

**ON A MONGE-AMPÈRE TYPE EQUATION IN THE CEGRELL  
CLASS  $\mathcal{E}_\chi$**

RAFAL CZYŻ

ABSTRACT. We prove an existence and uniqueness result for a Monge-Ampère type equation in the Cegrell class  $\mathcal{E}_\chi$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a classical problem in analysis to find, for given function  $F$ , solutions  $u$  to the equation:

$$(dd^c u)^n = F(z, u(z))d\mu, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $(dd^c u)^n$  is the complex Monge-Ampère operator. Equations of the type (1.1) has played a significant not only within the fields of fully nonlinear second order elliptic equations and pluripotential theory, but also in applications. We refer to [6, 7, 10, 11, 15, 17, 18] and the reference therein for further information about equations of Monge-Ampère type.

In the last decade the so called Cegrell classes has played a prominent role in working with the complex Monge-Ampère operator. For further information about the Cegrell classes see e.g. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 12, 13, 14]. Let  $\mathcal{E}_0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}$ , and  $\mathcal{E}$  be as in [12, 13, 14].

In [9], Benelkourchi, Guedj, and Zeriahi introduced the following notation of the Cegrell classes. Let  $\chi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  be a continuous and nondecreasing function and let  $\mathcal{E}_\chi$  contain those plurisubharmonic functions  $u$  for which there exists a decreasing sequence  $u_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$ , which converges pointwise to  $u$  on  $\Omega$ , as  $j$  tends to  $+\infty$ , and

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} -\chi(u_j)(dd^c u_j)^n < \infty.$$

Note that if  $\chi = -(-t)^p$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\chi = \mathcal{E}_p$ , and if  $\chi = -1$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\chi = \mathcal{F}$ .

The measure  $(dd^c u)^n$  might have infinite total mass, i.e.  $(dd^c u)^n(\Omega) = +\infty$ . On the other hand, if  $u \in \mathcal{E}_\chi(\Omega)$ , then under certain assumption on the function  $\chi$ , the measure  $(dd^c u)^n$  vanishes on all pluripolar sets in  $\Omega$  and the following integral is always finite

$$\int_{\Omega} -\chi(u)(dd^c u)^n < +\infty,$$

which means that  $-\chi(u)(dd^c u)^n$  is a positive and finite measure on  $\Omega$ . For this reason it is natural to consider the following Monge-Ampère type equation:

$$-\chi(u)(dd^c u)^n = d\mu,$$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 32W20; Secondary 32U15.

*Key words and phrases.* complex Monge-Ampère operator, Cegrell classes, Dirichlet problem, plurisubharmonic function.

The author was partially supported by ministerial grant number N N201 3679 33.

where  $\mu$  is a given positive and finite measure on  $\Omega$ , which vanishes on pluripolar sets in  $\Omega$ . We prove the following theorem.

**Main Theorem.** *Assume that  $\Omega$  is a bounded hyperconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , and let  $\chi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  be a continuous increasing function such that  $\chi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \chi(t) = -\infty$ , such that  $\mathcal{E}_\chi \subset \mathcal{E}$ . If  $\mu$  is a positive and finite measure in  $\Omega$ , such that  $\mu(P) = 0$ , for all pluripolar sets  $P \subset \Omega$ , then there exists a function  $u \in \mathcal{E}_\chi$  such that*

$$-\chi(u)(dd^c u)^n = d\mu.$$

*Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{E}_\chi \subset \mathcal{N}$ , then the solution of above equation is uniquely determined.*

$\mathcal{E}$  denotes the Cegrell class of all negative plurisubharmonic functions on which the complex Monge-Ampère operator  $(dd^c \cdot)^n$  is well defined and  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{E}$  denotes the Cegrell class for which the smallest maximal plurisubharmonic majorant is identically equal to 0. It follows from [12], [13], [14] that  $\mathcal{E}_p, \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ .

It was proved in [8] that if  $\chi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  is a continuous increasing, convex or concave function such that  $\chi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \chi(t) = -\infty$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_\chi \subset \mathcal{E}$ .

It should be noted that uniqueness part is an immediate consequence of Cegrell's work in [14] and the fact that  $\mathcal{E}_\chi$  is properly contained in  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\chi(t) = -(-t)^p$ , ( $p > 0$ ), in the Main Theorem, then it follows that: If  $\mu$  is a positive and finite measure in  $\Omega$ , such that  $\mu(P) = 0$ , for all pluripolar sets  $P \subset \Omega$ , then there exists a unique function  $u \in \mathcal{E}_p$  such that

$$(-u)^p(dd^c u)^n = d\mu.$$

If  $\chi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  is a continuous function such that  $\chi(0) < 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \chi(t) = -\infty$ , then the existence of solution to the Monge-Ampère type equation given by

$$-\chi(u)(dd^c u)^n = d\mu$$

is a consequence of [15] with the assumption that  $-\chi(t)^{-1}$  is bounded.

The author would like to thank Per Åhag and Sławomir Kołodziej for their generous help and encouragement. This research was done in part during the authors' visit to the University of Vienna in 2007. He would like to thank the members of the department of Mathematics for their kind hospitality.

## 2. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded hyperconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . If a sequence  $u_j \in \mathcal{F}$  satisfies the condition*

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty,$$

*and if there exists  $u \in PSH(\Omega)$  such that  $u_j \rightarrow u$  weakly, then  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* From [13] there exists  $w_j \in \mathcal{E}_0 \cap \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$  such that  $w_j \searrow u$ ,  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that since  $u_j \rightarrow u$  weakly, then  $u = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} v_j$ , where

$$v_j = \left( \sup_{k \geq j} u_k \right)^*.$$

Observe that  $v_j$  is a decreasing sequence,  $v_j \geq u_j$ , so  $v_j \in \mathcal{F}$  and from [13] we have

$$\int_{\Omega} (dd^c v_j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n.$$

Define

$$\varphi_j = \max(w_j, v_j).$$

Then  $\varphi_j \in \mathcal{E}_0$ ,  $\varphi_j$  is a decreasing sequence  $v_j \searrow u$  and again from [13] we get

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c \varphi_j)^n \leq \sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c v_j)^n \leq \sup_j \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_j)^n < +\infty,$$

which means that  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ . □

First we prove our Main Theorem in the case of compactly supported measures.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded hyperconvex domain in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and let  $\chi : (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  be a continuous increasing function such that  $\chi(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow -\infty} \chi(t) = -\infty$ . If  $\mu$  is a positive, finite, and compactly supported measure in  $\Omega$ , such that  $\mu(P) = 0$  for all pluripolar sets  $P \subset \Omega$ , then there exists a unique function  $u \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_\chi$  such that*

$$-\chi(u)(dd^c u)^n = d\mu. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* If  $\mu \equiv 0$ , then it is clear that  $u = 0$  is a solution of (2.1). Assume now that  $\mu \not\equiv 0$ . For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  consider the following equations

$$(dd^c u_k)^n = \min\left(\frac{-1}{\chi(u_k)}, k\right) d\mu. \quad (2.2)$$

The function defined by

$$F_k(t) = \min\left(\frac{-1}{\chi(t)}, k\right)$$

is bounded and continuous. Therefore it follows from [15] that there exists  $u_k \in \mathcal{F}$ , which satisfies (2.2). We also have that

$$(dd^c u_k)^n = \min\left(\frac{-1}{\chi(u_k)}, k\right) d\mu \leq \frac{-1}{\chi(u_k)} d\mu,$$

so

$$\sup_k \int_{\Omega} (-\chi(u_k))(dd^c u_k)^n \leq \mu(\Omega) < +\infty.$$

We shall next prove that there exist  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_0, \beta \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\beta \leq u_k \leq \alpha \quad (2.3)$$

almost everywhere  $[d\mu]$ , for  $k \geq 2$ .

By Cegrell decomposition theorem (see [13]) there exist  $\phi \in \mathcal{E}_0$  and  $f \in L^1((dd^c \phi)^n)$ ,  $f \geq 0$  such that

$$\mu = f(dd^c \phi)^n.$$

Fix  $a > 0$  such that  $\chi(-a) \geq -\frac{1}{2}$ . Then there exists  $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}_0$  such that (see [16])

$$(dd^c \alpha)^n = \min\left(f, \frac{a^n}{\|\phi\|^n}\right) (dd^c \phi)^n,$$

where  $\|\phi\| = \sup_{z \in \Omega} |\phi(z)|$ . The comparison principle (see [7]) yields that

$$\alpha \geq \frac{a}{\|\phi\|} \phi \geq -a$$

and

$$\int_{\{\alpha < u_k\}} (dd^c u_k)^n \leq \int_{\{\alpha < u_k\}} (dd^c \alpha)^n \leq \int_{\{\alpha < u_k\}} d\mu.$$

Observe that on the set  $\{\alpha < u_k\}$  we have  $u_k > -a$  and

$$(dd^c u_k)^n = \min\left(\frac{-1}{\chi(u_k)}, k\right) d\mu \geq \min\left(\frac{-1}{\chi(-a)}, k\right) d\mu \geq 2d\mu,$$

for  $k \geq 2$ , which implies that  $\mu(\{\alpha < u_k\}) = 0$ ,  $k \geq 2$ .

There exists  $\psi \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $(dd^c \psi)^n = d\mu$  (see [13]). Fix  $w \in \mathcal{E}_0$  and  $b > 0$  such that

$$\chi(\sup_{\text{supp } \mu} (\psi + bw)) < -2.$$

Let  $\beta = \psi + bw$ . Note that  $(dd^c \beta)^n \geq d\mu$ . By the comparison principle (see [7]) we obtain

$$\int_{\{u_k < \beta\}} d\mu \leq \int_{\{u_k < \beta\}} (dd^c \beta)^n \leq \int_{\{u_k < \beta\}} (dd^c u_k)^n,$$

but on the set  $\{u_k < \beta\} \cap \text{supp } \mu$  we have  $u_k < \beta \leq \sup_{\text{supp } \mu} \beta$  and

$$(dd^c u_k)^n = \min\left(\frac{-1}{\chi(u_k)}, k\right) d\mu \leq \frac{1}{2} d\mu,$$

which means that  $\mu(\{u_k < \beta\}) = 0$ , for all  $k$ .

Now it follows from (2.3) that there exists a plurisubharmonic function  $u \neq 0$  and a subsequence (also denoted by  $u_k$ ) such that  $u_k \rightarrow u$  almost everywhere  $[d\mu]$ . Since  $u \neq 0$  then

$$-\frac{1}{\chi(\sup_{\text{supp } \mu} u)} < +\infty.$$

By Hartog's lemma, functions

$$F_k(u_k) = \min(-\chi(u_k)^{-1}, k)$$

are uniformly bounded on  $\text{supp } \mu$  and therefore

$$\sup_k \int_{\Omega} (dd^c u_k)^n \leq \sup_k \int_{\Omega} F_k(u_k) d\mu < +\infty.$$

Lemma 2.1 yields that  $u \in \mathcal{F}$ .

The stability theorem proved in [15] implies that the weak convergence,  $u_k \rightarrow u$ , is equivalent to convergence in capacity. Using Xing's theorem in [19] we get that  $(dd^c u_k)^n \rightarrow (dd^c u)^n$  weakly. Therefore using the dominated convergence theorem we get that

$$(dd^c u)^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} (dd^c u_k)^n = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} F_k(u_k) d\mu = \frac{-1}{\chi(u)} d\mu.$$

So we have proved that there exists a solution  $u \in \mathcal{F}$  to (2.1). Then we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} (-\chi(u))(dd^c u)^n < +\infty$$

and therefore it follows from [9] that  $u \in \mathcal{E}_{\chi}$ .

It will be proved in the proof of the Main Theorem that if  $u, v \in \mathcal{F}$  are solutions of (2.1) then  $(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n$  and therefore  $u = v$  (see [13]).  $\square$

*Proof of the Main Theorem.* Assume that  $\mu$  is a positive and finite measure in  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(P) = 0$  for all pluripolar sets  $P \subset \Omega$ . Let  $\Omega_j$  be a fundamental sequence of strictly pseudoconvex domains, i.e.  $\Omega_j \Subset \Omega_{j+1} \Subset \Omega$  and  $\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} \Omega_j = \Omega$  (see [14]). Let us define  $d\mu_j = \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_j} d\mu$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_{\Omega_j}$  is a characteristic function for  $\Omega_j$ . By Lemma 2.2 there exists a sequence  $u_j \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{E}_\chi$  such that

$$-\chi(u_j)(dd^c u_j)^n = d\mu_j.$$

We now shall prove that  $u_j$  is a decreasing sequence. Let  $A = \{z \in \Omega : u_j(z) < u_{j+1}(z)\}$ . On the set  $A$ , we have that

$$(dd^c u_j)^n = -\chi(u_j)^{-1} d\mu_j \leq -\chi(u_{j+1})^{-1} d\mu_j \leq -\chi(u_{j+1})^{-1} d\mu_{j+1} = (dd^c u_{j+1})^n$$

and by the comparison principle (see [7]) we get that

$$\int_A (dd^c u_{j+1})^n \leq \int_A (dd^c u_j)^n.$$

Hence,

$$(dd^c u_j)^n = (dd^c u_{j+1})^n \quad (2.4)$$

on  $A$ . Similarly on the set  $B = \Omega_j \setminus A = \{z \in \Omega_j : u_j(z) \geq u_{j+1}(z)\}$  we obtain that

$$(dd^c u_j)^n = -\chi(u_j)^{-1} d\mu_j \geq -\chi(u_{j+1})^{-1} d\mu_j = -\chi(u_{j+1})^{-1} d\mu_{j+1} = (dd^c u_{j+1})^n. \quad (2.5)$$

From the equalities (2.4) and (2.5) we get that  $(dd^c u_j)^n \geq (dd^c u_{j+1})^n$  on  $\Omega_j$ . This implies that  $-\chi(u_j)^{-1} d\mu_j \geq -\chi(u_{j+1})^{-1} d\mu_j$  and then  $\chi(u_j) \geq \chi(u_{j+1})$  a.e.  $[d\mu_j]$ , so  $u_j \geq u_{j+1}$  a.e.  $[d\mu_j]$ . Hence  $\mu_j(\{u_j < u_{j+1}\}) = 0$  and  $(dd^c u_j)^n = 0$  on  $A \cap \Omega_j$ . Since  $(dd^c u_j)^n = d\mu_j = 0$  on  $\Omega \setminus \Omega_j$  we finally obtain that  $(dd^c u_j)^n = 0$  on  $A = \{u_j < u_{j+1}\}$ .

Now take  $\psi \in \mathcal{E}_0$  such that  $(dd^c \psi)^n = d\lambda$ , where  $d\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure, and consider  $A_k = \{z \in \Omega : u_j < u_{j+1} + \frac{1}{k}\psi\}$ . Observe that  $u_{j+1} + \frac{1}{k}\psi \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $A_k \subset A$ . By the comparison principle (see [7]) we obtain that

$$\int_{A_k} (dd^c(u_{j+1} + \frac{1}{k}\psi))^n \leq \int_{A_k} (dd^c u_j)^n \leq \int_A (dd^c u_j)^n = 0,$$

and then

$$0 = \int_{A_k} (dd^c(u_{j+1} + \frac{1}{k}\psi))^n \geq \frac{1}{k} \int_{A_k} (dd^c \psi)^n = \frac{1}{k} \lambda(A_k),$$

which means that  $\lambda(A_k) = 0$ . Hence  $\lambda(A) = 0$ , since  $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$ . We have proved that  $u_j \geq u_{j+1}$  a.e.  $[d\lambda]$ , but since functions  $u_j, u_{j+1}$  are plurisubharmonic we obtain that  $u_j \geq u_{j+1}$  on  $\Omega$ , so  $u_j$  is a decreasing sequence.

Note also that

$$\sup_j \int_{\Omega} -\chi(u_j)(dd^c u_j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} d\mu < +\infty.$$

Moreover from [9] we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} -\chi(u_j)(dd^c u_j)^n = \int_0^{+\infty} \chi'(t)(dd^c u_j)^n(\{u_j < -t\})dt \geq \int_0^{+\infty} \chi'(t)t^n C_n(\{u_j < -2t\})dt,$$

where  $C_n$  is Bedford-Taylor capacity (see [7]). Therefore

$$\sup_j \int_0^{+\infty} \chi'(t)t^n C_n(\{u_j < -t\})dt \leq \sup_j \int_{\Omega} -\chi(u_j)(dd^c u_j)^n \leq \int_{\Omega} d\mu < +\infty,$$

which implies that there exists  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} u_j = u \in \mathcal{E}_\chi$ , and  $(dd^c u_j)^n$  tends weakly to  $(dd^c u)^n$ . Therefore using the monotone convergence theorem we get that

$$(dd^c u)^n = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (dd^c u_j)^n = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} -\chi(u_j)^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_j} d\mu = -\chi(u)^{-1} d\mu.$$

This ends the proof of the existence part of this theorem.

Now we will proceed with the uniqueness part. Assume that  $\mathcal{E}_\chi \subset \mathcal{N}$  and suppose that there exist  $u, v \in \mathcal{E}_\chi$  such that  $-\chi(u)(dd^c u)^n = -\chi(v)(dd^c v)^n = d\mu$ . Observe that on the set  $\{z \in \Omega : u(z) < v(z)\}$  we have

$$(dd^c u)^n = -\chi(u)^{-1} d\mu \leq -\chi(v)^{-1} d\mu = (dd^c v)^n.$$

Using the comparison principle (see [7]) we obtain

$$\int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c v)^n \leq \int_{\{u < v\}} (dd^c u)^n,$$

so  $(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n$  on  $\{z \in \Omega : u(z) < v(z)\}$ . Similarly, we get that  $(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n$  on the set  $\{z \in \Omega : u(z) > v(z)\}$ . Since  $\mu$  does not put mass on pluripolar sets and  $\{u = -\infty\} = \{\chi(u) = -\infty\}$  and  $\{v = -\infty\} = \{\chi(v) = -\infty\}$ , then  $(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n = 0$  on the set  $C = \{u = -\infty\} \cup \{v = -\infty\}$ . On the set  $\{u = v\} \setminus C$  we also have

$$(dd^c u)^n = -\chi(u)^{-1} d\mu = -\chi(v)^{-1} d\mu = (dd^c v)^n.$$

Thus,  $(dd^c u)^n = (dd^c v)^n$  on  $\Omega$ , which implies that  $u = v$  (see [14]).  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Åhag P., The complex Monge-Ampère operator on bounded hyperconvex domains, Ph. D. Thesis, Umeå Univ., 2002.
- [2] Åhag P., A Dirichlet Problem for the complex Monge-Ampère Operator in  $\mathcal{F}(f)$ , Michigan Math. J. 55 (2007), 123-138.
- [3] Åhag P. & Czyż R., The connection between the Cegrell classes and compliant functions, Math. Scand. 99 (2006), 87-98.
- [4] Åhag P. & Czyż R., On the Cegrell classes, Math. Z. 256 (2007), 243-264.
- [5] Åhag P., Czyż R. & Phạm H.H., Concerning the energy class  $\mathcal{E}_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ , Ann. Polon. Math. 91 (2007), no. 2-3, 119-130.
- [6] Bedford, E., Taylor, B.A., The Dirichlet problem for an equation of complex Monge-Ampère type. In: Byrnes, C. (ed.) Partial Differential Equations and Geometry. Dekker, 39-50, 1979.
- [7] Bedford E. & Taylor B.A., A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions, Acta Math. 149 (1982), 1-40.
- [8] Benelkourchi S., Weighted pluricomplex energy, arXiv:0806.4850 (2008).
- [9] Benelkourchi S., Guedj V. & Zeriahi A., Plurisubharmonic functions with weak singularities, Acta Universitatis Upsaliensis, Proceedings of the conference in honour of C. Kiselman ("Kiselmanfest", Uppsala, May 2006) (in press).
- [10] Caffarelli, L., Kohn, J.J., Nirenberg, L., Spruck, J., The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations. II. Complex Monge-Ampère and uniformly elliptic equations, Comm. of Pure and Appl. Math. 38, (1985), 209-252
- [11] Cegrell, U., On the Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator, Math. Z. 185, 247-251, (1984).
- [12] Cegrell U., Pluricomplex energy, Acta Math. 180, (1998), 187-217.
- [13] Cegrell U., The general definition of the complex Monge-Ampère operator, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 54 (2004), 159-179.
- [14] Cegrell U., A general Dirichlet problem for the complex Monge-Ampère operator, Ann. Polon. Math. (in press).
- [15] Cegrell U. & Kołodziej S., The equations of complex Monge-Ampère type and stability of solutions, Math. Ann. 334 (2006), 713-729.

- [16] Kołodziej S., The range of the complex Monge-Ampère operator, II, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 44 (1995), 765-782.
- [17] Kołodziej S., Weak solutions of equations of complex Monge-Ampère type. *Ann. Polon. Math.* 73 (2000), 59-67.
- [18] Krylov N.V., Fully nonlinear second order elliptic equations: recent development, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* 25 (1997), 569-595 (1998).
- [19] Xing Y., Continuity of the complex Monge-Ampère operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 124 (1996), 457-467.

JAGIELLONIAN UNIVERSITY, ŁOJASIEWICZA 6, 30-348 KRAKÓW, POLAND  
*E-mail address:* Rafal.Czyz@im.uj.edu.pl