

# THE ADLER KOSTANT SYMES SCHEME IN PHYSICS

GABRIELA P. OVANDO

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this material is to review the Adler Kostant Symes scheme as a theory which can be developed successfully in different contexts. It was useful to describe some mechanical systems, the so called generalized Toda, and now it was proved to be a tool for the study of the linear approach to the motion of  $n$  uncoupled harmonic oscillators. The complete integrability of these systems has an algebraic description. In the original theory this is related to ad-invariant functions, but new examples show that new conditions should be investigated.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this work we are interested in the use of Lie theory to understand some Hamiltonian systems. For the study of completely integrable systems one needs to identify the following: i) the symplectic structure, which gives the system its Hamiltonian character, ii) first integrals or constants of motion, iii) action angle variables, and the computation of their evolution. Indeed this is a very difficult approach but it is possible for systems related to certain Lie groups.

To this end there are several methods with a common idea: the realization of canonical equations on Lie algebras, or on orbits of a certain action or on symmetric spaces. These ideas appeared in the 70's and were developed, under other by several authors as Adler, Fomenko, Kostant, Mischenko, Olshanetsy, Perelomov, Trofimov, Symes, etc. (see for instance [Ad1] [F-M1] [F-M2] [F-T] [Ko1] [O-P] [P] and [Sy] and their references).

In any method all of the above steps i) ii) iii) are reflected by algebraic circumstances. One needs a way for imbedding a certain Hamiltonian system into a Lie algebra, effective methods for constructing sets of involution and the proof of the full integrability of a wide family of functions in involution.

In this chapter we are concerned with so called Adler-Kostant-Symes scheme, which brings together a mathematical framework with Lie theory but also consequences in the dynamics of the Hamiltonian system. This method was successful when studying some mechanical systems such as the rigid body or the generalized Toda lattice [Ad2] [Ko2] [Sy] [R2]. In this setting the phase space of the Hamiltonian systems become coadjoint orbits represented on a Lie algebra and the functions in involutions are presented as ad-invariant functions. On the one hand for this kind of functions, the corresponding Hamiltonian systems become a Lax equation and on the other hand they are in involution on the orbits. Whenever studying Poisson commuting conditions the ad-invariance

---

The author GO was partially supported by CONICET, ANPCyT and SECyT-UNC.  
(2000) *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 53C15, 53C55, 53D05, 22E25, 17B56 .

property can be replaced by a weaker one as in [R1]. In the framework of this theory what we need is a Lie algebra with an ad-invariant metric, a splitting of this Lie algebra into a direct sum as vector subspaces of two subalgebras and a given function. These algebraic tools were used with semisimple Lie algebras, where the Killing form is the natural candidate for the ad-invariant metric.

However there are more Lie algebras admitting an ad-invariant metric. We shall exemplify here how can be applied the theory for semisimple Lie algebras, and also for other ones, such as the solvable ones. For the general case one should see that any Lie algebra with an ad-invariant metric can be constructed by a double extension procedure, whose more simple application follows from  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . In this way one gets a solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , that results a semidirect extension of the  $2n+1$ -dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  and that can be endowed with an ad-invariant metric which is an extension of a non degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . But for other cases the resulting Lie algebras could be no semisimple and no solvable.

In any case, the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  splits naturally as a direct sum of vector spaces of two subalgebras. Looking at the coadjoint orbits of one of the Lie subalgebras, one gets Hamiltonian systems on these orbits and one can identify the original Hamiltonian system with one of these. In particular for the restriction of the quadratic corresponding to the ad-invariant metric we obtain a Hamiltonian system that becomes a Lax equation, whose solution can be computed with the Adjoint representation.

As example we work out the Toda lattice and the linear equation of motion of  $n$ -uncoupled harmonic oscillators. The first one corresponds to a semisimple Lie algebra, and the second one is associated to a solvable one. Furthermore it is proved that the Hamiltonian for the last one is completely integrable on all maximal orbits. We notice that the functions in involution we are making use, are not ad-invariant and they do not satisfy the involution conditions of [R1].

The setting for the second example applies for quadratic hamiltonians. The Poisson commutativity conditions we get for some polynomials can be read off in the Lie algebra  $sp(n)$  of derivations of the Heisenberg Lie algebra of dimension  $2n+1$   $\mathfrak{h}_n$ . In particular for the case of the motion of  $n$ -uncoupled harmonic oscillators we need an abelian subalgebra in the Lie algebra of isometries of the Heisenberg Lie group  $\mathbb{H}_n$ , endowed with its canonical inner product.

This is not surprising if we consider that symplectic automorphisms of the Heisenberg Lie group produce symplectic symmetries of p-mechanical, quantum and classical dynamics for more general systems than the linear ones (see [Ki2]).

The appearance of the Heisenberg Lie algebra related to the motion of  $n$ -uncoupled harmonic oscillators is not so surprising. In fact, it is known that in quantum mechanics a good approach to the simple harmonic oscillator is through the Heisenberg Lie algebra. In dimension three this is the Lie algebra generated by the position operator  $Q = \text{multiplication by } x$ , the momentum operator  $P = -i \frac{d}{dx}$  and 1 with the only non trivial commutation relation

$$[Q, P] = 1$$

These operators evolve according to the Heisenberg equations

$$\frac{dP}{dt} = -Q \quad \frac{dQ}{dt} = P$$

An attempt to relate the classical mechanical system of the linear approximation of the motion of  $n$ -uncoupled harmonic oscillators was presented by the theory of  $p$ -mechanics, which makes use of the representation theory of the Heisenberg Lie group to show that both quantum and classical mechanics can be derived from the same source (see for instance [Ki1] [Ki2]). This theory constructs a more general setting that unifies both quantum and classical mechanics. The starting point for  $p$ -mechanics is the method of orbit of Kirillov [K1] [K2], which says that the orbits of the coadjoint representation of the Heisenberg Lie group parametrise all unitary irreducible representations [F]. Thus non commutative representations are known to be connected with quantum mechanics. In the contrast commutative representations are related to classical mechanics in the observation that the union of one dimensional representations naturally acts as the classical phase space in  $p$ -mechanics. In this theory the time evolution of both quantum and classical mechanics observables can be derived from the time evolution of  $p$ -observables, choosen as particular functions or distributions on the Heisenberg Lie group.

These considerations allow to suppose that new applications of the Adler Kostant Symes scheme are possible and maybe it comes a new time to understand old mechanical systems with new tools, which should be developped for these purposes. As an introduction to the topic one can find exceptional ideas in the books of Arnold, Abraham and Marsden, Ratiu and Marsden, etc. all of them classics in the literature concerning classical mechanics.

The chapter is organised as follows: in the first part we present basic ideas concerning symplectic geometry. The second part is devoted to the Adler-Kostant-Symes scheme and the third part to the examples: on the one hand the Toda lattice with generalization in ([Ko2] and [Sy]), and on the other hand the systems corresponding to quadratic Hamiltonians on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

## 2. BASIC NOTIONS ON SYMPLECTIC MANIFOLDS

In this section we present the basic elements to work with symplectic geometry. Some texts concerning this topic are [L-M] [CdS].

Let  $M$  denote a differentiable manifold.

**Definition 2.1.** A 2-form on  $M$ ,  $\omega$  is called a symplectic form if  $d\omega = 0$  and  $\omega_p$  is non degenerate for every  $p \in M$ .

The pair  $(M, \omega)$  is a symplectic manifold.

It follows that the dimension of  $M$  must be even.

*Example 2.2.* Let  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  be the usual euclidean space equipped with global coordinates  $x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n$ . The 2-form given by

$$\omega = \sum_{i=1}^n dy_i \wedge dx_i$$

defines a symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

Note that if  $(, )$  denotes the canonical inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and  $J$  the canonical complex structure

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $I$  is the identity  $n \times n$  matrix, then

$$\omega(X, Y) = (X, JY)$$

*Example 2.3.* If  $(M_1, \omega_1)$  and  $(M_2, \omega_2)$  are symplectic manifolds, the direct product  $M_1 \times M_2$  is a symplectic manifold.

*Example 2.4.* Coadjoint orbits. Let  $G$  denote a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  be the dual space of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The coadjoint action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is defined as:

$$g \cdot \varphi = \varphi \circ \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \quad g \in G, \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

Notice that the orbit through  $\varphi$  is the set  $G \cdot \varphi = \{g \cdot \varphi : g \in G\}$  and the isotropy subgroup at  $\varphi$  is  $G_\varphi = \{g \in G : \varphi \circ \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) = \varphi\}$ ; thus as usual one has  $G \cdot \varphi = G/G_\varphi$ .

The action of  $G$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  induces an action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  as

$$X \cdot \varphi = -\varphi \circ \text{ad}(X) \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}, \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

that comes from the derivative

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \exp(tX) \cdot \varphi = -\varphi \circ \text{ad}(X),$$

in other words

$$\tilde{X}(\varphi) = -\varphi \circ \text{ad}(X)$$

is the infinitesimal generator induced by  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  at  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ .

Any coadjoint orbit  $G \cdot \varphi$  is a symplectic manifold with the 2-form

$$\omega_\varphi(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = -\varphi([X, Y]), \quad \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

called *the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau* symplectic structure.

Locally any symplectic manifold looks like the example (2.2) above. This is a classical result of Darboux.

**Theorem 2.5. Darboux.** *Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. For every  $p \in M$  there exists coordinate system  $(U, (x^1, \dots, x^n, y^1, \dots, y^n))$  such that  $p \in U$  and  $\omega|_U = \sum_{i=1}^n dy_i \wedge dx_i$ .*

The symplectic 2-form  $\omega$  builds a isomorphism on  $T_x M$  for  $x \in M$ . In fact, since  $\omega_x : T_x M \times T_x M$  is non degenerate, there is a linear isomorphism  $K_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_x^* M$  defined by

$$K_x(v)(u) = \omega_x(u, v) = (-i_v \omega)(u).$$

Let  $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a differentiable function, since its differential belongs to  $T^* M$ , via the isomorphism above we get a vector field  $X_H$  given by

$$(1) \quad X_H(x) = K_x(dH_x),$$

that is,  $X_H$  is the vector field on  $M$  satisfying

$$v(H) = dH(v) = \omega(v, X_H)$$

and this is called the *Hamiltonian vector field* associated to the Hamiltonian function  $H$ .

*Example 2.6.* For the standard symplectic structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  the isomorphism  $K_x$  is given by  $K_x v = -Jv$ , where  $J$  denotes the canonical complex structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

Let  $H \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ , its associated Hamiltonian vector field is

$$X_H(m) = J(\nabla H) = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \right),$$

where  $\nabla H$  is the gradient of  $H$ , with respect to the canonical inner product.

**Definition 2.7.** The Hamiltonian system for a Hamiltonian  $H \in C^\infty(M, \omega)$  is

$$(2) \quad x'(t) = X_H(x(t)).$$

*Example 2.8.* Let  $H$  be a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , the Hamiltonian equation is the classical one

$$\begin{aligned} x'_i &= \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \\ y'_i &= -\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x_i$  is actually  $x_i(t)$ , that is it depends on  $t$ , for all  $i$  (and also for any  $y_i$ ).

*Example 2.9.* On  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  a *quadratic Hamiltonian* is a smooth function as

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x) \quad \text{for} \quad A \text{ symmetric linear map,}$$

which yields the Hamiltonian system

$$(3) \quad x' = JA x$$

In classical mechanics this system describes ‘‘small oscillations’’, that is, it approximates the motion of a particle on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  or equivalently the motion of  $n$  uncoupled particles on  $\mathbb{R}$ , near an equilibrium position.

For instance the motion of  $n$ -uncoupled harmonic oscillators near an equilibrium position can be approximated with  $H$  a quadratic Hamiltonian as above by taking  $A = I$ ; therefore (3) becomes

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} x'_i(t) &= y_i(t) \\ y'_i(t) &= -x_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ .

In classical mechanics it is usual to name the coordinates as  $x_i$  the position coordinates and  $y_i$  as the velocity coordinates for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

**Definition 2.10.** A diffeomorphism  $\phi$  on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is symplectic if  $\phi^*\omega = \omega$ .

Recall that the Lie derivative on a smooth manifold  $M$  given as

$$L_X T = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \psi_t^*(T)$$

where  $X$  is a vector field on  $M$  with one parameter group  $\psi_t$  and  $T$  a tensor, satisfies the following identities

$$\begin{aligned} L_X &= i_X d + di_X \\ L_X i_Y &= i_{L_X Y} + i_Y L_X = i_{[X, Y]} + i_Y L_X \end{aligned}$$

For a proof see for instance [Wa].

**Definition 2.11.** A vector field  $X$  on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is symplectic if  $L_X \omega = 0$ .

**Proposition 2.12.** A vector field  $X \in \chi(M)$  is symplectic if and only if the one parameter subgroup  $\psi_t$  generated by  $X$  is symplectic.

*Proof.* If  $\psi_t$  is symplectic, using the definition of  $L_X$  it is easy to see that  $L_X \omega = 0$ . Conversely assume  $L_X \omega = 0$ , then

$$\frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=s} \psi_t^* \omega = \frac{d}{dt}\bigg|_{t=0} \psi_t^* \psi_s^* \omega = L_X \psi_s^* \omega = \psi_s^* L_X \omega = 0$$

hence  $\psi_t^* \omega$  is constant. But  $\psi_0 = Id$  and so  $\psi_t^* \omega = \omega$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.13.** *i) If  $\omega$  is a symplectic form then  $L_X \omega = di_X \omega$ .*

*ii) A vector field on  $(M, \omega)$  is symplectic if and only if  $i_X \omega$  is closed.*

**Definition 2.14.** A vector field  $X$  on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  is Hamiltonian if and only if  $-i_X \omega$  is exact.

The vector field associated to a Hamiltonian function  $H$  defined in (1) is Hamiltonian.

Notice that the fact of being  $X$  Hamiltonian says that there is  $H \in C^\infty(M)$  such that  $dH = -i_X \omega$ , therefore  $X$  is symplectic. On the other hand for any  $p \in M$  there always exists local solutions to  $dH = -i_X \omega$  for any  $X \in \chi(M)$ . For global solutions we must ask extra conditions as below.

**Proposition 2.15.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold such that  $H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ . Every symplectic vector field on  $M$  is Hamiltonian.

A symplectic 2-form  $\omega$  on a symplectic manifold  $M$  induces a Poisson bracket  $\{, \}$  on  $C^\infty M$  by:

$$\{f, g\}(p) = \omega_p(X_f, X_g) = X_f(g) = -X_g(f) \quad \text{for any } f, g \in C^\infty M.$$

**Proposition 2.16.** Let  $C^\infty(M)$  is a Lie algebra under the Poisson bracket defined above and  $f \rightarrow X_f$  is a Lie algebra anti-homomorphism of  $C^\infty(M)$  into  $\chi(M)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $K_x : T_x M \rightarrow T_x^* M$  is a linear isomorphism, the map  $f \rightarrow X_f$  is linear. Now we should prove that  $[X_f, X_g] = X_{\{f, g\}}$ . Using the properties of  $L_X$  one gets

$$L_{X_f} i_{X_g} \omega = i_{[X_f, X_g]} \omega + i_{X_g} L_{X_f} \omega.$$

Since  $L_{X_f} \omega = 0$ , one gets

$$L_{X_f} i_{X_g} \omega = i_{[X_f, X_g]} \omega.$$

The Lie derivative on 1-forms follows

$$L_X \theta = i_X d\theta + di_X \theta.$$

Taking  $i_{X_g} \omega = dg$  and applying above it holds

$$L_{X_f} i_{X_g} \omega = L_{X_f} dg = i_{X_f} d^2 g + di_{X_f} dg = d(X_f(g)) = d\{f, g\}.$$

Therefore

$$i_{[X_f, X_g]} \omega = d\{f, g\}$$

Thus the left side of the equality above  $i_{[X_f, X_g]} \omega_x$  coincides with  $-K_x(X_{\{f, g\}})$ , and since  $K_x$  is an isomorphism  $[X_f, X_g] = -X_{\{f, g\}}$ .  $\square$

Recall that a *Poisson structure* is a bracket  $\{, \}$  on a associative algebra  $A$ , such that

- $\{, \}$  is a Lie bracket on  $A$  and
- $f\{g, h\} = \{fg, h\} + \{g, fh\}$  for all  $f, g, h \in A$ .

the last one is called the Leibnitz rule. In [Sy] a such structure is called *Hamiltonian*.

The space of smooth functions on a differentiable manifold is a associative Lie algebra, hence a natural space to be endowed with a Poisson structure. The Proposition we already proved says that whenever  $(M, \omega)$  is a symplectic manifold,  $C^\infty(M)$  has a Poisson structure induced by  $\omega$ : the Poisson bracket  $\{, \}$  is a Lie bracket and the Leibnitz rule holds, since any vector field is a derivation on  $C^\infty(M)$ .

*Example 2.17.* On  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ , the Poisson structure associated to the standard symplectic form is given by

$$(5) \quad \{f, g\} = (\nabla f, J\nabla g) = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}.$$

*Example 2.18.* Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra and  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  its dual. As usual one identifies  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  with its tangent space. Given a function  $F : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we define the gradient of  $F$  at  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , denoted by  $\nabla F(\alpha)$ , as an element  $\nabla F(\alpha) \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\langle \beta, \nabla F(\alpha) \rangle = dF_\alpha(\beta)$  for any  $\beta \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ , where  $\langle, \rangle$  denotes the evaluation map.

The Kirillov's Poisson bracket on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  is given by

$$\{f, h\}(\alpha) = \langle \alpha, [\nabla f(\alpha), \nabla h(\alpha)] \rangle.$$

**Proposition 2.19.** *If  $\{f, g\} = 0$  then  $g$  is constant on the integral curves of  $X_f$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $x'(t) = X_f(x(t))$  then

$$\frac{d}{dt} g(x(t)) = dg(x'(t)) = X_f(g)(x(t)) = \{f, g\}(x(t)) = 0.$$

$\square$

Thus  $g$  is called a *constant of motion* of the flow defined by  $X_f$ . Since  $\{, \}$  is skew symmetric,  $g$  is a constant of motion of  $X_f$  if and only if  $f$  is a constant of motion of  $X_g$ . Constant of motion always exist, in fact  $f$  is a constant of motion of  $X_f$ .

**Definition 2.20.** A function  $f$  on a  $2n$ -dimensional Poisson manifold  $(M, \{, \})$  is *completely integrable* if there exist  $n$  functions  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in C^\infty M$  such that:

- i)  $\{f, f_i\} = 0, \{f_i, f_j\} = 0$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,
- ii) The differentials  $df_1, \dots, df_n$  are linearly independent on a open set invariant under the flow of  $X_f$ .

Two functions  $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\{f, g\} = 0$  are said to be in involution or Poisson commute.

A subset  $N \subset M$  is *invariant* under the flow of  $X_f$  if the solution  $x$  for the Hamiltonian system (2) corresponding to the Hamiltonian  $f$  lies on  $N$  if  $x(0) \in N$ .

*Example 2.21.* On  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  for  $H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(x, x)$  the polynomials

$$f_i(x) = \frac{1}{2}(p_i^2 + q_i^2) \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

shows that  $H$  is completely integrable. In fact it is easy to check that  $\{H, f_i\} = 0 = \{f_i, f_j\}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

Let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$ , then  $F^{-1}(c)$  is a torus which is invariant under the flow generated by  $X_H$ . Let  $(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  denote the angle variable on the torus  $F^{-1}(c)$ . Then  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  is a local coordinate on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . With these coordinates, the Hamiltonian equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} f'_i &= 0 \\ \theta'_i &= -1 \end{aligned}$$

and the coordinate functions satisfy

$$\{f_i, f_j\} = \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0, \quad \{f_i, \theta_j\} = \delta_{ij},$$

therefore the flow  $X_h$  is linear on  $F^{-1}(c)$  for  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Moreover since the level sets  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2n} : H(x) = c\}$  are compact we have action angle coordinates (see Liouville Theorem below).

Generally  $m$  Poisson commuting functions  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m$  on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  give rise to an action of  $\mathbb{R}^m$  on  $M$ . Let  $(\psi_i)_t$  be the one parameter subgroup generated by  $X_{f_i}$ . Then

$$(t_1, \dots, t_m) \cdot p = (\psi_1)_{t_1}(\psi_2)_{t_2} \dots (\psi_m)_{t_m}(p).$$

defines a  $\mathbb{R}^m$  action on  $M$ . Since  $\{f_i, f_j\} = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , the set  $N = \{x \in M, : f_i(x) = c_i\}$  is invariant under the  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -action, for constants  $c_1, \dots, c_m$ . If  $N$  is compact, the  $\mathbb{R}^m$ -action descends to a torus action on  $N$ . When  $m = 1/2 \dim M$ , one gets the Liouville theorem.

**Theorem 2.22** (Liouville). *Let  $f$  be a completely integrable function on  $M$ , with  $\dim M = 2n$ , and assume  $f_1 := f, f_2, \dots, f_n$  are commuting Hamiltonians which are linearly independent and let  $F = (f_1, \dots, f_n) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be proper. Then  $F^{-1}(c)$  is invariant under the  $\mathbb{R}^n$  action and it descends to a torus  $T^n$ -action. Let  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$*



denote the angle coordinates on the invariant tori. Then  $\{f_i, f_j\} = \{\theta_i, \theta_j\} = 0$  and  $\{f_i, \theta_j\} = c_{ij}(F)$  for some functions  $c_{ij} : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In particular, the flow of  $X_f$  in coordinates  $(f_1, \dots, f_n, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$  is linear.

Coordinates as above, are called *action-angle variables* for the Hamiltonian system of  $f$ .

### 3. SYMPLECTIC ACTIONS: THE AKS-SCHEME

Let  $M$  denote a differentiable manifold and let  $G$  be a Lie group. An differentiable action of  $G$  on  $M$  is a differentiable map  $\eta : G \times M \rightarrow M$ ,  $\eta : (g, m) \rightarrow \eta(g, m) := g \cdot m$  such that

- i)  $e \cdot m = m$  for all  $m \in M$  and
- ii)  $(gh) \cdot m = g \cdot (h \cdot m)$  for all  $m \in M, g, h \in G$ .

Notice that if  $\eta$  is an action, the applications  $\eta_g : M \rightarrow M$  given by  $\eta_g(m) = g \cdot m$  are diffeomorphisms of  $M$ . In fact,  $\eta_g$  are differentiable for any  $g$  and they are diffeomorphisms since the inverse of any  $\eta_g$  is  $\eta_{g^{-1}}$  (see ii) above). Therefore an action of a Lie group on  $M$  induces a representation of  $G$  on  $Diff(M)$  the diffeomorphisms of  $M$ , given by  $g \rightarrow \eta_g$ .

*Example 3.1.* Let  $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  denote the Lie group of non singular transformations of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . This acts on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as evaluation:  $A \cdot v = v$  for  $A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . It is easy to verify that this is in fact an action.

*Example 3.2.* Let  $H$  be a Lie subgroup of a Lie group  $G$ , then  $H$  acts on  $G$  by conjugation,  $H \times G \rightarrow G$ ,  $(h, x) = h^{-1}xh$ , for any  $h \in H$ ,  $x \in G$ . If  $H$  is a normal subgroup, one can consider the action of  $G$  on  $H$  by conjugation.

*Example 3.3.* Let  $G$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then  $G$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}$  by the Adjoint action,  $G \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $(g, X) = \text{Ad}(g)X$ , for any  $g \in G$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Recall that  $\text{Ad}(g) = dI(g)_e$  where  $I_g$  denotes the conjugation by  $g$  (see the previous example). It is easy to see that  $I_{gh} = I_g \circ I_h$  for all  $g, h \in G$ , hence the map  $G \rightarrow GL(\mathfrak{g})$  is a representation of  $G$ , called the Adjoint representation. This has a correlative at the Lie algebra level, the adjoint representation:  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  given by  $X \cdot Y = [X, Y]$  for all  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Recall that in (2.4) we defined the *coadjoint action* of a Lie group  $G$  on the space  $\mathfrak{g}^*$ , the dual of the Lie algebra

$$g \cdot \varphi = \varphi \circ \text{Ad}(g^{-1}) \quad g \in G, \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$

and also we gave the corresponding action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  by

$$X \cdot \varphi = -\varphi \circ \text{ad}(X) \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}, \varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

The orbit of an action of a Lie group  $G$  on a set  $M$  is

$$G \cdot m = \{g \cdot m : g \in G\}$$

and the *isotropy* or *stabilizer* group of the action at the point  $m$  is the closed subgroup of  $G$  given by

$$G_m = \{g \in G \text{ such that } g \cdot m = m\}.$$

It is known that the orbit at  $m$  is diffeomorphic to the quotient space of  $G$  and the isotropy group,  $G \cdot m \simeq G/G_m$  (see [Wa] for instance). Thus any curve at the orbit  $G \cdot m$  through  $m$  is  $\gamma(t) = \exp tX \cdot m$  and this generates the infinitesimal vector  $\tilde{X}$  at  $T_m(G \cdot m)$  by

$$\tilde{X}(m) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \exp tX \cdot m.$$

Hence the tangent space of a  $G$ -orbit at  $m$  is

$$T_m(G \cdot m) = \{\tilde{X}, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$$

being  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ .

Assume  $M$  and  $N$  are two differentiable maps on which a given Lie group  $G$  acts. A map  $F : M \rightarrow N$  is called *equivariant* if  $F(g \cdot m) = g \cdot F(m)$  for all  $m \in M$ ,  $g \in G$ . The condition is also expressed as  $F$  *intertwines* the two  $G$ -actions.

**Definition 3.4.** Let  $(M, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold. An action  $\eta$  of a Lie group  $G$  on  $M$  is called symplectic if the diffeomorphisms  $\eta_g$  are symplectic maps for any  $g \in G$ , that is  $\eta_g^* \omega = \omega$ .

The coadjoint orbits are examples of symplectic manifolds. Recall that they are endowed with the 2-form given by:

$$\omega_\beta(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = -\beta([X, Y]), \quad \beta \in G \cdot \mu$$

which is symplectic. In fact, it is closed since for  $X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \mathfrak{g}$  one has

$$\omega([\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2], \tilde{X}_3) = -\varphi([[X_1, X_2], X_3]),$$

hence

$$d\omega(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{X}_3) = -\varphi([[X_1, X_2], X_3]) - \varphi([[X_2, X_3], X_1]) - \varphi([[X_3, X_1], X_2]) = 0$$

where the last equality holds after Jacobi for  $[\cdot, \cdot]$ .

The 2-form  $\omega$  is non degenerate on a orbit: let  $\varphi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$  and let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\omega(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Then  $-\varphi([X, Y]) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , says that  $X \cdot \varphi = 0$  implying that  $X \in L(G_\varphi)$ . In fact  $\exp tX \in G_\varphi$  if and only if  $\exp tX \cdot \varphi = \varphi$  for  $t$  near 0. Thus taking derivative at  $t = 0$  we have  $X \cdot \varphi = 0$ , and this is the set corresponding to the Lie algebra of  $G_\varphi$ . Since the tangent space of the orbit at  $\varphi$  is  $T_\varphi(G \cdot \varphi) = \mathfrak{g}/L(G_\varphi)$ , one gets  $\tilde{X} = 0$ .

**Definition 3.5.** An ad-invariant metric on  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a bilinear map  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , which is a non-degenerate symmetric and such that  $\text{ad}(X)$  is skew symmetric for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , that is

$$\langle [X, Y], Z \rangle + \langle Y, [X, Z] \rangle = 0 \quad \text{for all } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

This ad-invariant metric gives rise to a bi-invariant pseudo Riemannian metric on a connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ ; bi-invariant means that the maps  $\text{Ad}(g)$  are isometries for all  $g \in G$ , that is

$$\langle \text{Ad}(g)Y, \text{Ad}(g)Z \rangle = \langle Y, Z \rangle \quad \text{for all } Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in G,$$

and conversely any bi-invariant pseudo Riemannian metric on  $G$  induces an ad-invariant metric on its Lie algebra, just by taking derivative of the last equality at  $t = 0$  with  $g = \exp tX$ .

Examples of Lie algebras with ad-invariant metrics are:

- a) semisimple Lie algebras with the Killing form;
- b) semidirect products  $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes_{\text{coad}} \mathfrak{g}^*$  with the canonical neutral metric

$$\langle (x_1, \varphi_1), (x_2, \varphi_2) \rangle = \varphi_1(x_2) + \varphi_2(x_1)$$

An ad-invariant metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  induces a diffeomorphism between the adjoint orbit  $G \cdot X$  and the coadjoint orbit  $G \cdot \ell_X$  where  $\ell_X(Y) = \langle X, Y \rangle$ . In fact

$$g \cdot \ell_X(Y) = \langle X, \text{Ad}(g^{-1})Y \rangle = \langle \text{Ad}(g)X, Y \rangle \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in G,$$

implying that the map  $\ell : X \rightarrow \ell_X$  is equivariant. Thus the adjoint orbits become symplectic manifolds with the 2-form:

$$\omega_X(\tilde{Y}, \tilde{Z}) = \langle X, [Y, Z] \rangle \quad \text{for } X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

We shall consider these ideas to construct Hamiltonian systems on orbits that are included on Lie algebras.

Recall that given a metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  the gradient of a function  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  at the vector  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is defined by

$$(6) \quad \langle \nabla f(X), Y \rangle = df_X(Y) \quad Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Suppose  $\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-$  are Lie subalgebras of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$$

as a direct sum of linear subspaces, that is  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_-)$  is a product structure on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  also splits as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-^\perp,$$

and

$$\mathfrak{g}_\pm^\perp \text{ is isomorphic as vector spaces to } \mathfrak{g}_\mp^*.$$

This follows from the isomorphism  $\ell : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ . In fact, let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  maps to  $\ell_X$ . Since  $\ell_X(Y) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_+$ , the image of  $\ell(\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{g}_-^*$ , and the isomorphism follows from dimensions.

Let  $G_-$  denote a subgroup of  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ . Then the coadjoint action of  $G_-$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_-^*$  induces an action of  $G_-$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ : for  $g_- \in G_-, X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_-$  one has:

$$g_- \cdot \ell_X(Y) = \langle X, \text{Ad}(g_-^{-1})Y \rangle = \langle \text{Ad}(g_-)X, Y \rangle = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\text{Ad}(g_-)X),$$

where  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}$  denotes the projection of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ ; therefore the action is given as

$$g_- \cdot X = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}(\text{Ad}(g_-)X),$$

and  $\ell : \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_-^*$  is equivariant.

The infinitesimal generator corresponding to  $Y_- \in \mathfrak{g}_-$  is

$$\tilde{Y}_-(X) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} \exp tY_- \cdot X = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}([Y_-, X]) \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp.$$

The orbit  $G_- \cdot Y$  becomes a symplectic manifold with the symplectic structure given by

$$\omega_X(\tilde{U}_-, \tilde{V}_-) = \langle X, [U_-, V_-] \rangle \quad \text{for } U_-, V_- \in \mathfrak{g}_-, X \in G_- \cdot Y$$

which is induced from the Kostant-Kirillov-Souriau symplectic form on the coadjoint orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}_-^*$ .

Consider a smooth function  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and restrict it to an orbit  $G_- \cdot X := \mathcal{M} \subset \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ . Then the Hamiltonian vector field of the restriction  $H = f|_{\mathcal{M}}$  is the infinitesimal generator corresponding to  $-\nabla f_-$ , that is

$$(7) \quad X_H(Y) = -\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}([\nabla f_-(Y), Y])$$

where  $Z_\pm$  denotes the projection of  $Z \in \mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ . In fact for  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ ,  $V_- \in \mathfrak{g}_-$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_Y(\tilde{V}_-, X_H) &= dH_Y(\tilde{V}_-) = \langle \nabla f(Y), \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}([V_-, Y]) \rangle = \langle \nabla f_-(Y), [V_-, Y] \rangle \\ &= \langle Y, [\nabla f_-(Y), V_-] \rangle = \omega_Y(\nabla f_-(Y), \tilde{V}_-). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\omega$  is non degenerate, one gets (7).

Therefore the Hamiltonian equation for  $x : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  follows

$$(8) \quad x'(t) = -\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp}([\nabla f_-(x), x]).$$

In particular if  $f$  is ad-invariant then  $0 = [\nabla f(Y), Y] = [\nabla f_-(Y), Y] + [\nabla f_+(Y), Y]$ . Since the metric is ad-invariant  $[\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp] \subset \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ , in fact

$$\langle [\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp], \mathfrak{g}_+ \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp, [\mathfrak{g}_+, \mathfrak{g}_+] \rangle = 0.$$

Hence the equation (8) takes the form

$$(9) \quad x'(t) = [\nabla f_+(x), x] = [x, \nabla f_-(x)],$$

that is, (8) becomes a *Lax equation*, that is, it can be written as  $x' = [P(x), x]$ .

If we assume now that the multiplication map  $G_+ \times G_- \rightarrow G$ ,  $(g_+, g_-) \rightarrow g_+g_-$ , is a diffeomorphism, then the initial value problem

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = [\nabla f_+(x), x] \\ x(0) = x_0 \end{cases}$$

can be solved by factorization. In fact if  $\exp t \nabla f(x_0) = g_+(t)g_-(t)$ , then  $x(t) = \text{Ad}(g_+(t))x_0$  is the solution of (10).

REMARK. If the multiplication map  $G_+ \times G_- \rightarrow G$  is a bijection onto an open subset of  $G$ , then equation (8) has a local solution in an interval  $(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

The theory we already exposed shows the application of Lie theory to the study of ODE's as in equation (9). Even when it is possible to give the solution, one need more information. This can be obtained from involution conditions. They help in some sense to control the solutions.

A first step in the construction of action angle variables is to search for functions which Poisson commute. The Adler-Kostant-Symes Theorem shows a way to get functions in involution on the orbits  $\mathcal{M}$ . We shall formulate it in its classical Lie algebra setting.

**Theorem 3.6** (Adler-Kostant-Symes). *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra with an ad-invariant metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Assume  $\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_+$  are Lie subalgebras such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_+$  as direct sum of vector subspaces. Then any pair of ad-invariant functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$  Poisson commute on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  (resp. on  $\mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$ ).*

Sometimes the ad-invariant condition is too strong, so the following version of the previous Theorem given by Ratiu [R1] asks for a weaker condition.

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra carrying an ad-invariant metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Assume it admits a splitting into a direct sum as vector spaces  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_+$  is an ideal and  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  is a Lie subalgebra. If  $f, h$  are smooth Poisson commuting functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then the restrictions of  $f$  and  $h$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  are in involution in the Poisson structure of  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ .*

*Remark.* This theorem was used in [R2] to prove the involution of the Manakov integrals for the free n-dimensional rigid body motion.

#### 4. APPLICATIONS OF THE ADLER-KOSTANT-SYMES-SCHEME TO CLASSICAL MECHANICS

In this section we show the explicit use of the theory above in some Lie groups and Lie algebras. The first example is done with semisimple Lie algebras, and it is known as the Toda Lattice.

**4.1. The Toda lattice.** The Toda lattice is the mechanical system which describes the motion of n particles on a line with an exponential restoring force, that is the Hamiltonian function on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is

$$H(x, y) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e^{x_i - x_{i-1}}.$$

The phase space is  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  which is a symplectic manifold with its canonical symplectic structure. It follows that the Hamiltonian equation is

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} x'_k &= y_k \\ y'_k &= e^{x_{k-1} - x_k} - e^{x_k - x_{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

and with  $e^{x_0 - x_1} = 0 = e^{x_n - x_{n+1}}$ . Flaschka considered a change of coordinates (called Falschka transform) as follows

$$\phi : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}, \quad \phi(x, y) = (a, b)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_k &= -\frac{1}{2}y_k & 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ b_k &= \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{1}{2}x_k - x_{k+1}} & 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ b_n &= \frac{1}{2}e^{\frac{x_n}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the equation (11) yields

$$\begin{aligned} a'_k &= 2(b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) & 1 \leq k \leq n \\ b'_k &= b_k(a_{k+1} - a_k) & 1 \leq k \leq n \end{aligned}$$

with  $a_{n+1} = 0 = b_0$ . Notice that  $\sum_i y'_i = 0$ . Assume  $\sum x_i = \sum y_i = 0$  and let  $V = \{(x, y) / \sum_i x_i = 0 = \sum_i y_i\}$ , then the system above becomes

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} a'_k &= 2(b_k^2 - b_{k-1}^2) & 1 \leq k \leq n-1 \\ b'_k &= b_k(a_{k+1} - a_k) & 1 \leq k \leq n-1, \end{aligned}$$

Consider  $\mathfrak{g}$  the semisimple Lie algebra of traceless real matrices  $sl(n, \mathbb{R})$  equipped with the ad-invariant metric  $\langle x, y \rangle = tr(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in sl(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{g}_+ = so(n)$  the Lie subalgebra of skew symmetric real matrices and  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  the Lie algebra of upper triangular matrices of trace zero.

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  is the space of real symmetric matrices in  $sl(n, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$  is the space of strictly upper triangular matrices in  $sl(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

The coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{M} = G_- \cdot x_0$  for  $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} e_{i,i+1} + e_{i+1,i}$  is the set of tri-diagonal real symmetric matrices

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i E_{i,i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} b_i (E_{i,i+1} + E_{i+1,i}) \quad \sum_i a_i = 0, \quad b_i > 0 \quad \forall i.$$

where  $E_{i,j}$  denotes the matrix with a 1 at the place  $i, j$  and 0 in the others components.

Let  $f : sl(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function given by  $f(X) = \frac{1}{2} \langle X, X \rangle = \frac{1}{2} tr(XX)$ . It is easy to see that the gradient of  $f$  at  $X$  is  $X$ , and hence applying the theory of the previous section we get (9)

$$x' = [x_+, x]$$

for  $x_+ \subset \mathfrak{g}_+$  a curve in  $\mathfrak{g}_+$ . Writing the last system in terms of coordinates  $(a, b)$  we get the system (12).

A generalization of this system can be read in [Sy], where also applications of the theory to other differential equations are explained.

**4.2. The motion of  $n$  uncoupled Harmonic oscillators.** Recall that the motion of  $n$ -uncoupled harmonic oscillators near an equilibrium position can be approximated with  $H$  the quadratic Hamiltonian as  $\frac{1}{2} \langle x, x \rangle$  where  $(, )$  is the canonical inner product in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Let  $\omega$  the canonical symplectic structure, the corresponding Hamiltonian system follows

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} x'_i(t) &= y_i(t) \\ y'_i(t) &= -x_i(t) \end{aligned}$$

where  $x(t) = (x_1(t), \dots, x_n(t), y_1(t), \dots, y_n(t))$ .

The associated Poisson structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  is given as follows

$$(14) \quad \{f, g\} = (\nabla f, J \nabla g) = \sum_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_i} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i}.$$

for smooth functions  $f, g$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Thus with respect to the Lie bracket  $\{, \}$  the subspace over  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by the functions  $H = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (x_i^2 + y_i^2)$ , the coordinates  $x_i, y_i$ , and 1 form a solvable Lie algebra of dimension  $2n+2$ , which is a semidirect extension of the Heisenberg Lie algebra spanned by the functions  $x_i, y_i, 1 \ i=1, \dots, n$ . In fact they obey the following non trivial rules

$$\{x_i, y_j\} = \delta_{ij} \quad \{H, x_i\} = -y_i \quad \{H, y_i\} = x_i.$$

In order to simplify notations let us rename these elements identifying  $X_{n+1}$  with  $H$ ,  $X_i$  with  $x_i$ ,  $Y_i$  with  $y_i$  and  $X_0$  with the constant function 1

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\leftrightarrow X_0 \\ x_i &\leftrightarrow X_i \\ y_i &\leftrightarrow Y_i \\ H &\leftrightarrow X_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

and set  $\mathfrak{g}$  denotes the Lie algebra generated by these vectors with the Lie bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  derived from the Poisson structure. This Lie algebra is known as a *oscillator* Lie algebra.

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  splits into a vector space direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$  denote the Lie subalgebras

$$(15) \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = \text{span}\{X_0, X_i, Y_j\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathbb{R}X_{n+1}.$$

Notice that  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  is isomorphic to the  $2n+1$ -dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra we denote  $\mathfrak{h}_n$ .

The quadratic form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  which for  $X = x_0(X)X_0 + \sum_i(x_i(X)X_i + y_i(X)Y_i) + x_{n+1}(X)X_{n+1}$  is given by

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_i (x_i^2 + y_i^2) + x_0 x_{n+1}$$

induces an ad-invariant metric on  $\mathfrak{g}$  denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . It is easy to show that the gradient of  $f$  at a point  $X$  is

$$\nabla f(X) = X.$$

The restriction of the quadratic form to  $\mathfrak{v} := \text{span}\{X_i, Y_j\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ , coincides with the canonical one  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \simeq \mathfrak{v}$ .

The metric induces a decomposition of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  into a vector subspace direct sum of  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_-^\perp$  where

$$\mathfrak{g}_-^\perp = \text{span}\{X_0\} \quad \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp = \mathbb{R}X_{n+1} \oplus \text{span}\{X_i, Y_j\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n},$$

and it also induces linear isomorphisms  $\mathfrak{g}_\pm^* \simeq \mathfrak{g}_\pm^\perp$ . Let  $G$  denote a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $G_\pm \subset G$  is a Lie subgroup whose Lie algebra is  $\mathfrak{g}_\pm$ . Hence the Lie subgroup  $G_-$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  by the ‘‘coadjoint’’ representation; which in terms of  $U_- \in \mathfrak{g}_-$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  is given by

$$(16) \quad \text{ad}_{U_-}^* V = x_{n+1}(V) \sum_i (y_i(U)X_i - x_i(U)Y_i)$$

It is not difficult to see that the orbits are  $2n$ -dimensional if  $x_{n+1}(V) \neq 0$  and furthermore  $V$  and  $W$  belong to the same orbit if and only if  $x_{n+1}(V) = x_{n+1}(W)$ , hence the orbits are parametrized by the  $x_{n+1}$ -coordinate; so we denote them by  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$ . They are topologically like  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . In fact  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}} = G_- \cdot V \simeq \mathbb{H}_n / Z(\mathbb{H}_n)$ , where  $\mathbb{H}_n$  denotes the Heisenberg Lie group with center  $Z(\mathbb{H}_n)$ .

Equip these coadjoint orbits with the canonical symplectic structure, that is for  $U_-, V_- \in \mathfrak{g}_-$  take

$$\omega_Y(\tilde{U}_-, \tilde{V}_-) = \langle Y, [U_-, V_-] \rangle = x_{n+1}(Y) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i(U_-)y_i(V_-) - x_i(V_-)y_i(U_-)).$$

Indeed on the orbit  $\mathcal{M}_1$  the coordinates  $x_i, y_j$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , are the canonical symplectic coordinates and one can identify this orbit with  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  in a natural way. This says that the identification is a symplectomorphism between  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with the canonical symplectic structure and the orbit with the Kirillov-Kostant-Souriau symplectic form.

Consider  $H$ , the restriction to a orbit  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$  of the function  $f$ . Since  $f$  is ad-invariant the Hamiltonian system of  $H = f|_{\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}}$  reduces to

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= [x_{n+1}X_{n+1}, x_{\mathbf{v}} + x_{n+1}X_{n+1}] \\ x(0) &= x^0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $x^0 = x_{\mathbf{v}}^0 + x_{n+1}^0 X_0$  and  $x_{\mathbf{v}}^0 = \sum_i (x_i^0 X_i + y_i^0 Y_i)$ .

For  $x_{n+1} \equiv x_{n+1}^0 \equiv 1$  this system is that one we get on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

The trajectories  $x(t)$  with coordinates  $x_i(t)$ ,  $y_j(t)$ ,  $x_{n+1}^0$  are parametrized circles of angular velocity  $x_{n+1}^0$ , for all i,j, that is

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(t) &= x_i^0 \cos(x_{n+1}^0 t) + y_i^0 \sin(x_{n+1}^0 t) \\ y_j(t) &= -x_j^0 \sin(x_{n+1}^0 t) + y_j^0 \cos(x_{n+1}^0 t) \\ x_{n+1}(t) &= x_{n+1}^0 \end{aligned}$$

This solution coincides with that computed in the previous section, when we considered systems on coadjoint orbits. In fact it can be written as

$$x(t) = \text{Ad}(\exp t x_{n+1}^0 X_{n+1}) x^0,$$

and one verifies that the flow at the point  $X^0 \in \mathfrak{g}_{\perp}^{\perp}$  is

$$(18) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta^t(X^0) &= \sum_i [(x_i^0 \cos(x_{n+1}^0 t) + y_i^0 \sin(x_{n+1}^0 t))X_i + (-x_i^0 \sin(x_{n+1}^0 t) + \\ & y_i^0 \cos(x_{n+1}^0 t))Y_i] + x_{n+1}^0 X_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

By taking  $L$  and  $M$  the following matrices:

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\ -x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n+1} & & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_{n+1} & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & -x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & & & & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$



$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & & & & & x_1 \\ -x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n+1} & & & & & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & -x_{n+1} & 0 & & & & & y_2 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & x_{n+1} & 0 & x_n \\ & & & & & & -x_{n+1} & 0 & y_n \\ -\frac{1}{2}y_1 & \frac{1}{2}x_1 & -\frac{1}{2}y_2 & \frac{1}{2}x_2 & \dots & -\frac{1}{2}y_n & \frac{1}{2}x_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

we get  $L' = [M, L] = ML - LM$ , the Lax pair equation.

### 5. QUADRATIC HAMILTONIANS AND COADJOINT ORBITS

In this section we shall prove that Hamiltonian systems corresponding to quadratic Hamiltonians in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  of the form  $H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x)$  where  $A$  is a non singular symmetric map, can be described using the scheme of Adler-Kostant-Symes on a solvable Lie algebra.

Let us consider the linear system of one degree of freedom on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with Hamiltonian given by:

$$H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x)$$

where  $x = (q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$  is a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  written in a symplectic basis and  $A$  is a non singular symmetric linear operator with respect to the canonical inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ . This yields the following Hamiltonian equation

$$(3) \quad x' = JAx, \quad \text{with } J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -Id \\ Id & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and being  $Id$  the identity. The phase space for this system is  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . We shall construct a solvable Lie algebra that admits an ad-invariant metric on which the system (3) can be realized as a Hamiltonian system on coadjoint orbits. Moreover it can be written as a Lax pair equation.

Let  $b$  denote the non degenerate bilinear form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \text{span}\{X_i, Y_j\}_{i,j=1}^n$  given by  $b(X, Y) = (AX, Y)$ . In our terms,  $b$  defines a metric on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  but it is not necessary definite. Note that the linear  $JA$  is non singular and skew symmetric with respect to  $b$ , where  $J$  is the canonical complex structure on  $\mathfrak{v} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  as above:

$$b(JAX, Y) = (AJAX, Y) = (JAX, AY) = -(AX, JAY) = -b(X, JA).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  denote the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  which as vector space is the direct sum

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathbb{R}X_0 \oplus \mathfrak{v} \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{n+1}$$

where  $\mathfrak{v} = \mathbb{R}^{2n}$  and with the Lie bracket given by the non trivial relations

$$(19) \quad [U, V] = b(JAU, V)X_0 \quad [X_{n+1}, U] = JAU \quad \text{for all } U \in \mathfrak{v}.$$

Thus in this way one defines a structure of a solvable Lie algebra on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Note that  $A = Id$  is the particular case we considered in the previous subsection.

This Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  can be equipped with the ad-invariant metric defined by

$$(20) \quad \langle x_0^1 X_0 + U^1 + x_{n+1}^1 X_{n+1}, x_0^2 X_0 + U^2 + x_{n+1}^2 X_{n+1} \rangle = b(U^1, U^2) + (x_0^1 x_{n+1}^2 + x_0^2 x_{n+1}^1).$$

Thus if  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{v}}$  denotes the restriction of the metric of  $\mathfrak{g}$  to  $\mathfrak{v} = \text{span}\{X_i, Y_j\}_{i,j=1,\dots,n}$ , then clearly  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is a generalization of the non degenerate symmetric bilinear map  $b$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Moreover  $\mathfrak{g}$  admits a orthogonal splitting

$$\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{X_0, X_{n+1}\} \oplus \mathfrak{v}.$$

Denote by  $\mathfrak{g}_{\pm}$  the Lie subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathbb{R}X_{n+1}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_- = \mathbb{R}X_0 \oplus \text{span}\{X_i, Y_i\}.$$

They induce the splitting of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into a vector space direct sum  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+ \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-$ , which by the ad-invariant metric gives the following linear decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_-^{\perp}$ , direct sum as vector spaces, for

$$\mathfrak{g}_-^{\perp} = \mathbb{R}X_0 \quad \mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp} = \text{span}\{X_i, Y_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n} \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{n+1}.$$

Note that  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  isomorphic to the  $2n+1$ -dimensional Heisenberg Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}_n$ .

Let  $G$  denote a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , set  $G_- \subset G$  the Lie subgroup with Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_-$ . As we already explained  $G_-$  acts on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp}$  by the coadjoint action

$$g_- \cdot X = \pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp}}(\text{Ad}(g_-)X) \quad g_- \in G_-, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp},$$

where  $\pi_{\mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp}}$  is the projection of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp}$ , which in infinitesimal terms gives the following action of  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp}$

$$(21) \quad \text{ad}_U^* V := U \cdot V = x_{n+1}(V) J A X_{\mathfrak{v}}(U) \quad \text{for } U \in \mathfrak{g}_-, V \in \mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp}.$$

being  $X_{\mathfrak{v}}(U)$  the projection of  $U$  onto  $\mathfrak{v}$  with respect to the orthogonal splitting  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{span}\{X_0, X_{n+1}\} \oplus \mathfrak{v}$ .

The orbits are  $2n$ -dimensional if  $x_{n+1}(V) \neq 0$  and furthermore  $V$  and  $W$  belong to the same orbit if and only if  $x_{n+1}(V) = x_{n+1}(W)$ , and therefore one parametrizes the orbits by the  $x_{n+1}$ -coordinate and one enotes them by  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$ . The orbits are topologically like  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  since they are diffeomorphic to the quotient  $\mathbb{H}_n/Z(\mathbb{H}_n)$ , if  $Z(\mathbb{H}_n) = \mathbb{R}X_0$  is the center of the Heisenberg subgroup.

Endow the orbits with the canonical symplectic structure of the coadjoint orbits, that is for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_+^{\perp}$ ,  $U_-, V_- \in \mathfrak{g}_-$  set

$$\omega_X(\tilde{U}_-, \tilde{V}_-) = \langle X, [U_-, V_-] \rangle = x_{n+1}(X) b(JAU_{\mathfrak{v}}, V_{\mathfrak{v}}).$$

Consider  $f : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the ad-invariant function given by

$$f(X) = \frac{1}{2} \langle X, X \rangle.$$

The gradient of the function  $f$  at a point  $X$  is the so called position vector

$$\nabla f(X) = X.$$

Since  $f$  is ad-invariant the Hamiltonian system of  $H = f|_{\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}}$ , the restriction of  $f$  to the orbit  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$ , given by (9) becomes

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= [\nabla f_+(x), x] = [x_{n+1}X_{n+1}, x_{\mathfrak{v}} + x_{n+1}X_{n+1}] = x_{n+1}JAx_{\mathfrak{v}} \\ x(0) &= X^0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $X^0 \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ .

Thus this Hamiltonian system written as a Lax pair equation is equivalent to (3) for  $x_{n+1} = x_{n+1}^0 = 1$ . The solution  $X(t)$  for the initial condition  $X^0 \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  can be computed via the Adjoint map on  $G$ , that is,

$$X(t) = \text{Ad}(\exp tx_{n+1}^0 X_{n+1})X^0.$$

The previous explanations prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.1** ([O2]). *Let  $H(X) = \frac{1}{2}(AX, X)$  be a quadratic Hamiltonian on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  with corresponding Hamiltonian system (3). Then  $H$  can be extended to a quadratic function  $f$  on a solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  containing the Heisenberg Lie algebra as a proper ideal. The function  $f$  induces a Hamiltonian system on coadjoint orbits of the Heisenberg Lie group, that can be written as a Lax pair equation and which is equivalent to (3). Moreover the trajectories on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  for the initial condition  $V^0$  can be computed with help of the Adjoint map on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Explicitely they are the curves  $x(t) = \exp^{tJA} V^0$ , where  $\exp$  denotes the usual exponential map of matrices.*

If we take  $L, M \in M(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$  as

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1}JA & 0 & z \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad L = \begin{pmatrix} x_{n+1}JA & 0 & z \\ i\frac{1}{2}z^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $z^T = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  then the Hamiltonian equation can be written in the following way

$$L' = [M, L].$$

*Example 5.2* (The motion of n-uncoupled inverse pendula). As example of the previous construction consider the linear approximation of the motion of n uncoupled inverse pendula. This corresponds to the Hamiltonian  $H(x) = \frac{1}{2}(Ax, x)$  with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & -Id \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields the Hamiltonian system  $x' = JAx$ , which in coordinates takes the form

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dx_i}{dt} &= y_i \\ \frac{dy_i}{dt} &= x_i \end{aligned}$$

As we said the phase space is  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . In the setting of the AKS scheme we can construct coadjoint orbits  $\mathcal{M}$  of the Heisenberg Lie group, that are included in a solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  with Lie bracket (19) and ad-invariant metric (20). The Hamiltonian system

for the restriction to the orbits of the ad-invariant function on  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $f(X) = \frac{1}{2}\langle X, X \rangle$ , can be written as

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{dt} &= [x_{n+1}X_{n+1}, x_{\mathfrak{v}} + x_{n+1}X_{n+1}] \\ x(0) &= X^0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $X^0 = \sum_i (x_i^0 X_i + y_i^0 Y_i) + x_{n+1}^0 X_{n+1}$ . The Hamiltonian system above on the coadjoint orbit  $\mathcal{M}_1$  written in coordinates is clearly equivalent to (23).

The trajectories on  $\mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ ,  $x = \sum_i (x_i(t)X_i + y_i(t)Y_i) + x_{n+1}X_{n+1}$  are parametrized by

$$\begin{aligned} x_i(t) &= x_i^0 \cosh(x_{n+1}^0 t) + y_i^0 \sinh(x_{n+1}^0 t) \\ y_i(t) &= x_i^0 \sinh(x_{n+1}^0 t) + y_i^0 \cosh(x_{n+1}^0 t) \\ x_{n+1}(t) &= x_{n+1}^0 \end{aligned}$$

The flow at the point  $X^0 \in \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$  is

$$(25) \quad \Delta^t(X^0) = \sum_i [(x_i^0 \cosh(x_{n+1}^0 t) - y_i^0 \sinh(x_{n+1}^0 t)X_i + (x_i^0 \sinh(x_{n+1}^0 t) + y_i^0 \cosh(x_{n+1}^0 t)Y_i)] + x_{n+1}^0 X_{n+1}$$

The system (24) is a Lax pair equation  $L' = [M, L] = ML - LM$ , and has a matricial representation by choosing  $L$  and  $M$  the following matrices in  $M(2n+2, \mathbb{R})$ :

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\ x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n+1} & & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ & & & & & 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & & & & & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & & & & & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & & & & & x_1 \\ x_{n+1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & & & & & y_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & x_{n+1} & & & & & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & x_{n+1} & 0 & & & & & y_2 \\ & & & & \ddots & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & x_{n+1} & 0 & x_n \\ & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ & & & & & & & x_{n+1} & 0 & y_n \\ -\frac{1}{2}y_1 & \frac{1}{2}x_1 & -\frac{1}{2}y_2 & \frac{1}{2}x_2 & \dots & -\frac{1}{2}y_n & \frac{1}{2}x_n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Now we shall investigate involution conditions on the coadjoint orbits of the Heisenberg Lie group for the restrictions of the quadratic functions  $f(X) = \frac{1}{2}\langle X, X \rangle$ , where  $\langle , \rangle$  denotes the ad-invariant metric on the solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $g_i, g_j$  be two quadratics on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  that are related to the symmetric maps  $A_i, A_j : \mathfrak{v} \rightarrow \mathfrak{v}$  respectively, that is

$$g_i(X) = \frac{1}{2}(A_i X, X) \quad g_j(X) = \frac{1}{2}(A_j X, X).$$

Consider quadratic functions on the solvable Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which are extensions of  $g_i, g_j$  to  $\mathbb{R}X_0 \oplus \mathbb{R}X_{n+1}$ , for instance as

$$g_i(X) = \frac{1}{2}(A_i X_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_{\mathfrak{v}}) + x_0 x_{n+1} \quad g_j(X) = \frac{1}{2}(A_j X_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_{\mathfrak{v}}) + x_0 x_{n+1}.$$

For the following results these extensions are not unique. For instance extending them trivially we get the same conclusions.

Let  $H_i, H_j$  denote the restrictions of  $g_i, g_j$  to the orbits  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$  and let  $X \in \mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ . The symplectic structure on the orbits induces a Poisson bracket which for the functions  $H_i, H_j$  follows:

$$\{H_i, H_j\}(X) = \langle X, [\nabla g_{i-}(X), \nabla g_{j-}(X)] \rangle$$

By computing one can see that the gradients of  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  are

$$\nabla g_i(X) = A^{-1}A_i X_{\mathfrak{v}} + x_0 X_0 + x_{n+1} X_{n+1} \quad \nabla g_j(X) = A^{-1}A_j X_{\mathfrak{v}} + x_0 X_0 + x_{n+1} X_{n+1}.$$

Thus we are ready to prove the following result.

**Theorem 5.3** ([O2]). *The functions  $H_i, H_j$  are in involution on the orbits  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$  if and only if*

$$(26) \quad [JA_i, JA_j] = 0$$

where  $J$  is the canonical complex structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X \in \mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}} \subset \mathfrak{g}_+^\perp$ . For the functions  $H_i, H_j$  the Poisson bracket on the orbit  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$  follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \{H_i, H_j\}(X) &= \langle X, [A_i X_{\mathfrak{v}}, A_j X_{\mathfrak{v}}] \rangle = \langle x_{n+1}[X_{n+1}, A^{-1}A_i X_{\mathfrak{v}}], A^{-1}A_j X_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \\ &= x_{n+1} \langle JA_i X_{\mathfrak{v}}, A^{-1}A_j X_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle = x_{n+1} \langle JA_i X_{\mathfrak{v}}, A_j X_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\{H_i, H_j\}(X) = 0$  if and only if  $\langle A_j JA_i X_{\mathfrak{v}}, X_{\mathfrak{v}} \rangle = 0$  which is equivalent to  $A_j JA_i = A_i JA_j$ , if and only if  $JA_j JA_i = JA_i JA_j$ , that is  $[JA_i, JA_j] = 0$ .  $\square$

The natural question is what is the meaning of (26)?

Fix  $\langle, \rangle'$  the inner product on  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  defined so that the vectors  $X_i, Y_j, X_0$  are orthonormal for all  $i, j=1, \dots, n$ . The metric is an extension of the canonical one on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . The Lie bracket on  $\mathfrak{h}_n = \mathbb{R}X_0 \oplus \mathfrak{v}$  where  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \simeq \mathfrak{v} = \text{span}\{X_i, Y_j\}_{i,j=1, \dots, n}$  is expressed as

$$\langle [X, Y], x_0 X_0 \rangle' = x_0 \langle JX, Y \rangle' \quad \text{with } J \text{ as in (3)}$$

and note that  $\langle, \rangle'_{|\mathfrak{v} \times \mathfrak{v}} = \langle, \rangle$ . A derivation  $D$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  acting trivially on the center must satisfy  $[DU, V] = -[U, DV]$  for all  $U, V \in \mathfrak{v}$ . Equivalently in terms of  $\langle, \rangle'$ , we have that a map  $D$  in  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  is a derivation acting trivially on the center of  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  if and only if the restriction of  $D$  to  $\mathfrak{v}$  (denoted also  $D$ ) satisfies

$$(JDU, V) = -(JU, DV) \quad \text{for all } U, V \in \mathfrak{v},$$

where we replaced  $\langle, \rangle'_\mathfrak{v}$  by  $\langle, \rangle$  since they coincide on  $\mathfrak{v} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{d}$  the set of derivations on  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  acting trivially on the center of  $\mathfrak{h}_n$ .

**Theorem 5.4.** *There is a bijection between the set of derivations of  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  acting trivially on the center and the set  $\mathfrak{so}(n)$  of symmetric linear maps on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ . This correspondence is given by  $D \in \mathfrak{d} \rightarrow JD \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ , where  $J$  is the complex structure as in (3).*

**Corollary 5.5.** *If there exists an  $n$ -dimensional abelian subalgebra on  $z(JA)_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , where*

$$z(JA)_{\mathfrak{d}} = \{D \in \mathfrak{d} \text{ such that } [D, JA] = 0\}$$

*then the Hamiltonian function  $H$  restriction of the function  $f(X) = \frac{1}{2}(AX, X)$  is completely integrable on the orbits  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$  for  $x_{n+1} \neq 0$ .*

*Proof.* The previous theorem says that the restrictions to the orbit  $\mathcal{M}_{x_{n+1}}$  of the functions  $g_i, g_j$  are in involution if their corresponding derivations commute in  $\mathfrak{d}$ . In particular for  $g_i$  and  $f$ , we have that  $H$  and  $H_i$  Poisson commute on the orbit if and only if  $JA_i$  belongs to the centralizer of  $JA$  in  $\mathfrak{d}$ ,  $z(JA)_{\mathfrak{d}}$ . Since the complete integrability requires of  $n$  linearly independent functions, this can be done with a basis of an  $n$ -dimensional abelian subalgebra of  $z(JA)_{\mathfrak{d}}$ , finishing the proof.  $\square$

A linear map  $t$  is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{h}_n$  acting trivially on the center  $\mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{h}_n)$  if and only if  $Jt + t^*J = 0$ , if and only if  $t \in \mathfrak{sp}(n)$ . The derivations of nilpotent Lie algebras of H-type were computed in ([Sa]).

In the case of the motion of  $n$ -uncoupled harmonic oscillators, we can see that the corresponding derivation is an element of a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{sp}(n)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [AM] ABRAHAM, R., MARSDEN, J., *Foundations of Mechanics*, Second edition. The Benjamin Cummings publishing company, (1985).
- [Ad1] ADLER, M., A new integrable system and a conjecture by Calogero, , , (1975).
- [Ad2] ADLER, M., On a trace for formal pseudodifferential operators and the symplectic structure for the KdV type equations, *Invent. Math.*, **50**, 219-248, (1979).
- [Ar] ARNOLD, V. I., *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Springer Verlag, (1980).
- [CdS] CANNAS DA SILVA, A., *Lectures on symplectic geometry*, Lecture notes in Math. **1764**, Springer Verlag, (2001).
- [F-S] FAVRE, G., SANTHAROUBANE L.J., *Symmetric, invariant, non-degenerate bilinear form on a Lie algebra*, *J. Algebra* **105**, 451-464 (1987).
- [F] FOLLAND, G., *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, *Annals of Math. Studies*, **122**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (1989).
- [F-M1] A. FOMENKO, A. MISCHEENKO, *Euler equations on finite-dimensional Lie groups*, *Izv. Akad.Nauk SSSR Ser Mat.* **42**, (1978), 396-415 [rus]; english: *Math. USSR Izv.* **12**, (1978), 371 -389.
- [F-M2] FOMENKO, A., MISCHEENKO, A., Generalized Liouville method of integration of Hamiltonian systems, *Funct. Anal. and its Applic.*, **12**, 113 -121, (1978).
- [F-T] FOMENKO, A., TROFIMOV, V., *Integrable systems in Lie algebras and symmetric spaces*, Gordon and Breach Sc. Publ., (1988).
- [G] GUEST, M., *Harmonic Maps, Loop Groups and Integrable Systems*. (London Math. Soc. Student Texts; 38). New York: Cambridge University Press (1997).
- [G-S] GUILLEMIN, V., STERNBERG, S., *Symplectic techniques in physics*. Cambridge New York Port Chester Melbourne Sydney: Cambridge University Press (1991).
- [Ka] KAC V., *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1985.
- [K1] KIRILLOV, A. A., *Elements of the theory of representations*, Springer-Verlag, (1976).
- [K2] KIRILLOV, A. A., *Merits and demerits of the orbit method*, *BULL. AMS (N.S.)*, **36** 4, 433-488, (1999).
- [Ki1] KISIL, V. V., *Plain mechanics: classical and quantum*, *J. Natur. Geom.*, **9** 1, 1-14, (1996).
- [Ki2] KISIL, V. V., *p-mechanics as a physical theory: an introduction*, *J. Physics*, **37** 1, 183-204, (2004) (arXiv:quant-ph/0212101).

- [Ko1] KOSTANT, B., Quantization and Representation Theory, in: *Representation Theory of Lie groups, Proc. SRC/LMS Res. Symp., Oxford 1977. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series*, **34**, 287-316, (1979).
- [Ko2] KOSTANT, B., The solution to a generalized Toda lattice and representation theory, *Advances in Math.*, **39**, 195 - 338, (1979).
- [L-M] LIBERMANN, P., MARLE C.M. , *Symplectic Geometry and Analytical Mechanics*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1987.
- [M-R] MEDINA, A., REVOY, PH., Algèbres de Lie et produit scalaire invariant, *Ann. scient. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 4<sup>e</sup> série, **t. 18**, 391 - 404, (1985).
- [O-P] OLSHANETSKY, M.A., PERELOMOV, A.M., *Completely integrable hamiltonain systems connected with semisimple Lie algebras*, *Inventiones math.* **37**, 93-108 (1976).
- [O1] OVANDO, G., Estructuras complejas y sistemas hamiltonianos en grupos de Lie solubles, Tesis Doctoral, Fa.M.A.F. Univ. Nac. de Córdoba,( Marzo 2002).
- [O2] OVANDO, G., *Small oscillations and the Heisenberg Lie algebra*, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40**, 2407-2424 (2007).
- [P] A. PERELOMOV, *Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie Algebras*, vol. I, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel - Boston - Berlin, (1990).
- [Ra] RAGHUNATHAN, M., *Discrete subgroups of Lie groups*, Springer, New York,(1972).
- [R1] RATIU, T., *Involution theorems*, *Geometric methods in Math. Phys.*, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, **775**, Proceedings, Lowell, Massachusetts 1979, Springer Verlag, (1980).
- [R2] RATIU, T., The motion of the free n-dimensional rigid body, *Indiana Univ. Math. Journal*, **29**, 609 - 629, (1980).
- [Sa] SAAL, L. The automorphism group of a Lie algebra of Heisenberg type *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino*, **54** 2, (1996).
- [Sy] SYMES, W., Systems of Toda type, inverse spectral problems and representation theory, *Invent. Math.*, **59**, 13 - 53, (1978).
- [Va] VARADARAJAN, V., *Lie groups, Lie algebras and their representations*, Springer, (1984).
- [Wa] F. WARNER, *Fundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*, Springer Verlag, New York (1983).
- [W] WOODHOUSE, N. M., *Geometric quantization*, *Oxford Math. Monographs*, The Clarendon Press Oxford Univ. Press, New York 1992, Oxford Science Publication.

G. OVANDO: CONICET Y ECEN-FCEIA, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE ROSARIO, PELLEGRINI 250, 2000 ROSARIO, SANTA FE, ARGENTINA

*E-mail address:* [ovando@mate.uncor.edu](mailto:ovando@mate.uncor.edu)