

# A unique non-Landau/Fermi liquid in $2d$ for high $T_c$ superconductivity

Eliot Kapit and André LeClair

Newman Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY

(Dated: May 2008)

It is shown that the main features of the high  $T_c$  phase diagram can be calculated as a function of doping in a simple, essentially unique non-Landau/Fermi liquid in  $2d$  with quartic interactions. This depends on a single parameter  $0 < \gamma < 1$  which encodes the strength of the interaction at short distances. A new d-wave gap equation has solutions that fall under a superconducting dome, which terminates at the renormalization group fixed point. Optimal doping is estimated to occur just below  $3/2\pi^2$ . The scale for  $T_c$  is set by the recently measured universal nodal Fermi velocity and lattice spacing, and is estimated to be  $120K < T_c < 160K$  for LaSrCuO.

There remain many fundamental unanswered questions in the theory of high  $T_c$  superconductivity in the cuprates. How can one theory interpolate between anti-ferromagnetic (AF) and superconducting (SC) order, since AF requires repulsive interactions and SC attractive? What is the precise mechanism that gives d-wave pairing? What is the nature of the pseudogap? The most important guide in tackling these problems is the non-Fermi liquid behavior, as emphasized early on by Anderson[1]. The reason is that if one insists on a local quantum field theory description in the rotationally invariant long wavelength limit, relevant interactions which lead to a low energy fixed point are exceedingly constrained and in fact rare. In this paper we describe an essentially unique non-Fermi liquid theory in  $2d$  based on 4-fermion interactions which automatically has  $SO(5)$  symmetry. It thus gives a microscopic model where the ideas of Zhang may be explored[2]. The requirements of a consistent local effective field theory for expansion around the Fermi surface and relevance of quartic interactions make this theory inevitable. This model was proposed earlier in some preliminary work by one of us[3, 4] which focused on AF order; at the time the d-wave SC properties were not understood. The model is in line with ideas that emphasize the rôle of the Hubbard and Heisenberg models[5]. However our model cannot be simply derived by a naive scaling limit of the Hubbard model since the latter only has at most  $SO(4)$  symmetry[6]. Once the theory is formulated, the main properties of high  $T_c$  follow naturally in an analysis involving only simple 1-loop calculations, which are accurate since at the fixed point the coupling is small  $\approx \frac{1}{8}$ . Our model reveals that high  $T_c$  SC may be a remarkably universal phenomenon that manages to realize some subtle theoretical loopholes, and its main properties follow from the existence of the low energy renormalization group (RG) fixed point. Such quantum critical points were emphasized by Vojta and Sachdev[7]. We begin by motivating our model with RG arguments and derivations of it in two limits. The rest of the paper explains how we *calculated* the phase diagram shown in Figure 1.

Consider free non-relativistic particles with energy  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$  that is rotationally invariant, e.g.  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = \mathbf{k}^2/2m_*$ .




FIG. 1: Calculated phase diagram as a function of hole doping based on a single parameter  $0 < \gamma < 1$ , set equal to 1 (infinitely strong coupling at short distances). What is shown are solutions  $\delta'_s, \delta'_q$  of the AF and d-wave gap equations below, which are proportional to the critical temperature.  $T_{pg}$  is simply the RG scale. The AF transition point at  $h_{AF} = \frac{3}{4\pi^2}$  is first order. The SC transition at  $h_* = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}$  is second-order and corresponds to the fixed point of the renormalization group.  $h_1 = h(x_1) \approx 0.13$  is not universal.

At finite density the Fermi surface is a circle in  $2d$  as shown in Figure 2. For a small band of energies near the Fermi surface,  $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{k}_F(\mathbf{k}) + \mathbf{p}(\mathbf{k})$  as shown and the particles have a linear dispersion relation  $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) \approx \varepsilon_F \pm v_F|\mathbf{p}|$ . Let  $a_{\mathbf{p}}$  correspond to particles and  $b_{\mathbf{p}}$  to holes. Then the effective hamiltonian is

$$H = \int_{|\mathbf{p}| < \Lambda_c} (d^2\mathbf{p}) [(v_F|\mathbf{p}| - \hat{\mu})a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + (v_F|\mathbf{p}| + \hat{\mu})b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}}] \quad (1)$$

where  $\hat{\mu} = \mu - \varepsilon_F$  is zero at zero temperature.

Our expansion around the Fermi surface is in the same spirit as in[8], but we now depart from it by demanding a consistent local effective quantum field theory that reproduces the above  $H$ . Since the energy corresponds to massless particles with a linear dispersion relation, we identify an emergent Lorentz symmetry and describe  $H$  using a relativistic field theory. There are only 2 known candidates which differ in whether the lagrangian is first or second order in derivatives. The first order case requires a multi-component Dirac field, and since here a 4-fermion interaction is an irrelevant dimension 4 operator




FIG. 2: Expansion around a circular Fermi Surface. The diamond corresponds to a half-filled lattice.

in  $2d$ , it cannot lead to a non-Fermi liquid. Furthermore, Dirac fermions usually require additional properties of the Fermi surface (Dirac points) as in graphene, where the multi-components have to do with sub-lattices.

The other possibility is second-order in both space and time derivatives with action

$$S = \int dt d^2\mathbf{x} \left( \partial_t \chi^- \partial_t \chi^+ - v_F^2 \vec{\nabla} \chi^- \cdot \vec{\nabla} \chi^+ \right) \quad (2)$$

The Fermi velocity plays the role of the speed of light which just serves to convert units of space and time so it can be set equal to 1. This form for a fermionic field is very unconventional, and to a particle physicist it appears to violate the spin-statistics theorem. Since the above kinetic term is crucial to all that follows, we give the following compelling arguments in favor of it: (i) It correctly reproduces the desired effective hamiltonian (1) for particles and holes near the Fermi surface. (See below.) Thus the free theory is perfectly hermitian and unitary in momentum space, i.e. has no negative norm states. (ii) In the condensed matter context, spin is a flavor and for spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particles we simply double the number of components  $\chi_\uparrow^\pm, \chi_\downarrow^\pm$ . Since there is a total of 4 fields, by Fermi statistics there is a unique 4-fermion interaction with hamiltonian density

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = 8\pi^2 g \chi_\uparrow^- \chi_\uparrow^+ \chi_\downarrow^- \chi_\downarrow^+ \quad (3)$$

Repulsive interactions correspond to positive  $g$ . Since the field has classical scaling dimension  $\frac{1}{2}$  in  $2d$ , the above operator has dimension 2 and is therefore relevant. At low energies the coupling flows to an interacting fixed point with non-Fermi liquid behavior. (iii) Although our model was originally motivated by expanding near a circular Fermi surface, it can also be obtained from interacting itinerant lattice fermion models like the Hubbard model at half-filling, thus it can interpolate between the two Fermi surfaces shown in Figure 2. At strong coupling the latter is known to correspond to the Heisenberg anti-ferromagnet, with a low energy description in terms of an  $O(3)$  non-linear sigma model for a field  $\vec{\phi}$  constrained

to have fixed length with lagrangian  $\mathcal{L} = \partial_\mu \vec{\phi} \cdot \partial^\mu \vec{\phi}$  [9, 10]. In our model the  $\vec{\phi}$  order parameter is  $\vec{\phi} = \chi^- \vec{\sigma} \chi^+ / \sqrt{2}$  (see below) and the constraint on  $\vec{\phi}$  follows from the simple constraint  $\chi^- \chi^+$  equal to a constant. Inserting this into the  $\vec{\phi}$  action one obtains the second order action (2) up to some irrelevant operators. This was pointed out in [3] and explained in more detail below in connection with doping. There is no similar construction for Dirac fermions.

There is no violation of the spin-statistics connection since spin is a flavor in this context and the Pauli-exclusion principle is built in from the fermionic nature of the  $\chi$ -fields. The issue rather has to do with unitarity. The mode expansion of the fields is

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^-(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{(d^2\mathbf{p})}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{-ip \cdot x} + b_{\mathbf{p}} e^{ip \cdot x}) \\ \chi^+(\mathbf{x}, t) &= \int \frac{(d^2\mathbf{p})}{\sqrt{2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}}} (-b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{-ip \cdot x} + a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{ip \cdot x}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\omega_{\mathbf{p}} = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2}$  and  $p \cdot x \equiv \omega_{\mathbf{p}} t - \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ . The additional minus sign in the expansion of  $\chi^+$  is chosen so that the canonical quantization relations of the fields leads to the usual canonical relations in momentum space for the  $a$  and  $b$ 's. The canonical hamiltonian of the theory is precisely (1). Introduce a unitary operator  $C$  that distinguishes particles and holes:  $CaC = a$ ,  $CbC = -b$  where  $C^2 = 1$ . Then  $\chi^+ = C(\chi^-)^\dagger C$  and in terms of fields the hamiltonian is pseudo-hermitian:  $H^\dagger = CHC$  [4]. It was understood long ago by Pauli that a pseudo-hermitian hamiltonian gives a consistent quantum mechanics with a unitary time evolution and real eigenvalues. In the present context, pseudo-hermiticity has additional meaning with regard to the kinematics of the expansion around the Fermi surface since  $C$  distinguishes particles and holes. Conservation of the physical momentum  $\mathbf{k}$  is only equivalent to conservation of  $\mathbf{p}$  for processes where particles are paired with particles and holes with holes. For the study of SC, these are of course the processes we are primarily concerned with. We are thus only interested in eigenstates which are also eigenstates of  $C$ , and for these  $H = H^\dagger$ .

The  $SO(5)$  symmetry is easiest to see if one introduces an  $N$ -component version with fields  $\chi_\alpha^\pm$ ,  $\alpha = 1, \dots, N$ , which has  $Sp(2N)$  symmetry [3]. For spin  $\frac{1}{2}$  particles,  $N = 2$  and  $Sp(4) = SO(5)$ . The  $SO(5)$  has an  $SU(2)$  spin subgroup and a  $U(1)$  charge that commutes with it. The  $\pm$  indices on the fields  $\chi^\pm$  correspond to electric charge. One can construct an  $SO(5)$  vector of order parameters  $\vec{\Phi} = (\phi_x, \phi_y, \phi_z, \phi_e^+, \phi_e^-)$  where  $\vec{\phi}$  is an electrically neutral  $SU(2)$  vector and  $\phi_e^\pm$  are Cooper pair fields of charge  $\pm 2$  which are  $SU(2)$  spin singlets:

$$\vec{\phi} = \chi^- \vec{\sigma} \chi^+ / \sqrt{2}, \quad \phi_e^+ = \chi_\uparrow^+ \chi_\downarrow^+, \quad \phi_e^- = \chi_\downarrow^- \chi_\uparrow^- \quad (5)$$

The interaction can be written in a manifestly  $SO(5)$  invariant fashion:  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = -\frac{8\pi^2 g}{5} \vec{\Phi} \cdot \vec{\Phi}$ .

It is important to carry out the RG directly in  $2d$ . As usual, the RG prescription involves two energy scales, the cut-off  $\Lambda_c$  and a lower running scale  $\Lambda$ . In many calculations  $\Lambda$  is a lower cut-off. Since the coupling  $g$  has units of energy in  $2d$ , we define  $g(\Lambda) = \Lambda \hat{g}(\Lambda)$  where  $\hat{g}$  is dimensionless. The 1-loop beta function is  $-\frac{d\hat{g}}{\log \Lambda} = \hat{g} - 8\hat{g}^2$  which has a low energy fixed point at  $\hat{g}_* = 1/8$ . To understand the phase diagram as a function of doping, it is first convenient to introduce the variable  $x = 1/\hat{g}$  where the fixed point value is at  $x_* = 8$ . We also introduce a variable  $x_0$  that encodes the strength of the coupling at the cut-off:  $x_0 = 1/\hat{g}_0$  where  $g(\Lambda_c) = \Lambda_c \hat{g}_0$ . We assume that at short distances the coupling is strong, i.e.  $g > g_*$ . It will also be useful to define  $\gamma = (x_* - x_0)/x_*$  which is a small parameter between 0 and 1. The coupling at short distances can be arbitrarily strong, where infinite coupling corresponds to  $\gamma = 1$ . Integrating the beta-function with this initial short-distance data gives a linear form that is specific to  $2d$

$$T_{pg} \equiv \frac{\Lambda}{\Lambda_c} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \left( \frac{x}{x_*} - 1 \right) \quad (6)$$

and turns out to be important in connection with hole doping, which we now turn to.

In the non-linear sigma-model description at half-filling, the order parameter  $\vec{\phi}$  is constrained to have fixed length. As explained above this constraint follows from a constraint on the  $\chi$  fields:  $\chi^- \chi^+ + \chi^- \chi^+ = ih\Lambda_c$ . Then one can show  $\vec{\phi} \cdot \vec{\phi} = 3h^2\Lambda_c^2/2$ . Relaxing this constraint moves away from half-filling. Thus a measure of hole doping is the 1-point function  $h = -i\langle \chi^- \chi^+ \rangle / \Lambda_c$ . The overall scale of  $h$  as a doping variable can be justified by reintroducing the chemical potential and noting that near the Fermi surface it couples to the above operator. Including the 1-loop order  $g$  self-energy correction to the propagator and expressing everything in terms of the  $x$  variables one obtains

$$h(x) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \left( \frac{x - x_0}{x_* - x_0} \right) \left[ 1 + \frac{4}{x} \left( \frac{x - x_0}{x_* - x_0} \right) \right] \quad (7)$$

In Figure 1 the straight line is a plot of  $\Lambda/\Lambda_c$  in eq. (6) as a function of the above  $h$  for  $x_0 = 0$ , i.e.  $\gamma = 1$ . (For  $\gamma \neq 1$  it is not exactly a straight line.) It crosses the  $h$  axis at  $h_* = 3/2\pi^2$ , which is the location of the RG fixed point. Below this line, the energy scale is such that electrons described by our  $\chi$  fields are strongly correlated and exhibit non-Fermi liquid behavior since the fixed point is not a free field theory. Only  $\langle \chi^- \chi^+ \rangle \neq 0$  and no symmetries are broken at this line. The region below is what is normally called the pseudogap. The scale  $\Lambda$  can be associated with a pseudogap temperature  $T_{pg}$ .

The AF phase can be analyzed by a standard mean field analysis. One introduces an auxiliary field  $\vec{s}$  for the order parameter  $\vec{\phi}$  and derives the effective potential for constant  $\vec{s}$  by performing the functional integral over the

$\chi$  fields. Minimizing this effective potential with respect to  $\vec{s}$  gives the gap equation

$$\vec{s} = -16\pi^2 g \int_0^{\Lambda_c} \frac{d\omega d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\vec{s}}{(\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}^2)^2 - \vec{s}^2} \quad (8)$$

For positive  $g$ , there are solutions due to the compensating minus signs. Since  $s$  has dimension 2, define  $s = \delta_s^2 \Lambda_c^2$ . Then  $\delta_s$  is a solution to the equation

$$\frac{\Lambda_c}{g} = \frac{4}{\delta_s} \left( \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{\delta_s + 1}{\delta_s - 1} \right) - \tan^{-1} 1/\delta_s \right) \quad (9)$$

When  $g$  is small enough, the solution flattens out with  $\delta_s \approx 1^+$ . This behavior is unphysical since the gap should be zero when  $g$  is zero. The resolution of this puzzle involves regulating the infra-red divergence with the low energy cut-off  $\Lambda$  and interpreting the result with the RG. Setting  $s = 0$ , the gap equation can be approximately re-expressed as  $1/g(\Lambda) = 8/\Lambda_c$ . This shows that at  $g = \Lambda_c/8$ , a consistent non-trivial solution is  $s = 0$ . We interpret this as a first-order transition where  $\delta_s$  drops discontinuously to zero. In terms of  $x$  this occurs at  $x_{AF} = \frac{x_*}{1+\gamma}$ . Since  $\delta_s$  is in units of the cut-off it is meaningful to rescale it and define  $\delta'_s = \frac{\Lambda_c}{\Lambda_c} \delta_s$ , where the scale factor is given in terms of  $x$  in (6). In Figure 1 we show the solutions to the gap equation as a function of doping  $h$ . The Néel temperature  $T_N$  is proportional to the zero temperature gap as we will describe below. The AF transition occurs at  $h_{AF} = h(x_{AF}) = 3/4\pi^2$  when  $\gamma = 1$ .

Introducing constant auxiliary fields  $q^\pm$  for the SC order parameters  $\phi_e^\pm$  and repeating the above mean field analysis gives a gap equation for  $q^2 = q^+ q^-$  that has the same form as (8) with  $s^2 \rightarrow -q^2$ . This leads to the expected result: for repulsive interactions (positive  $g$ ) there are no solutions, i.e. no s-wave SC. This shows that the AF and SC phases do not compete, and the SC phase is not simply related to the AF one by the  $SO(5)$  symmetry. When one goes beyond mean field and incorporates momentum dependent scattering of Cooper pairs near the Fermi surface, an attractive d-wave channel opens up. Introducing non-constant auxiliary pair fields, one can derive the momentum dependent gap equation

$$q(\mathbf{k}) = - \int \frac{d\omega d^2\mathbf{k}'}{(2\pi)^3} G(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') \frac{q(\mathbf{k}')}{(\omega^2 + \mathbf{k}'^2)^2 + q(\mathbf{k}')^2} \quad (10)$$

where  $q^+ = q^-$  up to a phase. The kernel  $G$  is related to a particular 4-particle Green function specialized to Cooper pairs of opposite momenta  $\pm\mathbf{k}$  and  $\pm\mathbf{k}'$ . In a rotationally invariant theory one can expand in circular harmonics:

$$G(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}') = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} G_\ell(k, k') \cos \ell(\theta - \theta')$$

$$q(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} q_\ell(k) \cos \ell\theta \quad (11)$$

where  $k$  is the magnitude of  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\theta - \theta'$  is the angle between  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $\mathbf{k}'$ . Performing a low energy momentum expansion at 1-loop one finds an attractive  $\ell = 2$  channel:  $G_2(k, k') = -8\pi^2 g_2 k^2 k'^2$  where  $g_2 = 4\hat{g}^2/25\Lambda^3$ . The solution to the gap equation has the characteristic d-wave form

$$q(\mathbf{k}) = \delta_q^2 k^2 \cos 2\theta = \delta_q^2 (k_x^2 - k_y^2) \quad (12)$$

where  $\delta_q$  is a constant solution to the integral equation

$$\delta_q^4 = 2g_2 \int_0^{\Lambda_c} d\omega dk^2 \left( 1 - \frac{\omega^2 + k^2}{\sqrt{(\omega^2 + k^2)^2 + \delta_q^4 k^4}} \right) \quad (13)$$

To plot the gap as a function of doping one needs to express the constant  $g_2$  in terms of  $x$ :  $g_2 = \frac{1}{\Lambda_c^3} \frac{4\gamma^3}{25x^2(1-x/x_*)^3}$ , and one sees that it changes sign at  $x = x_*$ , which implies that the SC phase terminates at the RG fixed point. The other important feature of the above gap equation for  $\delta_q$  is that SC turns off at a lower, non-universal value of  $x_1 \approx (1 - 0.1\gamma)x_*$ . Thus the non-zero solutions of the d-wave gap equation fall under a dome  $x_1 < x < x_*$ . Comparison with experiments shows that this dome as calculated is too narrow; however there are many effects we have neglected that could broaden it, e.g. interplane coupling, disorder, or higher order corrections. Numerical study of solutions of (13) indicates that optimal doping occurs in the tight range between 0.13 and 0.15 where the upper bound is simply  $h_*$ . Solutions to the d-wave gap equation  $\delta_q' = \Lambda\delta_q/\Lambda_c$  are shown in Figure 1.

As in the BCS theory, one expects that the critical temperature  $T_c$  is proportional to the zero temperature gap. Rather than developing a full-blown finite temperature formalism, one can study the effect of a small finite temperature by introducing a relativistic mass term in the lagrangian  $-m^2\chi^-\chi^+$  where  $m = \alpha T$  with  $\alpha$  a dimensionless constant. The justification for this is that in the free theory this thermal perturbation reproduces the correct electronic specific heat  $C_V \propto Tk_F/v_F$ . Requiring the overall coefficient to match the standard non-interacting result extended to  $2d$  fixes  $\alpha = \pi^{5/4}/\sqrt{6} \approx 1.7$ . We have verified numerically that the solutions of the AF and d-wave gap equations with this additional mass term,  $\omega^2 \rightarrow \omega^2 + m^2$ , vanish when  $m$  is too large, and the critical value  $m_c = \alpha T_c$  is indeed proportional to the zero temperature gap up to constants of order 1 that depend weakly on  $\gamma$ . Restoring fundamental constants and the Fermi velocity  $v_F$  one then finds  $k_B T_c = \frac{c}{\alpha} v_F \hbar \delta_q' \Lambda_c$ . At optimal doping the constant  $c$  is in the range  $.5 < c < .7$  depending on  $\gamma$  and  $\delta_q' \approx 0.11$  is largely insensitive to  $\gamma$ . A similar formula applies to the Néel temperature  $T_N$  with  $c \approx 1$ . The Fermi velocity  $v_F$  in our relativistic model is a fixed constant that plays the rôle of the speed of light which is universal in the sense that it is independent of the coupling and thus the doping  $h$ .

Remarkably, at low energies a doping independent universal nodal Fermi velocity has been observed in recent years[11]. This can actually be viewed as a prediction of our theory, where the nodal direction  $\mathbf{k}$  from  $(0, 0)$  to  $(\pi, \pi)$  shown in Figure 2 is what is important since this is the direction where the half-filling diamond is closest to the nearly circular Fermi surface just inside it. Using the results in[11] we estimate  $v_F \approx 1.4ev\hat{A} = 210km/s$  for LaSrCuO. This leads to an estimate of  $T_c$  at optimal doping. The cut-off  $\Lambda_c$  should be equated with the inverse lattice spacing  $a$ . A practical form is

$$T_c = c \frac{v_F}{a} \cdot 650K \quad (14)$$

where  $v_F$  is in  $ev\hat{A}$  and the lattice spacing in  $\hat{A}$  and we have set  $\alpha$  to its estimated value of 1.7. With the above  $v_F$  and  $a = 3.8\hat{A}$  for the CuO square lattice, this gives  $T_c$  in the range  $120K < T_c < 160K$  depending on  $\gamma$ . The maximum  $T_c$  occurs around  $\gamma = 1/4$ . The simple result (14) provides some insights on how to possibly obtain a higher  $T_c$ : shorten the lattice spacing or increase the Fermi velocity by possibly reducing the effective electron mass  $m_*$ .

Many more properties can be calculated, such as anomalous corrections to the specific heat, a resistivity linear in temperature, and electron correlation functions in the pseudogap region. These additional results and a more detailed exposition will be presented in the comprehensive article[12].

We would like to thank Seamus Davis, Kyle Shen and Henry Tye for discussions. This work is supported by the National Science Foundation.

- 
- [1] P. W. Anderson, Science **256** (1992) 1526.
  - [2] S. C. Zhang, Science **275** (1997) 1089.
  - [3] A. LeClair, arXiv:cond-mat/0610639, arXiv:cond-mat/0610816.
  - [4] A. LeClair and M. Neubert, JHEP **10** (2007) 027.
  - [5] G. Baskaran, Z. Zou and P. W. Anderson, Sol. State. Commun. **63** (1987) 973.
  - [6] C. N. Yang and S. C. Zhang, Mod. Phys. Lett. **B4** (1990) 759.
  - [7] M. Vojta and S. Sachdev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **83** (1999) 3916.
  - [8] G. Benfatto and G. Gallavotti, J. Stat. Phys. **59** (1990) 54; R. Shankar, Rev. Mod. Phys. **66** (1994) 129; J. Polchinski, [hep-th/9210046]; S. Weinberg, Nucl. Phys. **B413** (1994) 567.
  - [9] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Lett. **93A** (1983) 464; Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 1153.
  - [10] I. Affleck, Les Houches lectures 1988, North-Holland.
  - [11] X. J. Zhou et. al. Nature **423** (2003) 398.
  - [12] E. Kapit and A. LeClair, *A model of a 2d non-Fermi liquid with SO(5) symmetry, AF order, and a d-wave SC gap*, to appear.