Hydrodynamic type integrable equations on a segment and a half-line.

Metin Gürses^a, Ismagil Habibullin^{*b} and Kostyantyn Zheltukhin^c

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey e-mail gurses@fen.bilkent.edu.tr
^bDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences Bilkent University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey e-mail habib@fen.bilkent.edu.tr
^cDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences

Middle East Technical University 06531 Ankara, Turkey e-mail zheltukh@metu.edu.tr

November 18, 2018

Abstract

The concept of integrable boundary conditions is applied to hydrodynamic type systems. Examples of such boundary conditions for dispersionless Toda systems are obtained. The close relation of integrable boundary conditions with integrable reductions of multi-field systems is observed. The problem of consistency of boundary conditions with the Hamiltonian formulation is discussed. Examples of Hamiltonian integrable hydrodynamic type systems on a segment and a semi-line are presented.

Keywords: hydrodynamic type equations, integrable boundary conditions, symmetries, Toda systems, Hamiltonian representation.

^{*}e-mail: habibullin_i@mail.rb.ru, (On leave from Ufa Institute of Mathematics, Russian Academy of Science, Chernyshevskii Str., 112, Ufa, 450077, Russia)

1 Introduction.

The theory of the integrable hydrodynamic type of systems

$$u_t^i = v_j^i(u)u_x^j, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots N,$$
(1)

was initiated by S.P.Novikov and B.A.Dubrovin [1], and S.P.Tsarev [2]. Here in equation (1) summation over the repeated indices is assumed and u is an N-component column vector of the form $u = (u^1, u^2, ...u^N)^t$. Such systems have a variety of applications in gas dynamics, fluid mechanics [6, 7, 8, 11], chemical kinetics, Whitham averaging procedure [9, 10, 4, 5], differential geometry and topological field theory. We refer to [3, 12] for further discussions and references.

In the present article, a problem of finding boundary conditions for hydrodynamic type equations consistent with the integrability property is studied for a special case of the system (1) called dispersionless Toda lattices ([17, 19, 20]). Actually we assume that equation (1) admits a Lax representation on the algebra

$$A = \left\{ \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_i(x) p^i : u_i \quad \text{decay sufficiently rapidly as} \quad x \to \pm \infty \right\}$$
(2)

with the following Poisson bracket

$$\{f,g\} = p\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p}\right) \qquad f,g \in A.$$

Such equations, for example, appear in the fluid mechanics as reductions of Benny moment equations [6]-[12].

Our definition of consistency of boundary conditions with the integrability (see [13, 14, 15]) is based on the notion of symmetries. A constraint of the form

$$f(t, u, u_{[1]}, \dots, u_{[k]})|_{x=x_0} = 0,$$
(3)

where $u_{[j]} = \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} u$, imposed at some point x_0 is called a boundary condition at this point. Boundary value problem (1), (3) (or simply boundary condition (3)) is called consistent with the symmetry

$$u_{\tau}^{i} = \sigma(t, x, u, u_{[1]}, ..., u_{[m]})$$
(4)

if (1) and (4) are compatible under the constraint (3). More precisely, we mean the following: Differentiation of (3) with respect to τ yields

$$\sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_{[n]}^i} (u_{[n]}^i)_\tau = 0, \tag{5}$$

where τ -derivatives are replaced by means of equation (4).

Definition 1 . Boundary value problem (1), (3) is consistent with the symmetry (4) if (5) holds identically by means of (3) and its differential consequences obtained by differentiating with respect to t.

Note that, since constraint (3) is valid only for $x = x_0$ it cannot be differentiated with respect to x. For this reason it is convenient to exclude the x-derivatives of dependent variable u from our scheme. By solving equation (1) for u_x^i one gets $u_x = v^{-1}u_t$, where v^{-1} is the matrix inverse to $v_j^i(u)$. Similarly, $u_{xx} = (v^{-1}u_t)_x = (v^{-1})_x u_t + v^{-1}u_{tt}$ is expressed through u, u_t, u_{tt} and so on. As a result one can rewrite boundary condition (3) and symmetry (4) taken at the point x_0 as

$$f_1(t, u, u_t, ...) = 0 (6)$$

and

$$u_{\tau} = \sigma_1(t, x_0, u, u_t, ...).$$
 (7)

Now the consistency requirement can be reformulated as follows. Boundary condition (3) is consistent with (4) if differential constraint (6) is consistent with the associated τ -dynamics (7). We call the boundary condition consistent with integrability if it is consistent with an infinite dimensional subspace of symmetries. Hydrodynamic type system given in (1) defines an *N*-dimensional dynamical system and the boundary condition (6) defines an hypersurface in *N*-dimensional space of functions. Thus, due to the remark above an integrable boundary condition is closely connected with reductions of the associated system (7) compatible with integrability [17]. Below we use this important observation in order to find symmetry consistent boundary conditions.

Boundary conditions passed the symmetry test are then tested for consistency with the conserved quantities, Hamiltonian structures and the complete integrability property of system (1). It is remarkable that some of the boundary conditions satisfy also these additional requirements and thus allow one to reduce (1) to a completely integrable Hamiltonian system on a segment and a half-line.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 some integrable boundary conditions for Toda system are derived and it is showen that these boundary conditions are compatible with infinite number of symmetries. The relation between the integrable reductions of N-system and the integrable boundary conditions is considered in Section 3. It is observed that some integrable boundary conditions lead to integrable reductions. In section 4 we discuss the compatibility of the integrable boundary conditions, found in the previous sections, with the Hamiltonian formulation. We show that some boundary conditions are indeed compatible with the Hamiltonian formulation and also with an infinite class of symmetries. In all sections up to Section 4 only N = 2 systems are considered. In Section 5 we study N = 3 systems which give other examples of hydrodynamic type equations. For this case, some integrable boundary conditions compatible with the Hamiltonian formulation are found.

2 Integrable Boundary conditions for the Toda system.

In this section we study the well known example of integrable model [16]

$$S_t = P_x,$$

$$P_t = PS_x$$
(8)

called Toda system, admitting the Lax representation on the algebra of Laurent series (2)

$$L_t = \{ (L)_{\geq 0}, L \}, \tag{9}$$

where

$$L = p + S + Pp^{-1}.$$
 (10)

The corresponding hierarchy of symmetries of the Toda system (8) is

$$L_{t_n} = \{L, (L^n)_{\ge 0}\}.$$
(11)

Recursion operator corresponding to the above hierarchy is (for calculation of recursion operator see [17], [18])

$$\mathfrak{R} = \begin{pmatrix} S & 2 + P_x D_x^{-1} \cdot P^{-1} \\ 2P & S + S_x P D_x^{-1} \cdot P^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (12)

In some cases it is convenient to consider the Toda system(8) in other variables. We write the Lax function (10) as $L = p^{-1}(p+u)(p+v)$ that is

$$S = u + v, \quad P = uv. \tag{13}$$

Then the Toda system (9) gives

$$u_t = uv_x v_t = vu_x.$$
(14)

Let us find boundary conditions compatible with an infinite number of symmetries from the hierarchy (11). As a boundary we take x = 0. First we find boundary conditions compatible with the first symmetry of the hierarchy (11). Assume that the boundary condition depends on P, S and can be solved with respect to S. So the boundary condition can be written as

$$S = F(P), \quad x = 0. \tag{15}$$

Lemma 1 On the boundary x = 0, the boundary condition of the form (15) compatible with the first symmetry of the hierarchy (11)

$$S_{t_1} = 2SP_x + 2PS_x$$

$$P_{t_1} = 2PP_x + 2SPS_x$$
(16)

is given by

$$P = \frac{(S+c)^2}{4}, \qquad x = 0,$$
(17)

Proof. The boundary condition (15) is compatible with the symmetry (16) if on the boundary x = 0

$$S_{t_1} = F'(P)P_{t_1} \tag{18}$$

for all solutions of the equation (8). Let us find functions F for which the above equality holds. We rewrite the symmetry (16) in terms of variables S, P and their t derivatives using the equation (8)

$$S_{t_1} = 2SS_t + 2P_t P_{t_1} = 2PS_t + 2SP_t.$$
(19)

Then we substitute S_{t_1} and P_{t_1} in (18), so

$$2SS_t + 2P_t = F'(P)(2PS_t + 2SP_t)$$
(20)

From (15) it follows that $S_t = F'(P)P_t$, so

$$2SF'(P)P_t + 2P_t = F'(P)(2PF'(P)P_t + 2SP_t).$$
(21)

Hence

$$F'^{2}(P) = \frac{1}{P}.$$
 (22)

The above equation has a solution (17). \Box

It is convenient to write the boundary condition (17) as

$$P = \frac{S^2}{4}, \quad x = 0.$$
 (23)

by shifting S, the Toda system (8) is invariant with respect to such shift.

Lemma 2 All the symmetries of the hierarchy (11) are compatible with the boundary condition (23).

Proof. The boundary condition (23) is compatible with an evolution symmetry

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}S\\P\end{array}\right)_{\tau} = \left(\begin{array}{c}\sigma\\\pi\end{array}\right) \tag{24}$$

if $\pi = \frac{1}{2}S\sigma$ for $P = \frac{1}{4}S^2$. That is under the constraint (23) the symmetry (24) should take the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{2}SS_{\tau} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \frac{1}{2}S\sigma \end{pmatrix}$$
(25)

Evidently the first symmetry of the hierarchy (11) has such a form. Let us show that the recursion operator (12) preserves the property (25). On the boundary x = 0 we rewrite the recursion operator (12) in terms of t derivatives using the Toda system(8) as follows

$$\mathfrak{R} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} S + S_t D_t^{-1} & 2\\ 2P + P_t D_t^{-1} & S \end{array}\right).$$

$$(26)$$

Applying the recursion operator (26) to a symmetry (25) we obtain a symmetry

$$\left(\begin{array}{c}S\\P\end{array}\right)_{\tilde{\tau}} = \left(\begin{array}{c}\tilde{\sigma}\\\frac{1}{2}S\tilde{\sigma}\end{array}\right).$$
(27)

We also have the following boundary condition compatible with the hierarchy (11).

Lemma 3 On the boundary x = 0, the boundary condition

$$P = 0 \tag{28}$$

is compatible with all symmetries of the hierarchy (11)

The above lemma is proved in the same way as lemma (2).

Another boundary condition comes from considering odd and even solutions of the Toda system(8). This boundary condition is not compatible with all symmetries of the hierarchy (11) but only with even ones.

Lemma 4 On the boundary x = 0, the boundary condition

$$S = 0 \tag{29}$$

is compatible with all even numbered symmetries of the hierarchy (11).

The above lemma is proved in the same way as lemma (2) using the square of the recursion operator (26).

3 Integrable reductions.

Let us consider other equations admitting a Lax representation on the algebra (2). For a Lax function $L = p^{-1}(p-u_N)(p-u_{N-1})\dots(p-u_1)$, where N > 2, we consider the Lax equation

$$L_t = \{L, (L)_{\ge 0}\}.$$
(30)

and an infinite hierarchy of symmetries

$$L_{t_n} = \{L, (L^n)_{\ge 0}\} \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots .$$
(31)

For such equations we can not directly find boundary conditions compatible with symmetries (see section (5)). So we use integrable reductions [17].

Definition 2 A reduction of an integrable equation is called integrable if reduced equation is also integrable. That is the reduced equation admits an infinite hierarchy of symmetries.

In [17] it was shown that the following reductions

$$u_N = u_{N-1} = \dots = u_i = 0, \quad i \ge 2, u_N = u_{N-1} = \dots = u_j, \quad j \ge 1$$
(32)

of the above equations are integrable. We note that for these reductions the symmetries of the reduced equation are obtained by the reduction of the symmetries of the original system.

If we have an integrable reduction such that symmetries of the reduced system are obtained by the reduction of the symmetries of the original system then the reduction can be taken as integrable boundary conditions. Indeed, the original system is invariant under the hierarchy of symmetries and the reduced system is invariant under the symmetries. Since reduction can be recovered from original system and the reduced system it is also invariant under the symmetries. So, taking the reductions (32) as boundary conditions we obtain symmetry invariant boundary conditions.

Theorem 1 For a system (30) the boundary conditions $(u_N = u_{N-1} = \cdots = u_i)|_{x=a} = 0$, or $(u_N = u_{N-1} = \cdots = u_j)|_{x=a}$ (taking x = a as the boundary) are integrable.

Let us take boundary conditions obtained in section 2. The condition $(P = \frac{S^2}{4})|_{x=0}$ in u, v variables (13) is $(u - v)|_{x=0} = 0$. It corresponds to a reduction u = v. The condition $P|_{x=0} = 0$ in u, v variables is $(uv)|_{x=0} = 0$. It corresponds to a reduction u = 0 (or v = 0). The condition $S|_{x=0} = 0$ in u, v variables is $(u+v)|_{x=0} = 0$. It does not correspond to reductions considered above.

Remark. If we take a reduction mentioned above as a boundary condition then we can consider the corresponding reduced system. Solutions of the reduced system obviously satisfy the main system equations and the boundary condition. For Toda system the reduction P = 0 leads to the equation

$$S_t = 0. (33)$$

Its solution S = f(x), for any differentiable function f, gives the solution of Toda system (8) satisfying the corresponding boundary condition (28). The

reduction $P = \frac{S^2}{4}$ leads to the Hopf equation

$$S_t = \frac{1}{2}SS_x.$$
(34)

Its solution S = h(2x + tS) gives the solution of Toda system (8) satisfying the corresponding boundary condition. Here h is any differentiable function of x and t. To find a solution of N- system satisfying the integrable boundary condition the method described above is very effective. We take the corresponding reduction and the corresponding reduced (N - 1) system. Solving the reduced system gives automatically the solution of the N- system satisfying the integrable boundary condition.

4 Hamiltonian representation of the integrable boundary value problems.

To obtain the Hamiltonian formulation of the Toda system (8) we use its Lax representation on the algebra (2).

We define, for the algebra of Lourent series (2), a trace functional

$$\operatorname{tr} f = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_0 \, dx \qquad f \in A, \ f = \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} u_i(x) p^i \tag{35}$$

and a non-degenerate ad-invariant pairing

$$(f,g) = \operatorname{tr}(f \cdot g) \qquad f,g \in A. \tag{36}$$

Thus we have a Poisson algebra with a commutative multiplication and unity, the multiplication satisfies the derivation property with respect to the Poisson bracket, and the algebra is equipped with a non-degenerate ad-invariant pairing. Following [20] we can define an infinite family of Poisson structures for smooth functions on the algebra A. A function F on A is smooth if there is a map $dF : A \to A$ such that

$$F'|_{t=0}(f+tg) = (dF(f), g), \qquad f, g \in A.$$

The following theorem ([20], see also [21]) holds

Theorem 2 Let A be a Poisson algebra with commutative multiplication and unity, Poisson bracket $\{.,.\}$ and non-degenerate, ad-invariant pairing (.,.). Let the multiplication satisfies the derivation property with respect to the Poisson bracket and symmetric with respect to the pairing, (fg, h) = (f, gh). Assume that $R : A \to A$ is a classical r-matrix. Then for smooth functions F and G on A

a.

$$\{F,G\}_{(n)}(f) = (f,\{R(f^{n+1}dF(f)), dG(f)\}) + (f,\{dF(f), R(f^{n+1}dG(f))\}),$$
(37)

defines a Poisson structure for each integer $n \geq -1$.

b. The structures $\{., .\}_{(n)}$ are compatible with each other (their sum is again a Poisson structure).

A linear operator $R: A \to A$ is a classical *r*-matrix if the bracket

$$[f,g] = \frac{1}{2}(\{Rf,g\} + \{f,Rg\})$$

is a Lie bracket.

To apply the above theorem we take an r-matrix

$$R = \frac{1}{2}(P_{\geq 0} - P_{\leq -1}) \tag{38}$$

where $P_{\geq 0}$ and $P_{\leq -1}$ are projectors on Poisson subalgebras

$$A_{\geq 0} = \{u = \sum_{0}^{\infty} u_i p^i : u \in A\}$$
 and $A_{\leq 0} = \{u = \sum_{-\infty}^{-1} u_i p^i : u \in A\}$

respectively. Note that the Lax equation (9) is

$$L_t = \{R(L), L\} \tag{39}$$

where $L = p + S + Pp^{-1}$.

Using the Poisson structures given by the Theorem (2) we obtain bi-Hamiltonian formulation of the Toda lattice.

The submanifold $M = \{L \in A : L = p + S + Pp^{-1}\}$ is a Poisson submanifold for the Poisson structure (37) with n = -1. Restricting this structure on M we obtain the following Hamiltonian operator

$$\mathfrak{D}_{-1} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & PD_x + P_x \\ PD_x & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{40}$$

We have first Hamiltonian formulation for (8)

$$\begin{pmatrix} S \\ P \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \mathfrak{D}_{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \mathcal{H}_{-1} / \delta S \\ \delta \mathcal{H}_{-1} / \delta P \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (41)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H}_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} L^2$$
 that is $\mathcal{H}_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (S^2 + 2P) \, dx.$ (42)

The second Hamiltonian operator can be obtained by restricting the Poisson structure (37) with n = 0 on the submanifold M or by application of the recursion operator (12) to the Hamiltonian operator (40). The second Hamiltonian operator is

$$\mathfrak{D}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 2PD_{x} + P_{x} & SPD_{x} + SP_{x} \\ SPD_{x} + S_{x}P & P^{2}D_{x} + PP_{x} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(43)

The corresponding Hamiltonian functional is

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \mathrm{tr}L \quad \mathrm{that} \text{ is } \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S \, dx$$
 (44)

Since Hamiltonian operators \mathfrak{D}_{-1} and \mathfrak{D}_0 are compatible we have a bi-Hamiltonian representation of the equation (8).

In u, v variables (13) the Hamiltonian operators and functionals take form

$$\mathfrak{B}_{-1} = \frac{uv}{(u-v)^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2u & u+v\\ u+v & -2v \end{pmatrix} D_x +$$
(45)

$$\frac{1}{(u-v)^3} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 2uv^2u_x - u^3v_x - u^2vv_x & u^2vv_x + u^3v_x - 2uv^2u_x \\ 2uv^2v_x - uv^2u_x - v^3u_x & v^3u_x + uv^2u_x - 2u^2vv_x \end{array} \right)$$
(46)

and

$$\mathcal{G}_{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u^2 + v^2 + 4uv) \, dx. \tag{47}$$

$$\mathfrak{B}_0 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & uv_x + uvD_x \\ vu_x + uvD_x & 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{48}$$

and

$$\mathcal{G}_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u+v) \, dx. \tag{49}$$

A different approach was used in [19] to obtain the Hamiltonian operator \mathfrak{B}_0 (see also references in [19]). The explicit expressions of an infinite number of conservation laws for the Toda system(14) was given in [19]

$$Q_{n,t} = F_{n,x} \qquad n = 1, 2...,$$
 (50)

where

$$Q_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j}^2 u^j v^{n-j} \qquad n = 1, 2, 3...$$
(51)

and

$$F_n = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{n-j}{j+1} \binom{n}{j}^2 u^{j+1} v^{n-j} \qquad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots$$
(52)

The conserved quantities $Q_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q_n dx$ are in involution with respect to the Hamiltonian operators \mathfrak{B}_{-1} and \mathfrak{B}_{0} . One can easily check if the boundary conditions preserve the conserved quantities.

Lemma 5 For the Toda system(14) with the boundary condition **a.** $(u-v)|_{x=0} = 0$ $(P = \frac{S^2}{4})|_{x=0}$ the above conservation laws are not preserved;

b. $uv|_{x=0} = 0$ ($P|_{x=0} = 0$) the quantities

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{Q}_n dx \qquad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots \tag{53}$$

are conserved;

c. $(u+v)|_{x=0} = 0$ (S|_{x=0} = 0) the quantities

$$\int_0^\infty \mathcal{Q}_n dx \qquad n = 2, 4, 6 \dots \tag{54}$$

are conserved.

We can use the above Hamiltonian operators to obtain the Hamiltonian representation of some of the boundary value problems.

Theorem 3 The Toda system (14) on a segment [0,1] with boundary conditions

$$uv|_{x=0} = 0 \quad and \quad uv|_{x=1} = 0.$$
 (55)

admits the bi-Hamiltonian representation with Hamiltonian operators $\mathfrak{B}_{(n)}$, n=-1,0, and Hamiltonians

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{-1} = \int_0^1 (u^2 + v^2 + 4uv) dx = \int_{-\infty}^\infty (u^2 + v^2 + 4uv)\theta(x)\theta(1-x)dx \quad (56)$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}_0 = \int_0^1 (u+v)dx, = \int_{-\infty}^\infty (u+v)\theta(x)\theta(1-x)dx,$$
(57)

respectively, where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function.

Proof. The Hamiltonian equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ v \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \mathfrak{B}_{n} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{n} / \delta u \\ \delta \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{n} / \delta v \end{pmatrix} \quad n = -1, 0$$
(58)

are for n = -1

$$u_t = uv_x - \frac{uv}{u_w v} (\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$v_t = vu_x + \frac{uv}{u - v} (\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x))$$
(59)

and for n = 0

$$u_{t} = uv_{x} + uv(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)), v_{t} = vu_{x} + uv(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$
(60)

where $x \in [0, 1]$. Under the boundary conditions $uv|_{x=0} = 0$ and $uv|_{x=1} = 0$ we have the Toda system(14) on [0, 1]. Note that the Poisson brackets are given by

$$\{\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{N}\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta \mathcal{K}/\delta u \\ \delta \mathcal{K}/\delta v \end{array} \right) \mathfrak{B}_{(n)} \left(\begin{array}{c} \delta \mathcal{N}/\delta u \\ \delta \mathcal{N}/\delta v \end{array} \right)$$
(61)

where n = -1, 0.

5 Integrable boundary conditions for the three field systems.

Let us consider a three field hydrodynamic type system on the algebra (2). We take a Lax function

$$L = p^2 + Sp + P + Qp^{-1}.$$
 (62)

We can construct two integrable hierarchies with this Lax function.

The first hierarchy is given by

$$L_t = \{ (L^{n+\frac{1}{2}})_{\geq 0}, L \} \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
(63)

the first equation of the hierarchy is

$$S_t = P_x - \frac{1}{2}SS_x,$$

$$P_t = Q_x,$$

$$Q_t = \frac{1}{2}QS_x.$$
(64)

The second hierarchy is given by

$$L_t = \{ (L^n)_{\geq 0}, L \} \qquad n = 1, 2, 3 \dots,$$
(65)

the first equation of the hierarchy is

$$S_t = 2Q_x$$

$$P_t = SQ_x + QS_x$$

$$Q_t = QP_x$$
(66)

We also have a recursion operator [17] of the hierarchies (63) and (65).

$$\begin{pmatrix} P - \frac{1}{4}S^2 + (\frac{1}{2}P_x - \frac{1}{4}SS_x)D_x^{-1} & \frac{1}{2}S & 3 + 2Q_x D_x^{-1}Q^{-1} \\ \frac{3}{2}Q + \frac{1}{2}Q_x D_x^{-1} & P & 2S + (SQ)_x D_x^{-1}Q^{-1} \\ \frac{1}{4}SQ + \frac{1}{4}S_x QD_x^{-1} & \frac{3}{2}Q & P + QP_x D_x^{-1}Q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(67)

The bi-Hamiltonian representation of equations (64) and (66) is obtained by restricting the Poisson structure (37) with n = -1 and n = 0 on the submanifold $M = \{L \in A : L = p^2 + Sp + P + Qp^{-1}\}$. So we have Hamiltonian operators

$$\mathfrak{C}_{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 2D_x & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & QD_x + Q_x\\ 0 & QD_x & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(68)

.

and

$$\mathfrak{C}_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} (2P - \frac{1}{2}S^{2})D_{x} + P_{x} - \frac{1}{2}SS_{x} & 3QD_{x} + 2Q_{x} & \frac{1}{2}QD_{x} + \frac{1}{2}SQ_{x} \\ QD_{x} + Q_{x} & 2SQD_{x} + SQ_{x} + QS_{x} & PQD_{x} + PD_{x} \\ \frac{1}{2}SQD_{x} + \frac{1}{2}QS_{x} & PD_{x} + P_{x}Q & \frac{3}{2}Q^{2}D_{x} + \frac{3}{2}QQ_{x} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(69)$$

The equation (64) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} S \\ P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \mathfrak{C}_{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} / \delta S \\ \delta \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} / \delta P \\ \delta \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} / \delta Q \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{C}_{0} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{0} / \delta S \\ \delta \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{0} / \delta P \\ \delta \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{0} / \delta Q \end{pmatrix},$$
(70)

where

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} = \frac{2}{3} \text{tr} L^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad \text{that is} \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(Q + \frac{1}{2} SP - \frac{1}{24} S^3 \right) \, dx \tag{71}$$

and

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_0 = 2 \mathrm{tr} L^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad \text{that is} \quad \bar{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S \, dx \,.$$
(72)

The equation (66) can be written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} S \\ P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \mathfrak{C}_{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{-1}/\delta S \\ \delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{-1}/\delta P \\ \delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{-1}/\delta Q \end{pmatrix} = \mathfrak{C}_{0} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{0}/\delta S \\ \delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{0}/\delta P \\ \delta \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{0}/\delta Q \end{pmatrix},$$
(73)

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr} L^2 \quad \text{that is} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(SQ + \frac{1}{2}P^2 \right) dx \tag{74}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \operatorname{tr} L \quad \text{that is} \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P \, dx \,.$$
(75)

We can give both hierarchies in modified variables, writing the Lax function (62) as $L = p^{-1}(p-u)(p-v)(p-w)$ that is

$$S = u + v + w,$$

$$P = uv + uw + vw,$$

$$Q = uvw.$$
(76)

To find integrable boundary condition directly for three field systems is quite difficult. For example, consider hierarchy (63). In the following lemmas we use P, Q, R variables since symmetries and recursion operator have a simple form in these variables.

Lemma 6 Let x = 0 be the boundary. The boundary conditions of the form P = F(S) and Q = G(S) are compatible with the first symmetry of the hierarchy (63) if the functions F and G satisfy the following differential equations

$$\frac{3}{2}S(F')^2 + 3F'G' - \frac{3}{4}F'S^2 - 3G'S - \frac{3}{2}G = 0,$$
(77)

$$\frac{3}{2}SF'G' + 3(G')^2 - \frac{3}{2}F'G - \frac{3}{4}G'S^2 - \frac{3}{4}SG = 0.$$
 (78)

Proof. The first symmetry of the hierarchy (63) is

$$S_{t_1} = \frac{3}{2}(P - \frac{1}{4}S^2)(P_x - \frac{1}{2}SS_x) + \frac{3}{2}SQ_x + \frac{3}{2}S_xQ,$$

$$P_{t_1} = \frac{3}{2}PQ_x + \frac{3}{2}P_xQ_4^3QSS_x + \frac{3}{8}S^2Q_x,$$

$$Q_{t_1} = \frac{1}{4}SQ(P_x - \frac{1}{2}SS_x) + \frac{1}{4}Q(P - \frac{1}{4}S^2) + \frac{3}{2}QQ_x + \frac{1}{2}QPS_x + \frac{1}{2}QSP_x.$$
(79)

Differentiating the boundary conditions P = F(S), Q = G(S) with respect to the above symmetry and expressing all the x derivatives in terms of t derivatives using the equation (64) we obtain the equations (77) and (78).

Lemma 7 Let x = 0 be the boundary. The boundary condition of the form S = F(P,Q) is compatible with the first symmetry of the hierarchy (63) if function F satisfies the following differential equations

$$\frac{3}{2}(P - \frac{1}{4}F^2)F_P + \frac{3}{2}F = \frac{3}{2}PF_P + \frac{3}{2}QF_P^2 + \frac{3}{8}QF_P^2 + \frac{3}{8}F^2F_P + \frac{1}{4}QFF_Q + \frac{3}{2}QF_Q + \frac{1}{2}FF_PF_Q, \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{3}{2}(P - \frac{1}{4}F^2)F_Q + \frac{3}{2} = \frac{3}{2}QF_PF_Q + \frac{3}{2}FF_P + \frac{1}{2}(P - \frac{1}{4}S^2)F_Q + PF_Q + \frac{1}{2}QFF_Q^2 + \frac{1}{2}F^2F_Q.$$
 (81)

Proof. We differentiate the boundary condition S = F(P, Q) with respect to the symmetry (63) and express all the x derivatives in terms of t derivatives using the equation (64). Then separating terms containing P_t and Q_t we obtain the equations (80) and (81). \Box

The differential equations obtained in the above lemmas are nonlinear partial differential equations which are rather complicated. So, to obtain integrable

boundary conditions it is easy to use integrable reductions discussed in section 3. Let x = 0 be a boundary.

a. Integrable reduction u = v gives integrable boundary condition $u|_{x=0} = v|_{x=0}$ or $(S^3Q - S^2P^2 + 4Q^3 - 18SPQ + 27Q^2)|_{x=0} = 0$ (condition on coefficients of cubic equation to have at least to equal roots) in S, P, Q variables.

b. Integrable reduction u = v = w gives integrable boundary conditions $u|_{x=0} = v|_{x=0} = w|_{x=0}$ or $P|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{3}S^2|_{x=0}$, $Q|_{x=0} = \frac{1}{27}S^3|_{x=0}$ (condition on coefficients of cubic equation to have all roots equal.

c. Integrable reduction u = 0 gives integrable boundary condition $u|_{x=0} = 0$ or $Q|_{x=0} = 0$.

d. Integrable reduction u = 0, v = 0 gives integrable boundary conditions $u|_{x=0} = 0$, $v|_{x=0} = 0$ or $P|_{x=0} = 0$, $Q|_{x=0} = 0$. To obtain boundary value problems that admit bi-Hamiltonian representation we modify Hamiltonian functions, as in the case of Toda system. We use S, P, Q variables, the Hamiltonian operators have simpler form in this variables.

For equation (64) we have

Theorem 4 The equation (64) on a segment [0,1] with boundary conditions

$$\left(P - \frac{1}{4}S^2\right)|_{x=0} = 0, \ Q|_{x=0} = 0 \quad and \quad \left(P - \frac{1}{4}S^2\right)|_{x=1} = 0, \ Q|_{x=1} = 0.$$
(82)

admits the bi-Hamiltonian representation with Hamiltonian operators (68), (69) and Hamiltonians

$$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(Q + \frac{1}{2}SP - \frac{1}{24}S^3 \right) \theta(x)\theta(1-x)dx \tag{83}$$

and

$$\bar{\bar{\mathcal{H}}}_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} S\theta(x)\theta(1-x)dx,$$
(84)

respectively, where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function.

Proof. The Hamiltonian equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} S \\ P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \mathfrak{C}_{n} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \bar{\bar{\mathcal{H}}}_{n} / \delta S \\ \delta \bar{\bar{\mathcal{H}}}_{n} / \delta P \\ \delta \bar{\bar{\mathcal{H}}}_{n} / \delta Q \end{pmatrix} \quad n = -1, 0$$
(85)

are for n = -1

$$S_{t} = P_{x} - \frac{1}{2}SS_{x} + (P - \frac{1}{4}S^{2})(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$P_{t} = Q_{x} + \frac{1}{2}SQ(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$Q_{t} = \frac{1}{2}QS_{x} + Q(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x))$$
(86)

and for n = 0

$$S_{t} = P_{x} - \frac{1}{2}SS_{x} + (2P - \frac{1}{2}S^{2})(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$P_{t} = Q_{x} + Q(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$Q_{t} = \frac{1}{2}QS_{x} + \frac{1}{2}SQ(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

(87)

where $x \in [0, 1]$. Under the boundary conditions (82) we have the equation (64) on [0, 1]. \Box

The boundary conditions (82) are symmetry integrable.

Lemma 8 All the symmetries of the hierarchy (63) are compatible with the boundary condition (82).

Proof. The boundary condition (82) is compatible with an evolution symmetry

$$\begin{pmatrix} S \\ P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{\tau} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \pi \\ \kappa \end{pmatrix}$$
(88)

if $\pi = \frac{1}{2}S\sigma$ and $\kappa = 0$ for $P = \frac{1}{4}S^2$ and Q = 0 on the boundary x = 0. That is under the conditions (82) the symmetry (88) should take the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_{\tau} \\ \frac{1}{2}SS_{\tau} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma \\ \frac{1}{2}S\sigma \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
(89)

One can check that the first symmetry of the hierarchy (63) has such a form. Let us show that the recursion operator (67) preserves the form (89). On the boundary x = 0 we rewrite the recursion operator (67) in terms of t derivatives using the equation (64) as follows

$$\begin{pmatrix} P - \frac{1}{4}S^2 - \frac{1}{4}S_t D_t^{-1}S & \frac{1}{2}S + \frac{1}{2}S_t D_t^{-1} & 3 + P_t D_t^{-1} \\ \frac{3}{2}Q - \frac{1}{4}P_t D_t^{-1}S & P + \frac{1}{2}P_t D_t^{-1} & 2S + (\frac{1}{2}SP_t + Q_t)D_t^{-1} \\ \frac{1}{4}SQ - \frac{1}{4}Q_t D_t^{-1}S & \frac{3}{2}Q + \frac{1}{2}Q_t D_t^{-1} & P + \frac{1}{2}QP_t D_t^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$
(90)

Applying the recursion operator (90) to a symmetry (89) we obtain a symmetry

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_{\tilde{\tau}} \\ \frac{1}{2}SS_{\tilde{\tau}} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\sigma} \\ \frac{1}{2}S\tilde{\sigma} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (91)

For equation (66) we have

Theorem 5 The equation (66) on a segment [0, 1] with boundary conditions

$$Q|_{x=0} = 0 \quad and \quad Q|_{x=1} = 0.$$
 (92)

admits the bi-Hamiltonian representation with Hamiltonian operators (69), (69) and Hamiltonians

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}_{-1} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(SQ + \frac{1}{2}P^2 \right) \theta(x)\theta(1-x)dx$$
(93)

and

$$\tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P\theta(x)\theta(1-x)dx,$$
(94)

respectively, where $\theta(x)$ is the Heaviside step function.

Proof. The Hamiltonian equations

$$\begin{pmatrix} S \\ P \\ Q \end{pmatrix}_{t} = \mathfrak{C}_{n} \begin{pmatrix} \delta \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}_{n} / \delta S \\ \delta \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}_{n} / \delta P \\ \delta \tilde{\tilde{\mathcal{H}}}_{n} / \delta Q \end{pmatrix} \quad n = -1, 0$$
(95)

are for n = -1

$$S_{t} = 2Q_{x} + 2Q(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$P_{t} = SQ_{x} + QS_{x} + PQ(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$Q_{t} = QP_{x} + SQ(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x))$$
(96)

and for n = 0

$$S_{t} = 2Q_{x} + Q(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$P_{t} = SQ_{x} + QS_{x} + 2SQ(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

$$Q_{t} = QP_{x} + PQ(\delta(x) - \delta(1 - x)),$$

(97)

where $x \in [0, 1]$. Under the boundary conditions (92) we have the equation (66) on [0, 1]. \Box

In the same way as in lemma 8 one can show that the boundary condition (92) is symmetry integrable. This case is similar to the case of Toda system (the boundary condition $Q|_{\Gamma} = 0$ in modified variables is $uvw|_{\Gamma} = 0$).

6 Conclusion

In this article we studied the problem of integrable boundary conditions for hydrodynamic type integrable systems. To our knowledge the problem has never been discussed in the literature before. Since the term integrability has various meanings the notion of integrable boundary conditions has also several definitions. As basic ones we take three definitions, namely, consistency with infinite set of symmetries, consistency with infinite set of conserved quantities, and consistency with the Hamiltonian integrability (or bi-Hamiltonian structure). Comparison of these three kinds of integrable boundary conditions shows that the consistency with the bi-Hamiltonian structure is a very severe restriction. Only very special kind of boundary conditions passes this test. The class of symmetry consistent boundary conditions seems to be relatively larger. As an example we studied dispersionless Toda system as an example of N = 2 system. We found all symmetry compatible boundary conditions of this system and showed that only a subclass of these boundary conditions are compatible with the Hamiltonian formulation of the system. We pointed out that the integrable reductions of the N- system of hydrodynamical type of equations are directly related to the integrable boundary conditions of the same systems. Using this property, a method for constructing exact solutions satisfying the integrable boundary conditions is given. We considered also an N = 3 system. Integrable boundary conditions compatible with symmetries and compatible with the Hamiltonian formulation of this system were found.

7 Acknowledgement

This work is partially supported by the Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) and Turkish Academy of Sciences (TUBA). One of the authors (I.H.) thanks also RFBR grant \sharp 06-01-92051 KE-a.

References

- B.A.Dubrovin, S.P.Novikov, Hamiltonian formalism of one-dimensional systems of hydrodynamic type and the Bogolyubov-Whitham averaging method. Soviet Math. Dokl. 27, 665-669(1983).
- [2] S.P.Tsarev, On Poisson brackets and one-dimensional Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type. Soviet Math. Dokl. 31, 488-491(1985).
- [3] E.V.Ferapontov, Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type: differential geometry and applications, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl.(2), 170(1995) 33-58.
- [4] I.M.Krichever, The averaging method for two-dimensional "integrable" equations. Funct. Anal. Appl., 22(3), 200-213(1988).
- [5] H.Flaschka, M.G.Forest, D.W.McLaughlin, Multiphase averaging and the inverse spectral solution of the Korteweg-de Vries equation. Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 33(6), 739-784(1980).
- [6] D.J.Benny, Some properties of long nonliner waves, Stud. Appl. Math. 52, 45-50 (1973).
- [7] B.A.Kupershmidt and Yu.I.Manin, Long wave equations with a free surface. I. Conservation laws and solutions, Funct. Anal. App. 11, no. 3, 188-197 (1977).
- [8] B.A.Kupershmidt and Yu.I.Manin, Long wave equations with a free surface. II. The Hamiltonian structure and higher equations, Funct. Anal. App. 12, no. 1, 20-29 (1978).
- J.Gibbons and Y. Kodama, Solving dispersionless Lax Equation, Proc. Singular limits of dispersive waves, eds. N. Ercolani et. al., Plenum, New York, (1984.)
- [10] V.E.Zakharov, Benny equations and quasiclassical approximations in the inverse problem method, Funct. Anal. App. 14, no. 2, 89-98 (1980).
- [11] J.Gibbons and S.P.Tsarev, Reductions of the Benny equations, Phys. Lett. A 211, 19-24 (1996).

- [12] M.V.Pavlov Kupershmidt Hydrodynamic chains and Latticies, Int. Math. Res. Not., Art. ID 46987, pp. 43 (2006).
- [13] B.Gürel, M.Gürses, I.Habibullin Boundary value problems for integrable equations compatible with the symmetry algebra. J. Math. Phys. 36, no. 12, 6809–6821 (1995).
- [14] Habibullin, I. T. Symmetries of boundary problems. Phys. Lett. A 178 (1993), no. 5-6, 369–375.
- [15] V. Adler, B.Gürel, M.Gürses, I.Habibullin Boundary conditions for integrable equations, J. Phys. A 30, no. 10, 3505–3513 (1997).
- [16] D.B.Firlie, I.A.B. Strachan The algebraic and Hamiltonian structure of the dispersionless Benny and Toda hierarchies, Inverse Problems 12, 885–908 (1996)
- [17] M.Gürses, K.Zheltukhin Recursion operator for some equations of hydrodynamic type, J. Math. Phys. 42, no. 3, 1309–1325 (2001).
- [18] K.Zheltukhin Recursion operator and dispersionless rational Lax representation, Phys. Lett. A 297, no. 5-6, 402–407 (2002)
- [19] D.B.Firlie, I.A.B. Strachan The Hamiltonian structure of the dispersionless Toda hierarchy, Phys. D 90, 1–8 (1996).
- [20] L.C. Li, Classical r-Matrices and Compartible Poisson Structures for Lax Equation on Poisson Algebras, Comm. Math. Phys 203,573-592 (1999).
- [21] M. Blaszak, Multi-Hamiltonian Theory of Dynamical Systems, Springer (1998).
- [22] A. Doikou, David Fioravanti and Francesko Ravanini, The generalized non-linear Schödinger model on the interval, Nucl. Phys. B790, 465 (2008) (arXiv:0706.1515, (2007)).