

Second post-Newtonian approximation of Einstein-aether theory

Yi Xie^{1*} and Tian-Yi Huang^{1,2†}

¹*Department of Astronomy, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China*

²*Shanghai Astronomical Observatory,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 20030, China*

(Dated: October 29, 2018)

Abstract

In this paper, second post-Newtonian approximation of Einstein-aether theory is obtained by Chandrasekhar's approach. Five parameterized post-Newtonian parameters in first post-Newtonian approximation are presented after a time transformation and they are identical with previous works, in which $\gamma = 1$, $\beta = 1$ and two preferred-frame parameters remain. Meanwhile, in second post-Newtonian approximation, a parameter, which represents third order nonlinearity for gravity, is zero the same as in general relativity. For an application for future deep space laser ranging missions, we reduce the metric coefficients for light propagation in a case of N point masses as a simplified model of the solar system. The resulting light deflection angle in second post-Newtonian approximation poses another constraint on the Einstein-aether theory.

PACS numbers: 04.50.+h, 04.25.Nx, 04.80.Cc

*Electronic address: yixie@nju.edu.cn

†Electronic address: tyhuang@nju.edu.cn

I. INTRODUCTION

Although Einstein's general relativity (GR) has achieved great success both in experimental tests and in astrophysical applications during the last few decades, the desire to find a gravitation theory consistent with quantum theory together with the ever-increasing precision of experiments and astrometric observations has urged many "alternative theories" to be proposed. Among them, vector-tensor theories are usually investigated in the research of preferred frames and violations of Lorentz invariance, due to the existence of a vector field K_μ in gravity besides a metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$.

Vector fields without a constraint were considered by Will and Nordtvedt for preferred-frame theories of gravity in the 1970s [1, 2]. They introduced three parameters α_1 , α_2 and α_3 to describe preferred-frame effects. But, all previous preferred-frame theories were ruled out by gravimeter data. After that, Hellings and Nordtvedt investigated a massless vector field in addition to the metric field in the solar system experiments and cosmological expansion [3]. In [4], a summary of previous works is given and a general action of a vector-tensor theory without a constraint is proposed. However, it is shown that an unconstrained condition on the vector norm induces instabilities in those theories [5].

On the other hand, vector-tensor theories with a potential leading to violations of Lorentz invariance were studied by several authors [6, 7, 8]. A background dynamical tensor field can also break Lorentz symmetry. The simplest cases are scalar fields with a non-zero gradient and vector fields. A special case is a unit timelike vector field, which is called "Einstein-aether theory" or "ae-theory" for short [9]. This theory has been intensively investigated by several authors in the past few years (see [10] for a review). The linearized theory of ae-theory and the propagation of aether waves are studied in [11]. In the aspect of post-Newtonian (PN) approximation (a weak-field and slow-motion limit), two Eddington-Robertson-Schiff parameters, γ and β , are obtained by applying the asymptotic weak field limit of spherically symmetric static solutions [12]. One of the parameters due to the preferred frame, α_2 , is calculated in the low-energy effective theory [13]. Then, the parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) formalism is used to attain 10 PPN parameters and other constraints are found [14]. Also, the radiation damping in ae-theory is investigated in [15, 16]. In astrophysics application, although it is shown that there is nonexistence of pure aether stars, regular perfect fluid star solutions exist with static aether exteriors [17]. In addition, black holes are

studied in ae-theory and they are found to be very close to Schwarzschild solution outside its horizon and have a spacelike singularity inside [18]. Furthermore, numerical simulations of gravitational collapse in ae-theory are performed, in which stationary black holes would appear as long as the aether coupling constants are not too large [19]. For the properties of non-rotating neutron stars in ae-theory, it is shown that it leads to lower maximum neutron star masses, as well as larger surface redshifts at a particular mass, for a given nuclear equation of state [20]. Strong field effects on binary system are also considered in ae-theory. There exists a one-parameter family with “small-enough” couplings, which passes all current observational tests [21].

To test vector-tensor theories in the solar system by future high-precision experiments, the PN approximation of these theories is needed. Therefore PN corrections of equations of motion, equations of light, and other relativistic effects should be derived for experiments. Two approaches can achieve this task. One is the PPN formalism in first post-Newtonian (1PN) approximation proposed by Will and Nordtvedt [1, 4]. In this framework, 1PN metric is parameterized with 10 PPN parameters, and the differences among different theories of gravity are represented by the values of these parameters. In [1, 2, 3, 14], the PPN approach is used to attain the 1PN approximation of vector-tensor theories in unconstrained and constrained cases. Although there are some efforts to extend this formalism to second post-Newtonian (2PN) approximation by Nordtvedt and Benacquista in [22, 23, 24], which introduce a lot of parameters to cover various relativistic theories, the ability of such an approach to describe the physical features at the 2PN level is unclear (see a brief comment in [25]). In this work, we focus only on ae-theory, therefore we employ a “theory-dependent” approach. This approach, which solves the field equations through iteration, is proposed by Chandrasekhar [26, 27], who obtained 1PN and 2PN approximation of GR.

In what follows, our conventions and notations generally follow those of [28]. The signature of metric is $(-, +, +, +)$. Greek indices take the values from 0 to 3, Latin indices take the values from 1 to 3 and repeated indices mean Einstein’s summation. Bold letters $\mathbf{A} = (A^i)$ denote spatial vectors. A dot between two spatial vectors, $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}$, means the Euclidean scalar product.

II. ACTION AND FIELD EQUATIONS

In a general tensor-vector theory of gravity, the Lagrangian scalar density involves a metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and a 4-vector field K_μ . The action defining the theory reads

$$S = \frac{c^3}{16\pi G} \int \left[f_0(K^2)R + f_1(K^2)K^{\mu;\nu}K_{\mu;\nu} + f_2(K^2)K_{;\mu}^\mu K_{;\nu}^\nu + f_3(K^2)K^{\mu;\nu}K_{\nu;\mu} \right. \\ \left. + f_4(K^2)K^\lambda K_{;\lambda}^\kappa K^\rho K_{\kappa;\rho} + \lambda \left(\frac{K^2}{\phi^2} + 1 \right) \right] \sqrt{-g} d^4x + S_m(\psi, g_{\mu\nu}), \quad (1)$$

where c is the ultimate speed of the special theory of relativity, G is an a priori gravitational constant, $g = \det(g_{\mu\nu}) < 0$ is the determinant of the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$, R is the Ricci scalar, ψ denotes all the matter fields, $K^2 \equiv K^\lambda K_\lambda$ and $-\phi\delta_\mu^0$, where δ_μ^0 is Kronecker δ , is the asymptotic value of K_μ . The Lagrange multiplier λ constrains the vector field K^2 to be $-\phi^2$. Here, we respect the Einstein equivalence principle so that the matter fields ψ do not interact with the vector field, i.e. the action of matter S_m is the function of ψ and $g_{\mu\nu}$ only.

Variations of $g_{\mu\nu}$ and K_μ give the field equations

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2} T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\Xi_{(0)}^\mu + \Xi_{(1)}^\mu + \Xi_{(2)}^\mu + \Xi_{(3)}^\mu + \Xi_{(4)}^\mu + \Xi_{(5)}^\mu = 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = f_0 R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} f_0 g_{\mu\nu} R \\ + g_{\mu\nu} \square_g f_0 - (f_0)_{;\mu\nu} + f_0' R K_\mu K_\nu, \quad (4)$$

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = f_1 K_{\mu;\lambda} K_{\nu}{}^{;\lambda} + f_1 K_{\lambda;\mu} K_{;\nu}^\lambda \\ - \frac{1}{2} f_1 g_{\mu\nu} K^{\lambda;\rho} K_{\lambda;\rho} + f_1' K_\mu K_\nu K^{\lambda;\rho} K_{\lambda;\rho} \\ + [f_1 K^\lambda K_{(\mu;\nu)}]_{;\lambda} - [f_1 K^\lambda_{;(\mu} K_{\nu)}]_{;\lambda} - [f_1 K_{(\mu} K_{\nu)}]{}^{;\lambda}{}_{;\lambda}, \quad (5)$$

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} = 2f_2 K^\lambda_{; \lambda} K_{(\mu;\nu)} - 2(f_2 K^\lambda_{; \lambda} K_{(\mu)}{}_{;\nu)} + g_{\mu\nu} (f_2 K^\lambda_{; \lambda} K_\rho)^{;\rho} \\ - \frac{1}{2} f_2 g_{\mu\nu} K^\lambda_{; \lambda} K^\rho_{; \rho} + f_2' K_\mu K_\nu K^\lambda_{; \lambda} K^\rho_{; \rho}, \quad (6)$$

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(3)} = 2f_3 K^\lambda_{;(\mu} K_{\nu)}{}_{;\lambda} - \frac{1}{2} f_3 g_{\mu\nu} K^{\lambda;\rho} K_{\rho;\lambda} + f_3' K_\mu K_\nu K^{\lambda;\rho} K_{\rho;\lambda} \\ + [f_3 K^\lambda K_{(\mu;\nu)}]_{;\lambda} - [f_3 K^\lambda_{;(\mu} K_{\nu)}]_{;\lambda} - [f_3 K_{(\mu} K_{\nu)}]{}^{;\lambda}{}_{;\lambda}, \quad (7)$$

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(4)} = 2f_4 K^\lambda K^\rho_{; \lambda} K_{\rho;(\mu} K_{\nu)} + f_4 K^\lambda K^\rho K_{\mu;\lambda} K_{\nu;\rho}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2}f_4g_{\mu\nu}K^\lambda K^\rho K^\kappa{}_{;\lambda}K_{\kappa;\rho} - (f_4K_\mu K_\nu K^\rho K^\lambda{}_{;\rho})_{;\lambda} + f'_4K_\mu K_\nu K^\lambda K^\rho K^\kappa{}_{;\lambda}K_{\kappa;\rho}, \quad (8)$$

$$\Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(5)} = \frac{\lambda}{2\phi^2}[2K_\mu K_\nu - g_{\mu\nu}(K^2 + \phi^2)], \quad (9)$$

$$\Xi_{(0)}^\mu = f'_0K^\mu R, \quad (10)$$

$$\Xi_{(1)}^\mu = f'_1K^\mu K^{\lambda;\rho}K_{\lambda;\rho} - (f_1K^{\mu;\lambda})_{;\lambda}, \quad (11)$$

$$\Xi_{(2)}^\mu = f'_2K^\mu K^\lambda{}_{;\lambda}K^\rho{}_{;\rho} - (f_2K^\lambda{}_{;\lambda})^{;\mu}, \quad (12)$$

$$\Xi_{(3)}^\mu = f'_3K^\mu K^{\lambda;\rho}K_{\rho;\lambda} - (f_3K^{\lambda;\mu})_{;\lambda}, \quad (13)$$

$$\Xi_{(4)}^\mu = f'_4K^\mu K^\lambda K^\rho K^\kappa{}_{;\lambda}K_{\kappa;\rho} + f_4K^\rho K^{\lambda;\mu}K_{\lambda;\rho} - (f_4K^\lambda K^\rho K^\mu{}_{;\rho})_{;\lambda}, \quad (14)$$

$$\Xi_{(5)}^\mu = \frac{\lambda}{\phi^2}K^\mu, \quad (15)$$

in which $\square_g(\cdot) \equiv (\cdot)_{;\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu}$, $f'_\mu \equiv \partial f_\mu/\partial(K^2)$ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) and parentheses surrounding a group of indices mean symmetrization, for example, $K_{(\mu;\nu)} = (1/2)(K_{\mu;\nu} + K_{\nu;\mu})$. The energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$c^2T^{\mu\nu} \equiv -\frac{2c}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\partial S_m(\psi, g_{\mu\nu})}{\partial g_{\mu\nu}}, \quad (16)$$

and $T_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\sigma}g_{\nu\rho}T^{\sigma\rho}$. Following [25, 29, 30], we define mass, current and stress density as

$$\sigma \equiv T^{00} + T^{kk}, \quad (17)$$

$$\sigma_i \equiv cT^{0i}, \quad (18)$$

$$\sigma_{ij} \equiv c^2T^{ij}. \quad (19)$$

Another way to define σ involving the PPN parameters γ and β in 1PN is [31]

$$\sigma = T^{00} + \gamma T^{kk} + \frac{1}{c^2}T^{00}(3\gamma - 2\beta - 1)U + \mathcal{O}(c^{-4}), \quad (20)$$

where U is the Newtonian potential. Due to $\gamma = 1$ and $\beta = 1$ in ae-theory (see a PPN parameter summary in Sec. VB 4), these two definitions are equivalent to each other in 1PN approximation. It is worth emphasizing that, in these definitions, the matter is described by the energy-momentum tensor without specific equation of state.

Contracting the field equation (2) and substituting it into the field equations (2) and (3), it leads to

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\theta(K^2)(T_{\mu\nu} + f_{\mu\nu}T)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\theta(K^2)f_{\mu\nu}\left(3\Box_g f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \Theta^{(i)}\right) \\
& +\theta(K^2)\left[(f_0)_{;\mu\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Box_g f_0 - \sum_{i=1}^5 \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and

$$\left[3\Box_g f_0 + \sum_{i=1}^5 \Theta^{(i)}\right]\eta(K^2)K^\mu + \sum_{i=1}^5 \Xi_{(i)}^\mu = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\eta(K^2)TK^\mu, \tag{22}$$

where $\Theta^{(i)} \equiv \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}g^{\mu\nu}$, $T \equiv T_{\mu\nu}g^{\mu\nu}$, and the coupling functions are

$$\theta(K^2) \equiv \frac{1}{f_0}, \tag{23}$$

$$\eta(K^2) \equiv \frac{f'_0}{f_0 - f'_0 K^2}, \tag{24}$$

and

$$f_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{f_0 - f'_0 K^2}\left(f'_0 K_\mu K_\nu - \frac{1}{2}f_0 g_{\mu\nu}\right). \tag{25}$$

According to previous works, we assume the action parameters are

$$f_0 = 1 - c_0 \frac{K^2}{\phi^2}, \tag{26}$$

$$f_1 = -\frac{c_1}{\phi^2}, \tag{27}$$

$$f_2 = -\frac{c_2}{\phi^2}, \tag{28}$$

$$f_3 = -\frac{c_3}{\phi^2}, \tag{29}$$

$$f_4 = \frac{c_4}{\phi^2}, \tag{30}$$

where c_μ ($\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$) are constants. When $c_4 = 0$ and $\lambda = 0$, the action (1) reduces to the case in [1, 3, 4]; When $c_0 = 0$, $\phi = 1$ and λ plays a role of the Lagrange multiplier to constrain $K^2 = -1$, the action (1) becomes the ae-theory [9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 32] (see Table I).

In this paper, we concentrate on ae-theory only, that is, $f_0 = 1$, $f_1 = -c_1$, $f_2 = -c_2$, $f_3 = -c_3$ and $f_4 = c_4$. Corresponding field equations of ae-theory are simplified as

$$R_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^2}\left(T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}T\right) + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\sum_{i=1}^5 \Theta^{(i)} - \sum_{i=1}^5 \Theta_{\mu\nu}^{(i)}, \tag{31}$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \Xi_{(i)}^\mu = 0, \tag{32}$$

TABLE I: Special cases of the action (1)

Special case	parameters
General Relativity	$c_0 = c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$
Einstein-Maxwell theory	$c_1 + c_3 = 0, c_0 = c_2 = c_4 = 0$
Will & Nordtvedt [1]	$c_0 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$
Hellings & Nordtvedt [3]	$c_1 + c_2 + c_3 = 0, c_4 = 0$
Will [4]	$c_4 = 0^a$
Aether theory [9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 32]	$c_0 = 0, K^2 = -1$

^a $c_0 = -\omega, c_1 = 2\epsilon - \tau, c_2 = -\eta, c_3 = \eta - 2\epsilon$ [12].

and the Lagrange multiplier λ from Eqs. (15) and (32) can be expressed as

$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^4 \Xi_{(i)}^\alpha K_\alpha, \quad (33)$$

where $K^2 = -1$ is used. These field equations coincide with previous works' [9, 11, 12, 14, 15, 17, 18, 32].

III. PN EXPANSION OF THE METRIC AND VECTOR FIELD

In PN approximation, we consider an asymptotically flat spacetime, whose metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ to second order has the form as

$$g_{00} = -1 + \epsilon^2 h_{00}^{(2)} + \epsilon^4 h_{00}^{(4)} + \epsilon^6 h_{00}^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^8), \quad (34)$$

$$g_{0i} = \epsilon^3 h_{0i}^{(3)} + \epsilon^5 h_{0i}^{(5)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7), \quad (35)$$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon^2 h_{ij}^{(2)} + \epsilon^4 h_{ij}^{(4)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6), \quad (36)$$

where $\epsilon \equiv 1/c$. Furthermore, we simplify the notations with the definitions [33]:

$$N \equiv h_{00}^{(2)}, \quad L \equiv h_{00}^{(4)}, \quad L_i \equiv h_{0i}^{(3)}, \quad H_{ij} \equiv h_{ij}^{(2)}, \quad H \equiv h_{kk}^{(2)}, \quad (37)$$

$$Q \equiv h_{00}^{(6)}, \quad Q_i \equiv h_{0i}^{(5)}, \quad Q_{ij} \equiv h_{ij}^{(4)}. \quad (38)$$

And the expansions of vector field are

$$K_0 = -1 + \epsilon^2 K_0^{(2)} + \epsilon^4 K_0^{(4)} + \epsilon^6 K_0^{(6)} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^8), \quad (39)$$

$$K_i = \epsilon^3 \overset{(3)}{K}_i + \epsilon^5 \overset{(5)}{K}_i + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7), \quad (40)$$

which is a timelike unit vector. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} K^2 = & -1 - \epsilon^2 \left(N - 2\overset{(2)}{K}_0 \right) - \epsilon^4 \left(N^2 + L - 2N\overset{(2)}{K}_0 + \overset{(2)}{K}_0^2 - 2\overset{(4)}{K}_0 \right) \\ & - \epsilon^6 \left[N^3 + 2NL - L_k L_k + Q + N\overset{(2)}{K}_0^2 - 2N\overset{(4)}{K}_0 - 2(N^2 + L)\overset{(2)}{K}_0 \right. \\ & \left. + 2L_k \overset{(3)}{K}_k - \overset{(3)}{K}_k \overset{(3)}{K}_k + 2\overset{(2)}{K}_0 \overset{(4)}{K}_0 - 2\overset{(6)}{K}_0 \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Consequently, with the unitary and timelike condition $K^2 = -1$, $\overset{(2)}{K}_0$, $\overset{(4)}{K}_0$ and $\overset{(6)}{K}_0$ can be solved at corresponding orders as

$$\overset{(2)}{K}_0 = \frac{N}{2}, \quad (42)$$

$$\overset{(4)}{K}_0 = \frac{L}{2} + \frac{N^2}{8}, \quad (43)$$

and

$$\overset{(6)}{K}_0 = \frac{N^3}{16} + \frac{LN}{4} + \frac{Q}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left(L_k - \overset{(3)}{K}_k \right) \left(L_k - \overset{(3)}{K}_k \right). \quad (44)$$

IV. GAUGE CONDITION

The gauge condition we use for the metric is the harmonic gauge

$$(\sqrt{-g}g^{\mu\nu})_{,\nu} = 0, \quad (45)$$

which reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}^i & \equiv \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2} H_{,i} - \frac{1}{2} N_{,i} - H_{ik,k} \right) \\ & + \epsilon^4 \left(-\frac{1}{2} N N_{,i} + \frac{1}{2} H_{ik} N_{,k} + H_{il} H_{lk,k} + H_{il,k} H_{lk} - \frac{1}{2} H_{ik} H_{,k} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} H_{lk} H_{lk,i} - \frac{1}{2} L_{,i} + L_{,t} - Q_{ik,k} + \frac{1}{2} Q_{kk,i} \right) \\ & = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6), \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}^0 \equiv \epsilon^3 \left(L_{k,k} - \frac{1}{2} N_{,t} - \frac{1}{2} H_{,t} \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\epsilon^5 \left(-\frac{1}{2}NH_{,t} - NN_{,t} + \frac{1}{2}H_{lk}H_{lk,t} - H_{lk}L_{l,k} + NL_{k,k} + \frac{1}{2}L_kN_k \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}L_kH_{,k} - L_lH_{lk,k} - \frac{1}{2}L_{,t} - \frac{1}{2}Q_{kk,t} + Q_{k,k} \right) \\
& = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

In addition, the divergence of the vector field equation (32), which is

$$\sum_{i=1}^5 \Xi_{(i);\alpha}^\alpha = 0, \tag{48}$$

can be applied to simplify our mathematical deduction [4], whose expression will be given in Sec. VB2 in detail.

V. SECOND ORDER POST-NEWTONIAN APPROXIMATION

A. Newtonian limit

The leading terms of g_{00} and K_0 show the Newtonian limit of ae-theory. With Eqs. (33) and (42), R_{00} to the order $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ yields

$$\Delta N = -8\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma, \tag{49}$$

where $\Delta \equiv \nabla^2$ is the Laplace operator for the space coordinates x^i and Newton's constant \mathcal{G} is related to the constant G by

$$\mathcal{G} = \frac{G}{1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}}. \tag{50}$$

Here, we use a notation like c_{ijk} for $c_i + c_j + c_k$, for example $c_{123} \equiv c_1 + c_2 + c_3$. Obviously, N is twice of usual Newtonian potential U , which is given by the standard Poisson integral

$$U = \Delta^{-1}\{-4\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma\} \equiv \mathcal{G} \int \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x'. \tag{51}$$

B. First order post-Newtonian approximation

Following Chandrasekhar's approach [26, 27], we look for the solution of the field equations in the form of Taylor expansion with respect to the parameter ϵ . The solutions of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the vector field K_μ are as follows.

1. H_{ij}

From the field equations of R_{ij} with harmonic gauge, we can easily have

$$\Delta H_{ij} = -8\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma\delta_{ij}. \quad (52)$$

As H_{ij} is solved in an isotropic form, it brings a lot of convenience into subsequent works. The harmonic gauge and the covariant divergence of the field equation for the vector field, Eq. (48), become quite simple in 1PN approximation.

2. L_i and $K_i^{(3)}$

Expanding the field equation of R_{0i} and K_i to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^3)$ with gauge (47), we obtain

$$(1 - c_{13})\Delta L_i + c_{13}\Delta K_i^{(3)} - c_{123}N_{,it} + (c_2 + c_{123})K_{k,ki}^{(3)} = 16\pi G\sigma_i, \quad (53)$$

and

$$-\frac{1}{2}c_{13}\Delta L_i + c_1\Delta K_i^{(3)} + c_{23}K_{k,ki}^{(3)} - \frac{1}{2}(c_{23} - c_4)N_{,it} = 0. \quad (54)$$

To solve ΔL_i and $\Delta K_i^{(3)}$ in above equations, we use Eq. (48) to eliminate the terms of $K_{k,ki}^{(3)}$. With the help of harmonic gauge and the results obtained previously, Eq. (48) can be written down as

$$\epsilon^3\Delta\left[K_{k,k}^{(3)} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{c_{12} + c_{24}}{2c_{123}}\right)N_{,t}\right] = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5). \quad (55)$$

Therefore, we can solve L_i and $K_i^{(3)}$ as

$$\Delta L_i = \frac{16c_1(2 - c_{14})}{c_3^2 + 2c_1 - c_1^2}\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma_i + \frac{2(c_3^2 + c_1c_4)}{c_3^2 + 2c_1 - c_1^2}N_{,it}, \quad (56)$$

and

$$\Delta K_i^{(3)} = \frac{8c_{13}(2 - c_{14})}{c_3^2 + 2c_1 - c_1^2}\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma_i + C_{N,it}^{K_i^{(3)}}N_{,it}, \quad (57)$$

where $C_{N,it}^{K_i^{(3)}}$ is a constant. All constants with the form of $C_{Y,\mu\nu}^X$ are given in Appendix A.

3. L

As above, we expand the field equation of R_{00} to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$ and solve L as

$$\Delta L = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta N^2 + C_{N,it}^L N_{,tt}. \quad (58)$$

4. Summary of PPN parameters

In 1PN approximation, the metric (34)-(36) is equivalent to the PPN metric [1, 4] under a trivial gauge transformation. The transformation between our reference system (t, x^i) and the PPN reference system in the standard PN gauge $(t_{\text{PN}}, x_{\text{PN}}^i)$ reads

$$t_{\text{PN}} = t + \epsilon^4 \lambda_1 \chi_{,t} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6), \quad (59)$$

$$x_{\text{PN}}^i = x^i, \quad (60)$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = -\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}} \left[1 - \frac{7}{2}c_1 - \frac{9}{2}c_2 - \frac{3}{2}c_3 - 2c_4 + \frac{1}{2c_{123}}(c_2 + c_{12} + c_{1234})^2 \right], \quad (61)$$

and χ is the superpotential defined by

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{G} \int \sigma(\mathbf{x}', t) |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| d^3x' + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad (62)$$

so that

$$\Delta\chi = \frac{1}{2}N. \quad (63)$$

After transformation, 5 PPN parameters are

$$\gamma = 1, \quad (64)$$

$$\beta = 1, \quad (65)$$

$$\xi = 0, \quad (66)$$

$$\alpha_1 = -\frac{8(c_1c_4 + c_3^2)}{c_3^2 + 2c_1 - c_1^2}, \quad (67)$$

and

$$\alpha_2 = \frac{(2c_{13} - c_{14})^2}{c_{123}(2 - c_{14})} - \frac{12c_3c_{13} + 2c_1c_{14}(1 - 2c_{14}) + (c_1^2 - c_3^2)(4 - 6c_{13} + 7c_{14})}{(2 - c_{14})(c_3^2 + 2c_1 - c_1^2)}. \quad (68)$$

Other 5 conservation law parameters, α_3 , ζ_1 , ζ_2 , ζ_3 and ζ_4 , are all zero due to the theorem of Lee, et al. [34]. These results perfectly match previous works [12, 13, 14].

C. Second order post-Newtonian approximation

Following above procedures, we can obtain 2PN approximation of ae-theory. The $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$ term in g_{ij} is solved as

$$\Delta Q_{ij} = -\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right)N_{,i}N_{,j} + c_{13}\left(L_{i,jt} + L_{j,it} - K_{i,jt}^{(3)} - K_{j,it}^{(3)}\right)$$

$$-8(2 - c_{14})\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma_{ij} + \delta_{ij} \left[+ \frac{1}{2}\Delta N^2 + 8(2 - c_{14})\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma_{kk} + C_{N,tt}^{Q_{ij}} N_{,tt} \right]. \quad (69)$$

As we can see, Q_{ij} no longer keeps isotropic as H_{ij} . To succeed in the convention and convenience in 1PN, we will try to transfer Q_{ij} into an isotropic form as possible as we can in next section for the application in the light propagation model.

Before solving Q_i and $K_i^{(5)}$, we need Eq. (48) to attain to $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5)$. It gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \epsilon^3 \Delta \left[K_{k,k}^{(3)} - \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{c_{12} + c_{24}}{2c_{123}} \right) N_{,t} \right] \\ & + \epsilon^5 \Delta \left\{ \left[\frac{1}{c_{123}} \left(\frac{1}{2}c_1 - \frac{3}{4}c_2 - \frac{1}{4}c_3 + \frac{3}{4}c_4 \right) - 1 \right] NN_{,t} + \frac{1}{c_{123}} \left(\frac{5}{8}c_{13} + \frac{1}{2}c_2 \right) NL_{k,k} \right. \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2}L_k N_{,k} - \left(1 - \frac{c_4}{4c_{123}} \right) N K_{k,k}^{(3)} - \frac{c_{13}}{2c_{123}} Q_{kk,t} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c_{14}}{c_{123}} - 1 \right) L_{,t} \\ & \quad \left. + K_{k,k}^{(5)} + \mathcal{A} \right\} = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7), \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where $\Delta\mathcal{A}$ satisfies a Poisson's equation given in Appendix A. After eliminating the terms related to $K_{k,k}^{(5)}$ in the field equations, we can solve Q_i and $K_i^{(5)}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta Q_i &= \frac{16c_1(2 - c_{14})}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}N\sigma_i - \frac{8(c_1^2 + c_3^2 + 2c_1c_4 - 2c_1)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}\sigma L_i \\ &+ \frac{16(c_1c_4 + c_3^2)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}\sigma K_i + C_{N,iN,t}^{Q_i} N_{,i}N_{,t} + C_{NN,it}^{Q_i} NN_{,it} \\ &+ \frac{2c_1}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} N_{,ik}L_k - 2N_{,k}L_{k,i} + \frac{c_{13}c_{14}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} N_{,k}L_{i,k} \\ &- \frac{2c_1 + c_{13}c_{14}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} L_k N_{,ki} + L_{i,tt} + \frac{2c_1c_{14}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} L_{,it} \\ &+ \frac{c_{13}c_{14}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} K_k N_{,ki} + \frac{c_{14}(c_1 - c_3)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} K_{k,i} N_{,k} - \frac{2c_1c_{14}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} K_{i,k} N_{,k} \\ &- \frac{2c_{13}c_{14}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} K_{i,tt} + \frac{c_3^2 - c_1^2}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} Q_{kk,it} - \frac{2(c_3c_{23} - c_1c_{12})}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \mathcal{A}_{,i}, \end{aligned} \quad (71)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta K_i^{(5)} &= \frac{12c_{13}(2 - c_{14})}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}N\sigma_i + \frac{8c_{13}(2 - c_{14})}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}\sigma L_i \\ &+ \frac{4(c_1^2 + 2c_1c_{34} + c_3^2 + 2c_3c_4 - 4c_3 - 2c_1)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}\sigma K_i \\ &+ C_{N,iN,t}^{K_i^{(5)}} N_{,i}N_{,t} + C_{NN,it}^{K_i^{(5)}} NN_{,it} + \frac{c_{13}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} N_{,ik}L_k \\ &- \frac{1}{2}N_{,k}L_{k,i} + \frac{c_{14}(c_{13} - 1)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} N_{,k}L_{i,k} - \frac{c_{13}^2 + 2c_{13}(c_4 + 1) - 2c_4}{2(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} L_k N_{,ki} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + C_{L,it}^{(5)K_i} L_{,it} + \frac{c_{13}(c_4 + c_{134}) - 2c_4}{2(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} K_k^{(3)} N_{,ki} + \frac{3c_1^2 - 3c_3^2 - 4c_1 + 2c_4}{2(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} K_{k,i}^{(3)} N_{,k} \\
& - \frac{c_{13}(2c_1 + c_4 - c_3) - 2c_1}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} K_{i,k}^{(3)} N_{,k} - \frac{2c_{14}(c_{13} - 1)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} K_{i,tt}^{(3)} \\
& + \frac{c_{13}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 - 2c_{23})}{2c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} Q_{kk,it} + \frac{c_1^2 - c_3^2 + 2c_{23}}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \mathcal{A}_{,i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Similarly, Q can be solved as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta Q = & 8\pi\mathcal{G}N^2\sigma + 16\pi\mathcal{G}L\sigma - \frac{16(c_3^2 + c_1^2 + 2c_1c_4 - 2c_1)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}L_k\sigma_k \\
& + \frac{32(c_1c_4 + c_3^2)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \pi\mathcal{G}K_k^{(3)}\sigma_k - 16\pi\mathcal{G}N\sigma_{kk} + C_{NM,tt}^Q NN_{,tt} \\
& + C_{N,tN,t}^Q N_{,t}N_{,t} - N_{,k}L_{k,t} + C_{N,ktL_k}^Q N_{,kt}L_k - \frac{3}{2}N_{,k}L_{,k} \\
& + N_{,k}Q_{kl,l} + N_{,kl}Q_{kl} - \frac{1}{2}N_{,l}Q_{kk,l} - \frac{2(1 - c_{13})}{2 - c_{14}}L_{k,l}L_{l,k} \\
& + \frac{2(1 + c_3 - c_4)}{2 - c_{14}}L_{l,k}L_{l,k} + \frac{1}{2 - c_{14}} \left[2 + \frac{c_{14}(c_{12} + c_{24})}{c_{123}} \right] L_{,tt} \\
& + C_{N,kt}^Q K_k^{(3)} - \frac{2(c_1 + 2c_3 - c_4)}{2 - c_{14}} \left(L_{k,l}K_{l,k}^{(3)} + L_{l,k}K_{l,k}^{(3)} \right) \\
& - \frac{2(c_{23} - c_4)}{2 - c_{14}} L_k K_{l,lk}^{(3)} + \frac{2(c_1 - c_4)}{2 - c_{14}} K_{l,k}^{(3)} K_{k,l}^{(3)} + \frac{4c_3}{2 - c_{14}} K_{l,k}^{(3)} K_{l,k}^{(3)} \\
& - \frac{c_{13}}{2 - c_{14}} \left(1 + \frac{c_{12} + c_{24}}{c_{123}} \right) Q_{kk,tt} + \frac{2(c_{12} + c_{24} + c_{123})}{2 - c_{14}} \mathcal{A}_{,t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

D. Verification of the solutions of metric and vector field

One way to verify the solutions obtained above is to check the gauge condition. Inserting the metric coefficients into the harmonic gauge, we have

$$\Delta\mathcal{F}^0 = \epsilon^3 \frac{32c_1\pi G(1 + \epsilon^2 N)}{c_3^2 + 2c_1 - c_1^2} \left[\sigma_{,t} + \sigma_{k,k} + \epsilon^2 \left(\frac{1}{2}\sigma N_{,t} - \sigma_{kk,t} \right) \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7), \tag{74}$$

and

$$\Delta\mathcal{F}^i = 16\epsilon^4\pi G \left(\sigma_{i,t} + \sigma_{ik,k} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma N_{,i} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6), \tag{75}$$

which are equivalent to the equation of motion $T_{;\nu}^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

Another approach as the most reliable way to verify the results is to substitute the metric and vector 2PN expansion coefficients into the field equations and check. Our results pass this examination.

E. The 2PN parameters ι

The 2PN metric of ae-theory shows a picture of second order PPN (2PPN) formalism. Previous works of 2PPN formalism focus on a many-body Lagrangian [22, 23, 24]. Whereas we have not restricted the matter in our model, we are not going to compare our results with theirs in this paper. Despite of applying a “theory-dependent” approach, several works also obtain some 2PN parameters. Although there are many parameters regarding the effects of preferred frame, here we concentrate only on the parameter that represents the third order nonlinearity of Newtonian potential in g_{00} only here. Damour and Esposito-Farèse calculate two 2PN parameters ε and ζ in a multiscalar-tensor theory, and they find that the possible 2PN *deviations* from GR, $\delta g_{00}^{\text{DE}} \equiv g_{00}^{\text{DE}} - g_{00}^{\text{GR}}$, are given by [25, 30]

$$\Delta\delta g_{00}^{\text{DE}} = \frac{\varepsilon}{3c^6}\Delta U^3 - \frac{\varepsilon}{c^6}4\pi G\sigma U^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\gamma}{c^6}, \frac{\beta}{c^6}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^8}\right), \quad (76)$$

where only one scalar field is involved, U is the Newtonian potential, ε measures how much the third order nonlinearity there is in the superposition law for gravity and ζ is no longer an independent 2PN parameter with $\zeta = \zeta(\gamma, \beta)$. Recently, Xie *et al.*[35] find a 2PN parameter ι in a scalar-tensor theory (STT) with a intermediate range force, in which ι in $\delta g_{00}^{\text{STT}} \equiv g_{00}^{\text{STT}} - g_{00}^{\text{GR}}$ has the form as

$$\Delta\delta g_{00}^{\text{STT}} = -\frac{\iota}{c^6}U\Delta U^2 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\gamma}{c^6}, \frac{\beta}{c^6}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{c^8}\right). \quad (77)$$

With the help of $\Delta U = -4\pi G\sigma$ and $\Delta U^3 = 3U\Delta U^2 - 3U^2\Delta U$, ι and ε are equivalent and they represent the third order nonlinearity in 2PN g_{00} , which is totally different from the terms due to the combinations of 1PN terms, $\mathcal{O}(\gamma/c^6, \beta/c^6)$. In the case of ae-theory, $\iota = 0$, which makes ae-theory no differences with GR in the parameters of γ , β and ι (see Tab. II). In this table, ω_0 , ω_1 and ω_2 are constants, coming from the expansion of the coupling function in the STT with an intermediate-range force (see [35] for details). But even so, experiments, especially the deep space laser ranging missions, still can test ae-theory by its unique effects that deviate from GR, such as the 2PN light deflection angle.

VI. A 2PN METRIC FOR LIGHT PROPAGATION IN THE SOLAR SYSTEM

Future deep space laser ranging missions such as Laser Astrometric Test of Relativity (LATOR) [36], and Astrodynamics Space Test of Relativity (ASTROD) [37], together with

TABLE II: Summary of the parameters.

Parameter	What it measures, relative to GR	Value in GR	Value in STT [35]	Value in ae-theory
γ	How much space curvature (g_{ij}) is produced by unit rest mass?[28]	1	$\frac{\omega_0+1}{\omega_0+2}$	1
β	How much the second order nonlinearity is there in the superposition law for gravity (g_{00})?[28]	1	$1 + \frac{\omega_1}{(2\omega_0+3)(2\omega_0+4)^2}$	1
ι	How much the third order nonlinearity is there in the superposition law for gravity (g_{00})?	0	$\frac{\omega_2}{2(3+2\omega_0)(\omega_0+2)^3}$	0

astrometry missions such as Global Astrometric Interferometer for Astrophysics (GAIA) [38] and Space Interferometry Mission (SIM) [39] will be able to test relativistic gravity to an unprecedented level of accuracy in the solar system. Those missions will enable us to test relativistic gravity to $10^{-6} - 10^{-9}$, and will require 2PN approximation of relevant theories of gravity, including metric coefficients, equations of motion and equations of light ray.

Hence, in this section, we discuss a 2PN metric for light propagation in the solar system. Considering a practical model, we just study a situation of N point masses in a global frame as the first step. And we impose a constraint on the metric, that is, after ignoring all the planets in the solar system, the spatial part of the metric, g_{ij} , should be isotropic after a coordinate transformation.

A. A coordinate transformation

A coordinate transformation

$$\bar{x}^\mu = x^\mu + \epsilon^4 \xi^\mu(x^\alpha), \quad (78)$$

and

$$x^\mu = \bar{x}^\mu - \epsilon^4 \xi^\mu(\bar{x}^\alpha), \quad (79)$$

where $\xi^\mu \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$, changes the metric to

$$\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{\partial x^\rho}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial x^\lambda}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} g_{\rho\lambda}(x^\alpha)$$

$$= g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha) - \epsilon^4 g_{\mu\rho} \xi_{,\nu}^\rho(x^\alpha) - \epsilon^4 g_{\nu\rho} \xi_{,\mu}^\rho(x^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^8). \quad (80)$$

When the time component is chosen to be fixed ($\bar{t} = t$), we obtain the metric to 2PN order

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}(x^\alpha) - \epsilon^4 \xi_{,j}^i(x^\alpha) - \epsilon^4 \xi_{,i}^j(x^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5), \quad (81)$$

$$\bar{g}_{0i} = g_{0i}(x^\alpha) - \epsilon^5 \xi_{,t}^i(x^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6), \quad (82)$$

$$\bar{g}_{00} = g_{00}(x^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7). \quad (83)$$

Then, x^α in the right hand side of above three equations need to be replaced with \bar{x}^α . We must also transform the functional integrals over $x^{k'}$ that appear in $g_{\mu\nu}$ into integrals over $\bar{x}^{k'}$. The only place where this changes anything is in $g_{00} = -1 + \epsilon^2 N(\mathbf{x}, t) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4)$, where

$$N(\mathbf{x}, t) = 2\mathcal{G} \int \frac{\sigma(\mathbf{x}', t)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} d^3x'. \quad (84)$$

Like Eq. (80), the transferred energy-momentum tensor $\bar{T}^{\mu\nu}$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T}^{\mu\nu} &= \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\mu}{\partial x^\rho} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^\nu}{\partial x^\lambda} T^{\rho\lambda} \\ &= T^{\mu\nu} + \epsilon^4 T^{\mu\rho} \xi_{,\rho}^\nu + \epsilon^4 T^{\nu\rho} \xi_{,\rho}^\mu + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^8). \end{aligned} \quad (85)$$

According to the definition of σ (17), it can be obtained that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\sigma} &= \bar{T}^{00} + \bar{T}^{ss} \\ &= T^{00} + T^{ss} + 2\epsilon^4 T^{\rho s} \xi_{,\rho}^s \\ &= \sigma + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6). \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

Furthermore, the difference between the volume element d^3x' and $d^3\bar{x}'$ is a Jacobian determinant that

$$\begin{aligned} d^3x' &= \left| \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}'}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}'} \right| d^3\bar{x}' \\ &= d^3\bar{x}' [1 - \epsilon^4 \bar{\nabla}' \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}' + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^8)]. \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

where $\bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \boldsymbol{\xi}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'|} &= \frac{1}{|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}' - \epsilon^4 (\bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}')|} \\ &= \frac{1}{|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}'|} + \epsilon^4 \frac{(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}') \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}')}{|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}'|^3} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^8). \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

Thus, we put these relations together and have

$$\begin{aligned}
N(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \bar{N}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}, \bar{t}) - 2\epsilon^4 \mathcal{G} \int \frac{\bar{\sigma}' \bar{\nabla}' \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}'}{|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}'|} d^3 \bar{x}' \\
&\quad + 2\epsilon^4 \mathcal{G} \int \frac{\bar{\sigma}'(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}') \cdot (\bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}')}{|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}'|^3} d^3 \bar{x}' + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6).
\end{aligned} \tag{89}$$

Finally, we obtain the metric after transformation

$$\bar{g}_{ij} = g_{ij}(\bar{x}^\alpha) - \epsilon^4 \xi_{,j}^i(\bar{x}^\alpha) - \epsilon^4 \xi_{,i}^j(\bar{x}^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5), \tag{90}$$

$$\bar{g}_{0i} = g_{0i}(\bar{x}^\alpha) - \epsilon^5 \xi_{,t}^i(\bar{x}^\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6), \tag{91}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{g}_{00} &= g_{00}(\bar{x}^\alpha) - 2\epsilon^6 \mathcal{G} \bar{\Delta}^{-1} \{-4\pi \bar{\sigma} \bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\} \\
&\quad + 2\epsilon^6 \mathcal{G} \bar{\nabla} \cdot \bar{\Delta}^{-1} \{-4\pi \bar{\sigma} \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\} \\
&\quad + 2\epsilon^6 \mathcal{G} \int \frac{\bar{\sigma}'(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}') \cdot \bar{\boldsymbol{\xi}}}{|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}'|^3} d^3 \bar{x}' + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^7).
\end{aligned} \tag{92}$$

So if $\xi^i = c_{13} \Delta^{-1}(L_{i,t} - \overset{(3)}{K}_{i,t})$, the term of $c_{13} \Delta^{-1}(L_{i,jt} + L_{j,it} - \overset{(3)}{K}_{i,jt} - \overset{(3)}{K}_{j,it})$ can be eliminated and the 1PN parts of metric keep unchanged. With the help of this transformation, the metric for light can be changed into a simpler form.

B. A 2PN light propagation metric of N point masses

In light propagation, we can cut off the full 2PN metric to

$$g_{00} = -1 + \epsilon^2 N + \epsilon^4 L + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5) \tag{93}$$

$$g_{0i} = \epsilon^3 L_i + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5), \tag{94}$$

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + \epsilon^2 H_{ij} + \epsilon^4 Q_{ij} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5). \tag{95}$$

If we consider the solar system as a N -body problem of non-spinning point masses for simplicity, we follow the notation adopted by [40, 41] and use the matter stress-energy tensor

$$c^2 T^{\mu\nu}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \sum_a \mu_a(t) v_a^\mu(t) v_a^\nu(t) \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a(t)), \tag{96}$$

where δ denotes the three-dimensional Dirac distribution, the trajectory of the a th mass is represented by $\mathbf{y}_a(t)$, the coordinate velocity of the a th body are $\mathbf{v}_a = d\mathbf{y}_a(t)/dt$ and $v_a^\mu \equiv (c, \mathbf{v}_a)$ and μ_a denotes an effective time-dependent mass of the a th body defined by

$$\mu_a = \left(\frac{m_a}{\epsilon \sqrt{g g_{\rho\lambda} v_a^\rho v_a^\lambda}} \right)_a, \tag{97}$$

where $(\cdot)_a$ means evaluation at the a th body and m_a being the constant Schwarzschild mass. Another useful notation is

$$\tilde{\mu}_a(t) = \mu_a(t)(1 + \epsilon^2 v_a^2), \quad (98)$$

where $v_a^2 = \mathbf{v}_a^2$. Both μ_a and $\tilde{\mu}_a$ reduce to the Schwarzschild mass at Newtonian order: $\mu_a = m_a + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ and $\tilde{\mu}_a = m_a + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$. Then the mass, current and stress densities (17-19) for the N point masses read

$$\sigma = \sum_a \tilde{\mu}_a \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a), \quad (99)$$

$$\sigma_i = \sum_a \mu_a v_a^i \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a), \quad (100)$$

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_a \mu_a v_a^i v_a^j \delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a). \quad (101)$$

Therefore, we can work out N and H_{ij} quickly,

$$\begin{aligned} N &= 2\Delta^{-1}\{-4\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma\} \\ &= 2\sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G}m_a}{r_a} \left\{ 1 + \epsilon^2 \left[+\frac{3}{2}v_a^2 - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}m_b}{r_{ab}} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

$$H_{ij} = 2\delta_{ij} \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G}m_a}{r_a} \left\{ 1 + \epsilon^2 \left[+\frac{3}{2}v_a^2 - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}m_b}{r_{ab}} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \right\}, \quad (103)$$

by the relation of $\tilde{\mu}_a$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}_a &= m_a \left\{ 1 + \epsilon^2 \left[\left(N - \frac{1}{2}H \right)_a + \frac{3}{2}v_a^2 \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \right\} \\ &= m_a \left\{ 1 + \epsilon^2 \left[+\frac{3}{2}v_a^2 - \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}m_b}{r_{ab}} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^4) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

where $r_a = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a|$ and $r_{ab} = |\mathbf{y}_a - \mathbf{y}_b|$.

In the solution of L_i , due to

$$\Delta^{-1}\{-4\pi\mathcal{G}\sigma_i\} = \sum_a \int \frac{\mathcal{G}m_a v_a^i \delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}_a)}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|} d^3z = \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G}m_a v_a^i}{r_a} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \quad (105)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} N_{,it} &= 2\Delta\chi_{,it} \\ &= \Delta \left\{ \mathcal{G} \sum_a \int m_a \delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}_a) |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|_{,i} d^3z + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right\}_{,t} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \Delta \left\{ \sum_a \mathcal{G} m_a n_a^i + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right\}_{,t} \\
&= \Delta \left\{ \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \left[(\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a) n_a^i - v_a^i \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right\}, \tag{106}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\chi = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{G} \int \sigma(\mathbf{x}', t) |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'| d^3 x' + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \tag{107}$$

it can be solved as

$$L_i = -\frac{2c_{13}^2 - 2c_1 c_{14} + 8c_1}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a v_a^i}{r_a} + \frac{2(c_3^2 + c_1 c_4)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} (\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a) n_a^i + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \tag{108}$$

where $\mathbf{n}_a = (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a)/r_a$.

In g_{00} , with the help of

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a|_{,t} = -\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a, \tag{109}$$

and

$$|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a|_{,tt} = \frac{v_a^2}{r_a} + \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G} m_b}{r_{ab}^2} \mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{n}_{ab} - \frac{(n_a v_a)^2}{r_a}, \tag{110}$$

we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{,tt} &= 2\Delta \chi_{,tt} \\
&= \Delta \left\{ \mathcal{G} \sum_a \int m_a \delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}_a) |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}| d^3 \mathbf{z} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right\}_{,tt} \\
&= \Delta \left\{ \mathcal{G} \sum_a m_a |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}_a|_{,tt} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right\} \\
&= \Delta \left\{ \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \left[v_a^2 - (n_a v_a)^2 \right] + \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b}{r_{ab}^2} \mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{n}_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \right\}, \tag{111}
\end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= -2 \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2}{r_a^2} + C_{N,tt}^L \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \left[v_a^2 - (\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a)^2 \right] \\
&\quad - 2 \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b}{r_a r_b} + C_{N,tt}^L \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b}{r_{ab}^2} \mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{n}_{ab} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \tag{112}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{n}_{ab} = (\mathbf{y}_a - \mathbf{y}_b)/r_{ab}$.

The quadratic part of potentials in Q_{ij} can be rewritten as

$$N_{,i} N_{,j} = 4 \sum_a \mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2 \left(\frac{1}{r_a} \right)_{,i} \left(\frac{1}{r_a} \right)_{,j} + 4 \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \left(\frac{1}{r_a} \right)_{,i} \left(\frac{1}{r_b} \right)_{,j}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2 (\partial_{ij}^2 + \delta_{ij} \Delta) \left(\frac{1}{r_a^2} \right) + 4 \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \partial_{ai} \partial_{bj} \left(\frac{1}{r_a r_b} \right), \quad (113)$$

where ∂_{ai} denotes the partial derivative with respect to y_a^i . The integral of the self-terms can be readily deduced from $\Delta(\ln r_a) = 1/r_a^2$ [40]; on the other hand, the interaction terms are obtained by

$$\Delta \ln S_{ab} = \frac{1}{r_a r_b}, \quad (114)$$

where $S_{ab} \equiv r_a + r_b + r_{ab}$ [42]. Consequently the first term in ΔQ_{ij} can be solved as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{-1} \{N_{,i} N_{,j}\} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_a \mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2 \left(\partial_{ij}^2 \ln r_a + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r_a^2} \right) + 4 \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \partial_{ai} \partial_{bj} \ln S_{ab} \\ &= \sum_a \mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2 \left(-\frac{n_a^i n_a^j}{r_a^2} + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{r_a^2} \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \left[\frac{n_{ab}^{ij} - \delta^{ij}}{r_{ab} S_{ab}} + \frac{(n_{ab}^i - n_a^i)(n_{ab}^j + n_b^j)}{S_{ab}^2} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (115)$$

where $n_{ab}^{ij} \equiv n_{ab}^i n_{ab}^j$ and two relations that

$$\partial_{ij}^2 \ln r_a = \frac{\delta_{ij} - 2n_a^i n_a^j}{r_a^2}, \quad (116)$$

and

$$\partial_{ai} \partial_{bj} \ln S_{ab} = \frac{n_{ab}^{ij} - \delta^{ij}}{r_{ab} S_{ab}} + \frac{(n_{ab}^i - n_a^i)(n_{ab}^j + n_b^j)}{S_{ab}^2}, \quad (117)$$

are used.

In solving Q_{ij} , we can use the transformation (90)-(92) and set $\xi^i = c_{13} \Delta^{-1} (L_{i,t} - \overset{(3)}{K}_{i,t})$ to eliminate the term $c_{13} \Delta^{-1} (L_{i,jt} + L_{j,it} - \overset{(3)}{K}_{i,jt} - \overset{(3)}{K}_{j,it})$. After that, with the relations that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^{-1} \{-4\pi \mathcal{G} \sigma_{ij}\} &= \sum_a \int \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a v_a^i v_a^j}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}|} \delta(\mathbf{z} - \mathbf{y}_a) d^3 \mathbf{z} \\ &= \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} v_a^i v_a^j, \end{aligned} \quad (118)$$

and

$$\Delta^{-1} \{-4\pi \mathcal{G} \sigma_{kk}\} = \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} v_a^2, \quad (119)$$

transferred $Q_{ij}^{(1)}$ can be worked out as

$$Q_{ij}^{(1)} = +4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} c_{14} \right) \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} v_a^i v_a^j + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} c_{14} \right) \sum_a \mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2 \frac{n_a^i n_a^j}{r_a^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \left[\frac{n_{ab}^{ij}}{r_{ab} S_{ab}} + \frac{(n_{ab}^i - n_a^i)(n_{ab}^j + n_b^j)}{S_{ab}^2} \right] \\
& + \delta_{ij} \left\{ + C_{N,tt}^{Q,ij} \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \left[v_a^2 - (\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a)^2 \right] - 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a v_a^2}{r_a} \right. \\
& \quad + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2}{r_a^2} + 2 \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b}{r_a r_b} \\
& \quad \left. + C_{N,tt}^{Q,ij} \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b}{r_{ab}^2} \mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{n}_{ab} + 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b}{r_{ab} S_{ab}} \right\}. \quad (120)
\end{aligned}$$

Collecting all these results together, we have the metric for 2PN light propagation as

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{00}^{(1)} &= -1 + \epsilon^2 \sum_a \frac{2\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \\
& + \epsilon^4 \left\{ + \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \left[(3 + C_{N,tt}^L) v_a^2 - C_{N,tt}^L (\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a)^2 \right] - 2 \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2}{r_a^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \left[-\frac{2}{r_a r_b} - \frac{2}{r_a r_{ab}} + C_{N,tt}^L \frac{\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{n}_{ab}}{r_{ab}^2} \right] \right\} \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5), \quad (121)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{0i}^{(1)} &= +\epsilon^3 \left\{ -\frac{2c_{13}^2 - 2c_1 c_{14} + 8c_1}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a v_a^i}{r_a} + \frac{2(c_3^2 + c_1 c_4)}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} (\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a) n_a^i \right\} \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5), \quad (122)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
g_{ij}^{(1)} &= +\delta_{ij} + \epsilon^2 \sum_a \frac{2\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \delta_{ij} \\
& + \epsilon^4 \delta_{ij} \left\{ \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} \left[\left(C_{N,tt}^{Q,ij} - 1 + 2c_{14} \right) v_a^2 - C_{N,tt}^{Q,ij} (\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{v}_a)^2 \right] + \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2}{r_a^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \left[\frac{2}{r_a r_b} - \frac{2}{r_a r_{ab}} + C_{N,tt}^{Q,ij} \frac{\mathbf{n}_a \cdot \mathbf{n}_{ab}}{r_{ab}^2} + \frac{2(2 - c_{14})}{r_{ab} S_{ab}} \right] \right\} \\
& + \epsilon^4 \left\{ + 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \frac{\mathcal{G} m_a}{r_a} v_a^i v_a^j + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \mathcal{G}^2 m_a^2 \frac{n_a^i n_a^j}{r_a^2} \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}\right) \sum_a \sum_{b \neq a} \mathcal{G}^2 m_a m_b \left[\frac{n_{ab}^{ij}}{r_{ab} S_{ab}} + \frac{(n_{ab}^i - n_a^i)(n_{ab}^j + n_b^j)}{S_{ab}^2} \right] \right\} \\
& + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^5). \quad (123)
\end{aligned}$$

When $c_1 = c_2 = c_3 = c_4 = 0$, ae-theory goes back to GR, and the above metric reduces to the metric given in GR by [40].

If we only consider the case that light just passes the limb of the Sun (M_\odot), which will provide the strongest light deflection effect in the solar system, we can simplify the 2PN

metric further, by neglecting the contributions from planets. Hence, the metric for such a practical 2PN light deflection experiments can be simplified as

$$g_{00}^{(2)} = -1 + \epsilon^2 \frac{2\mathcal{G}M_\odot}{R_\odot} - 2\epsilon^4 \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 M_\odot^2}{R_\odot^2}, \quad (124)$$

$$g_{0i}^{(2)} = 0, \quad (125)$$

$$g_{ij}^{(2)} = +\delta_{ij} + \epsilon^2 \frac{2\mathcal{G}M_\odot}{R_\odot} \delta_{ij} + \epsilon^4 \left[\delta_{ij} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}c_{14} \right) \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 M_\odot^2}{R_\odot^2} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14} \right) \mathcal{G}^2 M_\odot^2 \frac{n_\odot^i n_\odot^j}{R_\odot^2} \right], \quad (126)$$

which is anisotropic in g_{ij} , causing inconvenience in the calculation of 2PN light deflection angle. Only one 2PN parameter c_{14} , which deviates from GR, remains in this special case.

C. Isotropic coordinates for the dominated body

The transformation between isotropic and harmonic coordinate system in GR involves only the radial coordinate, and is given by

$$r_H + \epsilon^2 \mathcal{G}m = r_I \left(1 + \epsilon^2 \frac{\mathcal{G}m}{2r_I} \right)^2, \quad (127)$$

whose 2PN approximation is

$$r_I = r_H - \epsilon^4 \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m^2}{4r_H} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^6), \quad (128)$$

where r_I and r_H represent the radial coordinate in the isotropic and harmonic system respectively. Hence, we obtain the transformation in ae-theory for spatial components as

$$\bar{x}^i = x^i - \epsilon^4 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14} \right) \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 m^2}{4r} n^i, \quad (129)$$

and, using the transformation (90)-(92) again, we get the metric $g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ for 2PN light propagation as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 = & \left(-1 + \frac{2\mathcal{G}M_\odot}{c^2 r} - \frac{2\mathcal{G}^2 M_\odot^2}{c^4 r^2} \right) c^2 dt^2 \\ & + \left[1 + \frac{2\mathcal{G}M_\odot}{c^2 r} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{4}c_{14} \right) \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 M_\odot^2}{c^4 r^2} \right] (d^2 r^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2), \end{aligned} \quad (130)$$

where $d\Omega^2 \equiv d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$. In the spacetime with metric (130), the light deflection angle up to 2PN approximation is

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{4\mathcal{G}M_\odot}{c^2 d} + \left[\frac{(15 + c_{14})\pi}{4} - 8 \right] \frac{\mathcal{G}^2 M_\odot^2}{c^4 d^2}, \quad (131)$$

where d represents the coordinate radius at the point of closest approach of the ray and c_{14} is the *only* non-GR parameter representing the deviation from GR, which poses another constraint on action parameters of ae-theory.

Many previous works have been done to constrain the parameters $c_{1,2,3,4}$ by theoretical and experimental analyses, including the rate of primordial nucleosynthesis [43], the rate of Čerenkov radiation [5], the stability and positive energy of the linearized wave modes [11, 14], the experimental bounds on the PPN parameters [12, 13, 14], which shows a two-parameter family of ae-theory can satisfy the requirements of observations (see [14] for a summary). The constraints coming from the strong field effects and the rate of gravitational radiation damping in binary systems show that tests will be satisfied by the small- $c_{1,2,3,4}$ and the weak field PPN parameters conditions [15, 16, 21].

In 1PN weak field experiments, the preferred frame PPN parameters are $|\alpha_1| \leq 10^{-4}$ and $|\alpha_2| \leq 10^{-7}$ [4, 44]. Putting both of them to zero leads to two conditions with two parameters [14]:

- $c_2 = (-2c_1^2 - c_1c_3 + c_3^2)/(3c_1)$, $c_4 = -c_3^2/c_1$;
- $c_{13} = 0$, $c_{14} = 0$.

The latter case ($c_{14} = 0$) will cause the propagation of the linearized wave modes of spin-0 and spin-1 with infinite velocities [11, 14]. If it is shown that the difference between GR and ae-theory is extremely small by future 2PN light deflection experiments, it, together with the 1PN conditions given by [12, 13, 14], will improve the above conditions further ($\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$ plus $c_{14} = 0$):

- $c_2 = -2c_1/3$, $c_3 = c_1$, $c_4 = -c_1$;
- $c_{13} = 0$, $c_{14} = 0$,

which implies that the velocities of spin-0 and spin-1 modes waves are infinite in both cases if there is no deviation between ae-theory and GR in weak field experiments. Other experiments focusing on 2PN periastron advances in the binary pulsars and the solar system and on preferred frame effects can provide more constraints on the action parameters, $c_{1,2,3,4}$. These experiments need 2PN equations of motion in ae-theory. The simplest case is the free fall of a test particle in the Sun's gravitational field. Its associated metric in the isotropic

coordinate, which needs to extend g_{00} in Eq. (130) to $1/c^6$, is

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 = & \left[-1 + \frac{2\mathcal{G}M_\odot}{c^2r} - \frac{2\mathcal{G}^2M_\odot^2}{c^4r^2} + \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{12}c_{14} \right) \frac{\mathcal{G}^3M_\odot^3}{c^6r^3} \right] c^2 dt^2 \\
& + \left[1 + \frac{2\mathcal{G}M_\odot}{c^2r} + \left(\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{4}c_{14} \right) \frac{\mathcal{G}^2M_\odot^2}{c^4r^2} \right] (d^2r^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2),
\end{aligned} \tag{132}$$

where again only one non-GR parameter, c_{14} , appears in g_{00} and g_{ij} .

VII. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we obtain the 2PN approximation of ae-theory by Chandrasekhar's approach. It shows a more comprehensive picture of the structure of 2PN approximation than scalar-tensor theories. Our works obtain five PPN parameters in 1PN, and they are consistent with previous works [12, 13, 14]. Meanwhile, a 2PPN parameter in ae-theory, ι , which is a non-GR parameter in the 2PN g_{00} , is discussed and compared with other theories, such as a multiscalar-tensor theory [25, 30] and a scalar-tensor theory with an intermediate range force [35]. It is shown that ι is zero in ae-theory, which means that ae-theory, similar to GR, does not have the third nonlinearity of Newtonian potential in g_{00} . For future applications in deep space laser ranging missions, we derive the 2PN metric for light propagation in the case of N nonspinning point masses as a simplified model for the solar system. The deviation of the resulting 2PN deflection angle of light between GR and ae-theory is dependent only on c_{14} , which gives another constraint of action parameters, $c_{1,2,3,4}$. If future experiments show c_{14} is zero, it means that the linearized waves with the spin-0 and spin-1 modes in ae-theory will propagate with infinite velocities.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge very useful and helpful comments and suggestions from our anonymous referee. We thank Xue-Mei Deng of Purple Mountain Observatory for her helpful discussions and advices. This work is funded by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 10563001.

APPENDIX A: CONSTANTS $C_{Y,\mu\nu}^X$ AND ΔA

There are some constants like $C_{Y,\mu\nu}^X$ in the coefficients of the metric, whose expressions are

$$C_{N,it}^{(3)K_i} = \frac{1}{c_3^2 + 2c_1 - c_1^2} \left[c_4 \left(\frac{3}{2}c_{13} - 1 \right) - \frac{c_{23}}{c_{123}} \left(c_{13} + c_3 - c_4 \right) + \frac{c_{13}}{c_{123}} \left(\frac{1}{2}c_{13}c_{23} + c_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2c_4 - c_3c_4 \right) \right], \quad (\text{A1})$$

$$C_{N,tt}^L = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{14}} \left[1 - \frac{7}{2}c_1 - \frac{9}{2}c_2 - \frac{3}{2}c_3 - 2c_4 + \frac{1}{2c_{123}}(c_2 + c_{12} + c_{1234})^2 \right], \quad (\text{A2})$$

$$C_{N,tt}^{Q_{ij}} = 1 - \frac{3}{2}c_{14} + c_2 - \frac{(c_{12} + c_{24})(c_{23} - c_4)}{2c_{123}} + \frac{c_{14}}{2}C_{N,tt}^L, \quad (\text{A3})$$

$$C_{N,iN,t}^{Q_i} = -\frac{1}{4c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} \left[+6c_2c_3c_{14} + c_3c_4c_{234} + 4c_4c_3^2 + 3c_1c_4^2 - c_1c_4c_{23} - 2c_1c_4c_{12} - 4c_1c_4c_{123} - 2c_3^2c_{23} - 4c_3^2c_{123} - 2c_1^2c_{14} - 24c_1c_{123} \right] \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$C_{NN,it}^{Q_i} = \frac{1}{4c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} \left[+c_3c_4^2 + 17c_1c_4c_{23} + 18c_1^2c_{1234} - c_1c_4^2 - 2c_3^2c_4 - c_3c_4c_{23} - 2c_3^2c_{123} \right], \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$C_{N,iN,t}^{(5)K_i} = -\frac{1}{8c_{123}^2(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} \left(+2c_1^4 + 14c_1^3c_2 + 8c_1^3c_3 - 14c_1^3c_4 + 12c_1^2c_2^2 + 18c_1^2c_2c_3 - 13c_1^2c_2c_4 - 8c_1^2c_3^2 - 23c_1^2c_3c_4 + 5c_1^2c_4^2 - 26c_1c_2c_3^2 - 8c_1c_2c_3c_4 + 4c_1c_2c_4^2 - 32c_1c_3^3 - 2c_1c_3^2c_4 + 8c_1c_3c_4^2 - 12c_2^2c_3^2 - 30c_2c_3^3 + 5c_2c_3^2c_4 + 4c_2c_3c_4^2 - 18c_3^4 + 7c_3^3c_4 + 3c_3^2c_4^2 - 32c_1^3 - 80c_1^2c_2 - 96c_1^2c_3 + 12c_1^2c_4 - 48c_1c_2^2 - 124c_1c_2c_3 - 4c_1c_2c_4 - 76c_1c_3^2 + 4c_1c_3c_4 - 4c_1c_4^2 - 12c_2^2c_3 - 14c_2^2c_4 - 24c_2c_3^2 - 24c_2c_3c_4 - 2c_2c_4^2 - 12c_3^3 - 10c_3^2c_4 - 2c_3c_4^2 \right), \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$C_{NN,it}^{(5)K_i} = \frac{1}{8c_{123}^2(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} \left(+18c_1^4 + 28c_1^3c_2 + 46c_1^3c_3 + 32c_1^3c_4 + 10c_1^2c_2^2 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +58c_1^2c_2c_3 + 51c_1^2c_2c_4 + 50c_1^2c_3^2 + 73c_1^2c_3c_4 - c_1^2c_4^2 + 20c_1c_2^2c_3 \\
& +20c_1c_2^2c_4 + 52c_1c_2c_3^2 + 80c_1c_2c_3c_4 + 34c_1c_3^3 + 48c_1c_3^2c_4 + 10c_2^2c_3^2 \\
& +20c_2^2c_3c_4 + 22c_2c_3^3 + 29c_2c_3^2c_4 + 12c_3^4 + 7c_3^3c_4 + c_3^2c_4^2 + 16c_1^2c_2 \\
& +16c_1^2c_3 - 20c_1^2c_4 + 16c_1c_2^2 + 28c_1c_2c_3 - 16c_1c_2c_4 + 12c_1c_3^2 - 16c_1c_3c_4 \\
& -4c_2^2c_3 + 2c_2^2c_4 - 8c_2c_3^2 + 8c_2c_3c_4 - 2c_2c_4^2 - 4c_3^3 + 6c_3^2c_4 - 2c_3c_4^2), \tag{A7}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{L,it}^{(5)K_i} = \frac{1}{2c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} & \left(+2c_1^2c_{134} + c_1^2c_{234} + c_3^2c_{234} \right. \\
& \left. +2c_1c_{23}c_{34} + 2c_{12}c_3c_4 + 2c_1c_{23} - 2c_1c_4 \right), \tag{A8}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{NN,tt}^Q = \frac{2}{2-c_{14}} & \left[2 - \frac{1}{4}c_1 - c_2 + \frac{1}{4}c_3 - \frac{1}{8}c_4 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{(c_{12} + c_{24})(7c_1 + 2c_2 + 3c_3 + 5c_4)}{4c_{123}} - \frac{c_4(c_{12} + c_{24})^2}{8c_{123}^2} \right], \tag{A9}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{N,tN,t}^Q = \frac{2}{2-c_{14}} & \left[4 - 2c_1 - \frac{5}{2}c_2 + \frac{1}{4}c_3 - \frac{15}{8}c_4 \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{(c_{12} + c_{24})(10c_1 + 6c_3 + 5c_{24})}{4c_{123}} - \frac{c_4(c_{12} + c_{24})^2}{8c_{123}^2} \right], \tag{A10}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{N,ktLk}^Q = -\frac{2}{2-c_{14}} & \left\{ 2 - 2c_1 - \frac{1}{2}c_{24} - \frac{3}{2}c_3 \right. \\
& - \frac{2}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \left[(c_1 + 2c_3 - c_4)(c_3^2 + c_1c_4) - c_4c_{13} \left(\frac{3}{2}c_{13} - 1 \right) \right] \\
& + \frac{2c_{13}^2}{c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} \left(\frac{1}{2}c_{13}c_{23} + c_3^2 - \frac{1}{2}c_2c_4 - c_3c_4 \right) \\
& \left. - \frac{2c_{13}c_{23}(c_{13} + c_3 - c_4)}{c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} \right\}, \tag{A11}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{N,ktK_k}^{(3)Q} = -\frac{2}{2-c_{14}} & \left\{ c_{14} - c_2 + \frac{(c_{12} + c_{24})(c_{23} - c_4)}{2c_{123}} \right. \\
& + \frac{2}{c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1} \left[(c_1 + 2c_3 - c_4)(c_3^2 + c_1c_4) - c_4c_{13} \left(\frac{3}{2}c_{13} - 1 \right) \right] \\
& \left. + \frac{c_{13}^2(c_2c_4 + 2c_3c_4 - c_{13}c_{23} - 2c_3^2)}{c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} + \frac{2c_{13}c_{23}(c_{13} + c_3 - c_4)}{c_{123}(c_3^2 - c_1^2 + 2c_1)} \right\}. \tag{A12}
\end{aligned}$$

In the Eq. (70), we introduce a variable \mathcal{A} , which satisfies a Poisson's equation as

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathcal{A} = & \left[-\frac{3}{2} + \frac{1}{8c_{123}}(10c_{13} + 12c_2 - 3c_4) + \frac{c_4(c_{12} + c_{24})}{8c_{123}^2} \right] N \Delta N_t \\
& + \left[\frac{2c_3 - 5c_4}{8c_{123}} + \frac{c_{12} + c_{24}}{2c_{123}^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}c_1 + c_3 - \frac{1}{4}c_4 \right) \right] N_{,t} \Delta N \\
& - \frac{c_{13}}{4c_{123}} N_{,k} \Delta L_k + \frac{c_1 + 2c_3 - c_4}{2c_{123}} \left(L_k \Delta N_{,k} - \overset{(3)}{K}_k \Delta N_{,k} \right) \\
& - \frac{c_4}{2c_{123}} N_{,k} \Delta \overset{(3)}{K}_k + \frac{c_{13}}{c_{123}} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}c_{14} \right) N_{,k} N_{,kt} + \frac{4c_{13}(2 - c_{14})}{c_{123}} \pi \mathcal{G} \sigma_{kk,t} \\
& - \frac{4c_{13}}{c_{123}} \pi \mathcal{G} (N \sigma_{,t} + \sigma N_{,t}) - \frac{c_{14}}{c_{123}} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{c_{12} + c_{24}}{2c_{123}} \right) N_{,ttt} \\
& + \frac{c_{13}}{2c_{123}} N_{,ttt} \left[\frac{7}{2} - \frac{9}{4}c_{14} + \frac{3}{2}c_2 + \frac{1}{2}c_{13} + \frac{c_{12} + c_{24}}{4c_{123}} (2c_1 - 3c_2 - c_3 + 3c_4) \right. \\
& \quad + \frac{2}{2 - c_{14}} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{3}{4}c_{14} \right) \left(-1 + \frac{7}{2}c_1 + \frac{9}{2}c_2 + \frac{3}{2}c_3 + 2c_4 \right) \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{2}{c_{123}(2 - c_{14})} \left(\frac{3}{8}c_{14} - \frac{1}{4} \right) (c_2 + c_{12} + c_{1234})^2 \right]. \tag{A13}
\end{aligned}$$

-
- [1] C. M. Will and K. Nordtvedt, Jr., *Astrophys. J.* **177**, 757 (1972).
- [2] K. Nordtvedt, Jr. and C. M. Will, *Astrophys. J.* **177**, 775 (1972).
- [3] R. W. Hellings and K. Nordtvedt, Jr., *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 3593 (1973).
- [4] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 1993).
- [5] J. W. Elliott, G. D. Moore and H. Stoica, *J. High Energy Phys.* **08**, 066 (2005).
- [6] V. A. Kostelecký and S. Samuel, *Phys. Rev. D* **40**, 1886 (1989).
- [7] M. A. Clayton and J. W. Moffat, *Phys. Lett. B* **460**, 263 (1999).
- [8] M. A. Clayton and J. W. Moffat, *Phys. Lett. B* **477**, 269 (2000).
- [9] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, *Phys. Rev. D* **64**, 024028 (2001).
- [10] C. Eling, T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, in *Deserfest*, edited by J. Liu, M. J. Duff, K. Stelle and R. P. Woodard (Singapore: World Scientific, 2006), p. 163.
- [11] T. Jacobson and D. Mattingly, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 024003 (2004).
- [12] C. Eling and T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 064005 (2004).
- [13] M. L. Graesser, A. Jenkins and M. B. Wise, *Phys. Lett. B* **613**, 5 (2005).
- [14] B. Z. Foster and T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 064015 (2006).
- [15] B. Z. Foster, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 104012 (2006).
- [16] B. Z. Foster, *Phys. Rev. D* **75**, 129904(E) (2007).
- [17] C. Eling and T. Jacobson, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 5625 (2006).
- [18] C. Eling and T. Jacobson, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **23**, 5643 (2006).
- [19] D. Garfinkle, C. Eling and T. Jacobson, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 024003 (2007).
- [20] C. Eling, T. Jacobson and M. C. Miller, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 042003 (2007).
- [21] B. Z. Foster, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 084033 (2007).
- [22] M. Benacquista and K. Nordtvedt, *Astrophys. J.* **328**, 588 (1988).
- [23] M. Benacquista, *Phys. Rev. D* **45**, 1163 (1992).
- [24] K. Nordtvedt, *Astrophys. J.* **407**, 758 (1993).
- [25] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farèse, *Phys. Rev. D.* **53**, 5541 (1996).
- [26] S. Chandrasekhar, *Astrophys. J.* **142**, 1488 (1965).
- [27] S. Chandrasekhar, *Astrophys. J.* **158**, 55 (1969).
- [28] C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (Freeman, San Francisco, 1973).

- [29] L. Blanchet and T. Damour, *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré: Phys. Theor.* **50**, 377 (1989).
- [30] T. Damour and G. Esposito-Farèse, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **9**, 2093 (1992).
- [31] S. A. Klioner and M. H. Soffel, *Phys. Rev. D* **62**, 024019 (2000).
- [32] B. Z. Foster, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 044017 (2005).
- [33] S. Kopeikin and I. Vlasov, *Phys. Rep.* **400**, 209 (2004).
- [34] D. L. Lee, A. P. Lightman and W.-T. Ni, *Phys. Rev. D* **10**, 1685 (1974).
- [35] Y. Xie, W.-T. Ni, P. Dong and T.-Y. Huang, *J. Adv. Space Res.* (2007), accepted. arXiv:0704.2991.
- [36] S. G. Turyshev, M. Shao and K. Nordtvedt, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **21**, 2773 (2004).
- [37] W.-T. Ni, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **11**, 947 (2002).
- [38] L. Lindegren, M. A. Perryman, U. Bastian *et al.*, in *Amplitude and Intensity Spatial Interferometry II*, edited by J. B. Breckinridge (SPIE, 1994), vol. 2200, p. 599.
- [39] M. Shao, T. R. Livermore, D. M. Wolff, J. W. Yu and M. M. Colvavita, in *Bulletion of the American Astronomical Society* (1995), vol. 27, p. 1384.
- [40] L. Blanchet, G. Faye and B. Ponsot, *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 124002 (1998).
- [41] G. Faye, L. Blanchet and A. Buonanno, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 104033 (2006).
- [42] V. A. Fock, *The Theory of Space, Time and Gravitation* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1959).
- [43] S. M. Carroll and E. A. Lim, *Phys. Rev. D* **70**, 123525 (2004).
- [44] C. M. Will, *Living Rev. Relativity* **9**, 3 (2006), <http://www.livingreviews.org/lrr-2006-3>.