## **Entanglement in Weisskopf-Wigner theory of atomic decay in free space**

J. F. Leandro and F. L. Semião

*Departamento de F´ısica, Universidade Estadual de Ponta Grossa - Campus Uvaranas, 84030-900 Ponta Grossa, Parana, Brazil ´*

In this letter, analytical expressions for the entanglement content in the state of the free-space radiation field produced from vacuum due to atomic decay is obtained in the framework of the Weisskopf-Wigner theory. It is shown that such a state possess a rich entanglement structure ranging from entanglement sudden death to maximally entagled Bell states between different bipartitions. The entanglement dynamics between the atom and the free-space electromagnetic field during the atomic decay is also briefly discussed. From this dynamics, it is possible to reinterpret the free-space atomic decay constant as proportional to the inverse of the time necessary for the entanglement to reach its maximum. At this time, a Bell state involving the atom and the field is formed.

PACS numbers: 03.67.-a, 03.67.Bg, 42.50.Ct

Entanglement plays a central role in quantum information science where it is seen as an important physical resource for information processing beyond the achievable with classical correlations [\[1](#page-5-0), [2,](#page-5-1) [3](#page-5-2)]. There has also been an increasing number of papers where entanglement is shown to be intrisically related to different physical phenomena [\[4](#page-5-3), [5,](#page-5-4) [6\]](#page-5-5). One can mention investigations in quantum phase transitions from the point of view of entanglement as an important example [\[7\]](#page-5-6). Such studies have pointed out that entanglement may be considered a useful physical quantity in the description of general quantum phenomena.

Considering entanglement as a legitimate physical quantity, this paper is intended to study it in the important phenomenon of atomic spontaneous emission. The successful description of atomic decay in free space is one of the remarkable achievements of the quantum theory of radiation [\[8](#page-5-7), [9,](#page-5-8) [10\]](#page-5-9). It is clear that an isolated atom would never decay from one excited state to another with lower energy because both are eigenstates of the system Hamiltonian. There must be some physical system to couple to the atom in order drive the electronic transition. This external agent is the free-space electromagnetic field whose zero-point energy fluctuations are able to cause the atom to decay. In the language of quantum information theory, the entanglement between atom and field is then the responsible for the atomic decay. For the best of the authors' knowlegde, this problem has not been investigated from this perspective yet.

In what follows, entanglement is studied in the spontaneous emission phenomenon. Quite remarkable are the appearance of entanglement sudden death [\[11\]](#page-5-10) and the formation of Bell states [\[12](#page-5-11)] between different partitions of the field modes after atomic decay. Furthermore, the time evolution of entanglement between the atom and the field *during* spontaneous emission reveals that the atomic decay constant is proportional to the inverse of the time taken to that entanglement to become maximum. At this time, the atom is shown to be in a Bell state with the field.

The starting point of the present work is the Weisskopf-Wigner theory of spontaneous emission which is now briefly presented [\[8,](#page-5-7) [9,](#page-5-8) [10](#page-5-9)]. In the rotating wave approximation, a two-level atom interacts with the free-space electromagnetic field according to the interaction picture Hamiltonian [\[9\]](#page-5-8)

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\hat{H} = \hbar \sum_{\mathbf{k}} [g_{\mathbf{k}}^{*}(\mathbf{r}_{0})\sigma_{+}\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}e^{i(\omega-\nu_{k})t} + \text{H.c.}], \tag{1}
$$

where  $\omega$  is the angular frequency of the atomic transition (excited state  $|a\rangle$  and ground state  $|b\rangle$ ),  $r_0$  is the position of the atom,  $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}$  is the annihilation operator for the field mode  $\{ \mathbf{k} \}$  (angular frequency  $\nu_k$ ), and

$$
g_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}_0) = g_{\mathbf{k}} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}_0},\tag{2}
$$

where

$$
g_{\mathbf{k}} = -\sqrt{\frac{\hbar \nu_k}{2\epsilon_0 V}} \frac{\wp_{ab} \cdot \hat{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}}{\hbar},\tag{3}
$$

with V a quantization volume,  $\epsilon_0$  the electric permittivity of free space,  $\wp_{ab}$  the dipole moment for the atomic transition, and  $\hat{\epsilon}_{\mathbf{k}}$  the polarization vector of the mode  $\{k\}$ . It will be assumed that initially the atom is in the excited state  $|a\rangle$  and the field modes are in the vacuum  $|0\rangle = |0, 0, ...\rangle$ . According to [\(1\)](#page-1-0) the system evolved state will be

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
|\psi(t)\rangle = c_a(t)|a,0\rangle + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} c_{b,\mathbf{k}}(t)|b,1_{\mathbf{k}},\{0\}\rangle,
$$
\n(4)

where  $|1_k, \{0\}\rangle$  represents the field state with one photon in the mode  $\{k\}$  and the rest in the vacuum, and

$$
c_a(t) = e^{-\Gamma t/2},\tag{5}
$$

$$
c_{b,\mathbf{k}}(t) = g_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}_0) \frac{1 - e^{i(\omega - \nu_k)t - 1/t/2}}{(\nu_k - \omega) + i\Gamma/2},\tag{6}
$$

with  $\Gamma$  being the free-space atomic decay constant. In order to obtain the above equations, it was considered that the intensity of the light associated with the emitted radiation is very centered about the atomic frequency  $\omega$ . This is the essence of the Weisskopf-Wigner theory. In this theory, the free space modes act as an immediate response reservoir, i.e., the atomic spontaneous emission is seen as a Markovian process.

Now, the entanglement content in the field state after spontaneous decay of the atom is studied in detail. This state is denoted  $|\gamma_0\rangle$  and it is obtained from [\(4\)](#page-1-1) by assuming  $t \gg \Gamma^{-1}$ 

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
|\gamma_0\rangle = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \frac{g_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}_0)}{(\nu_k - \omega) + i\Gamma/2} |1_{\mathbf{k}}, \{0\}\rangle.
$$
 (7)

Before going to a quantitative study of entanglement, it is worth noticing that the state  $|\gamma_0\rangle$  is, from the point of view of quantum information science, a member of an important class of multipartite entangled states called generalized W states [\[13\]](#page-5-12).

Since  $|\gamma_0\rangle$  is a pure state, the appropriate entanglement measure between partitions A and B of the system is the entropy of entanglement  $E = S(\rho_A)$ , where  $S(\rho_A) = -\text{tr}[\rho_A \log_2(\rho_A)]$  is the von-Neumann entropy with the reduced state  $\rho_A = \text{tr}_B[\rho_{AB}]$ . The state [\(7\)](#page-1-2) represents all modes of the free space radiation field, and it is a superposition of the different possibilities of distributing one photon (emitted by the atom) between the infinity of modes. Consequently, this is a highly entangled state whose bipartite entanglement between one mode (near resonance with the atom) and the rest is now investigated. The reduced state for the mode  $\{q\}$  calculated using [\(7\)](#page-1-2) is given by

<span id="page-2-0"></span>
$$
\rho_{\mathbf{q}} = \frac{|g_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}_0)|^2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4} |1_{\mathbf{q}}\rangle\langle 1_{\mathbf{q}}| + \left(1 - \frac{|g_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}_0)|^2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4}\right) |0_{\mathbf{q}}\rangle\langle 0_{\mathbf{q}}|,\tag{8}
$$

where  $\Delta = \nu_q - \omega$  is the detuning between the atom and the mode  $\{q\}$ . The first remarkable characteristic about the entanglement in the field state [\(7\)](#page-1-2) can be easily seen from [\(8\)](#page-2-0). When the condition

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
\frac{|g_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}_0)|^2}{\Delta^2 + \Gamma^2/4} = \frac{1}{2}
$$
\n(9)

is fulfilled, the state [\(8\)](#page-2-0) becomes  $\rho_q = 1/2$  which means that the mode  $\{q\}$  is in a Bell state with the rest. For the special case where the field mode under consideration is in exact resonance with the atom  $\Delta = 0$ , the condition [\(9\)](#page-2-1) is fulfilled with  $|g_{\bf q}({\bf r}_0)|^2 = \Gamma^2/8$ . For the general case, the entropy of entanglement calculated using [\(8\)](#page-2-0) reads

<span id="page-2-2"></span>
$$
E(\tilde{g}_q, \tilde{\Delta}) = -\left(\frac{4\tilde{g}_q^2}{1 + 4\tilde{\Delta}^2}\right) \log_2\left[\frac{4\tilde{g}_q^2}{1 + 4\tilde{\Delta}^2}\right] - \left(1 - \frac{4\tilde{g}_q^2}{1 + 4\tilde{\Delta}^2}\right) \log_2\left[1 - \frac{4\tilde{g}_q^2}{1 + 4\tilde{\Delta}^2}\right],\tag{10}
$$

where  $\tilde{g}_q = g_\mathbf{q}(\mathbf{r}_0)/\Gamma$  and  $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta/\Gamma$ .

The behavior of  $E(\tilde{g}_q, \tilde{\Delta})$  for different values of  $\tilde{g}_q$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is shown in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) This figure reveals a very rich structure for the bipartite entanglement between the mode {q} and the rest. One of the most striking features is entanglement sudden death [\[11](#page-5-10)]. Of course, it is necessary to remark that this *sudden death* is not in the time domain as discussed in [\[11](#page-5-10)]. Here the same term *sudden* is used to emphasise that entanglement goes abruptly to zero depending on the path in the space of parameters  $(\tilde{g}_q, \Delta)$ . Indeed, the border between the entangled and product states can be found using [\(10\)](#page-2-2) by making it equal to zero. The result is the hyperbola

$$
\tilde{g}_q^2 = \frac{1}{4} + \tilde{\Delta}^2. \tag{11}
$$



<span id="page-3-0"></span>FIG. 1: Entanglement between one free-space electromagnetic mode and the others after atomic decay. Hyperbola branches for maximally entangled bipartite states and sudden death of entanglement can be easily seen from this plot.

The branch for which  $\tilde{g_q}$  is positive can be clearly seen in Fig. [1.](#page-3-0) It is formed by the points where the sudden death of entanglement takes place. By making [\(10\)](#page-2-2) equal to one, one can also find the parameters for which the entanglement is that of the Bell state. As expected, it coincides with the solution imposed by condition [\(9\)](#page-2-1). It is remarkable the fact the it too is defined by some hyperbola.

In order to advance in the understanding of the entanglement content in  $|\gamma_0\rangle$ , a new bipartition will be considered. One partition is composed of two electromagnetic modes whose angular frequecy  $(\nu_q, \nu_{q'})$  are simetrically placed around the atomic frequency  $\omega$ . The remaining modes of free space constitute the other partition. The entanglement between this two partitions will now be discussed. The reduced density matrix for both modes reads

<span id="page-3-1"></span>
$$
\rho_{\mathbf{q},\mathbf{q}'} = \frac{4\tilde{g}_q^2}{1 + 4\tilde{\delta}^2} |1_{\mathbf{q}}, 0_{\mathbf{q}'}\rangle \langle 1_{\mathbf{q}}, 0_{\mathbf{q}'}| + \frac{4\tilde{g}_q^2}{1 + 4\tilde{\delta}^2} |0_{\mathbf{q}}, 1_{\mathbf{q}'}\rangle \langle 0_{\mathbf{q}}, 1_{\mathbf{q}'}| + \frac{4\tilde{g}_q^* \tilde{g}_q^* e^{i(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \cdot \mathbf{r}_0}}{1 - 4i\tilde{\delta} - 4\tilde{\delta}^2} |0_{\mathbf{q}}, 1_{\mathbf{q}'}\rangle \langle 1_{\mathbf{q}}, 0_{\mathbf{q}'}|
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{4\tilde{g}_q \tilde{g}_q^* \tilde{g}_q^{**} e^{-i(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{q}') \cdot \mathbf{r}_0}}{1 + 4i\tilde{\delta} - 4\tilde{\delta}^2} |1_{\mathbf{q}}, 0_{\mathbf{q}'}\rangle \langle 0_{\mathbf{q}}, 1_{\mathbf{q}'}| + \left[1 - \frac{4(\tilde{g}_q^2 + \tilde{g}_q^2)^2}{1 + 4\tilde{\delta}^2}\right] |0_{\mathbf{q}}, 0_{\mathbf{q}'}\rangle \langle 0_{\mathbf{q}}, 0_{\mathbf{q}'}|, \tag{12}
$$

where  $\delta = (\nu_{q'} - \nu_q)/2$ ,  $\nu_q = \omega - \delta$ ,  $\nu_{q'} = \omega + \delta$ ,  $\tilde{\delta} = \delta/\Gamma$   $\tilde{g}_q = g_{\mathbf{q}}(\mathbf{r}_0)/\Gamma$ , and  $\tilde{g}_{q'} = g_{\mathbf{q'}}(\mathbf{r}_0)/\Gamma$ . It is worthwhile to notice that once diagonalized, the density operators [\(12\)](#page-3-1) and [\(8\)](#page-2-0) present similar features. This is so because only two eigenvalues of [\(12\)](#page-3-1) are different than zero. They are given by

$$
\lambda_1 = \left(\frac{4\tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}}{1 + 4\tilde{\delta}^2}\right) \tag{13}
$$

$$
\lambda_2 = \left(1 - \frac{4\tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}}{1 + 4\tilde{\delta}^2}\right),\tag{14}
$$

where  $\tilde{g}_{\text{eff}} = (\tilde{g}_q^2 + \tilde{g}_{q'}^2)$ . Consequently, the entanglement assumes the simple form

$$
E(\tilde{g}_{\text{eff}}, \tilde{\Delta}) = -\lambda_1 \log_2 [\lambda_1] - \lambda_2 \log_2 [\lambda_2], \qquad (15)
$$

which is analogous to the expression for the entanglement between one mode and the others [\(10\)](#page-2-2). From

this, one can see that both the formation of Bell states and the appearing of entanglement sudden death will take place also in such a bipartition.

The Weisskopf-Wigner theory then allows one to learn about the entanglement in the field modes after atomic spontaneous emission. But, since this theory also gives the time evolution of the global state comprising the atom *and* the field, it is possible to evaluate the entaglement between this two subsystems as well. It is not surprising that the atomic reduced density matrix obtained in the Weisskopf-Wigner theory will coincide with the one obtained in the master equation formalism in the Born-Markov approximations [\[14](#page-5-13)]. The entropy of entanglement is then easily evaluated and its time evolution is shown in Fig. [2.](#page-4-0)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>FIG. 2: Time evolution of the entanglement between the atom and the electromagnetic modes of free space.

The maximum of entanglement takes place at  $t_m = \ln(2)/\Gamma$ . It is then possible to identify  $\Gamma$  as proportional to the inverse of  $t<sub>m</sub>$ . In other words, one can interpret the maximum of entanglement as the physical quantity that fix the time needed for half population in the ensemble to decay spontaneously. At this time  $t<sub>m</sub>$ , and for the particular problem treated here, the atom is in a Bell state with the field  $[E(\Gamma t<sub>m</sub>) = 1]$ . As mentioned before, one can arrive at  $E(\Gamma t)$  shown in Fig. [2](#page-4-0) by solving the master equation to find the atomic state but this approach does not allows one to obtain the field state. Besides, in other situations where the Markov approximation breaks, the Weisskopf-Wigner approach might be more appropriate for the study of entanglement since this formalism gives directly the state of the whole system. An interesting problem where the Weisskopf-Wigner theory proves useful is inhibition of atomic spontaneous emission in photonic cristals which is shown to be a non-Markovian process [\[15](#page-5-14)].

To summarize, we have studied bipartite entanglement in the atomic spontaneous emission phenomenon. For the field state after atomic decay, we have found a rich structure ranging from entanglement sudden death to maximum bipartite entanglement. We have also studied entanglement between atom and field during spontaneous emission, and from this we have established the time spent for such entanglement to become maximum as being the time for half of the elements of the ensemble to decay.

- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[1] C. H. Bennett and S. J. Wiesner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69**, 2881 (1992).
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, C. Crépeau, R. Jozsa, A. Peres, and W. K. Wootters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70** 1895 (1993)
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[3] M. B. Plenio and S. Virmani, Quant. Inf. Comp. **7**, 1 (2007).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[4] C. Dunning, J. Links, H. -Q. Zhou, Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 227002 (2005); F. G. S. L. Brand˜ao, New. J. Phys. **7**, 254 (2005).
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[5] C. Emary and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 053804 (2004); N. Lambert, C. Emary, and T. Brandes, Phys. Rev. A 71, 053804 (2005).
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[6] T. J. Osborne and M. A. Nielsen, Phys. Rev. **A** 66, 032110 (2002).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[7] L. Amico, R. Fazio, A. Osterloh, and V. Vedral, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 517 (2008); T. R. de Oliveira, G. Rigolin, M. C. de Oliveira, and E. Miranda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 170401 (2006);
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[8] V. Weisskopf and E. Wigner, Z. Phys. **63**, 54 (1930).
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[9] M. O. Scully and M. S. Zubairy, *Quantum Optics* (Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom, 1997).
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[10] P. Lambropoulos and D. Petrosyan, *Fundamentals of Quantum Optics and Quantum Information* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2007)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[11] T. Yu and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **97**, 140403 (2006); M. Yonac, T. Yu, and J. H. Eberly, J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. **39**, S621 (2006);
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[12] S. L. Braunstein, A. Mann, M. Revzen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **68**, 3259 (1992).
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[13] X. -B. Chen, N. Zhang, S. Lin, Q. -Y Wen, F. -C. Zhu, Opt. Commun. **281**, 2331 (2008).
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[14] H. Carmichael, *An Open systems Approach to Quantum Optics* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993).
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[15] D. G. Angelakis, P. L. Knight, and E. Paspalakis, Contemp. Phys. **45**, 303 (2004).