

A hidden nonassociative structure in quantum mechanics

Vladimir Dzhunushaliev ^{*†}
ASC, Department für Physik,
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München,
Theresienstr. 37, D-80333, Munich, Germany
and
Dept. Phys. and Microel. Engineer.,
Kyrgyz-Russian Slavic University, Bishkek,
Kievskaya Str. 44, 720021, Kyrgyz Republic

A hidden nonassociative structure in quantum mechanics is found. The structure is based on the supersymmetric decomposition of momentum operator and a nonassociative decomposition of angular momentum operator. Nonassociative constituents of angular momentum operator form a nonassociative algebra.

PACS numbers: 03.65.Ta

Keywords: nonassociativity, quantum mechanics, hidden structure

I. INTRODUCTION

Now the majority of physicists are convinced that quantum mechanics does not have any hidden structure: experiments have shown a vast class of hidden variable theories to be incompatible with observations. The essence of a hidden variable theory is that there exist hidden variables behind quantum mechanics. It is supposed silently that the hidden variables can be in principle measured. In this paper we would like to show that in quantum mechanics there exists a hidden structure based on a nonassociative decomposition of angular momentum operator. The constituents of this nonassociative decomposition are unobservable because nonassociative operators are unobservable.

In Ref. [1] the attempt is made to introduce a nonassociative structure in quantum chromodynamics. The consequence is that the states in the corresponding octonionic Hilbert space will not all be observable because the propositional calculus of observable states as developed by Birkhoff and von Neumann [2] can only have realizations as projective geometries corresponding to Hilbert spaces over associative composition algebras, while octonions are nonassociative. An observable subspace arises in the following way: within Fock space there will be states which are observable (longitudinal, in the notation of Ref. [1]) which are the linear combinations of u_0 and u_0^* . The states in transversal direction (spanned by u_i and u_i^*) are unobservables (u_0, u_0^*, u_i and u_i^* are split octonions).

In Ref. [3] a hidden structure in supersymmetric quantum mechanics is found. It is shown that a Hamiltonian in supersymmetric quantum mechanics can be decomposed as a bilinear combination of operators which are nonassociative because they are built from octonions (the octonions are nonassociative generalization of real numbers).

In some sense a hidden nonassociative structures have Maxwell and Dirac equations. In Ref's [4] and [5] it is shown that: (a) classical Maxwell equations can be written as the single continuity equation in the algebra of split octonions; (b) the algebra of split octonions suffice to formulate a system of differential equations equivalent to the standard Dirac equation.

In this paper we present a hidden nonassociative structure in standard quantum mechanics. The structure is based on a nonassociative decomposition of angular momentum operator. We would like to emphasize that the hidden structure presented here is not the same as a hidden variable theory because *the constituents of a hidden structure can not be measured in principle in contrast with the hidden variables which can be measured in principle.*

* Senior Associate of the Abdus Salam ICTP

†Electronic address: vdzhunus@krsu.edu.kg

II. THE SUPERSYMMETRIC DECOMPOSITION OF MOMENTUM OPERATOR

The Poincaré algebra is defined with the generators $M^{\mu\nu}, P^\mu$ and following commutations relationships

$$[P^\mu, P^\nu] = 0, \quad (1)$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, P^\lambda] = i(\eta^{\nu\lambda}P^\mu - \eta^{\mu\lambda}P^\nu), \quad (2)$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta^{\nu\rho}M^{\mu\sigma} + \eta^{\mu\sigma}M^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\rho}M^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\nu\sigma}M^{\mu\rho}) \quad (3)$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(+, -, -, -)$ is the Minkowski metric; P^μ is the generator of the translation group; $M^{\mu\nu} = x^\mu P^\nu - x^\nu P^\mu$ is the generator of the Lorentz group.

The simplest supersymmetry algebra is defined as follows

$$[P^\mu, Q_a] = \sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu \bar{Q}^{\dot{a}}, \quad (4)$$

$$[P^\mu, \bar{Q}^{\dot{a}}] = -\sigma^{\mu\dot{a}a} Q_a, \quad (5)$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, Q_a] = -i(\sigma^{\mu\nu})_a^{\dot{b}} \bar{Q}_{\dot{b}}, \quad (6)$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, \bar{Q}^{\dot{a}}] = -i(\sigma^{\mu\nu})^{\dot{a}}_b Q^b, \quad (7)$$

$$\{Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}\} = 2\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu P_\mu, \quad (8)$$

$$\{Q_a, Q_b\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{a}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{b}}\} = 0. \quad (9)$$

where

$$\sigma^\mu = \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{I}_2, \vec{\sigma}), \quad (10)$$

$$\bar{\sigma}^\mu = \frac{1}{4}(\mathbf{I}_2, -\vec{\sigma}) = \sigma_\mu \quad (11)$$

having indices $(\sigma^\mu)_{a\dot{a}}$ and $(\bar{\sigma}^\mu)^{a\dot{a}}$; $\vec{\sigma} = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3)$ are the Pauli matrices. The relation (8) can be inverted

$$P_\mu = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_\mu^{a\dot{a}} \{Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}\}. \quad (12)$$

Eq. (12) one can interpret as a “square root” from the quantum mechanical momentum operator P_μ . It allows us to put the question: is it possible to decompose in a similar manner other operators in quantum mechanics, for example, the quantum mechanical angular momentum operator $M^{\mu\nu}$?

The undotted indices are raised with

$$\epsilon^{ab} = i\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

The dotted indices are lowered with

$$\epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}} = -i\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\epsilon^{ab} = \epsilon^{\dot{a}\dot{b}}, \quad (15)$$

$$\epsilon_{ab} = \epsilon_{\dot{a}\dot{b}}. \quad (16)$$

III. A NONASSOCIATIVE DECOMPOSITION OF THE ANGULAR MOMENTUM OPERATOR

Let us consider a nonassociative algebra \mathcal{R} with the generators $R^\mu, M^{\mu\nu}$ and the relations (for the definition of nonassociative algebras, see Appendix A)

$$[R^\mu, R^\nu] = 2M^{\mu\nu}, \quad (17)$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta^{\nu\rho}M^{\mu\sigma} + \eta^{\mu\sigma}M^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\rho}M^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\nu\sigma}M^{\mu\rho}), \quad (18)$$

$$(R^\mu, R^\nu, R^\rho) = (R^\mu R^\nu) R^\rho - R^\mu (R^\nu R^\rho) = 2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_\sigma \quad (19)$$

here $\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma = 0, 1, 2, 3$ are world indices; $\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ is the absolutely antisymmetric 4D Levi-Civita tensor. Eq. (19) shows that the algebra \mathcal{R} is a nonassociative algebra. Analogously to Eq. (12) one can say that Eq. (17) gives us a “square root” from the angular momentum operator $M^{\mu\nu}$. The associator (19) satisfies to flexible law (A2)

$$(R^\mu, R^\nu, R^\rho) = -(R^\rho, R^\nu, R^\mu). \quad (20)$$

IV. QUANTUM MECHANICAL APPLICATIONS

In the supersymmetric approach operators P^μ and $M^{\mu\nu}$ ($\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$) with the commutations relationships (1) - (3) are the generators of Poincaré group. We will think about these operators as quantum mechanical operators and will consider nonrelativistic quantum mechanics. In this case we have momentum P_i and angular momentum $J^i = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijk}M_{jk}$ operators $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$. Using (12) and (17) we have

$$P_i = \frac{1}{4}\sigma_i^{a\dot{a}}\{Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}\}, \quad (21)$$

$$J^i = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{ijk}[R_j, R_k]. \quad (22)$$

We offer the following interpretation of Eq's (21) and (22): *quantum mechanics has a hidden nonassociative structure which is expressed in that momentum and angular momentum operators can be decomposed as a bilinear combination of some operators.*

Probably such hidden structure *in principle can not be found out experimentally* because nonassociative elements R_i are unobservables (for details of nonobservability, see Ref. [6]).

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We have shown that some quantum mechanical operators can be decomposed on supersymmetric and nonassociative constituents. One can list the following questions for the investigation in this direction:

1. Is it possible to find a linear representation for R^μ ?
2. Does $Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}$ and R^μ have dynamical equations ?
3. There exist similar nonassociative decomposition for quantum field theory ?
4. Is it possible to present R^μ as numbers (for details, see Appendix B)?

The first question arises because of that supersymmetric operators $Q_a, Q_{\dot{a}}$ have a linear representation

$$iQ_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^a} - i\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\bar{\theta}^{\dot{a}}\partial_\mu, \quad (23)$$

$$i\bar{Q}_{\dot{a}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta^{\dot{a}}} + i\theta^a\sigma_{a\dot{a}}^\mu\partial_\mu. \quad (24)$$

These operators are translation generators in a superspace with coordinates $z^M = (x^\mu, \theta_a, \theta_{\dot{a}})$, where $\theta_a, \theta_{\dot{a}}$ are Grassmanian numbers obeying

$$\{\theta_a, \theta_b\} = \{\bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}}, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{b}}\} = \{\theta_a, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{a}}\} = 0. \quad (25)$$

The second question is important because for any quantum mechanical operator L we can write Hamilton equation

$$\frac{dL}{dt} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial t} + i[H, L] \quad (26)$$

where H is a Hamiltonian. For the nonassociative operators there exists an obstacle for such equation. The matter is that usually H is at least bilinear combination of operators. The nonassociativity demands to define the order of brackets in the product of HL and LH .

In Ref. [9] the question is considered: what is the most general nonassociative algebra \mathcal{A} which is compatible with Eq. (26). Let us consider the consistency condition

$$\frac{d(xy)}{dt} = \frac{dx}{dt}y + x\frac{dy}{dt}, \quad (27)$$

which requires the validity of

$$[H, xy] = x[H, y] + [H, x]y. \quad (28)$$

The validity of Eq. (28) is not obvious for general algebra. There exists the following theorem

Theorem (Myung [7])

A necessary and sufficient condition that we have

$$[z, xy] = x[z, y] + [z, x]y. \quad (29)$$

for any $x, y, z \in \mathcal{A}$ is that \mathcal{A} is flexible and Lie-admissible, i.e. we have

$$(x, y, z) = -(z, y, x), \quad (30)$$

$$[[x, y]z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0. \quad (31)$$

Now about third question. In Ref. [1] the idea is offered that by quantization of strongly interacting fields (in particular in quantum chromodynamics) nonassociative properties of quantum field operators may arise. In [1] it is supposed that a quark spinor field ψ can be presented as a bilinear combination of usual spinor fields ψ_i and nonassociative numbers (split octonions) q_i . Both ideas presented in Ref. [1] and here are qualitatively similar: quantum operators can be decomposed in such a way that there exist a nonassociative constituents.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I am grateful to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for financial support and V. Mukhanov for invitation to Universität München for research.

APPENDIX A: SOME DEFINITIONS FOR NONASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS

The textbooks for nonassociative algebras are Ref's [8] and physical applications of nonassociative algebras in physics can be found in Ref's. [9] and [10].

A nonassociative algebra \mathcal{A} over a field K is a K -vector space \mathcal{A} equipped with a K -bilinear map $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

An algebra is unitary if it has a unit or identity element I with $Ix = x = xI$ for all x in the algebra.

An algebra is power associative if x^n is well defined for all x in the algebra and all positive integer n .

An algebra is alternative if $(xx)y = x(xy)$ and $y(xx) = (yx)x$ for all x and y .

A Jordan algebra is commutative and satisfies the Jordan property $(xy)(xx) = x(y(xx))$ for all x and y .

The associator is defined as follows

$$(x, y, z) \equiv (xy)z - x(yz). \quad (A1)$$

Any algebra obeying the flexible law

$$(x, y, z) = -(z, y, x) \quad (A2)$$

is called a flexible algebra.

Any algebra obeying the Jacobi identity

$$[[x, y], z] + [[z, x], y] + [[y, z], x] = 0 \quad (A3)$$

is called a Lie-admissible algebra.

APPENDIX B: R^μ AS NUMBERS

If R^μ can be presented as numbers then the relations (17)-(19) have the form

$$\{R^\mu, R^\nu\} = 0, \quad (B1)$$

$$R^\mu R^\nu = M^{\mu\nu}, \quad (B2)$$

$$[M^{\mu\nu}, M^{\rho\sigma}] = i(\eta^{\nu\rho}M^{\mu\sigma} + \eta^{\mu\sigma}M^{\nu\rho} - \eta^{\mu\rho}M^{\nu\sigma} - \eta^{\nu\sigma}M^{\mu\rho}), \quad (B3)$$

$$(R^\mu R^\nu)R^\rho = -R^\mu(R^\nu R^\rho) = \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}R_\sigma \quad (B4)$$

From Eq. (B4) it is easy to see that

$$(R^\mu R^\nu) R^\rho = - (R^\nu R^\mu) R^\rho = - (R^\mu R^\rho) R^\nu = - (R^\rho R^\nu) R^\mu. \quad (\text{B5})$$

The algebra \mathcal{R} is nonalternative because

$$R^\mu (R^\mu (R^\nu R^\rho)) = -\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} M^\mu_\sigma \neq (R^\mu R^\mu) (R^\nu R^\rho) = 0 \quad (\text{no summing over } \mu). \quad (\text{B6})$$

Using (B4) one can show that the operators $M^{\mu\nu}$ and R^ρ are anticommuting

$$M^{\mu\nu} R^\rho = -R^\rho M^{\mu\nu}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

Using (B7) and (B1) one can show that the algebra \mathcal{R} is not Lie-admissible

$$[[R^\mu, R^\nu], R^\rho] + [[R^\rho, R^\mu], R^\nu] + [[R^\nu, R^\rho], R^\mu] = 12\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_\sigma. \quad (\text{B8})$$

-
- [1] M. Gunaydin and F. Gursev, Phys. Rev. D **9** (2005) 3387.
[2] G. Birkhoff and J. von Neumann, Ann. Math. **37**, 823 (1936).
[3] V. Dzhanushaliev, J. Math. Phys. **49**, 042108 (2008); arXiv:0712.1647 [quant-ph].
[4] M. Gogberashvili, J. Phys. A **39**, 7099 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0512258].
[5] M. Gogberashvili, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **21**, 3513 (2006), [arXiv:hep-th/0505101].
[6] V. Dzhanushaliev, "Observables and unobservables in a non-associative quantum theory," arXiv:quant-ph/0702263.
[7] H.C. Myung, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **167**, 79 (1972).
[8] R. Schafer, *Introduction to Non-Associative Algebras* (Dover, New York, 1995);
T. A. Springer and F. D. Veldkamp, *Octonions, Jordan Algebras and Exceptional Groups*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics (Springer, Berlin, 2000).
[9] Susumu Okubo, *Introduction to Octonion and Other Non-Associative Algebras in Physics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995.
[10] J. C. Baez, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **39**, 145-205 (2002), math.ra/0105155.
J. Löhmus, E. Paal and L. Sorgsepp, Acta Appl. Math., **50**, 3 (1998).