

# The bending of light and lensing in modified gravity

J. W. Moffat<sup>1,2</sup> and V. T. Toth<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 3G1, Canada

Our modified gravity theory (MOG) was used successfully in the past to explain a range of astronomical and cosmological observations, including galaxy rotation curves, the CMB acoustic peaks, and the galaxy mass power spectrum. MOG was also used successfully to explain the unusual features of the Bullet Cluster 1E0657-558 without exotic dark matter. In the present work, we derive the relativistic equations of motion in the spherically symmetric field of a point source in MOG and, in particular, we derive equations for light bending and lensing. Our results also have broader applications in the case of extended distributions of matter, and they can be used to validate the Bullet Cluster results and provide a possible explanation for the merging clusters in Abell 520.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Our modified gravity theory (MOG), also known as Scalar-Tensor-Vector Gravity (STVG, [1]), is a theory based on the action principle. The action incorporates, in addition to the usual Einstein-Hilbert term associated with the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , a massive vector field  $\phi_\mu$ , and three scalar fields representing running values of the gravitational constant  $G$ , the vector field's mass  $\mu$ , and its coupling strength  $\omega$ . The vector field is associated with a fifth force charge that is proportional to mass-energy. This fifth force is repulsive; for a large source mass, at large distances, gravity is stronger than that predicted by Newton or Einstein, but at short range, this stronger gravitational attraction is canceled by the fifth force field, leaving only Newtonian gravity. The theory has been used successfully to account for the rotation curves of galaxies [2, 3, 4, 5], the mass profiles of galaxy clusters [6], and cosmological observations [7, 8] without exotic dark matter.

The theory was also used to offer an explanation for the unusual features of the Bullet Cluster 1E0657-558 [12], notably the fact that when the cluster's mass density was mapped using X-ray observations, the peak density did not coincide with the region of peak gravitational lensing. In [12], a lensing formula was used that was deduced from the nonrelativistic acceleration law for test particles in the vicinity of a MOG point source.

In the present work, we derive a light bending formula using a fully relativistic approach, following the route described by Weinberg [9]. We also develop a formulation for extended distributions of sources, which is important not only for lensing, but also in future, planned work that includes astronomical  $N$ -body simulations using MOG.

We begin in Section II, reviewing the basic equations of MOG and the results of an exact numerical solution for a point source. We proceed by developing the equations of motion in the vicinity of a MOG point source in

Section III. In Section IV, we obtain an exact treatment for light bending in the field of a point source. We generalize our discussion to extended sources and lensing in Section V, showing that the essential features of the Bullet Cluster data are reflected in the current results. The possible MOG consequences for the merging clusters of galaxies Abell 520 [10] are explored. Lastly, Section VI offers our conclusions and outlook.

## II. MODIFIED GRAVITY THEORY

Our modified gravity theory is based on postulating the existence of a massive vector field,  $\phi_\mu$ . The choice of a massive vector field is motivated by our desire to introduce a *repulsive* modification of the law of gravitation at short range. The vector field is coupled universally to matter. The theory, therefore, has three constants: in addition to the gravitational constant  $G$ , we must also consider the coupling constant  $\omega$  that determines the coupling strength between the  $\phi_\mu$  field and matter, and a further constant  $\mu$  that arises as a result of considering a vector field of non-zero mass, and controls the coupling range. The theory promotes  $G$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\omega$  to scalar fields, hence they are allowed to run, resulting in the following action [1, 2]:

$$S = S_G + S_\phi + S_S + S_M, \quad (1)$$

where

$$S_G = -\frac{1}{16\pi} \int \frac{1}{G} (R + 2\Lambda) \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (2)$$

$$S_\phi = - \int \omega \left[ \frac{1}{4} B^{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 \phi_\mu \phi^\mu + V_\phi(\phi) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (3)$$

$$S_S = - \int \frac{1}{G} \left[ \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \left( \frac{\nabla_\mu G \nabla_\nu G}{G^2} + \frac{\nabla_\mu \mu \nabla_\nu \mu}{\mu^2} - \nabla_\mu \omega \nabla_\nu \omega \right) + \frac{V_G(G)}{G^2} + \frac{V_\mu(\mu)}{\mu^2} + V_\omega(\omega) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x, \quad (4)$$

where  $S_M$  is the ‘‘matter’’ action,  $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \phi_\nu - \partial_\nu \phi_\mu$ , while  $V_\phi(\phi)$ ,  $V_G(G)$ ,  $V_\omega(\omega)$ , and  $V_\mu(\mu)$  denote the self-interaction potentials associated with the vector field and the three scalar fields. The symbol  $\nabla_\mu$  is used to denote covariant differentiation with respect to the metric  $g^{\mu\nu}$ , while the symbols  $R$ ,  $\Lambda$ , and  $g$  represent the Ricci-scalar, the cosmological constant, and the determinant of the metric tensor, respectively. We define the Ricci tensor as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\alpha \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\alpha}^\alpha + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\beta - \Gamma_{\mu\beta}^\alpha \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\beta. \quad (5)$$

Unless otherwise noted, our units are such that the speed of light,  $c = 1$ ; we use the metric signature  $(+, -, -, -)$ .

In the case of a spherically symmetric field in vacuum around a compact (point) source, we were able to derive an exact numerical solution [2]. We found that the scalar fields  $G$ ,  $\mu$ , and  $\omega$  remain constant except in the immediate vicinity of the source. The spatial part of the vector field  $\phi_\mu$  is zero, while its  $t$ -component obeys a simple exponential relationship. Meanwhile, the metric is approximately the Reissner-Nordström metric of a charged source.

Specifically, given a spherically symmetric, static metric in the standard form

$$d\tau^2 = B dt^2 - A dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (6)$$

we found that, for a source mass  $M$ ,

$$A \simeq B^{-1}, \quad (7)$$

$$B \simeq 1 - \frac{2G_N M}{r} + \frac{4\pi\omega G_0 Q_5^2}{r^2}, \quad (8)$$

$$G \simeq G_0 = G_\infty \frac{M}{(\sqrt{M} + E)^2}, \quad (9)$$

$$\mu \simeq \mu_0 = \frac{D}{\sqrt{M}}, \quad (10)$$

$$\omega \simeq \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{12}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\phi_t \simeq -Q_5 \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r}, \quad (12)$$

where  $G_N$  is Newton’s constant of gravitation,  $Q_5 = \kappa M$  is the fifth force charge associated with the source mass  $M$ , while  $G_\infty$ ,  $D$  and  $E$  are constants. Further,

$$\kappa = \sqrt{\frac{G_N}{\omega}}, \quad (13)$$

$$D \simeq 6250 M_\odot^{1/2} \text{kpc}^{-1}, \quad (14)$$

$$E \simeq 25000 M_\odot^{1/2}, \quad (15)$$

$$G_\infty \simeq 20G_N. \quad (16)$$

When  $r$  is large (that is, large relative to the Schwarzschild-radius  $r_S = 2G_N M$  for a source mass  $M$ ), the metric coefficients become

$$A \simeq B^{-1}, \quad (17)$$

$$B \simeq 1 - \frac{2G_N M}{r}. \quad (18)$$

### III. EQUATIONS OF MOTION IN A SPHERICALLY SYMMETRIC FIELD

To develop an equation of motion for a point particle, and use it to derive a formula for light bending, we follow the approach presented in [9].

We begin with the point particle action in MOG, which is written in the form

$$\begin{aligned} S &= - \int (m + \alpha\omega q_5 \phi_\mu u^\mu) d\tau \\ &= - \int (m \sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta} + \alpha\omega q_5 \phi_\mu u^\mu) d\tau, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where  $m$  is the point particle mass, and  $q_5$  is its fifth force charge. The fifth force charge is assumed to be proportional to  $m$ , such that  $q_5 = \kappa m$ , where  $\kappa$  is a constant. In earlier work, we determined that  $\kappa = \sqrt{G_N/\omega}$ . To develop an equation of motion, we compute the derivatives of the Lagrangian with respect to positions and velocities:

$$\mathcal{L} = -m \sqrt{g_{\alpha\beta} u^\alpha u^\beta} - \alpha\omega q_5 \phi_\mu u^\mu, \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\nu} = -\frac{1}{2} m g_{\alpha\beta, \nu} u^\alpha u^\beta - \alpha\omega q_5 \phi_{\mu, \nu} u^\mu - \alpha\omega_{, \nu} q_5 \phi_\mu u^\mu, \quad (21)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u^\nu} = -m g_{\alpha\nu} u^\alpha - \alpha\omega q_5 \phi_\nu, \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u^\nu} &= -m g_{\alpha\nu} \frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau} - m u^\beta g_{\alpha\nu, \beta} u^\alpha \\ &\quad - \alpha\omega q_5 u^\beta \phi_{\nu, \beta} - \alpha\omega_{, \beta} q_5 u^\beta \phi_\nu. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We can now construct the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x^\nu} - \frac{d}{d\tau} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial u^\nu} = m g_{\alpha\nu} \frac{du^\alpha}{d\tau} + m \Gamma_{\alpha\beta\nu} u^\alpha u^\beta + \alpha \omega q_5 u^\beta (\phi_{\nu,\beta} - \phi_{\beta,\nu}) - \alpha \omega_{,\nu} q_5 \phi_\mu u^\mu + \alpha \omega_{,\alpha} q_5 \phi_\nu u^\alpha = 0, \quad (24)$$

or, after rearranging terms,

$$m \left( \frac{du^\nu}{d\tau} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu u^\alpha u^\beta \right) = \alpha q_5 [\omega u^\beta g^{\nu\alpha} B_{\alpha\beta} + \omega_{,\alpha} \phi_\mu (g^{\alpha\nu} u^\mu - g^{\mu\nu} u^\alpha)], \quad (25)$$

where we used  $B_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_{\beta,\alpha} - \phi_{\alpha,\beta}$ . This is the same as Eqs. (31–32) in [1].

If  $\omega$  is constant (as confirmed by the numerical solution in [2]), we get

$$m \left( \frac{du^\nu}{d\tau} + \Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^\nu u^\alpha u^\beta \right) = \alpha q_5 \omega u^\beta g^{\nu\alpha} B_{\alpha\beta}. \quad (26)$$

The Christoffel-symbols associated with the spherically symmetric metric (6) are

$$\Gamma_{tt}^r = \frac{B'}{2A}, \quad \Gamma_{tr}^t = \frac{B'}{2B}, \quad (27)$$

$$\Gamma_{rr}^r = \frac{A'}{2A}, \quad \Gamma_{r\theta}^\theta = \Gamma_{r\phi}^\phi = \frac{1}{r}, \quad (28)$$

$$\Gamma_{\theta\theta}^r = -\frac{r}{A}, \quad \Gamma_{\theta\phi}^\phi = \cot \theta, \quad (29)$$

$$\Gamma_{\phi\phi}^r = -\frac{r \sin^2 \theta}{A}, \quad \Gamma_{\phi\phi}^\theta = -\cos \theta \sin \theta. \quad (30)$$

The equations of motion read, using  $q_5 = \kappa m$  and dividing through by  $m$ ,

$$\frac{d^2 t}{d\tau^2} + \frac{B'}{B} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \frac{dr}{d\tau} = \alpha \kappa \omega u^\beta g^{tt} B_{t\beta}, \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\tau^2} + \frac{B'}{2A} \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{A'}{2A} \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{r \sin^2 \theta}{A} \left( \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \alpha \kappa \omega u^\beta g^{rr} B_{r\beta}, \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \theta}{d\tau^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dr}{d\tau} \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} - \cos \theta \sin \theta \left( \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} \right)^2 = \alpha \kappa \omega u^\beta g^{\theta\theta} B_{\theta\beta}, \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{d\tau^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dr}{d\tau} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} + 2 \cot \theta \frac{d\theta}{d\tau} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = \alpha \kappa \omega u^\beta g^{\phi\phi} B_{\phi\beta}. \quad (34)$$

We can set  $\theta = \pi/2$  without loss of generality. We can also recognize that the only non-zero components of  $B_{\alpha\beta}$  are  $B_{tr} = -B_{rt} = \partial_t \phi_r - \partial_r \phi_t = -\phi_t'$ . We get

$$\frac{d^2 t}{d\tau^2} + \frac{B'}{B} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \frac{dr}{d\tau} = -\frac{\alpha \kappa \omega}{B} \frac{dr}{d\tau} \phi_t', \quad (35)$$

$$\frac{d^2 r}{d\tau^2} + \frac{B'}{2A} \left( \frac{dt}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{A'}{2A} \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{r}{A} \left( \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} \right)^2 = -\frac{\alpha \kappa \omega}{A} \frac{dt}{d\tau} \phi_t', \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \phi}{d\tau^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{dr}{d\tau} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = 0. \quad (37)$$

Eq. (35) can be rearranged after multiplying with  $B$ :

$$B \frac{d^2 t}{d\tau^2} + \frac{dB}{d\tau} \frac{dt}{d\tau} = -\alpha \kappa \omega \frac{d\phi_t}{d\tau}, \quad (38)$$

which can be integrated to yield

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \frac{C - \alpha \kappa \omega \phi_t}{B}. \quad (39)$$

Since  $B \rightarrow 1$  and  $\phi_t \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , an asymptotically flat spacetime requires  $C = 1$ .

Eq. (37) can be integrated directly:

$$r^2 \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} = J, \quad (40)$$

where  $J$  is a constant of integration, which we identify as the angular momentum per unit mass.

Using these results in Eq. (36) yields

---


$$\frac{d^2r}{d\tau^2} + \frac{A'}{2A} \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 - \frac{J^2}{Ar^3} + \frac{B'}{2A} \left( \frac{1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t}{B} \right)^2 = -\frac{\alpha\kappa\omega(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)}{AB} \phi_t'. \quad (41)$$


---

In the case of general relativity, the right-hand side of this equation is zero, and the particle moves along a geodesic. This is not the case here, but we can still integrate our equation. Multiplication by  $2Adr/d\tau$  leads to

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} \left[ A \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2}{r^2} - \frac{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2}{B} \right] = 0. \quad (42)$$

Integration yields

$$A \left( \frac{dr}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2}{r^2} - \frac{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2}{B} = -\mathcal{E}, \quad (43)$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is another constant of integration. After using

---

(39), we get

$$\frac{A}{B^2} \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2 r^2} - \frac{1}{B} = -\frac{\mathcal{E}}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2}. \quad (44)$$

This is an exact result. From this result, we can develop the equation of motion for a non-relativistic particle in the usual form. For this, let us assume that we are far from a source, and the metric is that of Schwarzschild, in accordance with (17) and (18). Then, our equation of motion becomes

$$\frac{1}{(1 - 2GM/r)^3} \left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2 r^2} - \frac{1}{1 - 2GM/r} = \frac{-\mathcal{E}}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2}. \quad (45)$$

Multiplying both sides with  $(1 - 2GM/r)^3$  gives

$$\left( \frac{dr}{dt} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)r^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right)^3 - \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right)^2 = \frac{-\mathcal{E}}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2} \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right)^3. \quad (46)$$

Fully differentiating with respect to  $t$  and dividing through with  $2dr/dt$  and then rearranging terms yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2r}{dt^2} - \frac{(1 - 2GM/r)^3 J^2}{1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t r^3} + \frac{(1 - 2GM/r)^2 J^2}{2(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2 r^2} \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t' + \frac{(1 - 2GM/r)^2 3J^2 GM}{1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t r^4} - \left( 1 - \frac{2GM}{r} \right) \frac{2GM}{r^2} \\ = \frac{-\mathcal{E}(1 - 2GM/r)^3}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^3} \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t' - \frac{3\mathcal{E}(1 - 2GM/r)^2 GM}{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2 r^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$


---

From (43), taking the large- $r$  limit, we get

$$\mathcal{E} = 1 - v^2, \quad (48)$$

where  $v = dr/d\tau \simeq dr/dt$  is the velocity of the particle at infinity. For photons,  $\mathcal{E} = 0$ , for material particles in unbound orbits,  $0 < \mathcal{E} < 1$ , and for bound particles,  $\mathcal{E} \geq 1$ .

For a non-relativistic particle,  $v^2 \ll 1$ . Further, in the weak field limit,  $1 - 2GM/r \simeq 1$ ,  $1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t \simeq 1$ , and

$J^2/2r^2 \ll 1$ , so (III) becomes

$$\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} - \frac{J^2}{r^3} = -\alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t' - \frac{GM}{r^2}. \quad (49)$$

#### IV. THE BENDING OF LIGHT

To calculate light bending, we return to the exact result in (43). The first step is eliminating  $d\tau$  using (40), since we are interested in the shape of the orbit, not its

time evolution:

$$A \left( \frac{dr}{d\phi} \frac{d\phi}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \frac{J^2}{r^2} - \frac{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2}{B} = -\mathcal{E}, \quad (50)$$

or,

$$\frac{A}{r^4} \left( \frac{dr}{d\phi} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} = -\frac{\mathcal{E}}{J^2} + \frac{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2}{J^2 B}. \quad (51) \quad J = r_0 \sqrt{\frac{[1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t(r_0)]^2}{B} + v^2 - 1}. \quad (53)$$

From this we get

$$\phi = \pm \int \frac{A^{1/2}}{r^2 \left( \frac{(1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t)^2}{J^2 B} - \frac{\mathcal{E}}{J^2} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right)^{1/2}} dr. \quad (52) \quad \text{Putting this into (52) gives}$$

$$\phi = \phi_\infty + \int_r^\infty \frac{A^{1/2}}{r^2 \left[ \frac{1}{r_0^2} \left\{ \frac{[1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t]^2}{B} + v^2 - 1 \right\} \left\{ \frac{[1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t(r_0)]^2}{B(r_0)} + v^2 - 1 \right\}^{-1} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right]^{1/2}} dr. \quad (54)$$

The deflection for a particle coming from infinity to  $r_0$  and then off to infinity is twice this angle:

$$\Delta\phi = 2|\phi - \phi_\infty| - \pi. \quad (55)$$

For a photon,  $v = 1$  and

$$\Delta\phi_\gamma = 2 \left| \int_{r_0}^\infty \frac{A^{1/2}}{r^2 \left[ \frac{1}{r_0^2} \left\{ \frac{[1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t]^2}{B} \right\} \left\{ \frac{[1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t(r_0)]^2}{B(r_0)} \right\}^{-1} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right]^{1/2}} dr \right| - \pi. \quad (56)$$

In the case of weak fields,  $1 - \alpha\kappa\omega\phi_t \simeq 1$  and we get

$$\Delta\phi_\gamma = 2 \left| \int_{r_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{r^2 B(r_0)}{r_0^2 AB} - \frac{1}{A} \right]^{-1/2} dr \right| - \pi. \quad (57)$$

This formula is formally identical to the light bending formula in the weak field limit of general relativity, with one notable difference: instead of  $G = G_N$ , we are using  $G = G_\infty = (1 + \alpha)G_N$  in the Schwarzschild coefficients  $A$  and  $B$ . From this formula, the approximate deflection can be calculated as [1, 9]:

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{4GM}{r_0} = \frac{4(1 + \alpha)G_N M}{r_0}. \quad (58)$$

If  $\phi_t$  cannot be ignored, we can use the form [2]:

$$\phi_t = -Q_5 \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r} = -\kappa M \frac{e^{-\mu r}}{r}, \quad (59)$$

where  $Q_5 = \kappa M$  is the fifth force charge of the source with mass  $M$ . This yields the formula for light bending in the strong field of a point source in the form

$$\Delta\phi_\gamma = 2 \left| \int_{r_0}^\infty \frac{1}{r} \left[ \frac{[r + \alpha G_N M \exp(-\mu r)]^2 B(r_0)}{[r_0 + \alpha G_N M \exp(-\mu r_0)]^2 AB} - \frac{1}{A} \right]^{-1/2} dr \right| - \pi, \quad (60)$$

where we used  $\kappa^2\omega = G_N$ .

## V. EXTENDED SOURCE DISTRIBUTIONS

The nonrelativistic equation of motion (49) can be further simplified when only radial motion is considered,

At closest approach to a source,  $r = r_0$  and  $dr/d\phi$  vanishes. Then, (51) becomes

such that  $J = 0$ :

$$\ddot{r} = -\frac{G_N M}{r^2} [1 + \alpha - \alpha(1 + \mu r)e^{-\mu r}]. \quad (61)$$

This corresponds to the potential

$$\Phi(r) = -\frac{G_N M}{r} [1 + \alpha - \alpha e^{-\mu r}], \quad (62)$$

such that ( $r = |\mathbf{r}|$ ):

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{r}). \quad (63)$$

We write the potential as the sum of two constituents:

$$\Phi(\mathbf{r}) = \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) + \Phi_Y(\mathbf{r}), \quad (64)$$

where

$$\Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{(1 + \alpha)G_N M}{r} \quad (65)$$

and

$$\Phi_Y(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\alpha G_N M}{r} e^{-\mu r}. \quad (66)$$

We find that  $\Phi_N$  is the solution of the Poisson equation:

$$\nabla^2 \Phi_N(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi(1 + \alpha)G_N \rho(\mathbf{r}), \quad (67)$$

with  $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = M\delta^3(\mathbf{r})$ , where  $\delta$  is Dirac's delta function.

For  $\Phi_Y$ , we consider the inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation:

$$(\nabla^2 + k^2)G(\mathbf{r}) = -\delta^3(\mathbf{r}). \quad (68)$$

This equation is solved by

$$G(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{e^{ikr}}{4\pi r}. \quad (69)$$

Replacing  $k$  with  $i\mu$ , we find that

$$(\nabla^2 - \mu^2)\Phi_Y(\mathbf{r}) = -4\pi\alpha G_N \rho(\mathbf{r}). \quad (70)$$

Adding (67) and (70), we get

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi G_N \rho(\mathbf{r}) + \mu^2 \Phi_Y(\mathbf{r}). \quad (71)$$

If  $\mu = 0$ , we get back the Poisson equation for Newtonian gravity, as expected.

If  $\rho$  is not a point source but a general continuous distribution of matter, the solution of the inhomogeneous Helmholtz equation is in the form

$$\Phi_Y(\mathbf{r}) = \alpha G_N \int \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|} \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) d^3\tilde{\mathbf{r}}. \quad (72)$$

Thus,

$$\nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = 4\pi G_N \rho(\mathbf{r}) + \alpha \mu^2 G_N \int \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|} \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) d^3\tilde{\mathbf{r}}. \quad (73)$$

Or, since  $\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\nabla\Phi(\mathbf{r})$ , we can write

$$\nabla \cdot \ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -4\pi G_N \rho(\mathbf{r}) - \alpha \mu^2 G_N \int \frac{e^{-\mu|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|} \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) d^3\tilde{\mathbf{r}}. \quad (74)$$

In the case of a point source with mass  $M$ ,  $\mu$  is given by (10), while  $\alpha = (G_0 - G_N)/G_N$  is determined by (9):

$$\alpha = \frac{M}{(\sqrt{M} + E)^2} \left( \frac{G_\infty}{G_N} - 1 \right). \quad (75)$$

Clearly, in the case of an extended mass distribution, these expressions must be modified. Presently, we do not have solutions to the MOG field equations for extended matter distributions. Therefore, we treat this problem phenomenologically. We seek a function  $\mathcal{M}(r)$ , to be used in place of  $M$  in (75) and (10), that yields the mass of the source in the case of a point source, and a mass proportional to volume in the case of a constant distribution.

One function that satisfies these criteria and also offers good agreement with the Bullet Cluster data is in the form,

$$\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r}) = \int \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \exp\left(\frac{-4\pi G_\infty |\tilde{\mathbf{r}} - \mathbf{r}|}{G_N r}\right) d^3\tilde{\mathbf{r}}. \quad (76)$$

For a constant distribution  $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_0$ , this function gives  $\mathcal{M}(r) = G_N^3 r^3 \rho_0 / 3G_\infty^3$ . If  $\rho$  is not constant, we get the following expressions for  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$ :

$$\alpha(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r})}{(\sqrt{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r})} + E)^2} \left( \frac{G_\infty}{G_N} - 1 \right), \quad (77)$$

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{D}{\sqrt{\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{r})}}. \quad (78)$$

This means that in (73), we must now move  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$  under the integral sign:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^2 \Phi(\mathbf{r}) = & \quad (79) \\ 4\pi G_N \rho(\mathbf{r}) + G_N \int & \alpha(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \mu^2(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) \frac{e^{-\mu(\tilde{\mathbf{r}})|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|}}{|\mathbf{r}-\tilde{\mathbf{r}}|} \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{r}}) d^3\tilde{\mathbf{r}}. \end{aligned}$$

For lensing, we can use the  $\kappa$ -convergence formula [13, 14]:

$$\kappa(x, y) = \int \frac{4\pi G(x, y, z)}{c^2} \frac{D_l D_{ls}}{D_s} \rho(x, y, z) dz, \quad (80)$$

but now,  $G$  is given by

$$G(x, y, z) = \frac{\mathcal{M}(x, y, z)}{(\sqrt{\mathcal{M}(x, y, z)} + E)^2} (G_\infty - G_N) + G_N. \quad (81)$$

In [12], a good fit to X-ray observations of the Bullet Cluster was obtained using a King model:

$$\rho = \rho_0 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{r}{r_c} \right)^2 \right]^{-3\beta/2}, \quad (82)$$

with the parameters given by

$$\frac{D_l D_{ls}}{D_s} = 540 \text{ Mpc}, \quad (83)$$

$$\rho_0 = 3.34 \times 10^5 M_\odot/\text{kpc}^3, \quad (84)$$

$$r_c = 278 \text{ kpc}, \quad (85)$$

$$\beta = 0.8. \quad (86)$$




FIG. 1: The  $\kappa$ -convergence as a function of distance  $r$  in kpc from the center of a King distribution, using parameters obtained for the Bullet Cluster from [12]. For comparison, the  $\kappa$ -convergence calculated using Einstein gravity is also shown (lower curve, in green).




FIG. 2: A  $\kappa$  convergence map produced for the same mass as in Figure 1, but altered King-model parameters. Note the disappearance of the off-center peaks.

Using (82) in (80), we obtain a  $\kappa$ -convergence map consistent with that obtained in [12]. In particular, it

reproduces the essential feature of the  $\kappa$ -peak being offset from the region of peak density by several hundred kpc (Figure 1).

It is important to note that the presence or absence of this feature is governed, among other things, by the mass distribution, i.e., the parameters of the King model (or whatever other model may be used to represent the distribution.) As an hypothetical example, consider the case depicted in Figure 2. This plot was produced using the same overall mass as that of the Bullet Cluster, but an altered mass distribution with  $\beta = 0.4$ ,  $r_c = 54$  kpc.

The merging of three clusters of galaxies in Abell 520 has created a mystery regarding the nature of dark matter and its role in merging clusters [10]. In contrast to the Bullet Cluster, the data show that the dark matter does not separate from the baryon plasma situated at approximately the center of the merging clusters, whereas the galaxies and stars have separated to the sides of the cluster. Moreover, these galaxies do not reveal the existence of dark matter haloes. In our derivation of the  $\kappa$ -convergence lensing, we find that MOG can provide a natural explanation for these results without exotic dark matter, by modifying the mass profile at the center of the merging clusters. This will be the subject of future research.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

We derived an exact formulation for light bending in the spherically symmetric field of a point source in modified gravity (MOG). Introducing a phenomenological approach to model extended distributions of matter, we showed how the theory can yield unique lensing features, such as its ability to model situations where the location of maximum lensing of an extended distribution of matter does not coincide with the region of peak density. This feature of MOG was key to our ability to model the Bullet Cluster [12]. However, different parameters used in the mass distribution can also be used to model cases where the density peak and lensing peak coincide. Therefore, we believe that MOG will offer a natural explanation for the merging clusters in Abell 520; this will be confirmed by further research.

The phenomenological formalism we developed for modeling extended distributions of matter may also be useful in future work, including  $N$ -body simulations using MOG, and cosmological computations.

- 
- [1] J. W. Moffat, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2006**, 004 (2006), arXiv:gr-qc/0506021.  
 [2] J. W. Moffat and V. T. Toth, ArXiv **0712.1796 [gr-qc]** (2007).  
 [3] J. W. Moffat, ArXiv **gr-qc/0404076** (2004).  
 [4] J. W. Moffat, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle*

- Physics* **2005**, 003 (2005), arXiv:astro-ph/0412195.  
 [5] J. R. Brownstein and J. W. Moffat, *Astrophys. J.* **636**, 721 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0506370.  
 [6] J. R. Brownstein and J. W. Moffat, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **367**, 527 (2006), arXiv:astro-ph/0507222.  
 [7] J. W. Moffat, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **16**, 2075 (2007),

- arXiv:gr-qc/0608074.
- [8] J. W. Moffat and V. T. Toth, arXiv **0710.0364** [**astro-ph**] (2007).
- [9] S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (John Wiley & Sons, 1972).
- [10] A. Mahdavi, H. Hoekstra, A. Babul, D. D. Balam, and P. L. Capak, *Astrophys. J.* **668**, 806 (2007), arXiv:0706.3048.
- [11] J. W. Moffat and V. T. Toth, ArXiv **0708.1264** [**astro-ph**] (2007).
- [12] J. R. Brownstein and J. W. Moffat, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **382** (1), 29 (2007), arXiv:astro-ph/0702146.
- [13] J. A. Peacock, *Cosmological Physics* (Cambridge University Press, 1999).
- [14] S. Weinberg, *Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, 2008).