Giant Orbital Hall Effect in Transition Metals: Origin of Large Spin and Anomalous Hall Effects

H. KONTANI¹, T. TANAKA¹, D.S. HIRASHIMA¹, K. YAMADA² and J. INOUE³

¹Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

² Ritsumeikan University, 1-1-1 Noji Higashi, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan.

³Department of Applied Physics, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan.

(Dated: June 21, 2024)

In transition metals and their compounds, the orbital degrees of freedom gives rise to an orbital current, in addition to the ordinary spin and charge currents. We reveal that considerably large spin and anomalous Hall effects (SHE and AHE) observed in transition metals originate from an orbital Hall effect (OHE). To elucidate the origin of these novel Hall effects, a simple periodic s-d hybridization model is proposed as a generic model. The giant positive OHE originates from the orbital Aharonov-Bohm phase factor, and induces spin Hall conductivity that is proportional to the spin-orbit polarization at the Fermi level, which is positive (negative) in metals with more than (less than) half-filling.

PACS numbers: 72.25.Ba,72.10.Bg,72.80.Ga

The Hall effect, first discovered at the end of 19th century, has revealed the profound nature of electron transport in metals and semiconductors via the anomalous Hall effect (AHE) and (fractional) quantum Hall effects. It has recently been recognized that conventional semiconductors and metals exhibit a spin Hall effect (SHE), which is the phenomenon where an electric field induces a spin current (a flow of spin angular momentum s_z) in a transverse direction [1–5]. Recently, a theory of the intrinsic Hall effect proposed by Karplus and Luttinger [6], which occurs in multiband systems and is independent of impurity scattering, has been intensively developed [7, 8]. In particular, a quantum SHE has also been predicted and experimentally confirmed [9, 10].

The spin Hall conductivity (SHC) observed in transition metals has given rise to further issues regarding the origin of the SHE, since the SHC observed in Pt exceeds 200 $\hbar e^{-1} \cdot \Omega^{-1} \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, which is approximately 10⁴ times larger than that of n-type semiconductors [5], and the SHCs in Nb and Mo are negative [11]. The large SHE and the sign change of the SHC in transition metals has attracted much interest, and many theoretical studies of the SHE have so far been conducted based on realistic multiband models for Ru-oxide [8] and various 4d and 5d metals [12], including Au, W [13], and Pt [14, 15]. The calculated results for the SHC semi-quantitatively agree with the observed results. The mechanism for the SHE has been explained in such a way that spin-orbit interactions (SOI) and the phase of hopping integrals of electrons give rise to the Aharonov-Bohm (AB) effect, and therefore the conduction electrons are subject to an effective spin-dependent magnetic field.

Since the transition metals have orbital degrees of freedom in addition to the spin and charge degrees of freedom, flow of the atomic orbital angular momentum (l_z) , that is, an orbital current, may be realized in a nonequilibrium state. In fact, several authors have pre-

dicted the emergence of a large orbital Hall effect (OHE) [8, 12, 16], which is a phenomenon where an electric field induces a flow of p- and d-orbital angular momentum in a transverse direction. In particular, the predicted orbital Hall conductivity (OHC) in transition metals and oxides [8, 12] is considerably larger than the SHC. Figure 1 shows the OHC and SHC calculated for transition metals using the Naval Research Laboratory tight-binding (NRL-TB) model [17]. In each metal, the magnitude of the OHC exceeds the SHC, even in topological insulators (e.g., $\sim e/2\pi$ in graphene [9] and HgTe [10]). Interestingly, while the SHC consistently changes its sign with the electron number n. as with several recent experiments [5, 11], the OHC is always *positive*. These prominent and universal features of orbital dynamics in metals, independent of crystal and multiband structures, have not been recognized until recently.

In this Letter, these intrinsic Hall effects are examined in a unified way, and it is shown that the OHE is a fundamental phenomenon, and the SHE and AHE are associated with the OHE. By proposing a simple s-d hybridization model as a generic model, we explain why the OHC is *positive* and much larger than $e/2\pi$ in each transition metal. The origin of the giant OHE is the "orbital AB phase factor", which reflects the phase factor of the d-electron wavefunction. We stress that the large SHE in transition metals originates from the OHE in the presence of atomic SOI, not from the Dirac point monopole as in semiconductors. The derived SHC is approximately proportional to the spin-orbit polarization, which is positive (negative) in metals with more than (less than) halffilling, which is consistent with recent experimental observations [4, 5, 11]. It is noted that the present OHE is different from the Hall effect of the angular momentum of s-electrons $\mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ discussed in Ref. [18].

In $\bar{n}d$ transition metals ($\bar{n} = 3 \sim 5$ is the main quantum number), the electronic states near the Fermi level



FIG. 1: SHC and OHC obtained in ref. [12]. The bodycentered cubic structure for n = 5, 6, the hexagonal closed packed structure for n = 7, 8, and the face-centered cubic structure for $n = 9 \sim 11$. $n_d \gtrsim n-1$ in each metal. The SHC in Pt is approximately 1 in the unit of $e/2\pi a \approx 10^3 \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, where a = 0.39 nm is the lattice constant in Pt. $R \equiv \langle l_z s_z \rangle_{\text{FS}}$ is the spin-orbit polarization for 5d metals.

 (μ) are constructed by $\bar{n}d$ -orbitals, which hybridize with free-electron-like $(\bar{n}+1)s$ - and $(\bar{n}+1)p$ -bands, and form a narrow band with a bandwidth in the order of 1 eV [17]. The band structures of the transition metals are well characterized by the lattice structures. However, the OHC seems to be independent of the atomic species of the transition metals as shown in Fig. 1. The result suggests that the details of the band structure may be irrelevant to the occurrence of the large OHC in transition metals. Therefore, two-dimensional (2D) *s*-*d* hybridization model with the orbital degree of freedom was adopted to study the universal nature of the OHE in transition metals. The *s*-*d* hybridization model may also be the simplest version of the muffin-tin potential approximation, where localized *d*-electrons can move only via *s*-*d* hybridization.

The Hamiltonian for the 2D *s*-*d* hybridization model is given by $H_0 = \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \hat{a}^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} \hat{H}_0 \hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$, where

$$\hat{H}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} & V_{\mathbf{k}L} & V_{\mathbf{k}-L} \\ V_{\mathbf{k}L}^* & E_d & 0 \\ V_{\mathbf{k}-L}^* & 0 & E_d \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (1)$$

and ${}^{t}\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma} = (c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}, d_{\mathbf{k}L\sigma}, d_{\mathbf{k}-L\sigma}); c_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}$ and $d_{\mathbf{k}M\sigma}$ are annihilation operators for the *s*- and *d*-electrons, respectively. $\sigma = \pm 1 \text{ (or } \uparrow, \downarrow)$ is the spin index, and $M = \pm L$ (*L* is a positive integer) represents the angular momentum of the *d* electron. $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}}$ and E_d represent the unhybridized energies of the *s*- and *d*-electrons, respectively, and $V_{\mathbf{k}M}$ is the *s*-*d* mixing potential. In transition metals, the OHE and SHE are mainly caused by interorbit transitions between d_{yz} and d_{zx} ($l_z = \pm 1$) orbitals, and d_{xy} and $d_{x^2-y^2}$ $(l_z = \pm 2)$ orbitals [12, 14]; the former (latter) contributions can be obtained by letting L = 1 (L = 2) in the present 2D model.

To elucidate the universal properties of the OHC and SHC that are independent of the detailed crystal structure, we assume that $\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}^2/2m$ (plane wave). As is well known, $e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} = \sum_n i^n J_n(kr)e^{in(\varphi_k-\varphi_r)}$, where J_n is the Bessel function, $\varphi_k = \tan^{-1}(k_x/k_y)$ and $\varphi_r =$ $\tan^{-1}(x/y)$. Since the wavefunction of the *d*-electron is $\xi_M(\mathbf{r}) = f(r)e^{iM\varphi_r}$, the *s*-*d* mixing potential is given by $V_{\mathbf{k}M} = \int (e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}})^* H_0\xi_M(\mathbf{r})d\mathbf{r} = V_0e^{iM\varphi_k}$ in the extended Brillouin zone scheme [19–21]. (Note that $V_{\mathbf{k}M} \to V_{\mathbf{k}M}^*$ under the particle-hole transformation.) Here, the **k**dependence of V_0 is neglected. We will show that the phase factor of $V_{\mathbf{k}M}$ plays an essential role in the OHE, and the derived OHC takes a large value irrespective of the nonconservation of $M = \pm L$.

The present model is similar to the periodic Anderson model, which has been intensively studied as an effective model for *f*-electron systems [19–21]. The close similarity between the periodic Anderson model and the *d-p* model has been indicated in the previous study of the AHE [22]. As is well-known, the hybridization band of Eq. (1) is given as $E_{\mathbf{k}}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}[(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} + E_d) \pm \sqrt{(\epsilon_{\mathbf{k}} - E_d)^2 + 2V_0^2}]$, the bandstructure of which is shown in Fig. 2(a). In the metallic state, the Fermi level μ is located in the upper $(E_{\mathbf{k}}^+)$ or lower $(E_{\mathbf{k}}^-)$ branch, and the relationship $(\mu - \epsilon_{k_{\mathrm{F}}})(\mu - E_d) = 2V_0^2$ holds. The relation $N_d(0)/N_s(0) = 2V_0^2/(\mu - E_d)^2 \gg 1$ is satisfied in transition metals, where $N_s(0) = m/2\pi$ is the *s*-electron density of states (DOS) per spin in 2D, and $N_d(0)$ is the *d*-electron DOS at μ .

According to the linear-response theory, the intrinsic Hall conductivity is given by the summation of the Fermi surface term (*I*-term) and the Fermi sea term (*II*-term) [23]. In previous work, we have shown that the *I*-term is dominant in many metals [12, 14, 24]. Using the 3×3 Green function $\hat{G}^0_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = (\omega + \mu - \hat{H}_0)^{-1}$, the *I*-term of the OHC at T = 0 is given by [8, 12]

$$O_{xy}^{z} = \frac{1}{\pi N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{J}_{x}^{O} \hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}(i\gamma) \hat{J}_{y}^{C} \hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}}^{0}(-i\gamma) \right], \quad (2)$$

where \hat{J}_y^C is the *y*-component of the charge current, which is given by $-e\partial \hat{H}_0/\partial k_y$. In the present model,

$$\hat{J}_{y}^{C} = -e \begin{pmatrix} k_{y}/m & iL\frac{k_{x}}{k^{2}}V_{\mathbf{k}L} & -iL\frac{k_{x}}{k^{2}}V_{\mathbf{k}L}^{*} \\ -iL\frac{k_{x}}{k^{2}}V_{\mathbf{k}L}^{*} & 0 & 0 \\ iL\frac{k_{x}}{k^{2}}V_{\mathbf{k}L} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3)$$

where -e is the charge of the electron. In addition, \hat{J}_x^O in Eq. (2) is the *x*-component of the orbital current, which is given by $\hat{J}_x^O = \{\hat{J}_x^C, \hat{l}_z\}/(-2e)$ [12], where $(\hat{l}_z)_{i,j} = L(\delta_{i,2} - \delta_{i,3})\delta_{i,j}$. In the present model,

$$\hat{J}_x^O = \frac{1}{2} i L^2 \frac{k_y}{k^2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V_{\mathbf{k}L} & V_{\mathbf{k}L}^* \\ -V_{\mathbf{k}L}^* & 0 & 0 \\ -V_{\mathbf{k}L} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4)



FIG. 2: (a) Schematic band structure of the three-band *s*-*d* hybridization model in Eq. (1). (b) Examples of the clockwise motions of electrons along the nearest three sites, which give the orbital AB phase factor $e^{iL\theta_i}$ with $\theta_i > 0$. (c) Localized *d*-orbital state and the Fermi level of conduction electrons under E_y . (d) A semiclassical explanation for the orbital Hall current along the *x*-direction. $\Delta\Gamma_{d\to s}$ is the change of tunneling probability due to E_y .

Here, the relations $\partial V_{\mathbf{k}L}/\partial k_x = -iL(k_y/k^2)V_{\mathbf{k}L}$ and $\partial V_{\mathbf{k}L}/\partial k_y = iL(k_x/k^2)V_{\mathbf{k}L}$ are used [21]. Thus, the momentum derivative of the *s*-*d* mixing potential gives rise to an anomalous velocity that is perpendicular to **k**: The OHC is proportional to $\langle (\hat{J}_y^C)_{1,1}(\hat{J}_x^O)_{1,2}V_{\mathbf{k}L}^*\rangle_{\mathrm{FS}} \neq 0$.

By inserting Eqs. (3) and (4) into Eq. (2), the OHC in the present model is simply obtained as

$$O_{xy}^{z} = \frac{eL^{2}}{4\pi} \cdot \frac{2V_{0}^{2}}{mN} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{\gamma}{|g_{\mathbf{k}}(i\gamma)|^{2}},$$
(5)

where N is the number of **k**-points, $g_{\mathbf{k}}(\omega) = (\omega + \mu - E_{\mathbf{k}}^+)(\omega + \mu - E_{\mathbf{k}}^-)$, and γ is the quasiparticle damping rate (due to impurity potentials). The obtained OHC is finite even if SOI is absent [8, 12, 14]. Since $\lim_{\gamma \to +0} \gamma/(x^2 + \gamma^2) = \pi \delta(x)$, Eq. (5) becomes

$$O_{xy}^{z} \approx \frac{eL^{2}}{4m} N_{s}(0) \left[\frac{2V_{0}^{2}}{(E_{d} - \epsilon_{k_{\rm F}})(\mu - E_{d})} \right]^{2} \sim \frac{eL^{2}}{4\pi}.$$
 (6)

Since the term in the bracket is almost unity, the obtained OHC takes an approximate universal positive value, independent of the model parameters. For the 3D s-d hybridization model, $V_{\mathbf{k}M}$ is proportional to the spherical harmonics with L = 2. In this model, we obtain $O_{xy}^z \sim (e/2\pi a) \cdot \pi$ if we put $k_{\rm F} \sim \pi/a$, where a is the lattice spacing. This result is qualitatively consistent with the results in Fig. 1 for $n \leq 9$. (OHCs in Au and Ag are small, because n_d is almost 10.) We have verified that the *II*-term is negligibly small in this model.

The reason why the giant OHE emerges in transition metals based on a 2D tight-binding model with three orbitals (s and d_{+L}) at each site is now discussed. Longrange hopping integrals must be considered to reproduce a free-electron-like *s*-electron dispersion [17]. Figure 2(b) illustrates two examples of the clockwise motion of electrons along the nearest three sites $[d_L \rightarrow s \rightarrow s \rightarrow d_L];$ as discussed in Ref. [12], these are important processes for the OHE, because the *s*-*d* hopping integrals are much larger than the *d*-*d* hopping integrals. Therein, the electron acquires the phase factor $e^{iL\theta}$ due to the angular dependence of the mixing potential in real space, $V_L(\mathbf{r}) \propto e^{iL\varphi_r}$, where θ is the angle between the incoming and outgoing electron ($\theta = \pi/4$ or $\pi/2$ in this figure). This can be interpreted as the "orbital AB phase" given by the effective magnetic flux $\phi_0 \cdot (L\theta/2\pi)$ through the area of the triangle, where $\phi_0 = 2\pi\hbar/e$ is the flux quantum. It is simple to check whether any of the other three-site clockwise motions cause the factor $e^{iL\theta}$ with $\theta > 0$. Therefore, the *d*-electron with $l_z = \pm L$ is subject to the huge and *positive* effective magnetic field that reaches $\sim \pm \phi_0/a^2$. This is the origin of the giant positive OHE in the order of $e/2\pi$ in transition metals.

We also present a simple semiclassical explanation, in that the OHE is a natural consequence of the anisotropy in s-d hybridization under the electric field E_u . In the muffin-tin model, the localized *d*-electron (virtual bound state) moves to the conduction band via the s-d mixing potential [25] as shown in Fig. 2 (c). The tunneling probability from d to s is given by $\Gamma_{d\to s}(\mathbf{r}) \sim$ $\int_{\mu(\mathbf{r})}^{\infty} d\omega N_d(\omega) |V_0|^2 N_s(\omega)$, where $\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \mu + eE_y y$ is the Fermi level in the nonequilibrium steady state. If $E_y = 0$, then $\Gamma_{d\to s} = \Gamma_{s\to d}$ is satisfied. When $E_y > 0$, then the change in the tunneling probability near point B (A) is $\Delta \Gamma_{d \to s} \sim (-) N_d(0) |V_0|^2 N_s(0) \cdot r_d e E_y \sim (-) r_d e E_y, \text{ since}$ $N_s(0) \sim |\mu - \epsilon_{k_{\rm F}}|^{-1}$ and $N_d(0) \sim |\mu - E_d|^{-1}$. Because of the angular momentum conservation, x-component velocity of the tunneling electron at point B is $v_r^{\pm L} \sim$ $\pm L/r_d m$, and $\sin \varphi_o \equiv |v_x^L|/v_F$ in Fig. 2(d) is of order 1. If we assume that the tunneling s-electron hybridizes to one of the neighboring sites and l_z is quasi-conserved, the averaged velocity of the successive tunneling electron will be $\langle v_x^{\pm L} \rangle_{\rm AV} \sim \pm |\Delta \Gamma_{d \to s}| \cdot a \sin \varphi_o$. Therefore, the transverse *d*-orbital current density is estimated as

$$j_x^O \sim \sum_{M=\pm L} M \langle v_x^M \rangle_{\rm AV} / a^2 \sim e L^2 E_y / am v_{\rm F}.$$
 (7)

Since $a \sim \pi k_{\rm F}^{-1}$, $O_{xy}^z \equiv j_x^O/E_y$ in Eq. (7) is in the order of $+eL^2$. Therefore, Eq. (6) is reproduced (aside from a numerical factor) by this semiclassical consideration.

We note that the partial wave of the $l_z = L$ channel, $\psi_L(\mathbf{r}) \propto J_L(kr)e^{iL\varphi_r}$, has a small overlap integral between the nearest sites, $\int \psi_L^*(\mathbf{r})\psi_{L'}(\mathbf{r}+a\hat{x})d\mathbf{r}$, for

L' = -L, due to the phase factor in $\psi_L(\mathbf{r})$. For this reason, l_z is quasi-conserved when the tunneling *s*-electron hybridizes to the *d*-orbital at a neighboring site.

Next, we discuss the SHE in the presence of the atomic SOI; $\lambda \sum_{i} \mathbf{l}_i \cdot \mathbf{s}_i$ ($\lambda > 0$). Since $\langle M | l_{\nu} | M' \rangle = 0$ for $\nu = x, y$ in the present 2D model, the atomic SOI for the σ -spin is given by $(\hat{H}^{\sigma}_{\lambda})_{i,j} = (\lambda \sigma/2) L(\delta_{i,2} - \delta_{i,3}) \delta_{i,j}$.

In real transition metals, only the z-component of the SOI is significant for the SHE [12, 14]. Using the Green function $\hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(\omega) = (\omega + \mu - \hat{H}_0 - \hat{H}_{\lambda}^{\sigma})^{-1}$, the SHC is given by

$$\sigma_{xy}^{z} = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{\mathbf{k},\sigma} \frac{\sigma}{-2e} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\hat{J}_{x}^{C} \hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(i\gamma) \hat{J}_{y}^{C} \hat{G}_{\mathbf{k}\sigma}(-i\gamma) \right] (8)$$

If the λ -dependence of eigenenergies in Eq. (8) is neglected, which corrects the SHC of order $O(\lambda^3)$ to, Eq. (8) becomes

$$\sigma_{xy}^z \approx 2R/L^2 \cdot O_{xy}^z, \tag{9}$$

where $R \equiv \langle \hat{l}_z \hat{s}_z \rangle_{\rm FS}$ represents the spin-orbit polarization ratio due to the SOI at the Fermi level, which is given by $R = L\lambda/(\mu - E_d)$ in the present model up to $O(\lambda)$. Thus, the SHC is positive (negative) when μ is located in the upper branch $E_{\bf k}^+$ (lower branch $E_{\bf k}^-$).

It is natural to expect that the relationship in (9) holds in real transition metals, where Eq. the spin-orbit polarization ratio is defined as R = $\sum_{m} \int_{FS} \langle \hat{l}_z \hat{s}_z \rangle_{\mathbf{k},m} dS_{\mathbf{k},m} / \sum_{m} \int_{FS} dS_{\mathbf{k},m}$ in real systems, where *m* is the band index. To verify this expectation, R is shown for 5d metals given by the NRL-TB model in Fig. 1: The obtained R is positive (negative) in metals with more than (less than) half-filling, which is consistent with Hund's rule. The qualitative similarity between the SHC and R in Fig. 1 indicates that the spin current \vec{j}^S is induced by the orbital current \vec{j}^O in proportion to \vec{R} , and therefore the relationship in Eq. (9) holds approximately for various metals. (In fact, $\lambda l_z s_z$ provides the dominant contribution to the SHE [12].) As a result, the present analysis based on a simple s-d hybridization model captures the overall behavior of the OHE and SHE in transition metals. The present discussion is a generalization of the explanation for the SHE in Refs. [8, 12, 14]: Therein, we have shown that the atomic SOI gives rise to the spin-dependent "effective AB phase factor" in the real d-orbital basis. In the l_z -basis, this phase factor is reproduced by the orbital AB phase factor when $R \neq 0$.

There are several important future problems: The current vertex correction (CVC) due to local impurities vanishes for L = 2, because the current operators in Eqs. (3) and (4) are odd with respect to $\mathbf{k} \leftrightarrow -\mathbf{k}$ [12]. However, CVC due to Coulomb interaction may be significant in strongly correlated systems [26]. In addition, the dynamics of orbitals in the non-equilibrium state should be examined in detail. Furthermore, it will be interesting to observe the universal OHC in transition metals using

the mesoscopic "H-shape" circuit, which was originally proposed to measure the inverse SHC in semimetals [27].

To summarize, we have revealed that the giant positive OHE in transition metals originates from the orbital AB phase due to the atomic wavefunction, without assuming any special bandstructure (e.g., Dirac points at μ). We have shown that the OHE is the essential phenomenon, and it induces the large SHE (AHE) in paramagnetic (ferromagnetic) metals in the presence of SOI. The sign of the SHC is expected to be equal to that of the spin-orbit polarization, which is consistent with recent experimental observations [4, 5, 11]. The origin of these novel transport phenomena, which are universal in transition metals, is the orbital degrees of freedom.

We are grateful to E. Saitoh, T. Kimura and Y. Otani for valuable comments and discussions. This study has been supported by Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research from MEXT, Japan.

- [1] S. Murakami et al., Phys. Rev. B 69 (2004) 235206.
- [2] J. Sinova et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. ${\bf 92}$ (2004) 126603.
- [3] S. O. Valenzuela and M. Tinkham: Nature 442 (2006) 176.
- [4] E. Saitoh et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. 88 (2006) 182509.
- [5] T. Kimura, Y. Otani, T. Sato, S. Takahashi, and S. Maekawa: Phys. Rev. Lett. 98 (2007) 156601.
- [6] R. Karplus and J.M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 95, 1154 (1954); J. M. Luttinger, Phys. Rev. 112, 739 (1958).
- [7] J. Inoue et al., Phys. Rev. B70 (2004) 041303(R).
- [8] H. Kontani et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 096601 (2008).
 [9] C.L. Kane and E.J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 (2005)
- [9] C.L. Kane and E.J. Mele, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2005) 146802.
- [10] B.A. Bernevig et al., Science **314**, 1757 (2006).
- [11] The observed SHC in Nb is $-16 \hbar e^{-1} \cdot \Omega^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$; Y. Otani et al, (unpublished).
- [12] T. Tanaka et al., Phys. Rev. B 77, 165117 (2008).
- [13] Y. Yao and Z. Fang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 156601 (2005); SHCs in W and Au obtained by them are much larger than those in Fig. 1.
- [14] H. Kontani et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 76 (2007) 103702.
- [15] G.Y. Guo et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. **100** (2008) 096401.
- [16] B.A. Bernevig et al, Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 066601 (2005).
- [17] D. A. Papaconstantopoulos and M.J. Mehl: J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15 (2003) R413.
- [18] S. Zhang and Z. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 066602 (2005).
- [19] Z. Zou and P. W. Anderson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2073 (1986).
- [20] K. Hanzawa et al., Prog. Theor. Phys. 81 (1989) 960.
- [21] H. Kontani and K. Yamada: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 63 (1994) 2627.
- [22] M. Miyazawa et al., J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 68 (1999) 1625.
- [23] P. Streda, J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys. 15, L717 (1982).
- [24] H. Kontani et al., Phys. Rev. B **75** (2007) 184416.
- [25] A.C. Hewson, The Kondo Problem to Heavy Fermions (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1993).
- [26] H. Kontani et al., Phys. Rev. B 59 (1999) 14723; H.
 Kontani and K. Yamada, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 74 (2005)

155.

[27] E.M. Hankiewicz et al., Phys. Rev. B $\mathbf{70},\ 241301(\mathrm{R})$

(2004).