Odd-frequency pairing in binary boson-fermion cold atom mixtures

Ryan M. Kalas, Alexander V. Balatsky, and Dmitry Mozyrsky[∗](#page-3-0)

Theoretical Division, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

(Dated: June 3, 2008)

We study fermionic superfluidity in a boson-single-species-fermion cold atom mixture. We argue that apart from the standard p-wave fermion pairing mediated by the phonon field of the boson gas, the system also exhibits s-wave pairing with the anomalous correlator being an odd function of time or frequency. We show that such a superfluid phase, while typically having a much higher transition temperature than the p-wave, may only exist for sufficiently strong couplings between fermions and bosons. These conditions for odd-frequency pairing are favorable close to the value of the coupling at which the mixture phase-separates. We evaluate the finite-temperature phase diagram for this system and discuss the experimental realization and signatures of this novel superfluid in ultracold atomic gases.

PACS numbers: 67.60.Fp, 67.60.-g, 03.75.Mn

Symmetry of superfluid phases in correlated fermion liquids has been a subject of extensive research for many decades. Two of the most famous examples of systems exhibiting non-trivial symmetries of the order parameter are superfluid 3 He and high- T_c cuprates, where pairing is believed to occur in p-wave triplet and d-wave singlet channels, respectively. In 1974, in an attempt to explain the existence of several superfluid phases in ³He, Berezinskii [\[1](#page-3-1)] had suggested that there is yet another possibility for the triplet pairing: while the s-wave component of the anomalous correlator $\langle \psi_{\alpha}({\bf r},t) \psi_{\beta}({\bf r}',t') \rangle$ [\[2](#page-3-2)] should identically vanish at equal times due to the Pauli principle, it may still be nonzero for $t \neq t'$ (i.e., the anomalous correlator is an odd function of time or frequency) thus giving rise to the superfluid ³He A-phase. While experiments have shown that Berezinskii's conjecture is not realized in the actual 3 He liquid, the idea of such nonlocal-intime pairing has attracted considerable attention later, with the discovery of superconductivity in cuprates [\[4](#page-3-3)] and heavy fermion compounds [\[5](#page-3-4)]. In particular it has been argued that the nonlocal character of such an order parameter provides a natural resolution to the "paradox" of the coexistence between the strong, but instantaneous short-range Coulomb repulsion and Cooper pairing in these materials. More recently the odd pairing mechanism was proposed to explain the anomalous proximity effect in superconductor-ferromagnet junctions [\[6](#page-3-5)], where superconducting penetration length is believed to be significantly enhanced due to the formation of the triplet odd-parity component from the standard s-wave singlet condensate.

Despite considerable interest in the subject, the question of whether such a phase exists in equilibrium physical systems with no external source of pairs, i.e., as a consequence of spontaneous symmetry breaking, remains unresolved. In this letter we argue that a boson-fermion mixture, presently realizable in atomic traps, provides an example of a system where such an odd-frequency fermionic superfluid phase can exist under the appropriate conditions and can be unambiguously detected. We show that due to the interaction with the phonons in the bosonic subsystem, the fermions at sufficiently low temperatures exhibit pairing either in the p-wave channel or in the s-wave odd-frequency channel. A key result is that the s-wave odd-frequency pairing exists only when the coupling γ (to be defined below) between the phonons and the fermions exceeds a certain threshold value γ_c . Moreover the value of γ_c is close to the coupling strength at which the mixture phase-separates. That is, upon an increase in the boson-fermion coupling the phonon mode softens, thus leading to stronger attractive interaction between the fermions, as a result of which the oddfrequency fermionic condensate can form in the vicinity of the phase separation transition. We estimate the transition temperature and qualitatively describe the phase diagram for the system. Finally we discuss the possibility of detection of the odd-frequency pairing in cold atomic traps by studying cross-correlations between fermion and boson densities in a time-of-flight-type experiment.

We describe the dilute mixture of fermions and bosons with the following Hamiltonian density:

$$
H = H_B^0 + \frac{\lambda}{2} |\psi_B^{\dagger} \psi_B|^2 + H_F^0 + \lambda' \psi_B^{\dagger} \psi_B \psi_F^{\dagger} \psi_F, \qquad (1)
$$

where $H_{B,F}^0$ denote the Hamiltonians for noninteracting bosons and fermions, λ and λ' are the boson-boson and boson-fermion coupling constants. We assume that the trap confining the particles is magnetic and therefore the fermions are fully spin polarized. As a result, due to the Pauli principle, direct interaction between fermion atoms is negligible. For the purposes of the present calculation we also neglect the spatial variation of the trapping potential and assume that the local fermion and boson densities are controlled by the chemical potentials so that $H_{B,F}^0 = \psi_{B,F}^{\dagger}(-\nabla^2/2m_{B,F} - \mu_{B,F})\psi_{B,F}$. Also, here and in the following we set $\hbar = k_B = 1$.

In order to derive an effective coupling between fermions it is convenient to rewrite the bosonic fields in terms of the density-phase variables as $\psi_B = \sqrt{\rho} \exp(i\phi)$. Furthermore, writing $\rho = \rho_0 + \delta \rho$, where ρ_0 is a constant and $\delta \rho$ contains only nonzero frequency ω_n and wavevector **q** components of $\rho(\omega_n, \mathbf{q})$, expanding Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0) up to $O(\delta \rho^2, \phi^2)$ around ρ_0 , and integrating out the phase variable, we obtain the effective "electron"-phonon model with the Matsubara action

$$
S_{\text{eff}} = \int_{-\beta/2}^{\beta/2} d\tau \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \left(\mathcal{L}_F^0 + \lambda' \delta \rho \, \psi_F^{\dagger} \psi_F \right) \qquad (2)
$$

$$
+ \sum_{\omega_n, \mathbf{q}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m_B \omega^2}{\rho_0 q^2} + \lambda + \frac{q^2}{4m_B \rho_0} \right) |\delta \rho(\omega_n, \mathbf{q})|^2.
$$

In Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) \mathcal{L}_F^0 is free-fermion Lagrangian, $\beta = 1/T$ where T is the temperature, and the last term describes phonons or Bogolubov quasiparticles with dispersion relation $\omega = c_s q (1 + \xi_0^2 q^2)^{1/2}$, where the phonon speed of sound $c_s = (\lambda \rho_0/m_B)^{1/2}$ and the boson coherence length $\xi_0 = (1/4m_B\lambda\rho_0)^{1/2}$. The phonons give rise to the nonlocal fermion density-density interaction, with vertex $-\lambda'^2 D^0(\omega_n, \mathbf{q})/2$, where $D^{0^{-1}}(\omega_n, \mathbf{q})$ is the expression in the brackets in the last term in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0).

It should also be noted that while the effective description in Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-0) is valid for finite values of the coupling constant λ' , it breaks down for sufficiently large λ' or fermion density. Indeed, by evaluating the renormalization of the phonon Green's function D^0 within the second order (in λ') perturbation theory in the particle-hole channel (i.e., accounting for a single fermion polarization bubble in phonon self-energy), we see that

$$
D^{0^{-1}} \to D^{-1} = \frac{m_B \omega^2}{\rho_0 q^2} + \lambda (1 - \gamma) + \lambda \xi^2 q^2 + O(q^4), (3)
$$

where $\gamma = \lambda'^2 q_F^2 / (2\pi^2 \lambda v_F)$ and $\xi^2 = \xi_0^2 + \gamma / 12 q_F^2$; q_F and $v_F = q_F / m_F$ are the Fermi momentum and velocity respectively [\[7](#page-3-6), [8\]](#page-3-7). Thus for $\gamma \to 1$ the phonon mode flattens at small q , signaling that the mixture becomes unstable against the phase separation transition [\[9\]](#page-3-8).

The onset of the pairing instability corresponds to the appearance of a nonzero anomalous correlator $F(\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r}', \tau - \tau' = i \langle \mathcal{T} \psi_F(\mathbf{r}, \tau) \psi_F(\mathbf{r}', \tau') \rangle$. The self-consistency equation can be readily obtained within the Eliashberg formalism [\[7](#page-3-6)]. Following the standard procedure we derive the linearized eigenvalue-type equation for $F(\omega, \mathbf{q})$:

$$
G^{-1}(\omega_n, \mathbf{q})G^{-1}(-\omega_n, \mathbf{q})F(\omega_n, \mathbf{q}) = T \sum_{\omega'_n, \mathbf{q'}} F(\omega'_n, \mathbf{q'}) \tag{4}
$$

$$
\times \frac{\lambda'^2}{2} \left[D(\omega_n - \omega'_n, \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q'}) - D(\omega_n + \omega'_n, \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q'}) \right],
$$

where G is the fermion Green's function and ω_n = $\pi T(2n+1)$ is the Matsubara frequency. Note that the appearance of the difference in the brackets on the right hand side (r.h.s.) of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-1) is due to the presence of fermions with the same spin only; had we considered the usual singlet pairing, the difference would have been removed by the spin part of the Cooper pair wavefunction.

As a result solutions to Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-1) should satisfy the antisymmetry property, $F(\omega_n, \mathbf{q}) = -F(-\omega_n, -\mathbf{q})$, which, as expected, rules out a possibility of the standard s-wave even-in-time pairing.

In order to solve Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-1) we must specify the Green's functions G and D , which are renormalized in the particle-hole channel according to the Dyson equations $G^{-1} = G^{0-1} - \Sigma$ and $D^{-1} = D^{0-1} - \Pi$, where Σ and Π are the fermion and phonon self-energies. It is well known from the Fermi liquid theory [\[7\]](#page-3-6) that near the Fermi surface the renormalized fermion Green's function G can be written as $Z[i\omega_n - v_F^*(q - q_F)]^{-1}$, where Z is the quasiparticle "weight" coefficient $(Z \leq 1)$, and $v_F^* = Zv_F$. Then $G^{-1}(\omega_n, \mathbf{q})G^{-1}(-\omega_n, \mathbf{q}) = [\omega_n^2 + v_F^*]$ $^{2}(q-q_{F})^{2}]/Z^{2}.$ Next let us expand $F(\omega, \mathbf{q})$ and $D(\omega, \mathbf{q})$ in orbital harmonics using Legendre polynomials P_l . For reasons to be specified below we assume that c_s/v_F is small. Then we notice that D is a relatively slowly varying function of q compared to F (which is strongly peaked at $q = q_F$) and therefore we can set both $|\mathbf{q}|$ and $|\mathbf{q}'|$ in the D's on the r.h.s. of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-1) equal to q_F . As a result the D's are functions of the angle between q and q' only, and with the use of the addition theorem we obtain

$$
[\omega_n^2 + v_F^{*2} \delta q^2] \mathcal{F}_l(\omega_n, \delta q) = \frac{(\lambda' Z)^2}{2} T \sum_{\omega_n'} \int \frac{q_F^2 d\delta q'}{(2\pi)^3} \quad (5)
$$

$$
\times \mathcal{F}_l(\omega_n', \delta q') \left[\mathcal{D}_l(\omega_n - \omega_n') - (-1)^l \mathcal{D}_l(\omega_n + \omega_n') \right],
$$

where \mathcal{F}_l is the partial component of the anomalous correlator F (i.e., with angular momentum l), $\delta q \equiv q - q_F$, and $\mathcal{D}_l = \int_{-1}^1 d\cos\theta D(\omega, q_F \sqrt{2 - 2\cos\theta}) P_l(\cos\theta).$

It is obvious that there are two types of solutions to Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-2): $\mathcal{F}_l(\omega)$ with odd l and even in ω and vice versa, with even l and odd in ω . For both of these solutions the bracket in the r.h.s. of Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-2) can be replaced by $2\mathcal{D}_l(\omega \omega'$ and then we note that Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-2) can be cast in the form $\hat{H}_l \mathcal{F}_l = 0$, where \hat{H}_l is a "Hamiltonian" of a particle moving in a two-dimensional external potential $V_l(\tau, x) \sim$ $-2\mathcal{D}_l(\tau)\delta(x)$ (here τ is Matsubara time and x is Fourier conjugate to δq , and $\mathcal{F}_l(\beta/2, x) = \mathcal{F}_l(-\beta/2, x) = 0$.

For $\beta \to \infty$, \hat{H}_l has at least one negative eigenvalue $\epsilon_{\infty,l}$ corresponding to the bound state of the particle. Upon an increase of T (i.e., for finite β) the "energy" $\epsilon_{\infty,l}$ increases, reaching 0 for some particular value of $\beta \equiv \beta_c$. In order to estimate the value of β_c we note that for compact $\mathcal{D}_l(\tau)$ the bound state "wavefunction" behaves asymptotically as $\sim \exp(-\sqrt{-\epsilon_{\infty,l}}\tau)$, and therefore the boundary conditions, i.e., the finiteness of β , start to intervene when $\beta \sim \sqrt{-\epsilon_{\infty,l}}$. Moreover, since $(-\epsilon_{\infty,l})^{-1/2}$ is the only relevant "time" scale (in the limit when β^{-1} is much smaller then the cut-off frequency ω_c of \mathcal{D}_l ; $\omega_c \sim c_s q_F$), the critical temperature $T_{c,l} = 1/\beta_{c,l}$ for channel l is of the order of $\sqrt{-\epsilon_{\infty,l}}$.

Evidently the ground states of \hat{H}_l 's describe only the channels with odd l and even ω -dependence of \mathcal{F}_l 's. The second type of solutions, even in l and odd in τ , however, correspond to the first excited states of \hat{H}_l , which, obviously, are odd functions of ω or τ . While the same considerations hold for the critical temperatures for these solutions as well, there is an important distinction: in the $\beta \to \infty$ limit the former solutions (e.g., bound ground states) always exist for the attractive V_l 's, the latter may only exist when the potential V_l is strong enough. Therefore even at zero temperature the odd-frequency (Berezinskii) states exist only if the coupling constant λ' or γ exceeds a certain threshold γ_c . Moreover, since at the threshold point the state's "wavefunction" is delocalized and it localizes for stronger V_l , the odd-frequency phase emerges at zero temperature when $\gamma = \gamma_c$ and extends to non-zero temperatures at stronger couplings.

To evaluate this threshold coupling and the critical temperatures it is convenient to transform the "Schrodinger" equation $\hat{H}_l \mathcal{F}_l = \epsilon_{\infty,l} \mathcal{F}_l$ back to the frequency representation, e.g., Eq. [\(5\)](#page-1-2), but with the summation over the Matsubara frequencies replaced by the integration and with an additional $\epsilon_{\infty,l}F_l$ term on the l.h.s. Introducing $\Delta_l(\omega, \delta q) = [\omega_n^2 + v_F^*$ $^{2}\delta q^{2}-\epsilon_{\infty,l}$] $\mathcal{F}_{l}(\omega_{n},\delta q)$ and noticing that the solution Δ_l is independent of δq , we obtain

$$
\Delta_l(\omega) = \frac{(\lambda' Z q_F)^2}{8\pi^2 v_F^*} \int \frac{d\omega'}{2\pi} \frac{\Delta_l(\omega') \mathcal{D}_l(\omega' - \omega)}{\sqrt{{\omega'}^2 - \epsilon_{\infty, l}}}.
$$
 (6)

We now consider the $l = 0$ and $l = 1$ solutions to Eqs. [\(5](#page-1-2)[,6\)](#page-2-0). Indeed, since the effective coupling strength $\mathcal{D}_l(0)$ rapidly decreases for greater l, phases with higher orbital momentum of the order parameter have much lower critical temperatures and thus are never realized. To estimate the critical coupling strength for the $l = 0$ odd-frequency solution let us first consider the zero temperature case $(\epsilon_{\infty,0} = 0$ in Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0)). Since $\Delta_0(\omega)$ must be odd, we use the ansatz $\Delta_0(\omega) \propto \omega$ (with cut-off $\omega_c \sim c_s q_F$) [\[10\]](#page-3-9). Then expanding the r.h.s. of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) linearly in ω , canceling ω and integrating the resulting r.h.s. of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) by parts we obtain the condition for the threshold (critical) coupling: $4\pi^2 v_F^* \simeq (\lambda_c' Z q_F)^2 \mathcal{D}_0(0)$ (here we have neglected the cut-off dependence assuming that $\mathcal{D}_0(\omega_c) \ll \mathcal{D}_0(0)$. It is instructive to evaluate the critical coupling strength for $D(0) = D^{0}(0)$, that is, without accounting for the phonon-mode softening. A straightforward calculation yields $\gamma_c = \lambda'_c$ $^{2}q_{F}^{2}/(2\pi^{2}\lambda v_{F}) = \alpha^{2}/[Z\ln(1+\alpha^{2})],$ where $\alpha = 2q_F \xi$. Since this γ_c is always greater than 1 (note that $Z \leq 1$), one would conclude that the coupling needed for the formation of the Berezinskii phase is stronger than that of the phase separation ($\gamma = 1$) and, therefore, that the phase does not exist. This conclusion, however, is erroneous because at finite fermionphonon coupling the renormalization of the phonon propagator, e.g., Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3), is crucial: as the coupling strength approaches that of the phase separation, the effective in-

teraction between fermions increases due to phonon softening. Thus, with $D(0)$ given by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3) we find that γ_c satisfies the equation $\alpha^2/(Z\gamma_c) = \ln[1 + \alpha^2/(1 - \gamma_c)],$ which has solutions $\gamma_c \leq 1$ [\[12\]](#page-3-10). In particular, for $\alpha \gg 1$ one finds $\gamma_c \approx 1 - \alpha^2 \exp(-\alpha^2/Z)$, and so the width of the superfluid phase (in terms of the dimensionless coupling γ) is exponentially small. For $\alpha \sim 1$, $1-\gamma_c$ is finite and thus the superfluid phase exists only when the effective boson coherence length ξ is comparable with the interfermion distance.

The transition temperature, see Fig. $1(a-c)$, can be estimated from Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) within the same linear ansatz for $\Delta_0(\omega)$ by retaining the $-\epsilon_{\infty,0}$ in the denominator on the r.h.s. and solving the resulting equation numerically for $\sqrt{-\epsilon_{\infty,0}}$ ∼ $T_{c,0}$. The results are presented in Fig. 1(a-c) for different values of α . Note that for $\alpha \sim 1$ the critical temperature reaches values $\sim c_s q_F$, readily achievable in cold atom experiments.

The $l = 1$ phase (p-wave) has been considered in Ref. [\[11\]](#page-3-11) in fermion-boson mixtures. To estimate the critical temperature $T_{c,1}$ or $\epsilon_{\infty,1}$ from Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) it is sufficient to set $\Delta_1(\omega) = \text{const}$ (again, with cut-off $\sim c_s q_F$) and replace $\mathcal{D}_1(\omega - \omega')$ in the r.h.s. of Eq. [\(6\)](#page-2-0) by $\mathcal{D}_1(0)$. After a straightforward calculation, using Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3) we obtain that $T_{c,1} \sim c_s q_F \exp{(-1/g_1)}$,

$$
g_1 = \frac{\gamma}{4\alpha^2} \left[\left(\frac{1-\gamma}{4\alpha^2} + \frac{1}{2} \right) \ln\left(1 + \frac{4\alpha^2}{1-\gamma}\right) - 1 \right].
$$
 (7)

This result is plotted in Fig. 1(a-c) for different values of the parameter α as a dashed line. Note that due to the relative smallness of the effective coupling strength $\mathcal{D}_1(0)$ the critical temperature for the p-wave pairing is much lower than that for the odd frequency s-wave (except for Fig. 1(c), where the odd-frequency phase is very small, i.e., for large α).

Detection: While most of the standard (in solid state) thermodynamic and transport measurements are presently unavailable for cold atoms, time-of-flight experiments provide a unique opportunity to directly observe and study the interparticle correlations in these systems. It has been demonstrated in Ref. [\[13](#page-3-12)] that pair-correlated atomic fermions upon release from a trap exhibit density correlations between points **r** and $-\mathbf{r}$ (relative to the center of the trap) as a consequence of pairing in the initial BCS state. Indeed, it is easy to see that the density-density correlation function $\langle n_F(\mathbf{r}_1, t)n_F(\mathbf{r}_2, t)\rangle$ at sufficiently large times of flight t is proportional to $\langle \psi_{F\mathbf{q}_1}^{\dagger}(0)\psi_{F\mathbf{q}_1}(0)\psi_{F\mathbf{q}_2}^{\dagger}(0)\psi_{F\mathbf{q}_2}(0)\rangle$, where wavevector $\mathbf{q} =$ $m_F \mathbf{r}/t$ and $\psi_{F\mathbf{q}}(0)$ is fermion field at $t = 0$. Then, since $\langle \psi_{F\mathbf{q}_1}(0)\psi_{F\mathbf{q}_2}(0)\rangle \sim \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1,-\mathbf{q}_2}$ in the paired state, the correlation function $\langle n_F(\mathbf{r}_1, t)n_F(\mathbf{r}_2, t)\rangle$ is peaked at $\mathbf{r}_1 = -\mathbf{r}_2$ as a result of the pairing.

The above argument should, however, be modified when applied to the odd-frequency type of pairing. Indeed, since the equal time anomalous correlator is identically 0 for the odd-frequency pairing, there is no

FIG. 1: (Color online) (a) thru (c): Phase diagrams for $\alpha = 2q_F \xi = 1.0, 1.4, \text{ and } 2.0; \text{ N} = \text{normal fermions}, \text{ SF}$ = superfluid. The solid black line is the critical temperature for s-wave odd-frequency pairing and the dashed black line for p -wave pairing. Note the different y -axis scales. (d): Schematic representation of the correlations in the expanding atomic cloud for the odd-frequency paired state.

 $\mathbf{r}_1 = -\mathbf{r}_2$ correlation in the two-point fermion correlation function. However, the three-point correlation function $\langle n_F(\mathbf{r}_1)n_F(\mathbf{r}_2)n_B(\mathbf{r}_3)\rangle$, where n_B is boson density, *does* contain the signature of the odd-frequency pairing. To see this one should notice that while $\langle \psi_{F\mathbf{q}}(0)\psi_{F-\mathbf{q}}(0)\rangle =$ 0 for such pairing, $\langle \psi_{F\mathbf{q}}(0)\psi_{F-\mathbf{q}}(0)\rangle \neq 0$. Then, using $i\dot{\psi}_F = [H, \psi_F],$ where H is given by Eq. [\(1\)](#page-0-0), it is easy to show that $\langle \psi_{F\mathbf{q}_1}(0)\psi_{F\mathbf{q}_2}(0)n_{B\mathbf{q}_3}(0)\rangle \sim \delta(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3),$ where n_{Bq} is Fourier component of boson density and the width of δ is primarily controlled by the size of the trap. The boson density can be expressed as $n_{Bq} \approx$ $\sqrt{\rho_0}(\psi_{B\mathbf{q}}+\psi_{B-\mathbf{q}}^{\dagger}),$ and therefore the three point correlation function contains an irreducible contribution peaked at $\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 = -\mathbf{q}_3$ (in Fourier space). Thus the oddfrequency pairing can be viewed as a condensate of "composite bosons" involving two fermions and one boson atom [\[14\]](#page-3-13). As a result the real space equal-time correlation function $\langle n_F(\mathbf{r}_1)n_F(\mathbf{r}_2)n_B(\mathbf{r}_3)\rangle$ has a correlation peak at $\mathbf{r}_1 + \mathbf{r}_2 = -(m_B/m_F)\mathbf{r}_3$ (see Fig. 1(d)), where we have used the relationship between the wavevectors of the particles in the initial state with their coordinates in the time-of-flight image, $q_{F,B} = m_{F,B}r/t$. Therefore particle density cross-correlations which can be deduced from instantaneous fermion and boson atom absorption images provide a direct test for odd-frequency pairing in cold-atom mixtures.

Finally we point out that the validity of Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-1) is controlled by the Migdal criterion, i.e., the smallness of the vertex correction part. The latter can be estimated as the three-legged diagram [\[7](#page-3-6)], with two fermion and one phonon lines. The standard order of magnitude estimate

for the ratio between the bare and the renormalized interaction vertices gives $(\gamma/\sqrt{1-\gamma}) \times (c_s/v_F) \times (q_F \xi)^{-1}$, where we have used the phonon Green's function given by Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3). Thus, Eq. [\(4\)](#page-1-1) is valid for finite γ , provided that γ is not too close to 1, i.e., the point of the phase separation, and $(c_s/v_F) \times (q_F \xi)^{-1} \ll 1$. Moreover, since we are interested in the regime $q_F \xi \sim 1$, the vertex correction is small as long as $c_s/v_F \ll 1$. Therefore the above results are quantitatively valid when $\xi_0 c_s m_F \ll 1$ (h), that is $m_F/m_B \ll 1$. While this condition is naturally fulfilled in solid-state systems, with m_F and m_B being electron and ion masses, this is not necessarily the case in cold atom systems. A reasonable choice for testing our theory in trapped cold atom systems is a 6 Li- 87 Rb binary mixture so that the mass ratio condition is satisfied.

We thank E. Abrahams, I. Kolokolov, V. V. Lebedev, I. Martin and E. Timmermans for valuable discussions. The work is supported by the US DOE.

[∗] Electronic address: mozyrsky@lanl.gov

- [1] V. L. Berezinskii, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz 20, 628 (1974) [JETP Lett. 20, 287 (1974)].
- [2] Here the subscripts indicate the spin indices.
- [3] A. Balatsky and E. Abrahams, Phys. Rev. B 45, 13125 (1992); E. Abrahams, A. Balatsky, J. R. Schrieffer, and P. B. Allen, Phys. Rev. B 47, 513 (1993).
- [4] D. Belitz and T. R. Kirkpatrick, Rev. Mod. Phys. 66, 261 (1994); Phys. Rev. B 60, 3485 (1999).
- [5] P. Coleman, E. Miranda, and A. Tsvelik, Phys. Rev. B 49, 8955 (1994); Phys. Rev. Lett. 74, 1653 (1995).
- [6] F. S. Bergeret, A. F. Volkov, and K. B. Efetov, Rev. Mod. Phys. bf 77, 1321 (2005); Y. Tanaka, Y. Tanuma, and A.A. Golubov, Phys. Rev. B 76, 054522 (2007).
- [7] A. A. Abrikosov, L. P. Gorkov, and I. E. Dzyaloshinski, Methods of quantum field theory in statistical physics (Dover, 1975).
- [8] In Eq. [\(3\)](#page-1-3) we have neglected the Landau damping term, which is proportial to the ratio c_s/v_F , assumed to be small; see below.
- [9] L. Viverit, C. J. Pethick, and H. Smith, Phys. Rev. A 61, 053605 (2000).
- [10] We have also verified the linear ω -dependence via explicit numerical solutions of the gap equation.
- [11] D. V. Efremov and L. Viverit, Phys. Rev. B 65, 134519 (2002); A. Bulgac, M. M. Forbes, A. Schwenk, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 020402 (2006).
- [12] Higher order renormalizations of the phonon propagator do not alter this conclusion on the qualitative level; their effect merely leads to a redefinition of Z and ξ .
- [13] E. Altman, E. Demler and M. D. Lukin, Phys. Rev. A 70, 013603 (2004); M. Greiner, C. A. Regal, J. T. Stewart and D. S. Jin, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 110401 (2005).
- [14] A. V. Balatsky and J. Bonca, Phys. Rev. B 48, 7445 (1993); E. Abrahams, A. V. Balatsky, D. J. Scalapino and J.R. Schrieffer, Phys. Rev B 52, 1271 (1995).