Static Black Hole dressed with a massive Scalar field

P.I.Kuriakose and V.C.Kuriakose

Department of physics, Cochin university of Science and Technology, Kochi- 682022, India.

E-mail: bml@cusat.ac.in, vck@cusat.ac.in

Abstract. A four-dimensional static black hole solution of Einstein equation conformally coupled to a massive and self interacting scalar field is obtained. A nontrivial scalar solution proposes a weak scalar hair. A dressed black hole shows a trace of scalar charge in the metric signalling the presence of scalar hair. A number of metrics with regular horizons and temperatures are also proposed.

PACS numbers: 04.20.Jb, 04.70.Dy, 04.70.Bw

1. Introduction

No hair conjecture [\[1\]](#page-11-0) demands the non existence of any information other than mass, charge and angular momentum of a black hole. There are reports for and against the existence of scalar hair. In order to prove the no - hair conjecture, no - hair theorems had been established by coupling the classical fields with the Einstein gravity [\[2\]](#page-11-1). It had been shown that the scalar field would be trivial if one demands a regular horizon at a finite distance from the center of the black hole and also that stationary black hole solutions are hairless in a variety of cases, coupling different classical fields to gravity [\[3,](#page-11-2) [4,](#page-11-3) [5,](#page-11-4) [6\]](#page-11-5). Another calculation [\[7\]](#page-11-6) showed that the static spherically symmetric exterior solutions of the gravitational field equations in a wide class of scalar tensor theories would essentially reduce to the well known Schwarzschild solutions once the event horizon had hidden the singularity. The above work was extended to charged black holes and consolidated the non-existence of hair [\[8\]](#page-11-7).

As a weak interpretation of scalar hair, nontrivial scalar field solution in terms of conserved charges was mooted [\[9\]](#page-11-8). Using this ideology, scalar hair was reported in asymptotically anti-de Sitter space time and asymptotically flat space time and it is widely believed that black holes with scalar hair generally exist when the scalar potential has negative region [\[10,](#page-11-9) [11,](#page-11-10) [12,](#page-11-11) [13\]](#page-11-12). There is no regular black hole solution when the scalar field is massless or has a " convex " potential. It is widely reported that there are no static asymptotically flat and asymptotically AdS black holes with spherical scalar hair if the scalar field theory, when coupled to gravity, satisfies the Positive Energy Theorem [\[14\]](#page-11-13). A charged de Sitter black hole in the Einstein-Maxwell-Scalar-∧ system possesses only unstable solutions [\[15\]](#page-11-14). But an unexpected development of scalar hair in AdS black hole with minimal [\[10\]](#page-11-9) as well as nonminimal [\[16\]](#page-11-15) coupling of scalar field, demanded a heuristic study of scalar hair [\[17\]](#page-11-16).

As a strong interpretation, black hole has hair if there is a need to specify quantities other than the conserved charges defined at asymptotic infinity in order to characterize completely a stationary black hole solution [\[9,](#page-11-8) [18\]](#page-11-17). Efforts were done to reveal strong hair [\[19,](#page-11-18) [20\]](#page-11-19) and they came up with a scalar solution conformally coupled to Einstein's gravity through a metric for extremal case. Eventhough innocuous, the solution has a divergence at the horizon. It is given as,

$$
\Phi = \frac{-r_0 \alpha^{-1/2}}{r - r_0},\tag{1}
$$

with $\alpha = \frac{8\pi G}{6}$ $\frac{\pi G}{6}$. Eq. (1) is characterized by the ADM mass, $\frac{r_0}{2}$ and scalar charge, $Q = 4\pi r_0 \alpha^{-1/2}$. But in Eq. (1), Φ blows up at the horizon, which is against the principle that Φ shall be finite everywhere [\[21\]](#page-11-20). In another attempt, a four dimensional solution of the Einstein equation with a positive cosmological constant coupled to a massless self interacting conformal scalar field was put forwarded [\[22\]](#page-11-21). The scalar solution in that case is,

$$
\Phi(r) = \sqrt{3/4\pi} \frac{\sqrt{G}M}{r - GM}.\tag{2}
$$

But Eq. (2) does not give any information other than mass of black hole and the cosmological constant. Hence strong interpretation of scalar hair is not guaranteed. The motivation of the present work is to know whether a strong interpretation of the scalar hair can be possible in a static $(3+1)$ black hole, which requires a nontrivial solution of scalar field in the vicinity of black hole with regular horizon.

In this paper, we report a nontrivial black hole solution of a massive but self interacting scalar field showing no divergence at the horizon and asymptotically falling to the vacuum value. The proposed metric shows trace of scalar charge.

Whether a nontrivial scalar solution and a metric with a horizon are mutually compatible has been the objective of scalar hair investigation. Many contend that only when the solution is trivial that a metric with a horizon is established and for a nontrivial solution, the singularity will become naked. The criterion of scalar hair is the coexistence of nontrivial solution and a proper metric (having horizon and temperature)that holds the trace of scalar field.

The scheme of the paper is as follows. In Sec. II, nontrivial scalar hair solution is obtained. In Sec. III, the metric of the hairy black hole is obtained. Sec. IV discusses thermodynamics of black hole. The conclusion is given in section V.

2. Scalar hair Solution with a conformal coupling

A nontrivial radial solution of a scalar field, whose source is a scalar double well potential, in the vicinity of the static $(3+1)$ black hole will be discussed in this section. We will restrict our consideration to the conformally coupled case. Consider the action,

$$
I = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{R}{2k} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla_{\nu} \Phi \right]
$$

$$
-\frac{1}{2} \xi R \Phi^2 - \frac{1}{2} V(\Phi) \right],
$$
 (3)

where Φ is a massive, self interacting and conformally coupled scalar field. For a $(3+1)$ case, $\xi = \frac{1}{6}$ $\frac{1}{6}$. A double well potential given by the equation,

$$
V(\Phi) = -\frac{1}{2}\mu^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\delta^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^4 + \frac{1}{4}\frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2},\tag{4}
$$

has been introduced in the action given by Eq. (3). The potential well is shown in Fig. (1). In Fig. (1), V has global minima at $\Phi=\pm\frac{\mu}{\delta}$ $\frac{\mu}{\delta}$ and a local maximum at $\Phi = \Box \Phi_0$. The scalar field equation is given by,

$$
\Box \Phi - \xi R \Phi + \frac{1}{2} \mu^2 (\Phi - \Phi_0) - \frac{1}{2} \delta^2 (\Phi - \Phi_0)^3 = 0,
$$
\n(5)

Figure 1. Variation of scalar potential against scalar field Φ , with, $\mu = 1, \delta = 0.1$ and $\Phi_0 = 0.1$.

where $\Box = g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu}$ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator and R represents the Ricci scalar. The stress energy tensor of scalar field under gravity can be shown by the relation,

$$
T_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_{\mu}\Phi\nabla_{\nu}\Phi - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\Phi\nabla_{\beta}\Phi +
$$

$$
\frac{1}{6}[g_{\mu\nu}\Box - \nabla_{\mu}\nabla_{\nu} + G_{\mu\nu}]\Phi^2
$$

$$
g_{\mu\nu}\mu^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^2 - \frac{1}{8}g_{\mu\nu}\delta^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^4 - \frac{1}{8}g_{\mu\nu}\frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2},
$$

with,

$$
\Box \Phi^2 = 2\Phi \Phi + 2\nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla_{\nu} \Phi,
$$

$$
\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \Phi^2 = 2\Phi \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \Phi + 2\nabla_{\mu} \Phi \nabla_{\nu} \Phi.
$$
 (7)

Here ∇_{μ} represents a co-variant derivative.

 $+\frac{1}{4}$ 4

 $+\frac{1}{6}$ 6

For a static and spherically symmetric space time, the $t - t$ component of scalar stress energy tensor is given as,

$$
T_0^0 = -\frac{1}{2}g^{11}(\nabla_1\Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{6}G_0^0\Phi^2
$$

$$
\mu^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^2 - \frac{1}{12}\delta^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^4 - \frac{1}{12}\frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2},
$$
 (8)

and the $r - r$ component of stress energy tensor is given as,

$$
T_1^1 = \frac{1}{6}g^{11}(\nabla_1\Phi)^2 - \frac{1}{3}g^{11}\Phi\nabla_1^2\Phi + \frac{1}{3}(\nabla_1\Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{6}G_1^1\Phi^2
$$

+
$$
\frac{1}{6}\mu^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^2 - \frac{1}{12}\delta^2(\Phi - \Phi_0)^4 - \frac{1}{12}\frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2}.
$$
 (9)

The metric of a static $(3+1)$ black hole may be given as,

$$
ds^{2} = e^{2\nu}dt^{2} - e^{2\lambda}dr^{2} - r^{2}d\theta^{2} - r^{2}\sin^{2}\theta d\varphi^{2}.
$$
 (10)

In the above metric, λ is a function of r only and $\nu = \lambda(r) + f(t)$. Here, $f(t)$ is an arbitrary function of t. There is no loss of generality in setting $f(t) = 0$, since it can be Static Black Hole dressed with a massive Scalar field 5

absorbed in the definition of t, i.e., by replacing $e^{f(t)}dt$ by dt. With this redefinition of the time coordinate, $\nu = -\lambda$. Then,

$$
G_0^0 = G_1^1 = \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} [r(1 - e^{2\nu})].
$$
\n(11)

We know that black hole is a thermodynamical system with a temperature and its thermal radiation is characterized by renormalized stress-energy tensor [\[23\]](#page-11-22). The gravitational effect of heat radiation, characterized by its gravitationally induced renormalized stress-energy tensor, is constructed on real Euclidean section of the black hole geometry with its (Euclidean) time coordinate identified with period $\beta_0 = 8\pi M$ (for S.B.H), so as to eliminate singularity at the horizon. Applying Eq. (11) in Einstein's equation $G^{\mu}_{\nu} - \kappa T^{\mu}_{\nu} = 0$, we get,

$$
T_0^0 - T_1^1 = 0.\t\t(12)
$$

The concept of scalar hair is applicable only in a static black hole. From Eq. (12), we get,

$$
-2g^{11}(\nabla_1\Phi)^2 - (\nabla_1\Phi)^2 + g^{11}\Phi\nabla_1^2\Phi = 0.
$$
\n(13)

Eq. (13) is a co-variant differential equation. In the above scalar field equation, properties such as mass and self interaction terms of scalar field do not come explicitly. In Eq. (13), $\nabla_1^2 \Phi$ can be written in the ordinary derivative as,

$$
\nabla_1^2 \Phi = \partial_1^2 \Phi - \Gamma_{11}^i \partial_i \Phi, \tag{14}
$$

where Γ is the usual Christoffel symbol and i runs from $0 \rightarrow 3$. In the above case, all the Christoffel symbols except Γ_{11}^1 are zeroes and $\Gamma_{11}^1 = \lambda' = -\nu'$. Now, Eq. (13) gets modified as,

$$
-2g^{11}(\partial_1\Phi)^2 - (\partial_1\Phi)^2 + g^{11}\Phi[\partial_1^2\Phi + \partial_1\nu\partial_1\Phi] = 0.
$$
\n(15)

In quest of scalar hair, the general principle is to find a nontrivial solution which is compatible with a proper metric. So we propose a solution of the form,

$$
\Phi(r) = a sech[arccosh[r]] + \Phi_0,\tag{16}
$$

where Φ_0 is the asymptotic value of scalar field, 'a' is a constant which may be derived from mass of black hole and scalar field. It can be shown that $\Phi(r)$ = $asech[arccosh[r]] + \Phi_0 = \frac{a}{r} + \Phi_0$. Nontrivial solution of scalar field have been proposed by many people earlier but never extended it to the concept of scalar hair [\[22,](#page-11-21) [24\]](#page-11-23). By substituting Eq. (16) in Eq. (15) , we get,

$$
\Phi_0 g^{11} \partial_1^2 \Phi - (\partial_1 \Phi)^2 + g^{11} \Phi \partial_1 \nu \partial_1 \Phi = 0. \tag{17}
$$

Our primary aim is to evolve a metric with function $e^{2\nu}$, which is equal to zero at the horizon and equal to 1 (i.e., $\nu = 0$) in the asymptotic limit. In Eq. (17), Φ_0 is a very small field constant, g^{11} is zero at the horizon and $\partial_1^2 \Phi$ is zero in the asymptotic limit. So the term, $\Phi_0 g^{11} \partial_1^2 \Phi$ is extremely small through out the space and hence may be neglected. Eq. (17) can be rewritten as,

$$
-\partial_1 \Phi + g^{11} \Phi \partial_1 \nu = 0. \tag{18}
$$

Figure 2. Variation of scalar field against r with $a = 1$ and $\Phi_0 = 0.1$.

From Eq. (18), we can determine the metric function which is given in the Sec.111. The profile of Eq. (16) shows that the field has a finite value Φ_h at the horizon and then falls to the asymptotic value Φ_0 . The variation of Φ against r is shown in Fig. (2).

3. Metric of the (3+1) black hole

The form of metric, which is compatible with the scalar solution, will be determined. Since $g^{11} = -e^{2\nu}$, Eq. (18) becomes,

$$
\partial_1 \Phi + e^{2\nu} \Phi \partial_1 \nu = 0. \tag{19}
$$

By introducing a transformation of the type [\[25\]](#page-11-24),

$$
\nu = \frac{1}{2}\log(1+f),\tag{20}
$$

we get from Eq. (19),

$$
\frac{d\Phi}{\Phi} = -\frac{1}{2}df,\tag{21}
$$

where f is a radial function. Integrating Eq. (21) ,

$$
\log \Phi = -\frac{f}{2} + C_0. \tag{22}
$$

In the asymptotic limit $\nu = 0$ and hence $f = 0$. Putting the asymptotic value of Φ as Φ_0 , we can obtain,

$$
\log(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}) = -\frac{f}{2}.\tag{23}
$$

Thus from Eqs. (20) and (23) we find,

$$
e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2\log(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}).
$$
\n(24)

Eq. (24) represents the metric function which is compatible with the scalar solution $\Phi(r) = a sech[arccosh[r]] + \Phi_0 = \frac{a}{r} + \Phi_0$. In the asymptotic limit the metric function,

$$
e^{2\nu} = 1,\tag{25}
$$

coincides with those of SBH and RN black holes. Denoting the field at the horizon as $\Phi_h = \Phi_0 e^{1/2}$, the radius of the horizon can be obtained as:

$$
r_h = \frac{a}{\Phi_0(e^{1/2} - 1)}.\tag{26}
$$

In Eq. (24), $\log(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0})$ can be expanded as a series if $\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} \leq 2$ and it is true in the present case. Therefore,

$$
\log(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0}) = (\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1) - \frac{1}{2}(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1)^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1)^3 \dots
$$
 (27)

The metric function then may be written as a series as,

$$
e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2\left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1\right) + \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1\right)^2 - \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1\right)^3 \dots
$$
 (28)

In Eq. (28), let $(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1) = \frac{a}{\Phi_0 r} = \frac{b}{r}$ $\frac{b}{r}$. The metric function gets modified as,

$$
e^{2\nu} = 1 - \frac{2b}{r} + \frac{b^2}{r^2} - \frac{2}{3} \frac{b^3}{r^3} \dots
$$
 (29)

The above mentioned metric is in unison with a recent work[\[26\]](#page-12-0).

3.1. Study of metric

Eq. (28) may be written in a concise form as,

$$
e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2\left[\sum_{n=1}^{n=\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1\right)^n\right].\tag{30}
$$

When $n = 1$, we have the Schwarzschild like black hole:

$$
e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1) = 1 - \frac{2b}{r}.
$$
\n(31)

In this case, $\frac{\Phi_h}{\Phi_0} = \frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{3}{2}$. The radius of horizon is $r_h = 2\frac{a}{\Phi_0} = 2b$.

When $n = 2$, we have the extremal case similar to extremal RN black hole. The metric function is reduced to,

$$
e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1) + (\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1)^2 = [1 - (\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1)]^2
$$

= $(1 - \frac{b}{r})^2$. (32)

In the extremal case, $\frac{\Phi_h}{\Phi_0} = 2$, which gives the maximum value of $\frac{\Phi_h}{\Phi_0}$. The radius of horizon is $r_h = \frac{a}{\Phi_0}$ $\frac{a}{\Phi_0}=b.$

When $n = 3, \frac{\Phi_h}{\Phi_0} = \frac{13}{8}$ $rac{13}{8}$ and $r_h = \frac{5}{3}$ 3 a $\frac{a}{\Phi_0} = \frac{5}{3}$ $\frac{5}{3}b$. When $n = 4$, $\frac{\Phi_h}{\Phi_0} = \frac{17}{10}$ and $r_h = \frac{2}{3}$ 3 a $\frac{a}{\Phi_0}=\frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}b$. It is obtained that the horizon's radius increases and decreases with diminishing magnitude as n increases. We can thus introduce more types of black holes by putting $n = 5, 6...$ But as the series progress, the series very quickly diminishes. The variation of scalar field Φ against r for different black holes are shown in Fig. (3). The thick line represents the case with $n = 1$. The normal line graph represents the case with $n = 2$. The dashed line represents the case with $n = 3$ and the dotted line represents the case with $n = 4$.

Figure 3. Variations of scalar field Φ against r for different black holes, with, $\Phi_0 = 0.1.$

We know that the Hawking's evaporation eventually finishes a black hole. To avoid this situation, a cavity was proposed to contain the black hole so that Hawking radiation and quantum field exist in equilibrium inside the cavity [\[27\]](#page-12-1). The black hole with a cavity is called dressed black hole and without it is called naked black hole.

The signature of scalar field in the metric is due to the asymptotic value of scalar tensor T_0^0 . The asymptotic value of T_0^0 is given as,

$$
T_0^0 = \frac{1}{6} G_0^0(\infty) \Phi_0^2 - \frac{1}{12} \frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2}.
$$
\n(33)

As G_0^0 vanishes in the asymptotic limit we get,

$$
T_0^0 = -\frac{1}{12} \frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2}.
$$
\n(34)

The mass term corresponding to scalar field may be written as,

$$
\eta(r) = -\int_{r_h}^{r_0} 4\pi r^2 T_0^0 dr. \tag{35}
$$

Substituting Eq. (34) in Eq. (35), we get,

$$
\eta = \frac{\pi}{9} \frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2} (r_0^3 - r_h^3).
$$
\n(36)

The η of Eq. (36) has its contribution in the making of signature of scalar field in the metric.

3.2. Mass of hairy black hole

Mass (m_{rh}) of a hairy black hole is related to the mass (M_{rh}) of a non-hairy black hole [\[12,](#page-11-11) [17\]](#page-11-16) through the relation,

$$
m_{r_h} = M_{r_h} + 4\pi r^2 \int_{r_h}^r r[V(\Phi) - V(\Phi_{\infty}) + \frac{1}{2}e^{2\nu}\Phi'^2]dr.
$$
 (37)

In Eq. (37), $V(\Phi) = 0$ at the horizon and $V(\Phi_{\infty}) = \frac{1}{4}$ μ^4 $\frac{\mu^4}{\delta^2}$. As the distance from the center of black hole increases, $m(r_h)$ increases, but never blows up since it attains a steady value as r increases. The variation of $m(r_h)$ against r is shown in the Fig. (4).

Figure 4. Variation of mass of hairy black hole against r, with, $a = 1$ and $\Phi_0 = 0.1$.

3.3. Entropy

Surface gravity of the black hole is given as,

$$
k = \frac{\partial_r g_{tt}}{2\sqrt{-g_{tt}g_{rr}}} \mid_{r=r_h}.
$$
\n(38)

With $g_{tt} = e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2\log(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0})$ and $\Phi(r) = \frac{a}{r} + \Phi_0$, we get,

$$
k = \frac{a}{ar_h + \Phi_0(r_h)^2}.\tag{39}
$$

Substituting for $r_h = \frac{a}{\Phi_0(e^1)}$ $\frac{a}{\Phi_0(e^{1/2}-1)}$ in Eq. (39), we find the temperature of black hole as,

$$
T_b = \frac{\Phi_0 (e^{1/2} - 1)^2}{2\pi a e^{1/2}}.
$$
\n(40)

The area of the horizon,

$$
A_h = 4\pi r_h^2 = 4\pi \frac{a^2}{\Phi_0^2 (e^{1/2} - 1)^2},\tag{41}
$$

exclusively depends on the parameter 'a'. Following the standard procedure, we can determine the entropy of black hole in the presence of scalar field.

$$
dA_h = \frac{4}{e^{1/2}\Phi_0} dS. \tag{42}
$$

On integrating,

$$
S = \Phi_0 e^{1/2} \frac{A_h}{4} + c = \Phi_h \frac{A_h}{4} + c,\tag{43}
$$

where c is a constant and S the entropy of black hole in the presence of scalar field. By applying the boundary condition that when $\Phi_h = 0$, $S = S_0$, the entropy of naked black hole. Then $c = S_0$. But by Hawking's theory, $S_0 = \frac{A_h}{4}$ $\frac{4h}{4}$. So the total entropy is written as,

$$
S = \Phi_h \frac{A_h}{4} + \frac{A_h}{4} = S_s + S_0.
$$
\n(44)

Eq. (44) clearly indicates that the scalar field contributes to the entropy of black hole.

4. Thermodynamics

Hawking's discovery that a black hole radiates energy with a thermal spectrum prompted the scientific community to believe that a black hole can exist in thermal equilibrium with a heat bath composed of quantum fields interacting with the black hole geometry. When the Hawking radiation is fully thermal, the thermal pressure is $\frac{1}{3}\alpha T_{loc}^4$, where $T_{loc} = \frac{T_b}{\sqrt{-a}}$ $\frac{T_b}{-g_{00}}$. The stress-energy tensor of the radiation is a function of black hole temperature T_b . The hairy black hole is a thermodynamical system with a modified temperature.

The effective potential of the test particles moving in static and spherically symmetric background geometry is determined by the Hamilton-Jacobi approach. By Hamilton-Jacobi equation,

$$
g^{k\lambda}\partial_k S \partial_\lambda S + \mu^2 = 0,\tag{45}
$$

where μ is the mass of scalar field and,

$$
S(t, r, \phi) = -Et + S(r) + L\phi.
$$
\n
$$
(46)
$$

E and L are the constant energy and angular momentum of the test particle. Substituting Eq. (46) in Eq. (45) and simplifying we get,

$$
S(r) = \mp \int [E^2 - (\frac{L^2}{r^2} + \mu^2)f]^{1/2} \frac{1}{f} dr,\tag{47}
$$

where $f = e^{2\nu}$.

4.1. Special cases

(a). In Eq. (30), with $n = 1$, $e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1) = 1 - \frac{2b}{r}$ $\frac{2b}{r}$. This is SBH like. As $r \to r_h$, $S(r)$ is modified as,

$$
S(r) = \mp \int \frac{r_h E dr}{r - r_h} = \mp \beta \log(r - r_h). \tag{48}
$$

with $\beta = Er_h$. Assuming that the scalar field gets reflected at the horizon, the scalar field in the neighborhood of the horizon can be written as [\[28\]](#page-12-2),

$$
\Phi(r) = e^{-i\beta \log(r - r_h)} + Re^{i\beta \log(r - r_h)},\tag{49}
$$

with R as the coefficient of reflection. The coefficient of reflection R and the probability of reflection by horizon P may be given as,

$$
R = e^{-2\pi\beta}; P = |R|^2 = e^{-4\pi\beta}.
$$
\n(50)

Using the thermodynamical relation,

$$
P = e^{-E/T_b},\tag{51}
$$

we get,

$$
E/T_b = 4\pi\beta,\tag{52}
$$

which gives the black hole temperature as,

$$
T_b = \frac{1}{4\pi r_h}.\tag{53}
$$

This is the temperature of Schwarzschild like black hole.

(b). In Eq. (30), with $n = 2$, $e^{2\nu} = [1 - (\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0})$ $(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1)^2 = [1 - \frac{b}{r}]$ $\frac{b}{r}$ ². This is extremal case in which no black hole temperature is observed.

(c). In Eq. (30), with $n = 3$, $e^{2\nu} = 1 - 2(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1) + (\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1)^2 - \frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3}(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_{0}}%)^{2}\left(\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_{0}}\right) ^{2}e^{-i\omega_{0}^{2}(\Phi_{0})}\left(\Phi_{0}% \Phi_{0}\right) ^{2}$ $\frac{\Phi}{\Phi_0} - 1)^3 =$ $1-\frac{2b}{r}+\frac{b^2}{r^2}$ $\frac{b^2}{r^2} - \frac{2}{3}$ 3 b^3 $\frac{b^3}{r^3}$. The action $S(r)$ as $r \to r_h$ is given as,

$$
S(r) = \mp \int \frac{Er_h^3 dr}{(r - r_h)(r_c - r_h)(r_h - r_0)},
$$
\n(54)

with, $\beta = \frac{Er_h^3}{(r_c - r_h)(r_h - r_0)}$ and $(r_c + r_h + r_0) = -2b$; $(r_h r_c + r_c r_0 + r_b r_0) = -b^2$; $r_h r_c r_0 = -\frac{2}{3}$ $rac{2}{3}b^2$. Now,

$$
S(r) = \pm \beta \log(r - r_h). \tag{55}
$$

By proceeding as in the previous case, the temperature of the black hole can be shown to be,

$$
T_b = \frac{(r_c - r_h)(r_h - r_0)}{4\pi r_h^3}.
$$
\n(56)

Thus we see that the hairy black hole acts as a thermodynamical system with proper horizon and temperature.

5. conclusion

The scalar hair of a black hole is a bone of contention. There is a general belief that anything that is added to a black hole will not induce any trace of it on the black hole except mass, angular momentum and vector charge and hence " no-hair conjecture". There is an argument that a regular horizon is possible only when the scalar solution is trivial[\[7,](#page-11-6) [8\]](#page-11-7). So when the solution is nontrivial, the event horizon will be a surface of singularity and hence it will not represent a black hole. A black hole exists only when the event horizon hides the naked singularity.

As a weak interpretation of scalar hair, a nontrivial solution of scalar field in terms of the existing conserved quantities is enough to show that there is hair $[10, 11, 12]$ $[10, 11, 12]$ $[10, 11, 12]$. Whether a horizon naturally occurs, even when the solution is nontrivial, will be the primary objective of strong interpretation of scalar hair. Thus as a strong interpretation, in the presence of a scalar field, a black hole can have a signature different from mass, angular momentum and vector charge.

We have shown that a nontrivial scalar black hole solution for a massive self interacting conformal scalar field would be obtained in the case of static $(3+1)$ black hole. The metric proposes horizon and temperature for the black hole. The horizon and surface temperature ensure a true black hole. The metric element has a term other than the existing conserved quantities such as mass, vector charge and angular momentum. In our case, only a particular pair of scalar field and metric are found to be mutually compatible.

In the proposed metric, only one parameter,i.e., b has appeared. This may invite some criticisms against the strong interpretation of scalar hair. But in the standard extremal case, same parameter does the job of mass and vector charge. Another argument against b is that it may have been originated from the mass of black hole itself. But Eq. (37) clearly indicates the origin of the parameter b is from scalar field and hence we may conclude that scalar field can depict its signature in the metric.

5.1. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank J. Zanelli for useful discussions. V.C.K wishes to acknowledge UGC, New Delhi, for financial support through Major Research project and Associateship of IUCAA, Pune.

References

- [1] R. Ruffini and J.A.Wheeler, Phys Today 24(1), 30 (1971).
- [2] W. Israel, *Phys. Rev* **164**, 1776 (1967).
- [3] B. C. Xanthopoulos and T. Zannias, Phys. Rev. D 40, 2564 (1989).
- [4] J. E. Chase, *Commun. Math. Phys* **19**, 276 (1970).
- [5] J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **28**, 452 (1972).
- [6] C. Teitelboim, *Phys. Rev. D* 5, 2941 (1972).
- [7] A. Saa, *J. Math* **37**, 2346 (1996).
- [8] N. Banerjee and S. Sen, *Phys. Rev. D* 58, 104024 (1998).
- [9] E. J. Weinberg, [gr-qc/0106030.](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/0106030)
- [10] T. Torii, K. Maeda and M. Narita, Phys. Rev. D 64, 044007 (2001)
- [11] U. Nucamendi and M. Salgado, Phys. Rev. D 68, 044026 (2003).
- [12] P. I. Kuriakose and V. C. Kuriakose, *Mod. Phy. Let* **21**, 2893 (2006).
- [13] O. Bechmann and O. Lechtenfeld, [gr-qc/9502011.](http://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9502011)
- [14] T. Hertog, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 084008 (2006).
- [15] T. Torii, K. Maeda and M. Narita, Phys. Rev. D 63, 047502 (2001)
- [16] E. Winstanley, *Class. Quantum. Grav* **22**, 2233 (2005).
- [17] D. Sudarsky and J. A. González, *Phys. Rev. D* 67, 024038 (2003).
- [18] P. Bizon, Jagellonian University, Institute of Physics, Cracow, Poland, report, (1994).
- [19] N. Bocharova, K. Bronikov and Melnikov, Vestn. Mosk. univ. Fiz. astron 6, 706 (1970).
- [20] J. D. Bekenstein, Ann. phys. (N.Y) 82, 535 (1974).
- [21] D. Sudarsky and T. Zannias, Phys. Rev. D 58, 087502 (1998).
- [22] C. Martinez, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, Phys. Rev. D 67, 024008 (2003).
- [23] D. N. Page, *Phys. Rev. D* **25**, 1499 (1982).
- [24] C. Martinez and J. Zanelli, *Phys. Rev. D* **54**, 3830 (1996).
- [25] V. V. Kiselev, *Class.Quantum. Grav* **20**, 1187 (2003).
- [26] E. Sagi and J. D. Bekenstein, *Phys. Rev. D* 77, 024010 (2008).
- [27] J. W. York, Jr., *Phys. Rev. D* 31, 775 (1985).
- [28] M. Y. Kuchiev, Phys. Rev. D 69, 124031 (2004).