

# Pseudogap and time reversal breaking in a holographic superconductor

Matthew M. Roberts<sup>#</sup> and Sean A. Hartnoll<sup>b</sup>

<sup>#</sup> *Department of Physics, University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9530, USA*

<sup>b</sup> *KITP, University of California  
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-4030, USA*

`matt@physics.ucsb.edu, hartnoll@kitp.ucsb.edu`

## Abstract

Classical  $SU(2)$  Yang-Mills theory in 3+1 dimensional anti-de Sitter space is known to provide a holographic dual to a 2+1 system that undergoes a superconducting phase transition. We study the electrical conductivity and spectral density of an isotropic superconducting phase. We show that the theory exhibits a pseudogap at low temperatures and a nonzero Hall conductivity. The Hall conductivity is possible because of spontaneous breaking of time reversal symmetry.

# 1 Introduction

The first successful microscopic theory of superconductivity, BCS theory [1], was developed over fifty years ago and correctly describes the superconducting phenomenology of a large number of metals and alloys [2]. The essence of superconductivity is the spontaneous breaking at low temperatures of a  $U(1)$  symmetry due to a charged condensate. In BCS theory, the condensate is a Cooper pair of electrons, bound together by lattice vibrations, or phonons.

It has been appreciated for some time that materials of significant theoretical and practical interest, such as the heavy fermion compounds [3, 4] or the high  $T_c$  cuprates [5], require new theoretical input. For these materials, neither the pairing mechanism, leading to the charged condensate, nor the properties of the superconducting state itself are those of BCS theory. Furthermore, there are indications that the relevant new physics is strongly coupled, requiring a departure from the quasiparticle paradigm of Fermi liquid theory [3, 5].

Our hope is that a solvable model of a strongly coupled system undergoing a superconducting phase transition might help the development of new theories of superconductivity. It has recently been shown that the AdS/CFT correspondence [6] can indeed provide models of strongly interacting superconductors in which calculations can be performed from first principles [7, 8, 9, 10]. These recent works are part of a wider program of applying the AdS/CFT correspondence to condensed matter systems [11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. The philosophy is that even if the underlying microscopic descriptions of theories with AdS duals are likely quite different to those arising in materials of experimental interest, aspects of the strongly coupled dynamics and kinematics may be universal. Kinematically speaking, theories with AdS duals are quantum critical [17]. The superconductors described to date within the AdS/CFT framework are quantum critical systems that undergo a superconducting phase transition as a function of temperature over chemical potential.

In the recent work [9], the electrical conductivity of a holographic (i.e. AdS/CFT) superconductor was computed as a function of frequency. A delta function at the origin,  $\omega = 0$ , due to the Goldstone boson of the broken  $U(1)$  symmetry, was followed by a gap  $2\Delta$  in which the dissipative conductivity vanished at zero temperature. At small but finite temperature the conductivity in the gap was suppressed by  $e^{-\Delta/T}$ . Beyond the gap, a finite spectral density was observed, exhibiting or not a coherence peak depending on the details of the system. What was remarkable about these results was that despite coming from a strongly coupled quantum critical theory, they are exactly the qualitative features of conductivity in the superconducting phase that one obtains from weakly coupled BCS theory [2]. The main difference, and apparently only indication of an underlying strong coupling dynamics, was that [9] found the zero temperature gap  $2\Delta/T_c \approx 8.4$  rather than  $2\Delta/T_c \approx 3.5$  for BCS theory.

It is of interest to find and study gravitational duals to superconductivity with qualitative features that are not those of BCS theory, but rather of nonconventional superconductors such as the heavy fermions or the cuprates. In this paper we will study the electrical

conductivity of a holographic superconductor recently proposed by Gubser [8]. We show that this model exhibits two such nonconventional features: a ‘pseudogap’ rather than a gap at zero temperature and spontaneous breaking of time reversal invariance.

By ‘pseudogap’ we do not mean the exotic and controversial region in the normal phase of cuprate superconductors [5]. Rather, we will use the term to denote a well-defined gap in the dissipative conductivity at low frequencies in which the conductivity is not identically zero. In nonconventional superconductors, this pseudogap is due to the fact that the Cooper pairs are not bound states with zero angular momentum ( $l = 0$ ) but rather so-called  $p$ -wave ( $l = 1$ ) or  $d$ -wave ( $l = 2$  spin singlet) states. The gap above the Fermi surface in these superconductors vanishes at certain specific directions in momentum space and therefore one finds (a reduced number of) excitations with arbitrarily low energy [5, 4].

Spontaneous breaking of time reversal invariance has recently been observed, for instance, in the YBCO high  $T_c$  superconductor [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. The breaking is thought to occur because the condensing Cooper pairs are not only not  $s$ -waves but in fact a complex combination of  $d$ -waves:  $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$ . The simple fact that this is a superposition of T invariant states with differing phases is sufficient to break T invariance. Recall that T is an anti-linear operator. One immediate consequence of breaking time reversal is that it is possible for these systems to have a Hall conductivity even in the absence of an external magnetic field [23, 24]. We will review this fact below.

The layout of this paper is as follows. We will first review the Einstein-Yang-Mills system that was shown in [10] to be a holographic dual to a theory with a superconducting phase transition that spontaneously breaks T invariance. We will compute the electric conductivity and the spectral density in the superconducting phase and exhibit a pseudogap and a Hall conductivity. Finally, we will show explicitly how this model may be uplifted to M theory.

**Note:** As this paper was nearing completion, the preprint [25] was posted to the arxiv, with some overlap with this work. Furthermore, it was pointed out in [25] that the superconducting phase we will be studying is in fact unstable near  $T_c$  to the breaking of rotational invariance. We have extended and confirmed this stability analysis in section 5 below. The instability is in a mode orthogonal to the modes we study. Therefore our results for the conductivity should be interesting as a strong coupling computation of an isotropic pseudogapped superconducting phase with both Hall and direct conductivities (with no external magnetic field).

## 2 The Einstein-Yang-Mills background

The gravitational geometry dual to our finite temperature superconductor will be the planar Schwarzschild-AdS black hole in 3+1 dimensions

$$ds^2 = \frac{r^2}{L^2} \left( -h(r)dt^2 + 2dzd\bar{z} \right) + \frac{L^2}{r^2 h(r)} dr^2, \quad (1)$$

where  $h(r) = 1 - \frac{r_0^3}{r^3}$  and we have introduced the complex coordinates

$$z = \frac{x + iy}{\sqrt{2}}. \quad (2)$$

The scale  $L$  is the AdS radius and  $r_0$  gives the location of the black hole horizon. The geometry (1) is a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations with a negative cosmological constant.

On this background we will study an  $SU(2)$  gauge theory. This was suggested to be an interesting dual for a superconductor in [10], and we shall review the dynamics shortly. The full Einstein-Yang-Mills theory has the action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{1}{2\kappa_4^2} \left( R + \frac{6}{L^2} \right) - \frac{1}{4g^2} F_{\mu\nu}^a F^{a\mu\nu} \right]. \quad (3)$$

Following [9, 10], we will be working in the probe limit, in which the  $SU(2)$  fields are small and do not backreact on the metric<sup>1</sup>. Conformal invariance of the Yang-Mills action means that by rescaling the metric, keeping the Yang-Mills coupling  $g$  fixed, we can always make the probe approximation consistently. Specifically, we take  $Lg \gg \kappa_4$ . In fact, by rescaling all the fields we can set  $L = 1$ , which we will proceed to do. Let us now set up some notation.

The three generators  $\tau^a$  of the  $SU(2)$  algebra satisfy  $[\tau^b, \tau^c] = \tau^a f^{abc}$ . In the standard basis we have  $f^{abc} = \epsilon^{abc}$ , with  $\epsilon^{123} = 1$ . It will be useful for us to work with the combinations

$$\tau^\pm = \frac{\tau^1 \pm i\tau^2}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad (4)$$

as well as  $\tau^3$ . The field strength is

$$F_{\mu\nu}^a = \partial_\mu A_\nu^a - \partial_\nu A_\mu^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b A_\nu^c. \quad (5)$$

The equations of motion are

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_\mu (\sqrt{-g} F^{a\mu\nu}) + f^{abc} A_\mu^b F^{c\mu\nu} = 0. \quad (6)$$

Following [10] we will identify the  $U(1)$  subgroup of  $SU(2)$  generated by  $\tau^3$  to be the electromagnetic  $U(1)$ . The W-bosons  $A^\pm$  are therefore charged fields. The insight of

---

<sup>1</sup>The probe limit is in fact necessary to obtain a finite DC ( $\omega = 0$ ) conductivity even in the normal phase. A translationally invariant system with a finite charge density will have an infinite DC conductivity unless the momentum can leak somewhere. In the probe limit, momentum is leaked to the metric or ‘glue’ sector. See for instance [26].

[10] was to note that a sufficiently large background electric field for the  $U(1)$ , at fixed temperature, would cause the W-bosons to condense and hence trigger superconductivity. Specifically, consider the background ansatz

$$A = \phi(r) dt\tau^3 + w(r) (dz\tau^- + d\bar{z}\tau^+). \quad (7)$$

An important feature of this ansatz is that whereas the original rotational invariance is broken, the system is invariant under a combined gauge and spatial rotation

$$z \rightarrow e^{i\theta} z, \quad \tau^\pm \rightarrow e^{\pm i\theta} \tau^\pm. \quad (8)$$

Therefore the superconducting phase we are considering is effectively spatially isotropic. A symmetry that is broken by the  $A_{z,\bar{z}}^\pm(r)$  fields is time reversal invariance. These gauge potentials lead to a magnetic field in the bulk. A magnetic field breaks time reversal, as can be immediately seen from (for instance) the Lorentz force law.

Evaluated on this ansatz, the equations of motion become

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'' + \frac{2}{r}\phi' - \frac{2w^2}{r^4 h}\phi &= 0, \\ w'' + \left(\frac{2}{r} + \frac{h'}{h}\right)w' + \frac{\phi^2 w - hw^3}{r^4 h^2} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Under a rescaling  $r = r_0 \bar{r}$ ,  $\phi(r) = r_0 \bar{\phi}(\bar{r})$ ,  $w(r) = r_0 \bar{w}(\bar{r})$ , the black hole radius  $r_0$  cancels out of the equations entirely, rendering all quantities dimensionless. This is a consequence of the dual theory being a conformal field theory in flat space. Therefore we will set  $r_0 = 1$  in what follows. The Hawking temperature of the black hole (1) is

$$T = \frac{3r_0}{4\pi}. \quad (10)$$

We will restore the  $r_0$  dependence when we wish to re-express quantities in terms of the temperature.

There are two solutions to the equations (9). The first is the AdS-Reissner-Nordstrom black hole which has<sup>2</sup>

$$\phi = \rho(1 - 1/r), \quad w = 0. \quad (11)$$

The scalar potential is required to vanish on the horizon (recall that we have set  $r_0 = 1$ ). This ties the chemical potential  $\mu$  to equal the charge density  $\rho$ . The second solution is a hairy black hole. This solution needs to be found numerically. The main feature is that  $w \neq 0$ , but still normalizable at infinity. Thus at large radius  $r$  we require for the scalar potential

$$\phi = \mu - \frac{\rho}{r} + \dots, \quad (12)$$

---

<sup>2</sup>In the following definitions we have rescaled the boundary charge density and condensate by  $\rho, J \rightarrow \rho/g^2, J/g^2$ , to eliminate messy factors of  $g$ . This rescaling does not affect the physical ratio  $2\Delta/T_c$ .

and for the charged condensate

$$w = \frac{\langle J \rangle}{\sqrt{2r}} + \dots \quad (13)$$

Here  $\langle J \rangle$  is a condensate of the charged operator dual to  $A_z^- \tau^- + A_z^+ \tau^+$ . It is a component of the global  $SU(2)$  current in the field theory. We have required that there is no source term in field theory action for the operator  $J$ , by demanding that the constant term in the large  $r$  expansion of  $w$  vanish. From the field theory point of view, the presence of the  $\langle J \rangle$  condensate in the absence of a source means that time reversal symmetry is spontaneously broken.

In order to find the hairy black hole solutions, one should numerically integrate out from the horizon. Near the horizon one writes  $\phi = \phi_1(r-1) + \dots$  and  $w = w_0 + \dots$ . There are two constants of integration,  $w_0$  and  $\phi_1$ , and therefore, upon imposing the falloff (13) we are left with a one parameter family of solutions.

If we fix the charge density  $\rho$ , one finds that the hairy black holes only exist below a critical temperature

$$T_c = 0.125\sqrt{\rho}. \quad (14)$$

See figure 1. With some hindsight, see the following section, we introduce the gap notation

$$2\Delta = \sqrt{\langle J \rangle}. \quad (15)$$




Figure 1: The gap as a function of temperature.

Note that  $J$  is an operator with mass dimension 2, appropriate for a current density in  $2+1$  dimensions. The natural dimensionless quantities to plot are therefore  $2\Delta/T_c$  against  $T/T_c$ . Just below the critical temperature we find

$$\langle J \rangle \approx 104.8T_c^2(1 - T/T_c)^{1/2}, \quad (16)$$

as expected for a mean field second order transition. It is interesting to note that the zero temperature gap is

$$\frac{2\Delta(0)}{T_c} \approx 8. \quad (17)$$

This is greater than the BCS value, rather close to the value found in the holographic model of [9] and consistent with some values observed in the cuprates. Given the similarity of this value for the gap and the one obtained in [9], it would be of interest to obtain values for different holographic models to see to what extent it is universal.

### 3 Conductivity and spectral density

Two basic quantities characterising any superconductor are the frequency dependent conductivity and the spectral density. These are closely related, but not identical in the presence of a Hall conductivity. The electrical conductivity is defined through Ohm's law

$$J_i = \sigma_{ij} E^j. \quad (18)$$

Here  $E^j$  is an external electric field and  $J_i$  the current generated. Because the superconducting phase we are studying is isotropic, we will have  $\sigma_{xx} = \sigma_{yy}$  and  $\sigma_{xy} = -\sigma_{yx}$ . In a non-isotropic phase the distinction between the standard and Hall conductivities is not well defined.

We will compute the conductivity directly from Ohm's law (18). However, to relate the conductivity to the spectral density, we note the standard formula from linear response theory

$$\sigma_{ij}(\omega) = \frac{-iG_{ij}^R(\omega)}{\omega}, \quad (19)$$

in which the retarded Greens function is

$$G_{ij}^R(\omega) = -i \int d^2x dt e^{-i\omega t} \theta(t) \langle [J_i(t), J_j(0)] \rangle. \quad (20)$$

The spectral density is defined to be twice the imaginary part of the retarded Greens function. However, in order to obtain a sensible, i.e. non-negative, spectral density one needs the Greens function of currents that do not couple. These are obtained by diagonalising the conductivity. The conductivity matrix has eigenvalues

$$\sigma_z = \sigma_{xx} - i\sigma_{xy}, \quad \sigma_{\bar{z}} = \sigma_{xx} + i\sigma_{xy}. \quad (21)$$

In the eigenbasis Ohm's law becomes the decoupled equations  $J_z = \sigma_z E_z$  and  $J_{\bar{z}} = \sigma_{\bar{z}} E_{\bar{z}}$ . We are using the complex coordinates introduced in (2) above. This complexified formalism is similar to that used in [13]. The spectral densities associated with the decoupled currents  $J_z$  and  $J_{\bar{z}}$  are thus

$$\chi_z(\omega) = 2\omega \text{Re } \sigma_z(\omega), \quad \chi_{\bar{z}}(\omega) = 2\omega \text{Re } \sigma_{\bar{z}}(\omega). \quad (22)$$

We recall that the physical interpretation of the spectral density is that it gives us the density of energy eigenstates at energy  $\omega$ , weighted by their overlap with the electric current operators. Therefore the spectral density is the appropriate quantity with which to probe the pseudogap region, that will make an appearance shortly.

In order to compute linear response functions, such as the conductivity, using the gravitational dual to the superconductor, one needs to consider linearised perturbations of the fields about the black hole background [27]. Specifically, we are interested in the  $\tau^3$  component of the nonabelian current,  $j_{x,y}^3$ . This will be dual to fluctuations of the  $A_{x,y}^3$  fields. Because of the nonlinearities in the Yang-Mills action, fluctuations in  $A_{x,y}^3$  will source other fields. We need to keep all the modes that are coupled at a linearised level for consistency.

Since our background is invariant under a combined spatial and gauge rotation (8), it is sufficient to consider fields that have the same charge as  $A_{x,y}^3$  under this  $U(1)$  action. Specifically, we see that there will be decoupled equations involving the sets of fields

$$\{A_r^+(r), A_t^+(r), A_z^3(r)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{A_r^-(r), A_t^-(r), A_{\bar{z}}^3(r)\}. \quad (23)$$

All of these fields are taken to have an overall time dependence of  $e^{-i\omega t}$ .

There is still some gauge freedom left, which reduces the actual degrees of freedom. In particular, we can consider a background field gauge transformation generated by some  $\lambda^a$

$$\delta_{BG} A_\mu^a = \partial_\mu \lambda^a + f^{abc} A_\mu^b \lambda^c. \quad (24)$$

We will use this freedom to set  $A_r^\pm = 0$ <sup>3</sup>. The linearized equations for the perturbations become

$$A_z^{3''} + \left(\frac{2}{r} + \frac{h'}{h}\right) A_z^{3'} + \frac{\omega^2 - hw^2}{r^4 h^2} A_z^3 - \frac{w(\phi - \omega)}{r^4 h^2} A_t^+ = 0, \quad (25)$$

$$A_{\bar{z}}^{3''} + \left(\frac{2}{r} + \frac{h'}{h}\right) A_{\bar{z}}^{3'} + \frac{\omega^2 - hw^2}{r^4 h^2} A_{\bar{z}}^3 - \frac{w(\phi + \omega)}{r^4 h^2} A_t^- = 0, \quad (26)$$

$$A_t^{+''} + \frac{2}{r} A_t^{+'} - \frac{w^2}{r^4 h} A_t^+ + \frac{w(\phi - \omega)}{r^4 h} A_z^3 = 0, \quad (27)$$

$$A_t^{-''} + \frac{2}{r} A_t^{-'} - \frac{w^2}{r^4 h} A_t^- + \frac{w(\phi + \omega)}{r^4 h} A_{\bar{z}}^3 = 0, \quad (28)$$

$$A_t^+ \phi' + h(w' A_z^3 - w A_z^{3'}) - (\phi + \omega) A_t^{+'} = 0, \quad (29)$$

$$A_t^- \phi' + h(w' A_{\bar{z}}^3 - w A_{\bar{z}}^{3'}) - (\phi - \omega) A_t^{-'} = 0. \quad (30)$$

Two of these equations are redundant: Equations (27) and (28) can be derived by differentiating the first order equation and substituting the other equations of motion. Therefore, in

---

<sup>3</sup>It is also possible to use a gauge transformation to eliminate  $A_t^\pm$ , but this is singular, and as such leads to equations of motion that become singular when  $\phi = \pm\omega$ . This in turns leads to poles in the conductivity at nonzero frequency that are in fact gauge artifacts. The poles in Fig. 4 of [25] look similar to the poles we found in the singular gauge.



solving these equations numerically it is sufficient to numerically integrate (25), (26), (29) and (30). Despite the first-derivative equations appearing to have singular points, they numerically integrate perfectly well.

The equations are solved numerically by integrating out from the horizon to infinity. In order to obtain retarded Greens functions, ingoing boundary conditions must be imposed at the horizon [27]. This is most conveniently done by requiring the following behaviour near the horizon  $r \approx 1$ :

$$A_z^3 = h^{-i\omega/3} a_0 + \dots, \quad (31)$$

$$A_{\bar{z}}^3 = h^{-i\omega/3} b_0 + \dots, \quad (32)$$

$$A_t^+ = -\frac{a_0 w_0}{i + \omega/3} h^{-i\omega/3} (r - 1) + \dots, \quad (33)$$

$$A_t^- = \frac{b_0 w_0}{i + \omega/3} h^{-i\omega/3} (r - 1) + \dots. \quad (34)$$

Recall that we defined  $w_0$  above as the value of the background field  $w$  at the horizon. Given the background, there are two free constants,  $a_0$  and  $b_0$ .

Integrating the fields out to large  $r$ , we can read off the dual currents and external electric fields. The current and charge densities are obtained from

$$F_{r\mu}^a = \frac{g^2 \langle J_\mu^a \rangle}{r^2} + \dots, \quad (35)$$

where  $\mu$  here runs over the boundary directions  $t, z, \bar{z}$ . In this expression we have included the Yang-Mills coupling  $g$  due to the action (3). This coupling determines the (constant) conductivity of the normal, non-superconducting, state [11]

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{g^2}. \quad (36)$$

The numerical value of the normal state conductivity depends on the theory. The external electric fields then are obtained from

$$F_{ti}^a = -E_i^a + \dots. \quad (37)$$

(A more symmetric formulation of (35) and (37) is possible in terms of the radial variable  $u = 1/r$ .) From the previous section, we have the background equilibrium values

$$\langle J_{z, \bar{z}}^\pm \rangle = -\frac{J}{2}, \quad \langle J_t^3 \rangle = \rho. \quad (38)$$

In reading off the linearised electric response to a time varying external field we have to face the fact that the naïve  $SU(2)$  conductivity, or the projection of it onto the  $\tau^3$  direction, is not  $SU(2)$  invariant. We are interested in the electrical conductivity of the

$U(1)$  subgroup of  $SU(2)$  generated by  $\tau^3$ . Therefore, we should consider the current  $J_i \equiv J_i^3$  that results from an external source  $E_i \equiv E_i^3$  only. There should be no time dependent sources or currents in the direction of the  $\tau^\pm$  generators.

If we were to simply take our equations (25) to (30) and integrate them to the boundary, we would obtain a time varying density  $\langle J_t^\pm \rangle$ . We therefore need to do an  $SU(2)$  rotation to set this term to zero. In the bulk this means we should allow for a gauge transformation so that the total field strength is that obtained from our solutions plus a pure gauge term. A gauge transformation generated by  $\lambda^a(r)$  results in the new field strengths

$$\delta F_{rt}^\pm = A_t^{\pm'} \mp i\lambda^\pm \phi', \quad (39)$$

$$\delta F_{rz}^3 = A_z^{3'} + i\lambda^+ w', \quad (40)$$

$$\delta F_{r\bar{z}}^3 = A_{\bar{z}}^{3'} - i\lambda^- w', \quad (41)$$

$$\delta F_{tz}^3 = i\omega A_z^3 + w(iA_t^+ + \lambda^+ \phi). \quad (42)$$

In the final of these expressions, only the first term contributes at the boundary  $r \rightarrow \infty$ . We wish to cancel the leading asymptotic term in  $F_{rt}^\pm$  with  $\lambda$ . After doing this, we can obtain the conductivity

$$\sigma_z = \frac{J_z}{E_z} = -\sigma_n \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^2 \delta F_{rz}^3}{\delta F_{tz}^3} = i\sigma_n \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r^2 \left( A_z^{3'} - \frac{J}{\sqrt{2\rho}} A_t^{+'} \right)}{\omega A_z^3}, \quad (43)$$

and similarly for  $\sigma_{\bar{z}}$ , the only change being  $A_t^+ \rightarrow A_t^-$ .

We believe that (43) is unambiguously the correct expression for the electrical conductivity in the  $\tau^3$  direction. It is possible to work in different gauges to the one we have used, but one has to be careful with performing singular gauge transformations. In particular, gauging  $A_t^\pm$  to zero introduces singularities at  $\phi = \pm\omega$ . Working in such a gauge, one finds poles in the conductivity at  $\omega \approx \mu$ . We think that such poles in the electrical conductivity are fictitious gauge artifacts. Such poles do not arise from our expression (43).

Given  $\sigma_z$  and  $\sigma_{\bar{z}}$  we can obtain the spectral functions directly from (22) and the standard and Hall conductivities by inverting (21) to obtain

$$\sigma_{xx} = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_z + \sigma_{\bar{z}}), \quad \sigma_{xy} = \frac{i}{2} (\sigma_z - \sigma_{\bar{z}}). \quad (44)$$

Our result for the spectral functions is plotted in figure 2. The standard and Hall conductivities are plotted in figure 3.

The principal qualitative features of our results are immediately seen in these plots. Firstly, there is a strong depletion of spectral weight at small frequencies. However, even as we take the temperature to zero, the spectral function does not go to zero at these low frequencies. Therefore, we will call this region the pseudogap. The two currents,  $J_z$  and  $J_{\bar{z}}$  have pseudogaps of differing widths. We have defined  $2\Delta$  to be the width of the narrower of the two, see the left hand plot of figure 2. Obviously there is some ambiguity in the




Figure 2: Spectral functions for the currents  $J_z$  and  $J_{\bar{z}}$ . Each plot is in fact five curves, at temperatures  $T/T_c = .08, .11, .15, .19, .23$ . We see that we have effectively reached the zero temperature limit. There is a clear pseudogap, and a delta function at  $\omega = 0$ .




Figure 3: Standard and Hall conductivities at low temperatures as a function of frequency. The solid lines are the real part whereas the dashed lines are the imaginary parts. A pole in the imaginary part at  $\omega = 0$  indicates that the real part will contain a delta function at  $\omega = 0$ .

definition of the width. The two different gaps are likely due to the fact that there are effectively two condensates in the ansatz (7). It would be interesting to disentangle their physics by considering (still isotropic) backgrounds where the radial function multiplying  $dz$  and  $d\bar{z}$  were different.

In the standard conductivity we find a delta function in the real part at  $\omega = 0$ . This is the Goldstone boson of the spontaneously broken  $U(1)$  symmetry and is the signal of superconductivity. We again see a pseudogap at low frequencies. Because this conductivity is sensitive to both the  $\chi_z$  and  $\chi_{\bar{z}}$  spectral functions, which had gaps of differing widths, there is a small feature in the conductivity.

We also find a nonvanishing Hall conductivity. This Hall conductivity is different to previous appearances of Hall conductivity in AdS/CFT, e.g. [28], in that it is not due to an external magnetic field. Rather, a Hall conductivity is possible because the superconducting condensate broke time reversal invariance. This can be seen directly from (19) and (20). Recalling that  $T$  is an antilinear operator, then (20) implies that  $\sigma_{ij}(\omega) = \sigma_{ji}(\omega)$  in a state that is  $T$ -invariant<sup>4</sup>. Isotropy implies  $\sigma_{xy}(\omega) = -\sigma_{yx}(\omega)$ , and therefore  $T$ -invariance implies  $\sigma_{xy}(\omega) = 0$ . Furthermore (recall that the conductivity is dimensionless in 2+1 dimensions) we find that the Hall conductivity attains a finite real value at  $\omega = 0$ .

Now let us extract some quantitative results from our data. The superfluid density is the coefficient of the delta function in the real conductivity at  $\omega = 0$ . By the Kramers-Kronig relations, this is also the coefficient of the pole in the imaginary part of the conductivity as  $\omega \rightarrow 0$ :

$$\text{Re } \sigma_{xx}(\omega) \sim \pi n_s \delta(\omega) \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{Im } \sigma_{xx}(\omega) \sim n_s / \omega. \quad (45)$$

From our equations we find

$$\text{for } T \ll T_c : \quad n_s \approx C \sigma_n \Delta, \quad (46)$$

$$\text{as } T \rightarrow T_c : \quad n_s \approx C' \sigma_n (T_c - T), \quad (47)$$

where the numerical coefficients are  $C_z = 1.36$ ,  $C_{\bar{z}} = 1.54$ ,  $C'_z = 14.4$  and  $C'_{\bar{z}} = 15.2$ . As in [9], we can note that a linearly vanishing superfluid density near the critical temperature results in a London magnetic penetration depth of  $\lambda_L \sim (T_c - T)^{-1/2}$ , as expected from Landau-Ginzburg theory.

We can also read off the normal component of the DC superconductivity. In contrast to the fully gapped model of [9], there is no exponential suppression at small temperatures. Instead we find a quadratic dependence on temperature

$$n_n = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \text{Re } \sigma_{xx} \approx 0.46 \sigma_n (T/T_c)^2 + \dots. \quad (48)$$

Similarly, we can examine the DC hall effect and find

$$H = \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \text{Re } \sigma_{xy} \approx 0.36 \sigma_n + 0.12 \sigma_n (T/T_c)^2 + \dots. \quad (49)$$

---

<sup>4</sup> $\langle [J_i(t), J_j(0)] \rangle = \langle T [J_i(t), J_j(0)] T^* \rangle = \langle [J_j(0), J_i(-t)] \rangle = \langle [J_j(t), J_i(0)] \rangle$ .

There is no superfluid component because the imaginary part has no pole. On the other hand, because there is no Hall conductivity in the normal phase, it is clear that superconducting physics is playing an important role.

The crucial question is of course to understand the physics underlying the pseudogap in this model. One might hope that the pseudogap is indeed a signal of the  $p$ - or  $d$ -wave nature of the ‘Cooper pairs’. The operator that condenses is a component of a global  $SU(2)$  current. In many cases this will be a bilinear in UV operators, including fermions. The combination of spacetime and internal symmetries that occurs in these models to preserve isotropy is also reminiscent of non  $s$ -wave superconductors. In order to be completely sure that there is indeed a non  $s$ -wave condensate one should probe the system as a function of momentum  $k_i$  and look for a momentum-dependent gap. We hope to address this in the future.

Another possibility is that the pseudogap is directly due to the fact that there is a massless excitation in the theory: the Goldstone boson<sup>5</sup>. The electric current can decay at arbitrarily small energy into a multi Goldstone boson state. By the optical theorem, this process should introduce a branch cut in the current-current Greens function reaching down to  $\omega = 0$ . In fact, one might ask why such a cut didn’t show up in the conductivity computations in [9]. At weak coupling such processes are at higher loop order and are suppressed relative to pair production of ‘electrons’ (the quasiparticles forming the Cooper pair). At strong coupling it is less clear. Perhaps such cuts reaching  $\omega = 0$  are suppressed at large  $N$  in a similar manner to those resulting in hydrodynamic tails [29].

## 4 Uplift to M theory

The bulk Yang-Mills theory (3), can be obtained as a consistent truncation of eleven dimensional supergravity on  $AdS_4 \times S^7$ . This is appealing as it means that the physics we have been discussing can be considered as part of the M2 brane conformal field theory (CFT) phase diagram. Recall that the M2 brane CFT is defined as the IR fixed point of maximally supersymmetric  $SU(N)$  Yang-Mills theory in 2+1 dimensions. One caveat is that this embedding into M theory requires a relation between the AdS radius  $L$  and Yang-Mills coupling  $g$  that does not allow us to take the probe limit, except for near to  $T = T_c$ .

An intuitive motivation for the truncation is as follows. We can view  $S^7$  as two  $S^3$ s fibered over an interval. Since  $S^3 = SU(2)$  it is plausible that a consistent truncation to a theory with gauge group  $SO(4) \sim SU(2) \times SU(2)$  exists. This was shown to be possible in [30]. The resulting theory has two  $SU(2)$  gauge fields and two scalars. It was then pointed out in [31] that if the two gauge fields are equated, it is possible to consistently set the scalar fields to zero, leaving us with pure  $SU(2)$  Yang-Mills theory. In this truncation, and with our conventions for the action (3), one finds  $g^2 L^2 = \kappa_4^2/4$ . Clearly this is not

---

<sup>5</sup>We’d like to thank Dam Son for drawing this possibility to our attention.

consistent with the probe limit  $gL \gg \kappa_4$ . Near to  $T = T_c$ , however, the fields are small, the equations can be linearised, and backreaction neglected. In terms of field theory quantities, the M2 brane CFT has

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{g^2} = \frac{\sqrt{2}N^{3/2}}{6\pi}. \quad (50)$$

The uplifted metric is

$$ds_{11}^2 = ds_4^2 + \frac{L^2}{4} \left( 4d\xi^2 + \sin^2 \xi \sum_i (h^i)^2 + \cos^2 \xi \sum_i (\tilde{h}^i)^2 \right). \quad (51)$$

In this metric  $h^i = \sigma - gA^i/2$  and  $\tilde{h}^i = \tilde{\sigma} - gA^i/2$ , with  $\sigma$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}$  being two sets of the left-invariant one-forms on  $S^3 = SU(2)$ , normalized as  $\frac{1}{4} \sum (\sigma^i)^2 = d\Omega_3^2$ . The uplift also leads to a nontrivial four-form field strength,

$$F_{(4)} = -\frac{3}{L} \epsilon_{(4)} + L^2 \sin \xi \cos \xi d\xi \wedge (h^i - \tilde{h}^i) \wedge \star_4 F_{(2)}^i + L^2 \cos^2 \xi \epsilon_{ijk} h^i \wedge h^j \wedge \star_4 F_{(2)}^k + L^2 \sin^2 \xi \epsilon_{ijk} \tilde{h}^i \wedge \tilde{h}^j \wedge \star_4 F_{(2)}^k, \quad (52)$$

where  $F_{(2)}$  is the Yang-Mills field strength.

In the following section we will note an instability of the phase we have been considering (pointed out in [25]) against anisotropic perturbations. One consequence of embedding the theory into M theory is that one can imagine other modes, beyond the Yang-Mills truncation, that could lead to new instabilities. A more positive consequence of the embedding is that we have some idea of what the dual field theory actually is. In particular, we know that the Yang-Mills field we have been considering will be dual to an R-symmetry current. In terms of UV operators, this operator is a standard global current under which both bosons and fermions are charged. Therefore we will have  $J \sim \bar{\psi} \Gamma \psi + \Phi D\Phi + \dots$ .

It is certainly of interest to look for other possible embeddings of Yang-Mills theory in AdS into string or M theory, ideally consistent with the probe approximation. A natural way to get an  $SU(2)$  field in string theory is by using coincident D branes. In such a setup the probe limit will be admissible at weak string coupling (large  $N$ ).

## 5 Discussion and stability

It was shown in [25] that, at least near to the critical temperature, the isotropic superconducting phase is unstable to a perturbation breaking rotational invariance. This was achieved by searching for dynamical instabilities, that is, normalisable modes that grow exponentially in time. Their postulated endpoint of this instability is an anisotropic phase with background ansatz

$$A = \phi(r) dt\tau^3 + w(r) dx\tau^1. \quad (53)$$

This background breaks time reversal symmetry, as well as being incurably anisotropic. The anisotropy means that it is not possible to invariantly separate the standard and Hall conductivities. Therefore one should look for different signals of the time reversal breaking.

To confirm this instability and follow it down to lower temperatures, we have computed the free energies of the isotropic and anisotropic phases. The two backgrounds come into existence at the same critical temperature  $T_c$ . We have been able to study the backgrounds down to  $T/T_c \approx 0.23$ . Working in the grand canonical ensemble, that is, fixed chemical potential, to compute the free energy it is sufficient to simply compare the Yang-Mills action (3) evaluated on the solutions, with the same chemical potential (and not necessarily the same charges).

The result is shown in figure 4. For each phase we have plotted the difference in free energy compared to the phase without a condensate. We see that the anisotropic phase appears to be favoured at all temperatures.




Figure 4: Comparison of free energies for temperatures below  $T_c$ . The anisotropic phase is a dashed line. It always has a lower free energy than the isotropic phase.

The mode about the isotropic black hole leading to an instability does not mix with the modes we have been considering. Therefore the electrical response of the theory remains well defined at the level of linear response. The phase we have studied is interesting therefore as a model of a strongly coupled isotropic superconductor with a pseudogap and spontaneous time reversal breaking. These are four properties (strongly coupled, isotropic, pseudogapped and T-non-invariant) that are shared by superconductors of experimental interest. It would of course be interesting to identify other experimental quantities that are amenable to computation in this model.

Further on the question of instability, one should note that there are other backgrounds than (7) and (53) that are possible, and which are natural candidates for the dominant state. In particular, one could take distinct radial functions for the coefficients of  $dz\tau^-$  and  $d\bar{z}\tau^+$  in (7) and still preserve isotropy. This more general ansatz deserves study.

The main results of this paper have been to exhibit a pseudogap and a Hall conductivity in an isotropic phase of the holographic superconductor proposed in [10]. A primary question for future work is to explain the pseudogap in this model. We noted that two natural candidates are firstly non  $s$ -wave pairing and secondly intermediate states of massless Goldstone bosons.

A second question is to find different upliftings of the Yang-Mills model into string and M theory. Probe D branes are a natural way to engineer nonabelian symmetries and will furthermore be consistent with the probe limit.

Finally, we observed that the zero temperature gap was  $2\Delta/T_c \approx 8$ . This is very close to the value found in the holographic superconductor studied in [9]. It would be interesting to study more examples and see to what extent this value is universal for holographic superconductors.

## Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Gary Horowitz for many helpful comments. It is also a pleasure to acknowledge discussions with Leon Balents, David Mateos, Rafael Porto and Dam Son. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grants No. PHY05-51164 and PHY-0555669.

## References

- [1] J. Bardeen, L. N. Cooper and J. R. Schrieffer, “Theory Of Superconductivity,” *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1175 (1957).
- [2] R. D. Parks, *Superconductivity*, Marcel Dekker Inc. (1969).
- [3] P. Gegenwart, Q. Si and F. Steglich, “Quantum criticality in heavy-fermion metals,” *Nature Physics*, **4** (2008) 186.
- [4] P. Monthoux, D. Pines and G. G. Lonzarich, “Superconductivity without phonons,” *Nature*, **450** (2008) 1177.
- [5] E. W. Carlson, V. J. Emery, S. A. Kivelson and D. Orgad, “Concepts in high temperature superconductivity,” *arXiv:cond-mat/0206217*.
- [6] J. M. Maldacena, “The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity,” *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [*Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **38** (1999) 1113] [*arXiv:hep-th/9711200*].
- [7] S. S. Gubser, “Phase transitions near black hole horizons,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22**, 5121 (2005) [*arXiv:hep-th/0505189*].



- [8] S. S. Gubser, “Breaking an Abelian gauge symmetry near a black hole horizon,” arXiv:0801.2977 [hep-th].
- [9] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, “Building an AdS/CFT superconductor,” arXiv:0803.3295 [hep-th].
- [10] S. S. Gubser, “Colorful horizons with charge in anti-de Sitter space,” arXiv:0803.3483 [hep-th].
- [11] C. P. Herzog, P. Kovtun, S. Sachdev and D. T. Son, “Quantum critical transport, duality, and M-theory,” Phys. Rev. D **75**, 085020 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701036].
- [12] S. A. Hartnoll, P. K. Kovtun, M. Muller and S. Sachdev, “Theory of the Nernst effect near quantum phase transitions in condensed matter, and in dyonic black holes,” Phys. Rev. B **76**, 144502 (2007) [arXiv:0706.3215 [cond-mat.str-el]].
- [13] S. A. Hartnoll and C. P. Herzog, “Ohm’s Law at strong coupling: S duality and the cyclotron resonance,” Phys. Rev. D **76**, 106012 (2007) [arXiv:0706.3228 [hep-th]].
- [14] S. A. Hartnoll and C. P. Herzog, “Impure AdS/CFT,” arXiv:0801.1693 [hep-th].
- [15] D. T. Son, “Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: a geometric realization of the Schroedinger symmetry,” arXiv:0804.3972 [hep-th].
- [16] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, “Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs,” arXiv:0804.4053 [hep-th].
- [17] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase Transitions*, CUP, 1999.
- [18] M. Covington, M. Aprili, E. Paraoanu, L. H. Greene, F. Xu, J. Zhu and C. A. Mirkin, “Observation of surface-induced broken time-reversal symmetry in YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7</sub> tunnel junctions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **79** (1997) 277.
- [19] R. Krupke and G. Deutscher, “Anisotropic magnetic field dependence of the zero-bias anomaly on in-plane oriented [100] Y<sub>1</sub>Ba<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-x</sub>/In tunnel junctions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 4634.
- [20] R. Carmi, E. Polturak, G. Koren and A. Auerbach, “Spontaneous macroscopic magnetization at the superconducting transition temperature of YBa<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>3</sub>O<sub>7-δ</sub>,” Nature **404** (2000) 853.
- [21] G. Deutscher, Y. Dagan, A. Kohen and R. Krupke, “Field induced and spontaneous sub-gap in [110] and [100] oriented YBCO films: indication for a  $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$  order parameter,” Physica C **341-348** (2000) 1629.

- [22] Y. Dagan and G. Deutscher, “On the origin of time reversal symmetry breaking in  $Y_{1-y}Ca_yBa_2Cu_3O_{7-x}$ ,” *Europhys. Lett.* **57** (2002) 444.
- [23] R. B. Laughlin, “Magnetic induction of  $d_{x^2-y^2} + id_{xy}$  order in high- $T_c$  superconductors,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80** (1998) 5188.
- [24] J. Goryo and K. Ishikawa, “Observation of induced Chern-Simons term in P- and T-violating superconductors,” *Phys. Lett.* **A260** (1999) 294.
- [25] S. S. Gubser and S. S. Pufu, “The gravity dual of a p-wave superconductor,” arXiv:0805.2960 [hep-th].
- [26] A. Karch and A. O’Bannon, “Metallic AdS/CFT,” *JHEP* **0709**, 024 (2007) [arXiv:0705.3870 [hep-th]].
- [27] D. T. Son and A. O. Starinets, “Minkowski-space correlators in AdS/CFT correspondence: Recipe and applications,” *JHEP* **0209**, 042 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0205051].
- [28] S. A. Hartnoll and P. Kovtun, “Hall conductivity from dyonic black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 066001 (2007) [arXiv:0704.1160 [hep-th]].
- [29] P. Kovtun and L. G. Yaffe, “Hydrodynamic fluctuations, long-time tails, and supersymmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **68**, 025007 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0303010].
- [30] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, “Four-dimensional  $N = 4$ ,  $SO(4)$  gauged supergravity from  $D = 11$ ,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **574**, 761 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9910252].
- [31] R. B. Mann, E. Radu and D. H. Tchrakian, “Nonabelian solutions in AdS(4) and  $d = 11$  supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 064015 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606004].