

# Quantum communication between trapped ions through a dissipative environment

H. T. Ng and S. Bose

*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London,  
Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, United Kingdom*

(Dated: February 6, 2020)

We study two trapped ions coupled to the axial phonon modes of a one-dimensional Coulomb crystal. This system is formally equivalent to the “two spin-boson” model. We propose a scheme to dynamically generate a maximally entangled state of two ions within a decoherence-free subspace. Here the phononic environment of the trapped ions, whatever its temperature and number of modes, serves as the entangling bus. The efficient production of the pure singlet state can be exploited to perform short-ranged quantum communication which is essential in building up a large-scale quantum computer.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Hk, 03.67.Pp, 03.67.Bg

Spin-boson model plays an important role in studying open quantum systems in physics and chemistry [1, 2]. Recently, Porrás *et al.* [3] have proposed to use a trapped ion coupled to a set of collective modes of a one-dimensional (1D) Coulomb crystal such that the spin-boson model can be realized and studied precisely. This model can be physically realized by shining a laser on an ion in which the two internal states are coupled to a traveling wave [3]. The axial motional modes of 1D Coulomb crystal act as a phonon bath and provide the Ohmic spectral density. This paves the way for the experimental studies of the Ohmic spin-boson model in the low as well as high temperature regimes.

In fact, sophisticated techniques have been demonstrated in the manipulation of trapped ions such as cooling the ions to the motional ground state and detecting the state of the ions [4]. Besides, Coulomb crystals of ion gases have been observed in Paul [5] and Penning traps [6] and storage rings [7]. A few dozens of ions separated by several micrometers in a crystal form have been observed. This provides a promising ground to investigate the spin-boson model with trapped ions.

The work of this paper will be based on the “two spin-boson” model which can be implemented in a 1D Coulomb crystal. It is indeed a generalization of the scheme by Porrás *et al.* [3] to study the spin-boson model. The two ions are now considered to couple to a set of axial phonon modes of the chain. This phonon bath plays the role of a common dissipative environment for the two ions (see Fig. 1). Nevertheless, the decoherence can be greatly canceled provided that the two ions are prepared in the opposite spin polarizations and their separation is sufficiently short compared to the correlation length of the Coulomb chain [8]. It is the so-called subdecoherent space [8, 9] or decoherence-free subspace (DFS) [10] in which the decoherence can be completely quenched. This is very useful in protecting qubits if they are encoded as logical qubits in two physical qubits [11].

Some studies of the generation of mixed-state entanglement between the two spins in a common harmonic bath have been performed recently [12]. In this paper, we show




FIG. 1: (Color online) Two ions are coupled to a set of axial phonon modes of the Coulomb chain which is acted as a harmonic bath.

that the phonon bath can mediate maximal entanglement between the two ions within the DFS. This means that a pure maximally entangled state, with long coherence times, can be generated even in a noisy environment. This robust entangled state could be very useful in the ion-trap quantum computing, particularly on the issue of its scalability. Indeed, a considerable amount of effort have been devoted to physical realization of a scalable ion-trap quantum computer [4] since the first proposal of the quantum computer with trapped ions [13].

We propose to use the ion chain to perform short-ranged quantum communication which is an important element of building up a large-scale quantum computer. According to the blueprint of building a large-scale quantum computer, a quantum computer composed of a number of quantum registers is envisaged [11]. Each quantum register is connected through a common quantum data bus. The quantum gates can be performed in the individual quantum registers and the different quantum registers can communicate with each other through some quantum channels. Typically, this quantum channel will be optical, but alternatives, such as physically transporting the otherwise stationary qubits [14] or using information propagation in a chain of qubits [15] are worth studying as they enable one to avoid the issue of interfacing different types of physical systems.

We consider a situation in which ions in distinct quantum registers are arranged in different zones and they are interconnected via a chain of ions as shown in Fig. 2. The Coulomb ion chain can thus be used as a quantum data-bus between quantum registers. For example, the quantum state of any ion in the quantum register

can be transmitted to an ion in the chain with transverse phonon modes [16]. The quantum information can then be teleported [17] through the ion chain using the entanglement between two ions of the chain developed in accordance to the scheme of this paper. In this way, the quantum communication between the different quantum registers can be accomplished. Bearing in mind that the quantum information has been encoded within the DFS, there is no further requirement of entanglement distillation [18] and a high fidelity of the teleportation can be achieved.




FIG. 2: (Color online) The quantum registers are placed in different zones. The different quantum registers are connected by a chain of ions which is served as a quantum channel. An enlarged diagram shows that the quantum state of ions in the quantum register can be transferred to an ion in the chain (dashed arrow indication). The quantum information is then transmitted through the channel.

We consider  $N$  ions arranged in a 1D Coulomb chain. The  $N$  ions are confined in a linear trap and interact with each other via the Coulomb repulsion. The trapping and the Coulomb potentials are of the form [3, 19, 20]

$$V_{\text{trap}} = \frac{1}{2}m \sum_{i=1}^N (\omega_x^2 x_i^2 + \omega_y^2 y_i^2 + \omega_z^2 z_i^2),$$

$$V_{\text{Coul}} = \sum_{i>j}^N \frac{e^2}{\sqrt{(x_i - x_j)^2 + (y_i - y_j)^2 + (z_i - z_j)^2}},$$

where  $\omega_\alpha$  are the trapping frequencies in the direction  $\alpha = x, y, z$ ;  $m$  and  $e$  are the mass and the charge of each ion respectively. The ions form a linear chain along the  $z$ -direction for  $\omega_{x,y} \gg \omega_z$ . The motion of  $N$  ions in 1D chain is described by collective modes and the dispersion relation is subject to the trapping condition. The approximate eigenvalues of the axial modes can be found as  $\omega_n = \omega_z \sqrt{n(n+1)/2}$  for  $N \gg 1$  [20]. This approximation is indeed very good for the low axial modes even in a chain of ten ions [20].

The two ions are illuminated by two laser fields individually, the ions interact with the traveling wave [3, 4]. The

internal states of two ions in the chain are then coupled to the axial vibrations of the entire chain. The Hamiltonian of the ions and the phonon bath read as ( $\hbar = 1$ ),

$$H_{\text{ion}} = \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sigma_z^j, \quad (1)$$

$$H_B = \sum_n \omega_n b_n^\dagger b_n, \quad (2)$$

where  $\omega$  and  $\sigma_z^j$  are the energy splitting and Pauli operator;  $\omega_n$  and  $b_n$  are the frequency and the annihilation operator of the  $n$ -th phonon mode. The Hamiltonian, in a rotating frame with the laser frequency  $\omega_L$ , is written as [4]

$$H_L = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\Omega}{2} (\sigma_+^j e^{i\tilde{k}Z_j} + \sigma_-^j e^{-i\tilde{k}Z_j}), \quad (3)$$

where  $\Omega$  is the laser Rabi frequency,  $\tilde{k}$  is the wave number of the laser,  $Z_j = \sum_n \tilde{z}_n (b_n^\dagger e^{ikz_j'} + b_n e^{-ikz_j'})$  is the position operator of the chain. The parameters  $k$  and  $\tilde{z}_n$  are  $2\pi l/L$  and  $1/\sqrt{2m\omega_n}$  respectively, for  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N-1$ ,  $L = Na$  is the length of chain and  $a$  is the separation between two neighboring ions [20].

By applying a unitary transformation  $U = e^{-i\tilde{k}(Z_1\sigma_z^1 + Z_2\sigma_z^2)/2}$  [3], the total Hamiltonian is rewritten as

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^2 \left( \frac{\Delta}{2} \sigma_x^j + \frac{\omega_0}{2} \sigma_z^j \right) + \sum_n \omega_n b_n^\dagger b_n$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_n \frac{\sigma_z^j}{2} (g_n^j b_n^\dagger + g_n^{j*} b_n), \quad (4)$$

where  $\Delta = \Omega$ ,  $\omega_0 = \omega - \omega_L$  and  $g_n^j = i\tilde{k}\tilde{z}_n\omega_n e^{ikz_j'}$ .

The two spin-boson model can be solved exactly if the parameter  $\Delta$  is zero [2, 8]. In order to get more insight, we first study the Hamiltonian  $H_0$  in which we set  $\Delta = 0$  in Eq. (4). We apply a canonical transformation  $e^S = \exp[\sum_{j=1}^2 \sum_n \sigma_z^j (g_n^j b_n^\dagger - g_n^{j*} b_n)/2\omega_n]$  to the Hamiltonian  $H_0$  [1]. In the rotating frame, the Hamiltonian is written as

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \frac{\omega_0}{2} \sum_{j=1}^2 \sigma_z^j + \sum_n \left( \omega_n b_n^\dagger b_n - \lambda \sigma_z^1 \sigma_z^2 - \frac{|g_n|^2}{\omega_n} \right), \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda = \sum_n |g_n|^2 \cos(kr)/\omega_n$  and  $r = z_1' - z_2'$ . Here we consider the separation between the two qubits is sufficiently short compared to the correlation length of the bath so that the two qubits are effectively coupled to the common bath [8]. Roughly speaking, the correlation length of the Coulomb chain is about the chain length  $L$  if only the low-lying excited modes are involved. Hence, we can impose a condition that the separation  $r$  must be much less than the length of the chain  $L$ . If this condition is satisfied, the two ions will “feel” to interact with the same harmonic bath.

The bath can be characterized by the spectral density function  $J(\omega) = \sum_k |g_k|^2 \delta(\omega - \omega_j)$ . For a linear Coulomb chain, in the low-lying excitation regime, the spectral density  $J(\omega)$  has been shown to be Ohmic in the case that the ion interact with the traveling wave. If the ions are equally space with a distance  $a$ , then the spectral density has the form [3]:

$$J(\omega) = \eta \omega e^{-\omega/\omega_c}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\eta = \tilde{k}^2/2m\omega_z\nu$  and  $\nu = (3e^2/m\omega_z^2 a^3)^{1/2}$ .

We have introduced the cut-off frequency  $\omega_c$  to the spectral density in Eq. (6) since it is legitimate to perform the adiabatically elimination of the high frequency modes compared to the tunneling strength  $\Delta$  [1]. Nevertheless, it is required that the cut-off frequency  $\omega_c$  is much greater than the laser strength  $\Delta$  and the thermal energy  $k_B T/\hbar$  [1]. We also presume that this cut-off frequency  $\omega_c$  is low enough so that only the low-lying excitations are involved in the bath dynamics.

Now we study the dynamics of trapped ions with a thermal phonon bath. We consider that the trapped ions and the bath are separable initially, i.e.,  $\rho_T(0) = \rho(0) \otimes \rho_B$ ,  $\rho(0)$  and  $\rho_B$  are the density matrix of the qubits and the thermal bath respectively. The thermal bath is in equilibrium and its density matrix is given by  $\rho_B = e^{-\beta H_B}/Z_B$ , where  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ ,  $k_B$  and  $T$  are the Boltzmann constant and the temperature respectively, and  $Z_B = \text{Tr} e^{-\beta H_B}$  is the partition function.

The reduced density matrix of the two qubits can be obtained by tracing out the system of bath, i.e.,  $\rho(t) = \text{Tr}_B[\rho_T(t)]$ . The reduced matrix of the two qubits is spanned by the basis:  $\{|1\rangle = |11\rangle, |2\rangle = |10\rangle, |3\rangle = |01\rangle, |4\rangle = |00\rangle\}$ . Since the population number is conserved for  $\Delta = 0$ , the evolution of the diagonal elements of the density matrix is constant:  $\rho_{ii}(t) = \rho_{ii}(0)$ . The matrix elements satisfy  $\rho_{ij} = \rho_{ji}^*$ . The non-diagonal matrix element decays as [8]

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{12}(t) &= \rho_{12}(0) e^{-i\phi_- - \Gamma}, & \rho_{13}(t) &= \rho_{13}(0) e^{-i\phi_- - \Gamma}, \\ \rho_{24}(t) &= \rho_{24}(0) e^{-i\phi_+ - \Gamma}, & \rho_{34}(t) &= \rho_{34}(0) e^{-i\phi_+ - \Gamma}, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\pm} &= \omega_0 t \pm 2\lambda t \\ &\pm \int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega^2} \coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2k_B T}\right) \sin \omega t d\omega, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\Gamma = \int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega^2} \coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2k_B T}\right) (1 - \cos \omega t) d\omega. \quad (9)$$

The states under the effect of the collective decoherence read as [8]

$$\rho_{23}(t) = \rho_{23}(0) e^{-2\Gamma_-}, \quad (10)$$

$$\rho_{14}(t) = \rho_{14}(0) e^{-2i\omega_0 t - 2\Gamma_+}, \quad (11)$$

where

$$\Gamma_{\pm} = \int_0^\infty \frac{J(\omega)}{\omega^2} \coth\left(\frac{\omega}{2k_B T}\right) (1 - \cos \omega t) [1 \pm \cos(kr)] d\omega. \quad (12)$$

The decay rates of the qubits are dramatically changed due to the collective decoherence. For the states  $\rho_{14}$  and  $\rho_{41}$ , the decay process is greatly enhanced with the decay rate  $\Gamma_+$ . In contrast, the decay rate of the states  $\rho_{23}$  and  $\rho_{32}$  is largely reduced. In the limit of zero separation  $r$ , the states  $|01\rangle$  and  $|10\rangle$  are protected within the DFS [9]. Physically speaking, the notion of DFS holds if the decay rate of this subspace is comparable or even longer than the natural coherence times of the ion. In fact, we can estimate explicitly the coherence lifetime of this subspace  $\sim \Gamma_-^{-1}$  which is roughly equal to  $(\eta k_B T t)^{-1} (\omega_c r / \omega_z L)^{-2}$  [22]. Therefore, the long coherence lifetime can be achieved for the low temperature  $T$  and the small separation  $r \ll L$ .

Now we investigate the effect of the two local laser fields on the two qubits for a nonzero tunneling parameter  $\Delta$ . The transformed Hamiltonian  $\tilde{V} = e^S V e^{-S}$  can be obtained

$$\tilde{V} = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\Delta}{2} (\sigma_+^j B_j^\dagger + B_j \sigma_-^j), \quad (13)$$

where  $B_j = \exp[\sum_n (g_n^j b_n^\dagger - g_n^{j*} b_n)/2\omega_n]$  and  $B_1^\dagger B_2 \approx 1$  for a small separation  $r$ .

We consider the laser field strength  $\Delta$  is much weaker than the spin-bath coupling  $\lambda$ . This enables us to derive an effective Hamiltonian within the DFS based on the second-order perturbation theory. The matrix elements of the effective Hamiltonian can be represented as

$$(\tilde{H}_{\text{eff}})_{mn} = - \sum_l \frac{\tilde{V}_{ml} \tilde{V}_{ln}}{E_l^{(0)} - (E_m^{(0)} + E_n^{(0)})/2}, \quad (14)$$

where  $m$  and  $n$  represent the basis of unperturbed states:  $\{|01\rangle, |10\rangle\}$  and  $l$  denotes the intermediate states  $\{|11\rangle, |00\rangle\}$ ;  $E_l^{(0)}$  are the eigenenergies of  $\tilde{H}_0$ .

The effective Hamiltonian can be obtained as [21]

$$\tilde{H}_{\text{eff}} = -\kappa (J_+ J_- + J_- J_+), \quad (15)$$

where  $\kappa = \lambda \Delta^2 / 2(\omega_0^2 - 4\lambda^2)$  and  $J_{\pm} = \sum_{i=1}^2 \sigma_{\pm}^i$ . The dynamics within the DFS is governed by this effective Hamiltonian. The state with the initial state  $|10\rangle$  evolves

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{2i\kappa t} [\cos 2\kappa t |10\rangle + i \sin 2\kappa t |01\rangle]. \quad (16)$$

The two qubits become entangled when the two local laser fields are turned on. At the time  $t^* = \pi/8\kappa$ , up to a global phase factor  $e^{i\pi/4}$ , the quantum state is given by,

$$|\Psi(t^*)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|10\rangle + i|01\rangle). \quad (17)$$

An ideal entangled state is then generated dynamically. Here the spin-coupling strength is about  $10^7$  to  $10^8$  Hz ( $\lambda \propto \sum_n \omega_n$ ) if the trapping frequency  $\omega_z$  can attain up to several MHz. Therefore, the speed of entanglement formation can be estimated around  $10^4$  to  $10^5$  Hz where

the laser Rabi frequency  $\Omega$  have to be much smaller than  $\lambda$ .

We notice that the entanglement generation shares the same spirit of the Sørensen-Mølmer entangling gate in which the entanglement gate is implemented by coupling to the virtual motional states of a single centre-of-mass (CM) mode [23]. It is indeed inevitable to couple a number of phonon modes in performing the gate operation as considered by Jonathan and Plenio [24]. However, we have studied a more general scenario by consideration of position-dependent couplings to the phonon bath in which the collective decoherence effect sets in. The entanglement can be efficiently generated within the DFS whereas the superposition of the states  $|11\rangle$  and  $|00\rangle$  is very fragile.

Having discussed the generation of the entangled state, we proceed to study the quantum communication between two trapped ions. This can be used to transfer quantum information between different quantum registers by implementation of the quantum teleportation protocol [18]. For instance, we consider to transmit the quantum information from the ion  $i$  to the ion  $j$ . We first generate the entanglement between the ions  $j$  and  $k$ . The ion  $k$  is next to the ion  $i$ . Then, we perform the Bell-state measurement between the ions  $i$  and  $k$ . The quantum teleportation can thus be accomplished by sending the measurement result to the ion  $j$  through the classical communication. It is noteworthy that the range of quantum state transfer should be short in our scheme.

However, this can be resolved by repeating the quantum teleportation between two nearby ions several times until the quantum information being transferred to that distant ion.

Additionally, the ion chain can form a cluster state [25] by sequential generation of entangled states between different pairs of ions, with the entangling of each pair taking place according to the scheme of this paper, which will be useful for measurement-based quantum computing.

In conclusion, we have investigated the two ions coupled to axial vibration modes of the 1D Coulomb chain. This can be shown to be equivalent to the “two spin-boson” model. We show that the decoherence-free entanglement of two nearby ions can be dynamically generated. It can be applied to perform short-ranged quantum communication. The present result could also benefit quantum information processing in solid-state based systems which can be described by the spin-boson model such as the Josephson charge qubits of a Cooper-pair box [26] and semiconductor double quantum dots [27].

We thank Andrew Fisher, Anna Sanpera and Dimitris Angelakis for useful discussions. H. T. Ng is supported by the Quantum Information Processing IRC (QIPIRC) (GR/S82176/01). S. Bose also thanks the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC) UK for an Advanced Research Fellowship and the Royal Society and the Wolfson Foundation.

- 
- [1] A. J. Leggett *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **59**, 1 (1987).  
 [2] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002).  
 [3] D. Porras *et al.*, *arXiv:0710.5145*.  
 [4] D. Leibfried *et al.*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75**, 281 (2003).  
 [5] F. Diedrich *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **59**, 2931 (1987); R. Blömel *et al.*, *Nature (London)* **334**, 309 (1998).  
 [6] W. M. Itano *et al.*, *Science* **279**, 686 (1998); T. B. Mitchell *et al.*, *Science* **282**, 1290 (1998).  
 [7] T. Schätz, U. Schramm and D. Habs, *Nature (London)* **412**, 717 (2001).  
 [8] G. Massimo *et al.*, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **452**, 567 (1996).  
 [9] L.-M. Duan and G.-C. Guo, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 1953 (1997).  
 [10] D. A. Lidar *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 2594 (1998).  
 [11] D. Kielpinski, C. Monroe and D. J. Wineland, *Nature* **417**, 709 (2002).  
 [12] D. Braun, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **89**, 277901 (2002).  
 [13] J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4091 (1995).  
 [14] M. A. Rowe *et al.*, *Quantum Inf. Comput.* **2** 257 (2002), *arXiv:quant-ph/0205094*.  
 [15] S. Bose, *Contemporary Physics*, **48**, 13 (2007).  
 [16] S.-L. Zhu, C. Monroe and L.-M. Duan, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 050505 (2006).  
 [17] C. H. Bennett *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 1895 (1993).  
 [18] C. H. Bennett *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78**, 2031 (1997).  
 [19] D. Porras and J. I. Cirac, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 250501 (2006).  
 [20] G. Morigi and S. Fishman, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 170602 (2004); G. Morigi and S. Fishman, *Phys. Rev. E* **70**, 066141 (2004).  
 [21] H. T. Ng, C. K. Law and P. T. Leung, *Phys. Rev. A* **68**, 013604 (2003).  
 [22] The decay rate  $\Gamma_-$  is bounded by  $(k_c r)^2 I$  for  $k_c r \ll 1$ ,  $I = \int_0^\infty J(\omega)/\omega^2 \coth(\omega/2k_B T)(1 - \cos\omega t)d\omega$  and the wave number  $k_c \approx 2\pi\omega_c/\omega_z L$  is chosen at the cutoff frequency. The integral  $I$  can be found analytically for the Ohmic spectral density  $J(\omega) = \eta\omega e^{-\omega/\omega_c}$ . It is equal to  $I \approx (1/2) \ln(1 + \omega_c^2 t^2) + \ln[\sinh(\pi k_B T t)/\pi k_B T t]$  [2, 8]. Here we have assumed that  $\omega_c \gg k_B T$ . For  $t \gg 1/\pi k_B T$ ,  $I \approx \pi k_B T t$  is linearly proportional to time  $t$ .  
 [23] A. Sørensen and K. Mølmer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 1971 (1999).  
 [24] D. Jonathan and M. B. Plenio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 127901 (2001).  
 [25] R. Raussendorf and H. J. Briegel, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 5188 (2001).  
 [26] Y. Nakamura, Yu. A. Pashkin and J. S. Tsai, *Nature* **398**, 786 (1999); Y. Makhlin, G. Schön and A. Shnirman, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **73**, 357 (2001).  
 [27] T. Hayashi *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 226804 (2003).