

Canonical quantization of Plebanski gravity in diagonal variables

Eyo Eyo Ita III

October 31, 2018

Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics
Centre for Mathematical Sciences, University of Cambridge, Wilberforce Road
Cambridge CB3 0WA, United Kingdom
eei20@cam.ac.uk

Abstract

In this paper we have carried out a transformation from Ashtekar's theory of GR into a reduced theory where the physical degrees of freedom are explicit. We have performed the canonical analysis, computed the classical dynamics and have performed a quantization on this reduced space, constructing a Hilbert space of states for vanishing cosmological constant. Finally, we have clarified the canonical structure of the dual theory in relation to the original Ashtekar theory.

1 Introduction

The canonical formulation of the metric representation of general relativity produces a totally constrained system as a consequence of diffeomorphism invariance. The Hamiltonian consists of a linear combination of first class constraints $H_\mu = (H, H_i)$, respectively the Hamiltonian and diffeomorphism constraints. These constraints H_μ have thus far turned out to be intractable in the metric representation due to their nonpolynomial structure in the basic variables. A major development occurred in 1988 with the introduction of the Ashtekar variables (see e.g. [1],[2],[3]), which led to the simplification of the initial value constraints into polynomial form. The Ashtekar variables can be seen as a result of enlarging the metric phase space Ω , essentially by embedding it into the phase space of a $SO(3)$ Yang–Mills theory. A remnant of this embedding is the inclusion of the Gauss’ law constraint G_a in the list of constraints $H_\mu \rightarrow (H_\mu, G_a)$. The projection to the constraint shell has been problematic in the full theory also in the Ashtekar variables due to the presence of this additional constraint G_a ¹

In this paper we provide a prescription for projection from the full theory of the Ashtekar variables to the constraint shell through a series of transformations. We then compute the Hamiltonian dynamics and carry out a quantization of the resulting reduced space. The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we transform the Ashtekar action I_{Ash} into a new action I_{Inst} and then carry out the reduction in section 3 to the kinematic phase space Ω_{Kin} . We write the resulting action, which can be seen as I_{Inst} at the level after implementation of the diffeomorphism and Gauss’ law constraints. In section 4 we formulate the canonical structure of the reduced action, transforming it into a canonical form exhibiting a cotangent bundle structure by restricting the configuration space Γ_{Kin} to a diagonal connection. It is found that the Hamiltonian constraint is a first class constraint, which enables the dynamics on Ω_{Kin} to be preserved. In section 5 we compute the classical dynamics for $\Lambda = 0$ and construct the spacetime metric, which is now a derived quantity. In section 6 we carry out a quantization, constructing a Hilbert space of states annihilated by the Hamiltonian constraint for $\Lambda = 0$. This formalism enables the calculation of expectation values. Section 7 establishes the canonical equivalence to the original Ashtekar variables, which highlights the role of the initial value constraints. Section 8 is a brief summary and conclusion.

¹The spin network states of loop quantum gravity solve the Gauss’ law constraint by construction, and provide a kinematic Hilbert \mathbf{H}_{Kin} space for GR. However, they have not yet to the author’s knowledge been shown to solve the Hamiltonian constraint, which encodes the dynamics of the theory. Still, many insights have resulted from the application of the Ashtekar variables at the classical and at the quantum level.

2 Ashtekar variables into the instanton representation

The action for general relativity in the Ashtekar variables can be written as the 3+1 decomposition of a totally constrained system, given by [1],[3]

$$I_{Ash} = \int dt \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\sigma}_a^i \dot{A}_i^a + A_0^a D_i \tilde{\sigma}_a^i - \epsilon_{ijk} N^i \tilde{\sigma}_a^j B_a^k - \frac{i}{2} \underline{N} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{abc} \tilde{\sigma}_a^i \tilde{\sigma}_b^j (B_c^k + \frac{\Lambda}{3} \tilde{\sigma}_c^k), \quad (1)$$

where Λ is the cosmological constant. The basic phase space variables are a self-dual $SO(3, C)$ gauge connection A_i^a and a densitized triad $\tilde{\sigma}_a^i$.² The initial value constraints are (G_a, H_i, H) , the diffeomorphism, Hamiltonian and Gauss' law constraints, which are smeared by their respective Lagrange multiplier fields (A_0^a, N^i, N) . These auxiliary fields are A_0^a , the temporal components of a four dimensional connection A_μ^a , the shift vector N^i and the lapse function N , and $\underline{N} = N(\det \tilde{\sigma})^{-1/2}$ is the densitized lapse function.

We will now perform a change of variables using the CDJ Ansatz [4]

$$\tilde{\sigma}_a^i = \Psi_{ae} B_e^i, \quad (2)$$

where $B_a^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{jk}^a$ is the magnetic field for A_i^a . The matrix $\Psi_{ae} \in SO(3, C) \otimes SO(3, C)$, known as the CDJ matrix, is named after Riccardo Capovilla, John Dell and Ted Jacobson, and (2) is valid as long as Ψ_{ae} and B_a^i are nondegenerate three by three matrices. Substitution of (2) into (1) yields the action

$$I_{Inst} = \int dt \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \Psi_{ae} B_e^i \dot{A}_i^a + A_0^a B_e^i D_i \Psi_{ae} - \epsilon_{ijk} N^i B_a^j B_e^k \Psi_{ae} - iN(\det B)^{1/2} \sqrt{\det \Psi} (\Lambda + \text{tr} \Psi^{-1}), \quad (3)$$

which is defined on the phase space $\Omega_{Inst} = (\Psi_{ae}, A_i^a)$. To obtain (3) we have used the Bianchi identity $D_i B_a^i = 0$, combined with the characteristic equation for nondegenerate 3 by 3 matrices.

If (2) were a canonical transformation, then the phase space structure of (3) would imply that the variable canonically conjugate to Ψ_{ae} is an

²The convention for labelling indices is that symbols from the beginning part of the Latin alphabet a, b, c, \dots denote internal indices, while symbols from the middle i, j, k, \dots denote spatial indices.

object X^{ae} whose time derivative is $B_e^i \dot{A}_i^a$. However, (2) is not a canonical transformation, which can be seen as follows. The symplectic two form on the phase space Ω_{Ash} is given by

$$\Omega_{Ash} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \delta \tilde{\sigma}_a^i(x) \wedge \delta A_i^a(x) = \delta \left(\int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\sigma}_a^i(x) \delta A_i^a(x) \right) = \delta \theta_{Ash}, \quad (4)$$

which is the exterior derivative of its canonical one form θ_{Ash} . Using the functional Liebniz rule in conjunction with the variation of (2) we have $\delta \tilde{\sigma}_a^i = B_e^i \delta \Psi_{ae} + \Psi_{ae} \delta B_e^i$, which transforms the left hand side of (4) into

$$\Omega_{Inst} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \delta \Psi_{ae} \wedge B_e^i \delta A_i^a + \int_{\Sigma} \epsilon_{ijk} \Psi_{ae} \delta (D_j A_k^e) \wedge \delta A_i^a. \quad (5)$$

Due to the second term on the right hand side of (5), the symplectic two form for I_{Inst} not in general exact and no such variable X^{ae} exists on the phase space Ω_{Inst} . If there exist configurations where the second term of (5) vanishes, then such a canonical theory may be established. We will obtain a canonical theory in two stages, starting with a reduction of (3) to the kinematical phase space $\Omega_{Inst} \rightarrow \Omega_{Kin}$. Ω_{Kin} is defined as the phase space after the diffeomorphism and the Gauss' law constraint have been implemented, leaving remaining the Hamiltonian constraint.

3 Reduction to the kinematic phase space

The equation of motion for the shift vector N^i implies that $\Psi_{ae} = \Psi_{ea}$ is symmetric. Using the relation $F_{0i}^a = \dot{A}_i^a - D_i A_0^a$ for the temporal component of the curvature and performing an integration by parts in conjunction with the Bianchi identity, (3) for symmetric Ψ_{ae} reduces to

$$I_{Inst} = \int dt \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left[\frac{1}{2} \Psi_{(ae)} \epsilon^{ijk} F_{0i}^a F_{jk}^e - iN (\det B)^{1/2} \sqrt{\det \Psi} (\Lambda + \text{tr} \Psi^{-1}) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) can be written in covariant form using the definition $\epsilon^{ijk} \equiv \epsilon^{0ijk}$, and invoking the symmetries of the 4-dimensional epsilon tensor $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. The resulting action is given by

$$I_{Inst} = \int_M d^4x \left[\frac{1}{8} \Psi_{ae} F_{\mu\nu}^a F_{\rho\sigma}^e \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - iN (\det B)^{1/2} \sqrt{\det \Psi} (\Lambda + \text{tr} \Psi^{-1}) \right], \quad (7)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c$ is the curvature of the four dimensional connection A_μ^a . Since Ψ_{ae} is symmetric we can write it as a polar decomposition³

$$\Psi_{ae} = (e^{\theta \cdot T})_{af} \lambda_f (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{fe}, \quad (8)$$

using a $SO(3, C)$ transformation $(e^{\theta \cdot T})_{ae}$ parametrized by three complex angles $\vec{\theta} = (\theta^1, \theta^2, \theta^3)$. This corresponds to a rotation of the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues $\lambda_f = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ from the intrinsic frame, where Ψ_{ae} is diagonal, into an arbitrary $SO(3, C)$ frame. Substitution of (8) into the first term of (7) yields

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{8} \int_M d^4 x \lambda_f ((e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{fa} F_{\mu\nu}^a [A]) ((e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{fe} F_{\rho\sigma}^e [A]) \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}. \quad (9)$$

Note that the internal index on each curvature in (9) has been rotated by $e^{-\theta \cdot T}$, which corresponds to a $SO(3, C)$ gauge transformation. Therefore there exists a curvature $f_{\mu\nu}^a[a] = (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{ae} F_{\mu\nu}^e [A]$ corresponding to some four dimensional connection a_μ^a . The relation between a_μ^a and $f_{\mu\nu}^a$, which contains no explicit reference to the $SO(3, C)$ angles $\vec{\theta}$, is given by $f_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu a_\nu^a - \partial_\nu a_\mu^a + f^{abc} a_\mu^b a_\nu^c$. It then follows that the connection a_μ^a is a $SO(3, C)$ gauge transformed version of A_μ^a related by

$$a_\mu^a = (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{ae} A_\mu^e - \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{abc} (\partial_\mu (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{bf}) (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{cf}, \quad (10)$$

which corresponds to the adjoint representation of the gauge group [7]. Defining $b_a^i = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} f_{jk}^a$ as the magnetic field of a_i^a , and using the complex orthogonal property $\det(e^{\theta \cdot T}) = 1$, then (7) can be written as

$$I_{Inst} = \int_M d^4 x \left[\frac{1}{8} \lambda_f f_{\mu\nu}^f f_{\rho\sigma}^f \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - iN (\det b)^{1/2} \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \left(\Lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \right) \right] \quad (11)$$

where we have used $B_a^i = (e^{\theta \cdot T})_{ae} b_e^i$ as well as the cyclic property of the trace. The 3+1 decomposition of (11) is given by

$$I_{Inst} = \int dt \int_\Sigma d^3 x \left[\lambda_f b_f^i \dot{a}_i^f + a_0^f b_f^i \underline{D}_i \{ \lambda_f \} - N (\det b)^{1/2} \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \left(\Lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \right) \right], \quad (12)$$

where \underline{D}_i is the covariant derivative with respect to the connection a_i^a . Variation of a_0^f in (12) would result on an additional constraint on λ_f which is

³We assume that Ψ_{ae} is diagonalizable, which requires the existence of three linearly independent eigenvectors [6]. Additionally, we will assume that the eigenvalues are nonzero.

unsatisfactory, since we would like to use λ_f for the physical degrees of freedom of the theory. To avoid this, we will now choose $a_0^f = 0$, which also has the effect of eliminating three unphysical degrees of freedom.

The effect of the choice $a_0^f = 0$ will be to decouple the Gauss' law constraint from the reduced space Ω_{Kin} . However, the Gauss' law constraint can still be implemented on the larger phase space Ω_{Inst} by variation of A_0^a in (3). Combined with the decomposition (8), this yields

$$G_a = B_e^i D_i \{ (e^{\theta \cdot T})_{af} \lambda_f (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{fe} \} = 0 \quad (13)$$

which is a triple of differential equations. For each $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ and A_i^a , (13) should in principle fix the angles $\vec{\theta} = \vec{\theta}[\vec{\lambda}, A]$. Note that the choice $A_0^a = \epsilon^{abc} (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{bf} \frac{d}{dt} (e^{-\theta \cdot T})_{cf}$ is consistent with $a_0^f = 0$. Hence one first implements the Gauss' law constraint on Ω_{Inst} , following by projection to Ω_{Kin} by choosing $\vec{\theta}$ in the decomposition (8) to be the solution to (13). Then the action on the kinematic phase space is given by

$$I_{Kin} = \int dt \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left[\lambda_f b_f^i \dot{a}_i^f - iN(\det b)^{1/2} \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \left(\Lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \right) \right]. \quad (14)$$

4 Canonical structure on the kinematic phase space

We will now compute the classical dynamics of the reduced theory on Ω_{Kin} . Appending a factor of $-\frac{i}{G}$, the action is given by

$$I_{Kin} = -\frac{i}{G} \int dt \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left[\lambda_f b_f^i \dot{a}_i^f - iN(\det b)^{1/2} \sqrt{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3} \left(\Lambda + \frac{1}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1}{\lambda_2} + \frac{1}{\lambda_3} \right) \right]. \quad (15)$$

Recall that the initial phase space Ω_{Inst} was of dimension $(9, 9)$, namely with 9 momentum and 9 configuration space degrees of freedom per point. Implementation of the diffeomorphism and the Gauss' law constraints respectively resulted in the following reduction sequence

$$Dim(\Omega_{Inst}) = (9, 9) \longrightarrow (6, 9) \longrightarrow (3, 6). \quad (16)$$

The configuration space a_i^a in (15) contains three more degrees of freedom per point than the momentum space $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$. To have a cotangent bundle structure on the reduced space we must eliminate three degrees of freedom from a_i^f . Let us set three elements of a_i^f to zero, by choosing a diagonal connection

$$a_i^a = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_3 \end{pmatrix}; b_e^i = \begin{pmatrix} a_2 a_3 & -\partial_3 a_2 & \partial_2 a_3 \\ \partial_3 a_1 & a_3 a_1 & -\partial_1 a_3 \\ -\partial_2 a_1 & \partial_1 a_2 & a_1 a_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a_f = a_f(x, t)$ contain three independent degrees of freedom per point (and therefore corresponds to the full theory and not minisuperspace). This particular configuration corresponds to a canonical one form

$$\boldsymbol{\theta} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left(\lambda_1 a_2 a_3 \delta a_1 + \lambda_2 a_3 a_1 \delta a_2 + \lambda_3 a_1 a_2 \delta a_3 \right). \quad (17)$$

Note that there are no spatial gradients in (17), which is a consequence of the fact that the spatial gradients in b_a^i are contained in the off-diagonal positions of the matrix. The variation of (17) yields

$$\delta \boldsymbol{\theta} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x a_2 a_3 \delta \lambda_1 \wedge \delta a_1 + \lambda_1 \delta(a_2 a_3) \wedge \delta a_1 + \text{Cyclic Perms.} \quad (18)$$

which does not yield a symplectic two form of canonical form. To remedy this, let us make the change of variables

$$\Pi_f = \lambda_f(a_1 a_2 a_3); \quad X^f = \ln\left(\frac{a_f}{a_0}\right), \quad (19)$$

where a_0 is a numerical constant of mass dimension $[a_0] = 1$, and $(\det A) = a_1 a_2 a_3 \neq 0$. Equation (19) imposes the following ranges on the configuration space $-\infty < |X^f| < \infty$, corresponding to $0 < |a_f| < \infty$. The starting action (15) in terms of the new variables is given by

$$I_{Kin} = -\frac{i}{G} \int dt \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left[\Pi_f \dot{X}^f - i N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_1} + \frac{1}{\Pi_2} + \frac{1}{\Pi_3} \right) \right], \quad (20)$$

where we have defined $T = X^1 + X^2 + X^3$. The quantity U , which depends completely on spatial gradients of X^f , is as defined in Appendix A. Equation (20) is canonically well-defined and will form the basis of the reduced classical theory and its quantization. Note that regarding Π_f and X^f in (19) as the fundamental variables implies a symplectic two form

$$\boldsymbol{\Omega} = -\frac{i}{G} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \delta \Pi_f \wedge \delta X^f = -\frac{i}{G} \delta \left(\int_{\Sigma} d^3x \Pi_f \delta X^f \right) = \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}, \quad (21)$$

which is the exact variation of the canonical one form $\boldsymbol{\theta}$. We will use (20) as the starting point for formulation of the classical and quantum dynamics for GR on the kinematic phase space Ω_{Kin} .

4.1 Hamiltonian formalism

Since (20) already appears in first order form, we can directly read off from the canonical structure the following elementary Poisson brackets

$$\{X^f(x, t), \Pi_g(y, t)\} = iG\delta_g^f\delta^{(3)}(x, y), \quad (22)$$

whence Π_f is the momentum canonically conjugate to X^f . The momentum conjugate to N is given by

$$\Pi_N = \frac{\delta I_{Kin}}{\delta \dot{N}} = 0, \quad (23)$$

which implies the primary constraint $\Pi_N = 0$. Conservation of this constraint under time evolution leads to the secondary constraint

$$\dot{\Pi}_N = -\frac{\delta I_{Kin}}{\delta N} = a_0^{3/2}e^{T/2}U\sqrt{\Pi_1\Pi_2\Pi_3}\Phi = 0, \quad (24)$$

where we have made the definition

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\Pi_1} + \frac{1}{\Pi_2} + \frac{1}{\Pi_3}. \quad (25)$$

We must now check for the preservation of (24) under Hamiltonian evolution. To carry this out we will need to evaluate Poisson brackets

$$\{H[M], H[N]\} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left(\frac{\delta H[M]}{\delta X^f} \frac{\delta H[N]}{\delta \Pi_f} - \frac{\delta H[N]}{\delta X^f} \frac{\delta H[M]}{\delta \Pi_f} \right) \quad (26)$$

using the smeared Hamiltonian constraint, which is given by

$$H[N] = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \Phi. \quad (27)$$

The functional derivative of (27) with respect to Π_f is of the form

$$\frac{\delta H[N]}{\delta \Pi_f} = N \left(q_f \Phi + q \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_f} \right)^2 \right), \quad (28)$$

where q and q_f are functions on phase space, whose specific forms are not important for what follows. The functional derivative with respect to X^f is of the form

$$\frac{\delta H[N]}{\delta X^f} = Q_f N \Phi + Q_{fi} \partial_i (Q N \Phi) \quad (29)$$

for some Q , Q_f and Q_{fi} which are phase space functions, again whose specific form is also not needed. The spatial gradients in (29) originated from U by integration of parts.

We will now compute the algebra of the Hamiltonian constraint H

$$\{H[M], H[N]\} = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x M \left(q_f \Phi + q \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_f} \right)^2 \right) (Q_f N \Phi + Q_{fi} \partial_i (Q N \Phi) - N \leftrightarrow M). \quad (30)$$

All terms which are proportional to Φ vanish on-shell on account of (25), which is implied by the Hamiltonian constraint. So we need only consider terms from (30) of the form

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^3x M q \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_f} \right)^2 Q_{fi} \partial_i (Q N \Phi) - N \leftrightarrow M, \quad (31)$$

and the only nontrivial contributions to (31) are due to the spatial gradients acting on the smearing functions M and N . This yields

$$\int_{\Sigma} d^3x q Q \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_f} \right)^2 Q_{fi} (M \partial_i N - N \partial_i M) \Phi. \quad (32)$$

The result is that

$$\{H[M], H[N]\} = \{H[Q^i (M \partial_i N - N \partial_i M)], \quad (33)$$

where $Q^i = Q^i(X^f, \Pi_f)$ are phase space dependent structure functions. The Poisson bracket of two Hamiltonian constraints H on the phase space $\Omega_0 = (X^f, \Pi_f)$ is proportional to a Hamiltonian constraint. Therefore H is first class and there are no second class constraints. Since we started with a phase space of $2 \times 3 = 6$ degrees of freedom, the degrees of freedom per point subsequent to implementation of the Hamiltonian constraint are

$$D.O.F. = 2 \times 3 - 2 \times 1 = 4. \quad (34)$$

With four phase space degrees of freedom per point, this shows that the reduced theory is not a topological field theory.

5 Classical dynamics for $\Lambda = 0$

We will now formulate the classical dynamics on Ω_{Kin} for $\Lambda = 0$. For our starting action we will take the first order action given by

$$I_{Kin} = \frac{1}{G} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left(\Pi_f \dot{X}^f - iNa_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \Phi \right), \quad (35)$$

where U , which contains spatial gradients of the configuration variables X^f , is as defined in Appendix A. Also we have defined

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\Pi_1} + \frac{1}{\Pi_2} + \frac{1}{\Pi_3}. \quad (36)$$

There are seven fields, $\Pi_f = (\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3)$ which we require to be nonvanishing, $X^f = (X^1, X^2, X^3)$, and N and we have defined $T = X^1 + X^2 + X^3$. The Euler–Lagrange equations of motion from (35) are given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{N}} \right) = \frac{\delta I_{Kin}}{\delta N}. \quad (37)$$

It is clear from the starting action (35) that the velocity \dot{N} is absent. Additionally, N does not multiply a velocity, therefore it is an auxilliary field and (37) yields

$$a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \Phi = 0. \quad (38)$$

We require that $e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3}$ be nonzero, hence (38) reduces to

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{\Pi_1} + \frac{1}{\Pi_2} + \frac{1}{\Pi_3} = 0, \quad (39)$$

which is a constraint on the variables Π_f . Note that this constraint is independent of the other variables X^f and N .

The equation of motion for X^f is given by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta I_{Kin}}{\delta \dot{X}^f} \right) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta X^f}, \quad (40)$$

which is

$$\dot{\Pi}_f = -Na_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} \frac{\delta U}{\delta X^f} \{ \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \Phi \}. \quad (41)$$

There are spatial gradients from U which act on the terms in curly brackets. But since these terms are proportional to Φ , they vanish on solutions to (39). This implies that

$$\Pi_f(x, t) = \Pi_f(x), \quad (42)$$

which are arbitrary functions of position, independent of time.

To find the equations of motion for Π_f , we subtract a total time derivative $\frac{d}{dt}(\Pi_f X^f)$ from the starting action (35) and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\Pi}_f} \right) = \frac{\delta L}{\delta \Pi_f}, \quad (43)$$

which is

$$-\dot{X}^f = -Na_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} \frac{\delta(\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3)^{1/2}}{\delta \Pi_f} \Phi - Na_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \left(\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta \Pi_f} \right). \quad (44)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (44) vanishes on account of (39), and we are left with the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}^1 &= -Na_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_1} \right)^2; \\ \dot{X}^2 &= -Na_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_2} \right)^2; \\ \dot{X}^3 &= -Na_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_3} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

It will be convenient to make the following definitions

$$\begin{aligned} \eta &= a_0^{3/2} \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \left(\left(\frac{1}{\Pi_1} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_3} \right)^2 \right); \\ \eta_f &= a_0^{3/2} \sqrt{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3} \left(\frac{1}{\Pi_f} \right)^2; \quad \eta = \eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3. \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where $\Pi_3 = -\frac{\Pi_1 \Pi_2}{\Pi_1 + \Pi_2}$ from (39). Then defining $T = X^1 + X^2 + X^3$, then (45) is given by

$$\dot{X}^f = \left(\frac{\eta_f}{\eta} \right) \dot{T}; \quad \dot{T} = -NU e^{T/2} \eta. \quad (47)$$

We have to integrate the equation for T

$$-e^{-T/2}\dot{T} = 2\frac{d}{dt}e^{-T/2} = NU\eta \quad (48)$$

which yields

$$e^{-T/2} = e^{-T_0/2} + \frac{\eta(x)}{2} \int_0^t N(x, t')U(x, t'; T)dt', \quad (49)$$

where we have defined $T_0 = T(x, 0)$. Equation (49) is a nonlinear relation between T and itself. This can be written as

$$T = \ln\left(e^{-T_0/2} + \frac{\eta(x)}{2} \int_0^t N(x, t')U(x, t'; T)dt'\right)^{-2}. \quad (50)$$

One may proceed from (51) to perform a fixed point iteration procedure. Define a sequence $T_n(x, t)$ where $T_0(x, t) = T_0$, and the following recursion relation holds

$$T_{n+1}(x, t) = \ln\left(e^{-T_0/2} + \frac{\eta(x)}{2} \int_0^t N(x, t')U(x, t'; T_n(x, t'))dt'\right)^{-2}. \quad (51)$$

For given initial data $X^f(x, 0)$ on a 3 dimensional spatial hypersurface Σ and a choice of the lapse function $N(x, t)$ through spacetime, if the iteration converges to a fixed point, then one has that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n(x, t) = T(x, t). \quad (52)$$

Integration of the first equation of (47) yields the motion of X^f

$$X^f(x, t) = X^f(x, 0) + \left(\frac{\eta_f}{\eta}\right)T(x, t), \quad (53)$$

with $T(x, t)$ given by (51). The variables X^f evolve linearly with respect to T , seen as a time variable on configuration space Γ .⁴ The solutions for $X^f(x, t)$ in principle are directly constructible from (51) and (52), combined with the specification of boundary data $X^f(x, 0)$. Note that the solutions are labelled by two arbitrary functions of position $\Pi_1(x)$ and $\Pi_2(x)$.

⁴This seems to be the nearest gravitational analogy to the motion of a free particle in ordinary classical mechanics.

5.1 The spacetime metric

The spacetime metric is not a fundamental object and must be derived. The fundamental objects are X^f , or alternatively the corresponding connection components which are given by exponentiation of (53)

$$a_f(x, t) = a_0 \left((\text{deta}(x, 0)/a_0^3)^{-1/2} + \frac{\eta(x)}{2} \int_0^t N(x, t') U(x, t'; T) dt' \right)^{-2\eta_f/\eta}. \quad (54)$$

Equation (54) provides the explicit time variation for the diagonal connection in the reduced full theory. Taking the product over $i = 1, 2, 3$ one finds that for $t = 0$ the condition $\text{deta} = \text{deta}(x, 0)$ is satisfied, which can be chosen arbitrarily on the initial spatial hypersurface Σ_0 . One must then choose the lapse function $N(x, t)$ to specify the manner in which the boundary data becomes evolved for $t > 0$. The solutions are labelled by the conjugate momenta Π_f as encoded in η_f/η . Equation (54) can also be written as

$$a_f(x, t) = \left(\frac{\text{deta}(x, t)}{\text{deta}(x, 0)} \right)^{\eta_f/\eta} = a_0 e^{(\eta_f/\eta)T}, \quad (55)$$

whence the variables evolve with respect to deta , seen as a time variable on configuration space. We will illustrate the construction of the metric for a simple example where the spatial gradients are zero. Recall in the original Ashtekar variables that the contravariant 3-metric h^{ij} is given by

$$h h^{ij} = \tilde{\sigma}_a^i \tilde{\sigma}_a^j \longrightarrow h^{ij} = (\det \tilde{\sigma})^{-1} \tilde{\sigma}_a^i \tilde{\sigma}_a^j. \quad (56)$$

The covariant form on the phase space Ω_{Inst} is given by

$$h_{ij} = (\det \Psi) \Psi_{ae}^{-1} \Psi_{af}^{-1} (B^{-1})_i^e (B^{-1})_j^f (\det B). \quad (57)$$

Restricted to the subspace of diagonal connection variables, which admit the proper canonical relation to the densitized eigenvalues of the CDJ matrix λ_f , this is given by

$$h_{ij} = (\lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3) \begin{pmatrix} (a_1/\lambda_1)^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (a_2/\lambda_2)^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (a_3/\lambda_3)^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

which upon the substitution $\lambda_i = \Pi_i (\text{deta})^{-1}$ yields

$$h_{ij} = \delta_{ij} (\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3) \left(\frac{a_0^3}{\text{deta}} \right) \left(\frac{a_j}{\Pi_j} \right)^2 \quad (58)$$

with a_j given by (54). For simplicity consider the case where the variables are independent of spatial position and depend only on time. Then Π_i are numerical constants, $a_i(x, t) = a_i(t)$, and moreover $U = 1$. As a special case, take $a_i(x, 0) = a_0$, and take $N(x, t) = 2$, namely a constant lapse. Then the metric evolves in time via

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + \delta_{ij} \left(\frac{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3}{\Pi_j^2} \right) (1 + \eta t)^{2(1-\eta_j/\eta)} dx^i dx^j, \quad (59)$$

which has the same form as the Kasner solution, with a re-definition of variables. One may compute the initial volume of the universe

$$Vol(\Sigma_0) = \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \sqrt{h} = l^3 \left(\frac{\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3}{\text{deta}(0)} \right) = l^3 (\text{deta}(0))^{-1} \left(\frac{(\Pi_1 \Pi_2)^2}{\Pi_1 + \Pi_2} \right) \quad (60)$$

at $t = 0$, where l is a characteristic length scale of the universe from integration over minisuperspace. Note that this volume is labelled by two arbitrary constants Π_1 and Π_2 which determine the algebraic classification of the spacetime, as well as $\text{deta}(0)$. This provides a physical interpretation for deta in terms of metric variables. A more in-depth analysis of minisuperspace, as well as a generalization of the above procedure to the full theory, is reserved for a separate paper.

6 Quantization and Hilbert space structure for vanishing cosmological constant

We now proceed to the quantum theory on the kinematic phase space. We have already eliminated the Gauss' law and diffeomorphism constraints, leaving behind a Dirac consistent phase space which admits a canonical formulation and classical dynamics. This implies that we may proceed to the quantum theory by promoting the dynamical variables to quantum operators $X^f \rightarrow \hat{X}^f$ and $\Pi_f \rightarrow \hat{\Pi}_f$, and Poisson brackets (22) to commutators

$$[\hat{X}^f(x, t), \hat{\Pi}_g(y, t)] = (\hbar G) \delta_g^f \delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (61)$$

The operators in the functional Schrödinger representation act respectively by multiplication and by functional differentiation of a wavefunctional

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{X}^f(x, t)\psi &= X^f(x, t)\psi; \\ \hat{\Pi}_f(x, t)\psi &= (\hbar G) \frac{\delta}{\delta X^f(x, t)}\psi. \end{aligned} \quad (62)$$

Note that the following wavefunctionals are eigenstates of $\hat{\Pi}_f$

$$\psi_\lambda[X] = \exp\left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_\Sigma d^3x \tilde{\lambda}_f(x) X^f(x, t)\right], \quad (63)$$

where $\tilde{\lambda}_f(x)$ are arbitrary continuous functions of position, which do not contain any functional dependence on $X^f(x, t)$. We will see that these play the role of labels for the state. The following action ensues for the momentum operator

$$\hat{\Pi}_f(x, t)\psi_\lambda[X] = \tilde{\lambda}(x)\psi_\lambda[X]. \quad (64)$$

We will now search for states $\psi \in \text{Ker}\{\hat{H}\}$. But prior to quantization let us put the smeared constraint into polynomial form

$$H[N] = \int_\Sigma d^3x N a_0^{3/2} e^{T/2} U(\Pi_1 \Pi_2 \Pi_3)^{-1/2} (\Pi_1 \Pi_2 + \Pi_2 \Pi_3 + \Pi_3 \Pi_1). \quad (65)$$

To obtain a nontrivial solution it suffices for the operator in brackets in (65) upon quantization to annihilate the state for each x . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &(\hat{\Pi}_1(x)\hat{\Pi}_2(x) + \hat{\Pi}_2(x)\hat{\Pi}_3(x) + \hat{\Pi}_3(x)\hat{\Pi}_1(x))\psi_\lambda[X] = 0 \quad \forall x \\ \longrightarrow &\left(\tilde{\lambda}_1(x)\tilde{\lambda}_2(x) + \tilde{\lambda}_2(x)\tilde{\lambda}_3(x) + \tilde{\lambda}_3(x)\tilde{\lambda}_1(x)\right)\psi_\lambda[X] = 0 \quad \forall x. \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

This leads to the dispersion relation

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3 = -\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2}{\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2}\right) \quad \forall x. \quad (67)$$

Conventionally in quantum field theory, when there are products of momenta evaluated at the same point a regularization procedure is needed to obtain a well-defined action on states. However, there exist states for which the action of (29), is already well-defined without the need for regularization, namely plane wave-type states annihilated by $\hat{\Phi}$. These are states for which the momenta are functionally independent of the configuration variables and act as labels. The solution is given by⁵

$$\psi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}[X(x)] = \exp\left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \sum_f \tilde{\lambda}_f(x) X^f(x)\right] \Big|_{\lambda_3 = -\lambda_1 \lambda_2 / (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)} \quad (68)$$

for each $x \in \Sigma$. Hence $|\lambda\rangle = |\lambda_1, \lambda_2\rangle \in Ker\{\hat{\Phi}\}$ defines a Hilbert space of states annihilated by the Hamiltonian constraint, labelled by λ_1 and λ_2 , once the measure of normalization has been defined. The full Hilbert space consists of a direct product of the Hilbert spaces $\forall x \in \Sigma$, since (67) must be satisfied independently at each point x . If one regards each spatial hypersurface Σ as a lattice of finite lattice spacing $\mathbf{x}_{n+1} - \mathbf{x}_n = \Delta x$, then

$$\mathbf{H} = \bigotimes_{\mathbf{x}_n} \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}_n) \longrightarrow \psi_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2} \sim \prod_{\mathbf{x}_n} \psi_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}(\mathbf{x}_n). \quad (69)$$

In the continuum limit $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$, the product in (69) goes to a Riemannian integral

$$\psi_{\lambda_1, \lambda_2}[X] = \exp\left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \left(\tilde{\lambda}_1 X^1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2 X^2 - \left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2}{\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2}\right) X^3\right)\right]. \quad (70)$$

Equation (70) solves the quantum Hamiltonian constraint by construction. The momentum labels (λ_1, λ_2) correspond to two functions of spatial position $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma$.

⁵We use the tilde notation to distinguish $\tilde{\lambda}_f$, the eigenvalue of $\hat{\Pi}_f$ on ψ , from the (undensitized) eigenvalues λ_f of $\Psi_{(ae)}$. Since $\Pi_f = \lambda_f(\text{deta})$ at the classical level, then $\tilde{\lambda}_f$ can be seen as a ‘densitized’ version of λ_f . We do not include the tilde in the specification of the state $|\lambda_1, \lambda_2\rangle$, since it would be redundant owing to the invariance of Φ under rescaling of λ_f for $\Lambda = 0$.

6.1 Measure on the Hilbert space

To formalize the Hilbert space structure we need square integrable wavefunctions for solutions to the constraints, which requires the specification of a measure for normalization. If all variables were real, as for spacetimes of Euclidean signature, one would be able to use delta-functional normalizable wavefunctions.

$$D\mu_{Eucl}(X) = \prod_{\mathbf{x}} \delta X^1(x) \delta X^2(x) \delta X^3(x). \quad (71)$$

In (71) X^f is real and on the replacement $\lambda_f \rightarrow i\lambda_f$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Eucl} &= D\mu_{Eucl}(\xi) \exp \left[-i(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\lambda}_f(x) X^f(x) \right] \\ \exp \left[i(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) X^f(x) \right] &= \prod_{\mathbf{x}} \prod_f \delta(\tilde{\lambda}_f(\mathbf{x}) - \tilde{\zeta}_f(\mathbf{x})), \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

or that two states are orthogonal unless their CDJ matrix eigenvalues are identical at each point $\mathbf{x} \in \Sigma$. This can be written more compactly as

$$\langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Eucl} = \int_{\Gamma} D\mu_{Eucl}(\xi) e^{-i(\hbar G)^{-1} \tilde{\lambda} \cdot X} e^{i(\hbar G)^{-1} \tilde{\zeta} \cdot X} = \delta_{\lambda\zeta}. \quad (73)$$

For spacetimes of Lorentzian signature, the variables are in general complex and a Euclidean measure does not produce normalizable wavefunctions. One may then rather use a Gaussian measure to ensure square integrability for the basis wavefunctions in this case. This Gaussian measure is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D\mu_{Lor}(\bar{X}, X) &= \bigotimes_{\mathbf{x}} \nu^{-1} \delta\xi e^{-\nu^{-1} \bar{X} \cdot X} \\ &= \prod_{\mathbf{x}, f} \delta X^f \exp \left[-\nu^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \bar{X}_f(x) X^f(x) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

where ν is a numerical constant with mass dimensions $[\nu] = -3$, needed to make the argument of the exponential dimensionless. The inner product of two un-normalized states is now given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \lambda | \zeta \rangle_{Lor} &= \prod_{\mathbf{x}, i} \int_{\Gamma} \nu^{\zeta(0)} \delta X^f \exp \left[-\nu^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \bar{X}_f(x) X^f(x) \right] \\ \exp \left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\lambda}_f^*(x) \bar{\xi}_f(x) \right] \exp \left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) X^f(x) \right] \\ &= \exp \left[\nu (\hbar G)^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\lambda}_f^*(x) \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

A necessary condition for the wavefunction to be normalizable, as for the inner product to exist, is that the functions $\tilde{\lambda}_i(x)$ and $\tilde{\zeta}_i(x)$ be square integrable. In shorthand notation, (75) can be written as

$$\langle \lambda | \zeta \rangle_{Lor} = \int_{\Gamma} D\mu_{Lor}(\bar{X}, X) e^{(\hbar G)^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}^* \cdot \bar{X}} e^{(\hbar G)^{-1} \tilde{\zeta} \cdot X} = e^{\nu(\hbar G)^{-2} \tilde{\lambda}^* \cdot \tilde{\zeta}}. \quad (76)$$

Note how the balance of the mass dimensions is ensured in spite of the existence of infinite dimensional spaces.⁶ The norm of a state is given by

$$\langle \lambda | \lambda \rangle = \int D\mu_{Lor}(\xi, \bar{\xi}) e^{(\hbar G)^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}^* \cdot \bar{\xi}} e^{(\hbar G)^{-1} \tilde{\lambda} \cdot \xi} = e^{\nu(\hbar G)^{-2} \tilde{\lambda}^* \cdot \tilde{\lambda}}, \quad (77)$$

and we define the normalized wavefunction by

$$|\psi_{\lambda}\rangle = e^{-\nu(\hbar G)^{-2} \tilde{\lambda}^* \cdot \tilde{\lambda}} |\lambda\rangle. \quad (78)$$

The overlap of two states in the Lorentzian measure is given by

$$|\langle \psi_{\lambda} | \psi_{\zeta} \rangle_{Lor}| = \exp\left[-\nu(\hbar G)^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x |\tilde{\lambda}_i(x) - \tilde{\zeta}_i(x)|^2\right]. \quad (79)$$

where

$$\tilde{\lambda}_3 = -\left(\frac{\tilde{\lambda}_1 \tilde{\lambda}_2}{\tilde{\lambda}_1 + \tilde{\lambda}_2}\right); \quad \tilde{\zeta}_3 = -\left(\frac{\tilde{\zeta}_1 \tilde{\zeta}_2}{\tilde{\zeta}_1 + \tilde{\zeta}_2}\right). \quad (80)$$

There is always a nontrivial overlap between any two states corresponding to different functions for the eigenvalues.⁷

6.2 Expectation values and observables

The expectation value of the configuration variable X^f is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{\lambda} | \hat{X}^f(x) | \psi_{\zeta} \rangle_{Lor} &= \prod_{\mathbf{x}, i} \int_{\Gamma} \nu^{\zeta(0)} \delta X^f \exp\left[-\nu^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \bar{X}_f(x) X^f(x)\right] \\ &\exp\left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\lambda}_i^*(x) \bar{X}_f(x)\right] \left(X^f(x) \exp\left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3x \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) X^f(x)\right]\right). \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

⁶The dimensionful constant ν remains a parameter of the theory. One may think that such a measure cannot exist on infinite dimensional spaces unless $\nu = 1$ with $[\nu] = 0$. But we have rescaled the measure by the same factor of $\nu^{\zeta(0)}$ to cancel out these factors arising from the Gaussian integral.

⁷It is shown in [?] that the eigenvalues of Ψ_{ae} encode the Petrov classification of space-time, since Ψ_{ae} is the antiself-dual part of the Weyl curvature tensor. This classification is independent of coordinates and of tetrad frames.

By replacing multiplication by X^f with functional differentiation with respect to $\tilde{\zeta}_f$, one may simplify the matrix element to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{X}^f(x) | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} &= \prod_{\mathbf{x}, i} \int_{\Gamma} \nu^{\zeta(0)} \delta \xi_i \exp \left[-\nu^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 x \bar{X}_f(x) X^f(x) \right] \\ \exp \left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 x \tilde{\lambda}_i^*(x) \bar{X}_f(x) \right] &\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\zeta}_i(x)} \exp \left[(\hbar G)^{-1} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 x \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) X^f(x) \right] \right), \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

whereupon commuting the functional derivative outside the integral we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{X}^f(x) | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} &= \frac{\delta}{\delta \tilde{\zeta}_f(x)} \left(\exp \left[\nu (\hbar G)^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 x \tilde{\lambda}_f^*(x) \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) \right] \right) \\ &= \nu (\hbar G)^{-2} \tilde{\lambda}_f^*(x) \exp \left[\nu (\hbar G)^{-2} \int_{\Sigma} d^3 x \tilde{\lambda}_f^*(x) \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) \right] \\ &= (\nu (\hbar G)^{-2} \tilde{\lambda}_f^*(x)) \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor}. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Going through a similar analysis for various operators, one obtains

$$\langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{\bar{X}}_f(x) | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} = (\nu (\hbar G)^{-2} \tilde{\zeta}_f(x)) \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} \quad (84)$$

$$\langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{\Pi}_f(x) | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} = \langle \psi_\lambda | (\hbar G) \frac{\delta}{\delta X^f(x)} | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} = \tilde{\zeta}_f(x) \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} \quad (85)$$

as well as

$$\langle \psi_\lambda | \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}_f} | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} = (\hbar G)^{-1} \tilde{\lambda}_f^*(x) \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor}. \quad (86)$$

Hence, with respect to the Lorentzian measure one has, schematically,

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta X^f} \sim \hbar G \nu^{-1} \bar{X}_f; \quad \frac{\delta}{\delta \bar{X}_f} \sim \hbar G \nu^{-1} X^f. \quad (87)$$

This property of the infinite generalization of a Bargmann-like representation, combined with generating functional techniques, enables an explicit calculation of the matrix element of any observable O

$$\langle \psi_\lambda | \hat{O}[\tilde{X}_f; \tilde{\lambda}_f] | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor} = O[\nu (\hbar G)^2 \tilde{\lambda}_f^*; \nu \tilde{\lambda}_f] \langle \psi_\lambda | \psi_\zeta \rangle_{Lor}. \quad (88)$$

Hence, the existence of a function O signifies the existence the expectation value or matrix element corresponding to O .

7 Canonical equivalence to the Ashtekar variables

We have provided a direct map from the nondegenerate sector of the full phase space of the Ashtekar variables $\Omega_{Ash} = (\tilde{\sigma}_a^i, A_i^a)$ to the phase space $\Omega_{Inst} = (\Psi_{ae}, A_i^a)$ using the CDJ Ansatz $\tilde{\sigma}_a^i = \Psi_{ae} B_e^i$. By implementation of the Gauss' law and diffeomorphism constraints we have reduced Ω_{Inst} to Ω_{Kin} , its kinematic phase space where we have computed the Hamiltonian dynamics. Subsequently, we have performed a quantization of Ω_{Kin} , obtaining a Hilbert space of states solving the quantum Hamiltonian constraint for $\Lambda = 0$. In this section we will demonstrate canonical equivalence to the Ashtekar theory which should imply that our results extend to certain regimes of the Ashtekar theory. Note that both theories at the unconstrained level share in common the Ashtekar connection A_i^a as the configuration space variable. In what follows we will exploit the preservation of this property at all levels of reduction sequence.

We will prove, using the unconstrained Ashtekar theory as a starting point, that the map to Ω_{Kin} requires as a necessary and sufficient condition the implementation of the kinematic initial value constraints. The canonical commutation relations for the Ashtekar variables are given by

$$[A_i^a(x), \tilde{\sigma}_b^j(y)] = \delta_b^a \delta_i^j \delta^{(3)}(x, y), \quad (89)$$

where we have omitted the time dependence in order to avoid cluttering up the notation. Let us now substitute the CDJ Ansatz $\tilde{\sigma}_a^i = \Psi_{ae} B_e^i$ into (89)

$$[A_i^a(x), \Psi_{be}(y) B_e^j(y)] = \delta_b^a \delta_i^j \delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (90)$$

We will now multiply (90) by $A_j^c(y)$ in the following form

$$[A_i^a(x), \Psi_{be}(y) B_e^j(y) A_j^c(y)] = \delta_b^a A_i^c(y) \delta^{(3)}(x, y), \quad (91)$$

which is allowed since $[A_i^a, A_j^c] = 0$ for the Ashtekar connection. Define the magnetic helicity density matrix $C_{ce} = A_j^b B_e^j$, written in component form as

$$C_{ce} = \epsilon^{ijk} A_i^c \partial_j A_k^e + \delta_{ce} (\det A), \quad (92)$$

which has a diagonal part free of spatial gradients and an off-diagonal part containing spatial gradients. Then the commutation relations read

$$[A_i^a(x), \Psi_{be}(y) C_{ce}(y)] = \delta_b^a A_i^c(y) \delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (93)$$

The kinematic configuration space Γ_{Kin} must have three degrees of freedom per point.⁸ Let us choose for these D.O.F. to be the three diagonal elements $A_i^a = \delta_i^a A_a^a$. Then we can set $a = i$ in (93) to obtain

$$[A_a^a(x), \Psi_{be}(y)C_{ce}(y)] = \delta_b^a A_a^c(y)\delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (94)$$

Since A_i^a is diagonal by supposition, then the only nontrivial contribution to (94) occurs for $a = c$. Since $a = b$ also is the only nontrivial contribution, it follows that $b = c$ as well. Hence the commutation relations for diagonal connection are given by

$$[A_a^a(x), \Psi_{be}(y)C_{be}(y)] = \delta_b^a \delta A_b^b(y)\delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (95)$$

Substituting (92) subject to a diagonal connection into (95) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{e=1}^3 [A_a^a(x), \Psi_{be}(y)\delta_{be}(\det A)] \\ & + \sum_{e=1}^3 [A_a^a(x), \Psi_{be}(y)\epsilon^{bjc} A_b^b \partial_j A_c^e] = \delta_b^a A_b^b(y)\delta^{(3)}(x, y), \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

which has split up into two terms. We have been explicit in putting in the summation symbol to indicate that e is a dummy index, while a and b are not. There are two cases to consider, $e = b$ and $e \neq b$. For $e \neq b$ the first term of (96) vanishes, leaving remaining the second term. Since the right hand side stays the same, then this would correspond to the commutation relations for a CDJ matrix whose diagonal components are zero. For the second possibility $e = b$ the second term of (96) vanishes while the first term survives, with the right hand side the same as before. This case occurs only if the CDJ matrix Ψ_{ae} is diagonal. Let us choose $\Psi_{ae} = \text{Diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ as the diagonal matrix of eigenvalues,⁹ then (96) reduces to

$$[A_a^a(x), \lambda_b(y)(\det A(y))] = \delta_b^a A_a^a(y)\delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (97)$$

The conclusion is that in order for (97) to have arisen from (89), that: (i) The antisymmetric part of Ψ_{ae} must be zero, namely, the diffeomorphism constraint must be satisfied. (ii) The symmetric off-diagonal part of Ψ_{ae} is

⁸This is nine total degrees of freedom, minus three corresponding to G_a , and minus three corresponding to H_i .

⁹This places one into the intrinsic $SO(3, C)$ frame. Note that we may regard the Gauss' law constraint G_a as already implemented in this frame, since it is a map from λ_f to the $SO(3, C)$ angles $\vec{\theta}$ and not a constraint on λ_f .

not part of the commutation relations on the diffeomorphism invariant phase space Ω_{diff} . Given the eigenvalues λ_f on this space, the Gauss' law constraint can be solved separately from the quantization process. The choice of a diagonal connection A_a^a on Ω_{Kin} is consistent with the implementation of the kinematic constraints, which means that only the Hamiltonian constraint is necessary to obtain the physical phase space Ω_{Phys} .

Equation (97) are not canonical commutation relations owing to the field-dependence on the right hand side.¹⁰ However, they can be transformed into canonical commutation relations using the following change of variables $A_a^a = a_0 e^{X^a}$ for $a = 1, 2, 3$. This yields

$$[e^{X^a(x)}, \lambda_b(y)(\det A(y))] = e^{X^a(x)} [X^a(x), \lambda_b(y)(\det A(y))] = \delta_b^a e^{X^a(y)} \delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (98)$$

Since the only nontrivial contribution to (98) comes from $x = y$, we can cancel the pre-factor of e^{X^a} from both sides. Defining densitized eigenvalues $\Pi_b = \lambda_b(\det A)$ as the fundamental momentum space variables, we have that the canonical version of (97) is given by

$$[X^a(x), \Pi_b(y)] = \delta_b^a \delta^{(3)}(x, y), \quad (99)$$

The coordinate ranges are $\infty < |X^f| < \infty$, which corresponds to $0 < |A_f^f| < \infty$, which is a subset of the latter. To utilize the full range of A_i^a , which includes the degenerate cases, one may instead use (97). We have shown that Ω_{Kin} of the instanton representation admits a cotangent bundle structure with diagonal connection $A_a^a(x)$. It happens from (89) that $A_a^a(x)$ is canonically conjugate to $\tilde{\sigma}_a^a(x)$. Since the instanton representation maps to the Ashtekar formalism and vice versa on the unreduced phase space for nondegenerate B_a^i , it follows that (99) corresponds as well to the kinematic phase space of the Ashtekar variables for $(\det A) \neq 0$, six phase space degrees of freedom per point, where the variables are diagonal. The bonus is that all the kinematic constraints have been implemented, leaving behind the Hamiltonian constraint which in the instanton representation is easy to solve.

We have shown that a nondegenerate and diagonal A_i^a admits globally holonomic coordinates in the reduced theory. Since A_i^a serves also as the configuration variable for the Ashtekar phase space Ω_{Ash} , it follows that on this subspace the densitized triad must also be nondegenerate. Hence

$$[A_f^f(x, t), \tilde{\sigma}_g^g(y, t)] = \delta_g^f \delta^{(3)}(x, y). \quad (100)$$

¹⁰While (97) are not canonical commutation relations, they are affine commutation relations which serve as an intermediate step in the formulation of canonical commutation relations. Affine commutation relations have been used by Klauder in [8] in the affine quantum gravity programme, and are viable as well in the present case.

The conclusion is that the kinematic phase space of the dual theory must correspond to the reduced phase space under (G_a, H_i) of the Ashtekar theory, restricted to nondegenerate triads. Note in both phase spaces that the cotangent bundle structure has been preserved, and the two theories are equivalent when restricted to these configurations. The bonus is that we have now implemented the initial value constraints, computed the dynamics performed a quantization, and have constructed a Hilbert space using the dual theory.

8 Summary

In this paper we have demonstrated the reduction of Ashtekar's theory of gravity to a kinematical phase space by implementation of the Gauss' law and the diffeomorphism constraints. Since the initial value constraints in the reduced theory constrain only the momentum space, we were free to choose diagonal configuration space variables canonically conjugate to the densitized eigenvalues of Ψ_{ae} in order to obtain a cotangent bundle structure. We have demonstrated closure of the classical constraints algebra consisting of the Hamiltonian constraint, after projection to this kinematic phase space. We have also computed the Hamiltonian dynamics on this space.

We then performed a quantization of the kinematic phase space, constructing a Hilbert space of states annihilated by the quantum Hamiltonian constraint for $\Lambda = 0$. These states are labelled by two eigenvalues of Ψ_{ae} , and appear to be consistent with the classical dynamics. Lastly, we have clarified the relationship between the canonical structure of the reduced theory to the original Ashtekar variables, which provides a direct route from the full Ashtekar theory to a reduced phase space for GR which can be straightforwardly quantized. One future direction of research will be to extend the results of the present paper to include the $\Lambda \neq 0$ case.

9 Appendix A: Expansion of the determinant on diagonal configurations

It is convenient to factor out the leading order behaviour of the determinant of the connection from the Ashtekar magnetic field as

$$(\det B) = (U \det A)^2, \quad (101)$$

where U will be determined. The Ashtekar magnetic field is given by

$$B_a^i = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j A_k^a + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{ijk} f_{abc} A_j^b A_k^c \equiv f_a^i + (\det A) (A^{-1})_a^i. \quad (102)$$

In (102), $f_a^i = \epsilon^{ijk} \partial_j A_k^a$ refers to the ‘abelian’ part and the second term is a correction due to nonabelianity. We have used the fact that the $SU(2)$ -structure constants $f_{abc} = \epsilon_{abc}$ are numerically the same as the Cartesian epsilon symbol in order to write the determinant, which also assumes that A_i^a is nondegenerate. Putting (102) into the expansion of the determinant, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det B &= \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{abc} (f_a^i + (\det A) (A^{-1})_a^i) (f_b^j + (\det A) (A^{-1})_b^j) (f_c^k + (\det A) (A^{-1})_c^k) \\ &= \det f + (\det A)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{abc} [f_a^i f_b^j (A^{-1})_c^k (\det A) + f_a^i A_i^a (\det A)^{-1}] \end{aligned} \quad (103)$$

On diagonal connections the second term in (103) in square brackets vanishes, since

$$A_i^a f_a^i = \epsilon^{ijk} A_i^a \partial_j A_k^a = \epsilon^{ijk} (\delta_i^a a_i) \partial_j (\delta_k^a a_k) = \epsilon^{aja} a_a \partial_j a_a = 0 \quad (104)$$

on account of the antisymmetry of the epsilon symbol. We must now expand the first term in square brackets, evaluated on diagonal connections. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{abc} f_a^i f_b^j (A^{-1})_c^k (\det A) &= \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon^{klm} \epsilon^{abc} \epsilon_{cde} f_a^i f_b^j A_l^d A_m^e \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (\delta_i^l \delta_j^m - \delta_j^l \delta_i^m) (\delta_d^a \delta_e^b - \delta_e^a \delta_d^b) f_a^i f_b^j A_l^d A_m^e \\ &= \frac{1}{4} (f_a^l f_b^m - f_a^m f_b^l) (A_l^a A_m^b - A_m^a A_l^b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} ((f_a^l A_l^a)^2 - f_a^m A_l^a f_b^l A_m^b). \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

The first term on the right hand side of (105) vanishes on diagonal connections as proven in (104). The second term is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f_a^l A_m^a f_b^m A_l^b &= \epsilon^{lij} \partial_i (\delta_{aj} a_a) (\delta_m^a a_a) \epsilon^{mi'j'} \partial_{i'} (\delta_{bj'} a_b) (\delta_l^b a_b) \\ &= \epsilon^{bia} \epsilon^{ai'b} a_b (\partial_i a_a) a_a \partial_{i'} a_b = -\frac{1}{4} \epsilon^{iab} \epsilon^{jab} (\partial_i a_a^2) (\partial_j a_b^2) \end{aligned} \quad (106)$$

where we have relabelled indices $i' \rightarrow j$ on the last term. The only nontrivial contribution to (106) occurs for $i = j$, which yields

$$r = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^3 I_{iab} (\partial_i a_a^2) (\partial_i a_b^2). \quad (107)$$

The determinant of the Ashtekar magnetic field for a diagonal connection, which constitutes the kinematic configuration space, is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\det B) &= (A_1^1 A_2^2 A_3^3)^2 + (\partial_2 A_3^3) (\partial_3 A_1^1) (\partial_2 A_2^2) - (\partial_3 A_2^2) (\partial_1 A_3^3) (\partial_2 A_1^1) \\ &+ (A_2^2 A_3^3) (\partial_1 A_2^2) (\partial_1 A_3^3) + (A_3^3 A_1^1) (\partial_2 A_3^3) (\partial_2 A_1^1) + (A_1^1 A_2^2) (\partial_3 A_1^1) (\partial_3 A_2^2) \\ &= a_0^6 e^{2T} \left[1 + a_0^{-3} e^{-T} ((\partial_2 X^3) (\partial_3 X^1) (\partial_1 X^2) - (\partial_3 X^2) (\partial_1 X^3) (\partial_2 X^1)) \right. \\ &+ a_0^{-2} \left(e^{-2X^1} (\partial_1 X^2) (\partial_1 X^3) + e^{-2X^2} (\partial_2 X^3) (\partial_2 X^1) + e^{-2X^3} (\partial_3 X^1) (\partial_3 X^2) \right) \left. \right] \\ &\equiv a_0^6 e^{2T} U^2, \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

where we have defined $T = X^1 + X^2 + X^3$. The end result in the full theory is that

$$\det B = (\det a)^2 + r[\partial a], \quad (109)$$

where we have defined

$$r = (\det f)^2 - \frac{1}{8} \sum_{i=1}^3 I_{iab} (\partial_i a_a^2) (\partial_i a_b^2). \quad (110)$$

This fixes the definition of U as

$$U = \sqrt{1 + r(\det A)^{-2}}. \quad (111)$$

References

- [1] Ahbay Ashtekar. ‘New perspectives in canonical gravity’, (Bibliopolis, Napoli, 1988).
- [2] Ahbay Ashtekar ‘New Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity’ Phys. Rev. D36(1987)1587
- [3] Ahbay Ashtekar ‘New variables for classical and quantum gravity’ Phys. Rev. Lett. Volume 57, number 18 (1986)
- [4] Richard Capovilla, Ted Jacobson, John Dell ‘General Relativity without the Metric’ Class. Quant. Grav. Vol 63, Number 21 (1989) 2325-2328
- [5] Paul Dirac ‘Lectures on quantum mechanics’ Yeshiva University Press, New York, 1964
- [6] Asher Peres ‘Diagonalization of the Weyl tensor’ Phys. Rev. D18, Number 2 (1978)
- [7] Michael Creutz, I.J. Muzinich, and Thomas N. Tudron ‘Gauge fixing and canonical quantization’ Phys. Rev. D, Vol. 19 Number 2, 531-539 (1979)
- [8] J. R. Klauder ‘The affine quantum gravity programme’ Class. Quantum Grav. 19, 817-826 (2002)