C_2/R^2) \right) \right)^{1/p} =
$$
  

$$
C f^{-1} \left( C_1 R^{-2} g(C_2/R^2) \right) = C s(R).
$$

We conclude this section by proving Corollary [1.24.](#page-7-3) Namely, we improve the rate of decay from  $O(s(|x|))$  to  $o(s(|x|))$ , when additional information on the nonlinearity is available.

Proof of Corollary [1.24.](#page-7-3) To start with, observe that under assumption [\(18\)](#page-7-4), there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

(62) 
$$
s(R) \leq CR^{-\frac{2}{p_0-1}}.
$$

Recall now [\(55\)](#page-19-2). We choose a suitable cut-off function  $\zeta \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  as follows. Let  $\varphi \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $0 \leq \varphi \leq 1$  everywhere on  $\mathbb R$  and

$$
\varphi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |t| \le 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } |t| \ge 2. \end{cases}
$$

For  $s > 0$ , let  $\theta_s \in C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $0 \le \theta_s \le 1$  everywhere on  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$
\theta_s(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } |t| \le s+1, \\ 1 & \text{if } |t| \ge s+2. \end{cases}
$$

Given  $R > R_0 + 3$ , we define  $\zeta$  at last by

$$
\zeta(x) = \begin{cases} \theta_{R_0}(|x|) & \text{if } |x| \le R_0 + 3, \\ \varphi(|x|/R) & \text{if } |x| \ge R_0 + 3. \end{cases}
$$

Applying [\(55\)](#page-19-2) with  $\zeta$  as above, we deduce that for some constants  $C_1, C_2$ 0,

<span id="page-22-0"></span>(63) 
$$
\int_{B_R \backslash B_{R_0+2}} \left(\frac{f(u)}{u}\right)^{2\alpha+1} dx \le C_1 + C_2 R^{N-2m}.
$$

Recall that [\(63\)](#page-22-0) holds for  $m = 2\alpha + 1$  and any  $\alpha > 1/2$  such that  $q_0 - \sqrt{q_0}$  $\alpha < q_0 + \sqrt{q_0}$ . In fact, the restriction  $\alpha > 1/2$  can be lifted and replaced by  $\alpha > 0$ . Indeed, the restriction  $\alpha > 1/2$  was used for the sole purpose of proving [\(48\)](#page-17-0). But [\(48\)](#page-17-0) clearly holds under the finer assumption [\(18\)](#page-7-4) for any  $\alpha > 0$ .

We would like to choose  $\alpha$  such that  $m := 2\alpha + 1 = N/2$ . Since  $p_0$  is in the supercritical range [\(15\)](#page-6-3) , straightforward algebraic computations show that such a choice is indeed possible in the range  $q_0 - \sqrt{q_0} < \alpha < q_0 + \sqrt{q_0}$ . By [\(63\)](#page-22-0), we deduce that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^{(p_0-1)\frac{N}{2}} < \infty.
$$

In particular, given  $\eta > 0$  small, there exists  $R > 0$  so large that given any point  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$  such that  $|x_0| = 4R$ ,

$$
\int_{B_R(x_0)} u^{(p_0-1)\frac{N}{2}} < \eta.
$$

We apply again [\(59\)](#page-20-2), this time with  $p = (p_0 - 1)\frac{N}{2}$  and obtain

(64) 
$$
||u||_{L^{\infty}(B_R(x_0))} \leq C_S R^{-N/p} ||u||_{L^p(B_{2R}(x_0))} \leq C_S \eta R^{-\frac{2}{p_0-1}}.
$$

This shows that  $u(x) = o(|x|^{-\frac{2}{p_0 - 1}})$ . It remains to prove the estimate on  $|\nabla u|$ . Observe that any partial derivative  $v = \partial u / \partial x_i$  solves the linearized equation

$$
-\Delta v = f'(u) v \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.
$$

Apply again the Serrin inequality [\(59\)](#page-20-2), this time with potential  $\tilde{V}(x) =$  $f'(u)$  and solution v. Since  $0 \le f'(u) \le C u^{p_0-1}$ , the potential  $\tilde{V}$  is equivalent to  $V(x) = f(u)/u$  and so the Serrin constant  $C_S$  is again independent of  $R$  and  $x_0$  under our assumptions. We get

$$
||v||_{L^{\infty}(B_R(x_0))} \leq C_S R^{-N/p} ||v||_{L^p(B_{2R}(x_0))}
$$

Serrin's Theorem (cf. Theorem 1 on page 256 of [\[12\]](#page-26-9)) also gives the estimate

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_R(x_0))} \leq C_S R^{-1} \|u\|_{L^p(B_{2R}(x_0))}
$$

for solutions of [\(58\)](#page-20-4). Collecting these inequalities, we obtain

$$
\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\infty}(B_R(x_0))} \leq C_S R^{-N/p-1} \|u\|_{L^p(B_{2R}(x_0))}.
$$

Using that  $u(x) = o(|x|^{-\frac{2}{p_0-1}})$ , we obtain the desired estimate.  $\Box$ 

## 7 Proof of Theorem [1.23](#page-6-4) : the subcritical case

By Remark [1.22,](#page-6-0) since  $p_0$  is subcritical, we have

(65) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)u \, dx < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) \, dx < +\infty.
$$

Multiply equation [\(1\)](#page-0-1) by  $u\zeta$ , where  $\zeta$  is a standard cut-off i.e.  $\zeta \equiv 1$  in  $B_R$ ,  $\zeta \equiv 0$  in  $B_{2R}$  and  $|\nabla \zeta| \le C/R$ ,  $|\Delta \zeta| \le C/R^2$ . Then integrate :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \zeta \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \nabla u \nabla \zeta \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) u \zeta \, dx.
$$
  

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \zeta \, dx - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u^2 \Delta \zeta \, dx =
$$

By Remark [1.22,](#page-6-0) the second term in the left-hand side of the above equality converges to 0 as  $R \to +\infty$ . Hence, by monotone convergence we have

<span id="page-23-0"></span>(66) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uf(u) dx < +\infty
$$

As in the classical Pohozaev identity, we may now multiply the equation by  $x \cdot \nabla u \zeta$  and obtain

<span id="page-24-0"></span>(67) 
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \ dx = \frac{2N}{N-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) \ dx.
$$

We now collect  $(66)$  and  $(67)$ . By assumption  $(14)$ , if u is not identically zero, then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \ dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} uf(u) \ dx \le (p_0 + 1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) \ dx < \frac{2N}{N - 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) \ dx
$$

$$
= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^2 \ dx,
$$

a contradiction.  $\hfill \square$ 

## 8 Proof of Theorem [1.25](#page-7-5) : the supercritical case

In what follows, we prove Theorem [1.25](#page-7-5) in the supercritical case i.e. when  $p_0$  is in the range [\(15\)](#page-6-3) and f satisfies [\(16\)](#page-7-0), [\(17\)](#page-7-1) and [\(20\)](#page-7-2). In polar coordinates, a function u takes the form  $u = u(r, \sigma)$ , where  $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^*$ ,  $\sigma \in S^{N-1}$ ,  $N \geq 2$ , while its Laplacian is given by

$$
\Delta u = u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}u_r + \frac{1}{r^2}\Delta_{S^{N-1}}u.
$$

Recall the classical Emden change of variables and unknowns  $t = \ln r$  and  $u(r,\sigma) = r^{-\alpha}v(t,\sigma)$ , where  $\alpha = \frac{2}{p_0 - 1}$ . Then,

<span id="page-24-1"></span>(68)  
\n
$$
v(t,\sigma) = e^{\alpha t}u(e^t,\sigma),
$$
\n
$$
v_t(t,\sigma) = e^{\alpha t} (e^t u_r + \alpha u) = e^{(\alpha+1)t}u_r + e^{\alpha t} \alpha u,
$$
\n
$$
v_{tt}(t,\sigma) = e^{\alpha t} (e^{2t}u_{rr} + (2\alpha+1)e^t u_r + \alpha^2 u)
$$
\n
$$
\Delta_{S^{N-1}}v = e^{\alpha t} \Delta_{S^{N-1}}u
$$

Writing

(69) 
$$
\alpha = \frac{2}{p_0 - 1}
$$
,  $A = (N - 2 - 2\alpha)$ ,  $B = \alpha^2 + \alpha A$ ,

we obtain

<span id="page-24-2"></span>
$$
v_{tt} + Av_t = e^{(\alpha+2)t} \left( u_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r} u_r \right) + Be^{\alpha t} u
$$
  
=  $e^{(\alpha+2)t} \left( -e^{-2t} \Delta_{S^{N-1}} u - f(u) \right) + Be^{\alpha t} u$   
=  $-e^{(\alpha+2)t} \left( f(e^{-\alpha t} v) \right) - e^{\alpha t} \Delta_{S^{N-1}} v + B v.$ 

To summarize, v solves (70)

 $v_{tt} + Av_t + Bv + \Delta_{S^{N-1}}v + f(e^{-\alpha t}v)e^{(\alpha+2)t} = 0 \text{ for } t \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma \in S^{N-1}.$ 

Multiply the above equation by  $v_t$  and integrate over  $S^{N-1}$ . For  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , we find

<span id="page-25-0"></span>(71) 
$$
\int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\frac{v_t^2}{2}\right)_t d\sigma + A \int_{S^{N-1}} v_t^2 d\sigma + B \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\frac{v^2}{2}\right)_t d\sigma - \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\frac{|\nabla_{S^{N-1}} v|^2}{2}\right)_t d\sigma + \int_{S^{N-1}} f(ve^{-\alpha t}) v_t e^{(\alpha+2)t} d\sigma = 0
$$

Let F denote the antiderivative of f such that  $F(0) = 0$ . Then,

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\left[F(ve^{-\alpha t})e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t}\right] =
$$
\n
$$
f(ve^{-\alpha t})\left(v_t e^{-\alpha t} - \alpha v e^{-\alpha t}\right) e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t} + F(ve^{-\alpha t})\alpha(p_0+1)e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t}.
$$

So,

$$
f(ve^{-\alpha t})v_t e^{p_0\alpha t} =
$$
  

$$
\frac{d}{dt}\left[F(ve^{-\alpha t})e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t}\right] + \alpha f(ve^{-\alpha t})ve^{\alpha p_0t} - \alpha F(ve^{-\alpha t})(p_0+1)e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t}.
$$

Applying [\(20\)](#page-7-2), we conclude that

$$
f(ve^{-\alpha t})v_t e^{p_0\alpha t} \ge \frac{d}{dt} \left[ F(ve^{-\alpha t})e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t} \right].
$$

Using this inequality in [\(71\)](#page-25-0), we obtain

$$
\int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\frac{v_t^2}{2}\right)_t d\sigma + A \int_{S^{N-1}} v_t^2 d\sigma + B \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\frac{v^2}{2}\right)_t d\sigma
$$

$$
- \int_{S^{N-1}} \left(\frac{|\nabla_{S^{N-1}} v|^2}{2}\right)_t d\sigma + \int_{S^{N-1}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[F(ve^{-\alpha t})e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t}\right] d\sigma \le 0.
$$

Integrating for  $t \in (-s, s)$ ,  $s > 0$ , we then derive

<span id="page-25-1"></span>
$$
(72)
$$
\n
$$
\frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{S^{N-1}} v_t^2 d\sigma \right]_{t=-s}^{t=s} + A \int_{t=-s}^{t=s} \int_{S^{N-1}} v_t^2 d\sigma dt + \frac{B}{2} \left[ \int_{S^{N-1}} v^2 d\sigma \right]_{t=-s}^{t=s}
$$
\n
$$
- \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int_{S^{N-1}} |\nabla_{S^{N-1}} v|^2 d\sigma \right]_{t=-s}^{t=s} + \left[ \int_{S^{N-1}} F(ve^{-\alpha t}) e^{(p_0+1)\alpha t} d\sigma \right]_{t=-s}^{t=s} \le 0.
$$

Recall the definition of  $v$  given in  $(68)$  and use the improved decay es-timates [\(19\)](#page-7-6): we see that  $v(t, \cdot), v_t(t, \cdot), |\nabla_{S^{N-1}}v(t, \cdot)|$  converge to 0 as  $t \to \pm \infty$ , uniformly in  $\sigma \in S^{N-1}$ . Passing to the limit as  $s \to +\infty$  in [\(72\)](#page-25-1), we finally obtain

<span id="page-25-2"></span>
$$
(73) \quad A\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{S^{N-1}}v_t^2\,d\sigma\,dt + \limsup_{s\to+\infty}\int_{S^{N-1}}F(ve^{-\alpha s})e^{(p_0+1)\alpha s}\,d\sigma \le 0.
$$

Since  $p_0 > \frac{N+2}{N-2}$ , it follows from [\(69\)](#page-24-2) that  $A > 0$ . So, both terms in [\(73\)](#page-25-2) are nonnegative. In particular,  $v_t \equiv 0$  and v is a function depending only on  $\sigma$ . Since  $\lim_{t\to+\infty} v(t,\sigma) = 0$  by [\(19\)](#page-7-6), we deduce that  $v \equiv 0$  and  $u \equiv 0$ as claimed.

 $\Box$ 

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to thank J. Dávila for stimulating discussions on the subject. L.D. acknowledges partial support from Fondation Mathématique de Paris and Institut Henri Poincaré, where part of this work was completed.

### References

- [1] Giovanni Alberti, Luigi Ambrosio, and Xavier Cabr´e, *On a long-standing conjecture of E. De Giorgi: symmetry in 3D for general nonlinearities and a local minimality property*, Acta Appl. Math. 65 (2001), no. 1-3, 9–33. Special issue dedicated to Antonio Avantaggiati on the occasion of his 70th birthday. MR 1843784 (2002f:35080)
- <span id="page-26-6"></span>[2] Luigi Ambrosio and Xavier Cabr´e, *Entire solutions of semilinear elliptic equations in* R<sup>3</sup> *and a conjecture of De Giorgi*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), no. 4, 725–739 (electronic). MR 1775735 (2001g:35064)
- <span id="page-26-7"></span>[3] Henri Berestycki, Luis Caffarelli, and Louis Nirenberg, *Further qualitative properties for elliptic equations in unbounded domains*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 25 (1997), no. 1-2, 69–94 (1998). Dedicated to Ennio De Giorgi. MR 1655510 (2000e:35053)
- <span id="page-26-0"></span>[4] Xavier Cabr´e and Antonio Capella, *On the stability of radial solutions of*  $semilinear$  *elliptic equations in all of*  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 338 (2004), no. 10, 769–774 (English, with English and French summaries). MR 2059485 (2005a:35084)
- <span id="page-26-5"></span>[5] Norman Dancer and Alberto Farina, *On the classification of solutions of*  $-\Delta u = e^u$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  *: stability outside a compact set and applications*, preprint.
- <span id="page-26-8"></span>[6] Lorenzo D'Ambrosio and Enzo Mitidieri, *Representation Formulae and Liouville Theorems for Subelliptic Inequalities*, in preparation.
- <span id="page-26-3"></span>[7] Alberto Farina, *Liouville-type results for solutions of*  $-\Delta u = |u|^{p-1}u$  *on unbounded domains of*  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **341** (2005), no. 7, 415–418 (English, with English and French summaries). MR 2168740 (2006d:35074)
- <span id="page-26-4"></span>[8] , *Stable solutions of*  $-\Delta u = e^u$  *on*  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris 345 (2007), no. 2, 63–66 (English, with English and French summaries). MR 2343553 (2008e:35063)
- <span id="page-26-1"></span>[9] , *On the classification of solutions of the Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of*  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 87 (2007), no. 5, 537–561. MR 2322150 (2008c:35070)
- <span id="page-26-2"></span>[10] Alberto Farina, Bernardino Sciunzi, and Enrico Valdinoci, *Bernstein and De Giorgi type problems : new results via a geometric approach*, preprint.
- <span id="page-26-11"></span>[11] Patrizia Pucci and James Serrin, *The maximum principle*, Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and their Applications, 73, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2007. MR 2356201
- <span id="page-26-9"></span>[12] James Serrin, *Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations*, Acta Math. 111 (1964), 247–302. MR 0170096 (30 #337)
- <span id="page-26-10"></span>[13] Neil S. Trudinger, *On Harnack type inequalities and their application to quasilinear elliptic equations*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 20 (1967), 721– 747. MR 0226198 (37 #1788)

<span id="page-27-0"></span>[14] Salvador Villegas, *Asymptotic behavior of stable radial solutions of semi-*<br>*linear elliptic equations in*  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) **88** (2007), no. 3, 241–250 (English, with English and French summaries). MR 2355457