

# Doping-insensitive density-of-states suppression in polycrystalline iron-based superconductor $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$

H. W. Ou<sup>1</sup>, Y. Zhang<sup>1</sup>, J. F. Zhao<sup>1</sup>, J. Wei<sup>1</sup>, D. W. Shen<sup>1</sup>, B. Zhou<sup>1</sup>, L. X. Yang<sup>1</sup>, F. Chen<sup>1</sup>, M. Xu<sup>1</sup>, C. He<sup>1</sup>, R. H. Liu<sup>2</sup>, M. Arita<sup>3</sup>, K. Shimada<sup>3</sup>, H. Namatame<sup>3</sup>, M. Taniguchi<sup>3</sup>, Y. Chen<sup>1</sup>, X. H. Chen<sup>2</sup>, and D. L. Feng<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Surface Physics Laboratory (National Key Laboratory), and Advanced Materials Laboratory, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, P. R. China  
<sup>2</sup>Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Sciences at Microscale and Department of Physics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui 230026, P. R. China and  
<sup>3</sup>Hiroshima Synchrotron Radiation Center and Graduate School of Science, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima 739-8526, Japan

(Dated: October 30, 2018)

We investigated the temperature dependence of the density-of-states in the iron-based superconductor  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  ( $x = 0, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2$ ) with high resolution angle-integrated photoemission spectroscopy. The density-of-states suppression is observed with decreasing temperature in all samples, revealing two characteristic energy scales (10 meV and 80 meV). However, no obvious doping dependence is observed. We argue that the 10 meV suppression is due to an anomalously doping-independent normal state pseudogap, which becomes the superconducting gap once in the superconducting state; and alert the possibility that the 80 meV-scale suppression might be an artifact of the polycrystalline samples.

The recent discovery of superconductivity in the iron oxypnictides  $\text{LnO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  ( $\text{Ln}=\text{La}, \text{Sm}, \text{Nd}, \text{etc.}$ ) with transition temperatures ( $T_c$ 's) well beyond the McMillan limit for BCS superconductors has rejuvenated intensive research on unconventional superconductivity [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7]. In some ways, the layered structure of a iron-based superconductor resembles the high- $T_c$  cuprates:  $\text{LnO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x$  layers act as the charge reservoir, and FeAs layers act as the conducting layers. Moreover, neutron diffraction measurements show that the ground state of the parent compound  $\text{LaOFeAs}$  is a spin density wave (SDW) [8]. This makes the phase diagrams of cuprates and iron oxypnictides share some common features as well. Whether or not the superconductivity in iron oxypnictides follows the same physics as in cuprates thus becomes a fundamental question to ask.

One prominent feature for cuprates is the pseudogap, *i.e.* suppression of density-of-states (DOS) in the normal state. For  $\text{LaO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$ , several nuclear magnetic resonance experiments have argued the existence of a pseudogap [9, 10, 11]. Recently, Sato *et al.* [12] reported the angle integrated photoemission spectroscopy (AIPES) results on polycrystalline  $\text{LaO}_{0.93}\text{F}_{0.07}\text{FeAs}$  ( $T_c=24\text{K}$ ), and they observed a pseudogap of 15 ~ 20 meV far above  $T_c$  up to 130K, together with a finite DOS at the Fermi energy ( $E_F$ ). Almost the same time, Ishida *et al.* [13] reported AIPES evidence for a 100 meV pseudogap-like feature at 250K in  $\text{LaO}_{0.89}\text{F}_{0.11}\text{FeAs}$  (LOFFA,  $T_c=26\text{K}$ ), which shrinks to 20 meV at 70K. Moreover, their data on the low- $T_c$   $\text{LaO}_{0.94}\text{F}_{0.06}\text{FeP}$  (LOFFP,  $T_c=5\text{K}$ ) shows a less pronounced 20 meV pseudogap-like feature. Therefore, these experiments on polycrystals do suggest the existence of a pseudogap of 9 ~ 46 $k_B T_c$ , and it is very similar to the 10 ~ 40 $k_B T_c$  pseudogap scale observed in cuprate superconductor[14]. At the lowest temperature,

both groups observed a spectral weight suppression which was related to superconducting gaps of about 4 ~ 5 $meV$  for LOFFA's, and a superconducting gap of about 1 meV for LOFFP. Intriguingly, they are all about 2 $k_B T_c$ .

Photoemission is sensitive to surface and sample quality, systematics thus needs to be collected before reaching a robust conclusion when dealing with polycrystals. However, so far only one doping of each material was measured in individual studies. In this paper, we report systematic AIPES measurement of polycrystalline  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  (SOFFA) with a variety of dopings ( $x=0, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2$ ). At high temperatures, we observed a DOS suppression over a large energy scale of 80 meV, whose onset temperature is even higher than 300K. At low temperatures, a V-shaped lineshape around the energy scale of 10 meV was observed in the symmetrized spectra. Surprisingly, we found that both




FIG. 1: (color online). Temperature dependence of Resistivity for  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  ( $x=0, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2$ )(data are taken from Ref.[15]).




FIG. 2: (color online). AIPES spectrum near  $E_F$  as a function of doping for  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$ . The inset shows AIPES spectrum of  $\text{SmO}_{0.8}\text{F}_{0.2}\text{FeAs}$  over a large energy window. Data were taken with 22.7eV photons at 10K.

kinds of suppressions are doping-independent, very different from the pseudogap behaviors in cuprates. The low energy suppression scale of 10 meV corresponds to  $2k_B T_c^{max}$ , where  $T_c^{max}$  is the maximum  $T_c$  of 54K for SOFFA's. Considering similar correlations in LOFFA and LOFFP, it suggests that the 10 meV suppression reflects an intrinsic pseudogap in the normal state, which evolves into the superconducting gap at low temperatures. However, it is not clear at this stage whether the 80meV scale is related to an intrinsic pseudogap effect, or caused by extrinsic effects such as inhomogeneities and domain boundaries of the polycrystal.

Polycrystalline  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  ( $x=0, 0.12, 0.15, 0.2$ ) have been synthesized through solid state reaction, the detailed information about the synthesis and characterization of the sample has been described elsewhere[15]. Resistivity data (Fig.1) clearly indicate the superconducting transition occurs at 25K, 42K, 47K, and 54K for  $x=0.12, 0.15, 0.2$ (#2), and  $0.2$ (#1) respectively. The Meissner volumes were estimated to be 50 ~ 60% according to the susceptibility measurements, which is among the best for the polycrystalline samples synthesized so far. The resistivity of the parent compound exhibits a similar peak at around 150K similar to that observed in LOFFA, indicative of a possible SDW and/or structure phase transition in SOFFA [8].

Photoemission measurements were performed at beam line 5-4 of Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory (SSRL) and beam line 9 of Hiroshima Synchrotron Radiation Center (HiSOR), data were taken with Scienta R4000 electron analyzers. The overall energy resolution was set to 7 meV. The sample rod was cracked *in-situ* and then measured in ultra-high vacuum ( $\sim 3 \times 10^{-11}$  mbar).

We emphasize that all the sample surfaces prepared in this way show consistent results, and the data measured with 22.7eV photons agree with those measured with more bulk sensitive 8eV photons (shown in Fig.4), indicative of the high sample quality.

The AIPES spectra of  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  are shown in Fig. 2, which measure the DOS. There is a broad low energy feature around 0.22eV below  $E_F$ , whose position seems to be quite doping independent. The low energy feature was attributed to Fe 3d states in various band calculations[16, 17, 18, 19, 20]. Our data, especially the peak at 0.22 eV and the flat DOS between 0.5 eV to 3 eV binding energy (see inset of Fig.2)[21], agree best with the calculation that considers an antiferromagnetic ground state[19, 20]. On the other hand, this low energy feature does not show up in dynamical mean field theory calculation[22].

The evolution of DOS near  $E_F$  is studied as a function of doping and temperature. As shown in Fig.3(a1-d1), the lineshape evolution above and below  $E_F$  clearly differs from the symmetrical Fermi-Dirac distribution function normally observed on a polycrystalline metal. The spectra  $[n(\omega)]$  are symmetrized to remove the thermal broadening effects[23], and the resulting  $n(\omega)+n(-\omega)$  are shown in Fig.3(a2-d2) respectively. This has been commonly practiced in the study of gap in cuprate superconductors. In this way, a spectra weight suppression with decreasing temperature is clearly revealed, which occurs at the highest measured temperature over the energy range of  $\pm 80$ meV. With decreasing temperature, further suppressions of the DOS happen in a smoothly shrinking energy window around  $E_F$ , as shown by the arrows and summarized in Fig.3e. This resembles the anisotropic pseudogap opening behavior in cuprates, where gap of larger size opens at higher temperature[23]. It also indicates the maximum gap could well exceed 80 meV. However, unlike the cuprates, the suppression has no doping dependence. All AIPES spectra exhibit similar behavior in the entire investigated doping range. As quantified in Fig.3f, the DOS at  $E_F$  has almost the same linear temperature dependence when normalized by their values at 300K.

To study the superconducting state, high resolution AIPES spectra were measured with 1 meV steps near the Fermi energy at low temperatures in Fig. 4(a1-d1). The insets in Fig.4(a1-d1) show the enlargement near  $E_F$ , the cross-points indicate a leading-edge gap of  $1 \sim 4$  meV fluctuating with sample. The corresponding symmetrized angle-integrated spectra are shown in Fig. 4(a2-d2). There is clearly an additional abrupt drop of DOS at a fixed characteristic energy scale of 10 meV at low temperatures (see Fig.3e), which eventually causes a V-shaped DOS near  $E_F$  at the lowest temperatures. The 10 meV energy scale itself is quite intriguing, since it is about  $2k_B T_c^{max}$  for  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$ . Similar low energy scales of  $2k_B T_c$  have been observed for LOFFA[12, 13],




FIG. 3: (color online) Temperature dependence of the  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  AIPES spectrum near  $E_F$  for (a1)  $x = 0$ , (b1)  $x = 0.12$ , (c1)  $x = 0.15$ , and (d1)  $x = 0.2$  respectively. The spectra are symmetrized and shown in (a2-d2) respectively, and the arrows indicate where further suppression of DOS occurs with decreasing temperature. (e) Temperature dependence of the energy scale of further spectral weight suppression [shown by arrows in panels (a2-d2)]. The low temperature ones are taken from data in Fig.4. (f) Temperature dependence of DOS at  $E_F$  normalized by their values at 300K. Data were taken with 22.7 eV photons.

and LOFFP[13], therefore it is likely an intrinsic pseudogap related to pairing fluctuation in the normal state. This gap might have started to open above 100K, but the thermal broadening prevents it being observed. If the pseudogap/superconducting gap transition in optimally doped cuprates could apply here, it naturally evolves into the superconducting gap once the system enters the superconducting state. It is remarkable that such a pseudogap is doping independent and very small in SOFFA, compared with the large and doping dependent pseudogap in cuprates. Furthermore, the V-shaped DOS with finite value at  $E_F$  indicates an anisotropic gap with nodes or Fermi arc in the superconducting state[21].

The doping-independent DOS suppressions do raise the question whether they are artifacts from the polycrystalline nature of the sample. Although the Meissner ratio is  $50 \sim 60\%$  for the superconducting samples, as high as currently one could get, still there are  $40 \sim 50\%$  non-superconducting part in the polycrystal, which might

come from the domain boundary or inhomogeneity of the fluorine dopants or oxygen vacancies. It is not surprising if this portion of the polycrystal could be independent of doping, and cause the observed 30% spectral weight suppression at  $E_F$ . Furthermore, the quite large DOS around  $E_F$  at 10K could be also attributed to the non-superconducting portion besides the possible nodes or Fermi arc of the superconducting portion, which naturally explains the fluctuating leading edge gaps as well. However, for the suppression at 10 meV energy scale, its correlation with  $T_c^{max}$  in several systems indicates that it should be an intrinsic effects from the bulk part of the polycrystal. For the suppression at 80 meV energy scale, there is no strong evidence to exclude the extrinsic polycrystalline effects, except the fact that this energy scale is smoothly connected to the 10 meV scale as shown in Fig.3e.

To summarize, we have found doping independent behavior of the spectral weight suppression in




FIG. 4: (color online) Temperature dependence of the  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  AIPES spectrum near  $E_F$  at low temperatures for (a1)  $x = 0.2$ ,  $T_c = 54\text{K}$ , (b1)  $x = 0.2$ ,  $T_c = 47\text{K}$ , (c1)  $x = 0.12$ ,  $T_c = 25\text{K}$  and (d1)  $x = 0$  respectively. The corresponding symmetrized spectra are shown in (a2-d2) respectively. Data in (b1-d1) were taken with 22.7 eV photons at SSRL, while data in (a1) were taken with 8 eV photons at HiSOR.

$\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$ . A large “pseudogap” of 80 meV is observed from the highest measured temperature, whose origin is currently unclear, and debatably, could be extrinsic. A smaller gap of 10 meV becomes observable below 100K, which is likely an intrinsic pseudogap in the normal state, and would become a superconducting gap once in the superconducting state. Therefore, the pseudogap behavior in iron oxypnictides is very different from that in cuprate superconductors. Moreover, our data alert that the results obtained from polycrystalline iron

oxypnictides have to be interpreted with caution.

The authors thank Dr. D. H. Lu and R. H. He for their kind help at SSRL, and thank Prof. Z. D. Wang, and S. Y. Li for stimulating discussions. This work was supported by the Nature Science Foundation of China and by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (National Basic Research Program No.2006CB921300 and 2006CB922005), and STCSM of China. SSRL is operated by the DOE Office of Basic Energy Science under Contract No. DE-AC03-765F00515.

Note added: During the preparation of this manuscript, we noticed another work on  $\text{SmO}_{1-x}\text{F}_x\text{FeAs}$  is posted online[24].

\* Electronic address: dlifeng@fudan.edu.cn

- [1] Y. Kamihara, *et al.*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **130**, 3296(2008).
- [2] X. H. Chen *et al.*, Nature advance online publication, 25/05/2008 (doi:10.1038/nature07045)
- [3] G. F. Chen *et al.*, arXiv:0803.3790 [cond-mat.supr-con].
- [4] Zhi-An. Ren *et al.*, arXiv:0803.4283v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [5] Zhi-An. Ren *et al.*, arXiv:0804.2053v2 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [6] W. L. McMillan, Phys. Rev. 167, 331 (1968).
- [7] C. Day, Physics Today 61, 11(2008)
- [8] Clarina de la Cruz *et al.*, arXiv:0804.0795v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [9] K. Ahilan *et al.*, arXiv:0804.4026v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [10] H. J. Grafe *et al.*, arXiv:0805.2595v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [11] Y. Nakai *et al.*, arXiv:0804.4765v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [12] T. Sato *et al.*, arXiv:0805.3001v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [13] Y. Ishida *et al.*, arXiv:0805.2647v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [14] A. Damascelli, Z. Hussain, and Z.-X. Shen, Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 473 (2003).
- [15] R. H. Liu *et al.*, arXiv:0804.2105v3 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [16] D. J. Singh and M. H. Du, arXiv:0803.0429 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [17] G. Xu, W. Ming, Y. Yao, X. Dai, and Z. Fang, arXiv:0803.1282 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [18] I. I. Mazin, D. J. Singh, M. D. Johannes, and M.H. Du, arXiv:0803.2740 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [19] C. Cao, P. J. Hirschfeld, and H.-P. Cheng, arXiv:0803.3236 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [20] F. Ma and Z. Y. Lu, arXiv:0803.3236 [cond-mat.supr-con]
- [21] H. W. Ou *et al.*, Chin. Phys. Lett. **25**, 2225(2008)
- [22] K. Haule, J. H. Shim, and G. Kotliar, arXiv:0803.1279 [cond-mat.str-el]
- [23] M. R. Norman *et al.*, Nature(London) 392, 157 (1998)
- [24] H. Liu *et al.*, arXiv:0805.3821v1 [cond-mat.supr-con]