

# THE SPACE OF SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES ON CLOSED 4-MANIFOLDS

TIAN-JUN LI

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional smooth manifold. A 2-form  $\omega$  on  $X$  is said to be non-degenerate if, for each  $q \in X$  and for each nonzero vector  $v$  in the tangent space  $T_q X$ , there is a tangent vector  $u \in T_q X$  such that  $\omega(u, v) \neq 0$ . A symplectic structure on  $X$  is a non-degenerate closed 2-form. The fundamental example of a symplectic structure is  $\omega_0 = \sum_i dx_i \wedge dy_i$  on  $\mathbf{R}^{2n} = \{(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)\}$ . In fact, by the Darboux Theorem, every symplectic structure is locally like  $(\mathbf{R}^{2n}, \omega_0)$ .

Symplectic structures first appeared in Hamiltonian mechanics. A Kähler form on a complex manifold is symplectic, thus we also find a rich source of symplectic manifolds in algebraic geometry. Thirty years ago people even wondered whether there are closed non-Kähler symplectic manifolds. We have now gradually realized that the world of Kähler manifolds only occupies a tiny part of the symplectic world (see [27], [66] and the references therein).

Two of the basic questions about symplectic structures are (see [59]): 1. Which smooth manifolds support symplectic structures? 2. How many symplectic structures, up to appropriate equivalence, are there on a given smooth manifold? In this survey we focus on the second question for closed smooth 4-manifolds (for the first question in dimension 4 see [41]).

In section 2 we review some fundamental facts about symplectic structures. In section 3 we survey what is known about the space of symplectic structures in dimension 4. In the case of  $b^+ = 1$  we have a rather good understanding. Especially, for a rational or ruled manifold, there is the deep uniqueness result that a symplectic form is determined by its cohomology class up to diffeomorphisms. We further give a simple description of the moduli spaces for such a manifold. We also point out various possible extensions to the case  $b^+ > 1$ . In section 4 we compare the space of symplectic forms and the space of Kähler manifolds on a manifold admitting a Kähler structure. As a by product we describe an example of non-holomorphic Lefschetz fibration on a Kähler surface.

We would like to thank P. Biran, J. Dorfmeister, R. Friedman and M. Usher for useful discussions. We are very grateful to the referee for reading it carefully and making many useful suggestions.

---

The author is supported in part by NSF grant 0435099 and the McKnight fellowship.

## 2. SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES

In this section  $X$  is a smooth, oriented, closed  $2n$ -dimensional manifold.

**2.1. Three spaces:**  $\Omega(X), \mathcal{M}_X, \bar{\Omega}(X)$ . It is easy to see that a 2-form  $\omega$  on  $X$  is non-degenerate if and only if  $\omega^n$  is a volume form on  $X$ . Thus a non-degenerate form on  $X$  determines an orientation of  $X$ . If this orientation agrees with the given one, we say that  $\omega$  is orientation-compatible.

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega(X)$  denote the space of orientation-compatible symplectic forms on  $X$ .*

$\Omega(X)$  is invariant under scaling by a positive scalar, i.e. if  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ , then  $\mathbb{R}^+\omega \subset \Omega(X)$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{R}^-\omega \subset \Omega(X)$  if  $n$  is even.

Since the non-degeneracy condition is an open condition and  $X$  is compact, we see that, for any  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$  and a sufficiently small closed 2-form  $\eta$ , the closed form  $\omega + \eta$  is still non-degenerate. Thus  $\Omega(X)$  is an open submanifold of the space of closed 2-forms. In fact, we have

**Lemma 2.2.** *For every  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ ,  $\Omega(X)$  contains a convex neighborhood of  $\omega$  in the space of closed 2-forms.*

*Proof.* Choose a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $X$  and use it to identify  $T^*X$  and  $TX$ . Now each closed 2-form  $\eta$  is viewed as an endomorphism  $L_\eta$  of the inner product bundle  $TX$  and  $\eta$  is symplectic if and only if  $L_\eta$  is an automorphism. Let  $\|L_\eta\|$  be the operator norm of  $L_\eta$ , which is defined by

$$\|L_\eta\| = \max_{|u|=1} |L_\eta(u)|.$$

Define also the radius of  $L_\eta$  to be

$$r(L_\eta) = \min_{|u|=1} |L_\eta(u)|.$$

Since  $X$  is compact both  $\|L_\eta\|$  and  $r(L_\eta)$  are finite. Moreover,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|L_{\eta_1+\eta_2}\| &\geq \|L_{\eta_1}\| - r(L_{\eta_2}), \\ r(L_{t_1\eta_1+t_2\eta_2}) &\leq r(L_{t_1\eta_1}) + r(L_{t_2\eta_2}) = t_1r(L_{\eta_1}) + t_2r(L_{\eta_2}), \end{aligned}$$

For each  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$  let  $U_\omega$  be the ball in the space of closed 2-forms,

$$U_\omega = \left\{ \omega + \eta \mid r(L_\eta) < \frac{\|L_\omega\|}{2} \right\}.$$

It follows from the second inequality of (1) that for  $\eta_1$  and  $\eta_2$  in  $U_\omega$ , we have  $r(L_{t\eta_1+(1-t)\eta_2}) < \frac{\|L_\omega\|}{2}$ . Hence  $U_\omega$  is convex, and by the first inequality of (1) we have  $U_\omega \subset \Omega(X)$ .  $\square$

Let  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$  be the group of orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of  $X$ . Then  $\Omega(X)$  is acted upon by  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** *The quotient space  $\mathcal{M}_X = \Omega(X)/\text{Diff}^+(X)$  is called the moduli space of symplectic structures on  $X$ . Let  $\bar{\Omega}(X)$  be the discrete set of connected components of  $\mathcal{M}_X$ .*

As  $\Omega(X)$  is an open subset of an infinite dimensional vector space, a connected component is also path connected. Thus  $\bar{\Omega}(X)$  is the same as the set of path connected components of  $\Omega(X)$  up to the action of  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ . In some sense, its cardinality is the number of symplectic structures on  $X$ .

Sometimes we will consider the reduced moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_X^r$ , which is the quotient of the moduli space by the  $\mathbb{R}^+$  action.

**2.2. Cohomological invariants of symplectic structures.** Since  $\omega$  is closed and  $\omega^n$  is a volume form,  $\omega$  represents a nonzero class in  $H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ . In particular, the second Betti number of  $X$  is nonzero. Moreover, in dimension 4, we must have  $b^+ \geq 1$  by the orientation-compatible assumption.

Recall that an almost complex structure on  $X$  is an endomorphism  $J$  on the tangent bundle  $TX$  such that  $J^2 = -1$ . And an almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be compatible with  $\omega$  if, for any  $q \in X$  and  $u, v \neq 0 \in T_q X$ ,  $\omega(Ju, Jv) = \omega(u, v)$  and  $\omega(u, Ju) > 0$ . The space of  $\omega$ -compatible almost complex structures is non-empty and contractible. Thus we can define the symplectic Chern classes  $c_i(X, \omega) = c_i(X, J)$ , where  $J$  is any  $\omega$ -compatible almost complex structures.

**Definition 2.4.**  $-c_1(X, \omega) \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is called the symplectic canonical class, and is denoted by  $K_\omega$ .

Thus there are two basic cohomological invariants of  $\omega$ :

$$\begin{aligned} s : \Omega(X) &\longrightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{R}), & \omega &\longrightarrow [\omega], \\ c : \Omega(X) &\longrightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{Z}), & \omega &\longrightarrow K_\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $s$  stands for symplectic and  $c$  stands for Chern.

**Definition 2.5.** The image  $s(\Omega(X)) \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$  is called the symplectic cone of  $X$ , and is denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_X$ . The image  $c(\Omega(X)) \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ .

Notice that  $\Omega(X), \mathcal{M}_X, \bar{\Omega}(X), \mathcal{C}_X, \mathcal{K}(X)$  are all differentiable invariants of  $X$ . Notice also that, if  $2n$  is divisible by 4 and  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , then  $-K$  is also in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ . This is because  $K_{-\omega} = -K_\omega$ , and, in such a dimension, if  $\omega$  is orientation-compatible then  $-\omega$  is also orientation-compatible.

For each  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_X$ , let  $\Omega_\alpha(X) = s^{-1}(\alpha)$  be the space of symplectic forms with cohomology class  $\alpha$ . For  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ,  $\Omega_\alpha(X)$  is in general not homotopic to  $\Omega_\beta(X)$ , so the map  $s : \Omega(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_X$  is not a fibration. Nevertheless, non-trivial topology of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  can sometimes be used to detect that of  $\Omega(X)$ . A typical situation is the following: suppose  $\omega_u$  is a family of symplectic forms parameterized by a sphere and the associated family  $[\omega_u]$  represents a nonzero element of the homotopy groups of  $\mathcal{C}_X$ , then so does  $\omega_u$ .

For each  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , let  $\Omega(X, K) = c^{-1}(K) = \{\omega \in \Omega(X) | K_\omega = K\}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{(X, K)} = s(\Omega(X, K))$ . Then  $\Omega(X)$  is partitioned into the disjoint union of  $\Omega(X, K)$  over  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , and likewise  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is the union of  $\mathcal{C}(X, K)$  over  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  (not necessarily disjoint).  $\mathcal{C}_{(X, K)}$  is called the  $K$ -symplectic cone of  $X$ . It is an analogue of the Kähler cones, but it is not clear that each of

its connected components is a convex cone (as the sum of two symplectic forms may not be symplectic), though it is a union of rays.

Let  $D(X)$  be the image of the group homomorphism

$$\mathrm{Diff}^+(X) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})), \quad \phi \longrightarrow \phi^*.$$

Since  $K_{\phi^*\omega} = \phi^*K_\omega$  for any  $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^+(X)$ ,  $D(X)$  acts on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , and we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Diff}^+(X) & \times & \Omega(X) & \longrightarrow & \Omega(X) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D(X) & & \times & \mathcal{K}(X) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{K}(X). \end{array}$$

**2.3. The equivalence relations.** There are several natural equivalence relations on  $\Omega(X)$ .

**Definition 2.6.** *Let  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  be in  $\Omega(X)$ . They are said to be*

- (1) *symplectomorphic if there exists a  $\phi$  in  $\mathrm{Diff}^+(X)$  such that  $\phi^*\omega_1 = \omega_0$ .*
- (2) *deformation equivalent if they can be joined by a smooth family of symplectic forms  $\omega_t$  on  $X$ .*
- (3) *isotopic, or strongly deformation equivalent, if they can be joined by a smooth family of cohomologous symplectic forms  $\omega_t$  on  $X$ .*
- (4) *strongly isotopic if there is a smooth isotopy  $\psi_t$  of  $X$  such that  $\psi_1^*\omega_1 = \omega_0$ .*<sup>1</sup>

Clearly, strongly isotopic forms are isotopic and symplectomorphic, and isotopic forms are cohomologous and deformation equivalent. In addition, deformation equivalent symplectic structures have the same symplectic canonical class. Thus, from the commutative diagram in the previous page, we have

**Lemma 2.7.** *If we let  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  denote the quotient of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  by  $D(X)$ , then  $\bar{\Omega}(X)$  maps onto  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ .*

The following Moser stability is a fundamental result.

**Theorem 2.8.** *If  $X$  is closed and  $\omega_t, t \in [0, 1]$ , is a smooth family of cohomologous symplectic forms on  $X$ , then there is a smooth isotopy  $\psi_t$  of  $X$  such that  $\psi_t^*\omega_t = \omega_0$ .*

It follows from the Moser stability that isotopic forms are also strongly isotopic when  $X$  is closed (this is definitely not the case when  $X$  is not closed). If we let  $\mathrm{Diff}_0(X)$  denote the group of diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity, then the Moser stability is equivalent to that  $\mathrm{Diff}_0(X)$  acts transitively on each connected component (same as path connected here) of

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we are following [59]. It also makes sense to call (3) weakly isotopic and (4) isotopic as suggested by the referee.

$\Omega_\alpha(X)$ . Thus, for each  $\omega$ , if  $\Omega_{[\omega]}(X, \omega)$  denote the connected component of  $\Omega_{[\omega]}$  containing  $\omega$ , then

$$\Omega_{[\omega]}(X, \omega) \cong \text{Diff}_0(X)/\text{Symp}(X, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X).$$

The associated homotopy long exact sequence to the corresponding bundle

$$(2) \quad \text{Symp}(X, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X) \longrightarrow \text{Diff}_0(X) \longrightarrow \Omega_{[\omega]}(X, \omega)$$

can then be used to analyze the topology of  $\Omega_{[\omega]}(X, \omega)$  in terms of that of  $\text{Symp}(M, \omega)$ , and vice versa.

**2.4. Manifold structure on  $\mathcal{M}_X$ .** Another consequence of the Moser stability is the existence of a manifold structure on  $\mathcal{M}_X$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_X = \Omega(X)/\text{Diff}_0(X)$ . Then  $s$  descends to a map  $\tilde{s} : \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_X \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ .

**Lemma 2.9.**  *$\tilde{s}$  is a local homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* For each  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$  consider a convex open neighborhood  $U_\omega$  constructed in Lemma 2.2. Then  $U'_\omega = \text{Diff}_0(X) \cdot U_\omega$  is an invariant open set of  $\Omega(X)$  for the action of  $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ . Let  $\tilde{U}_\omega$  be the corresponding quotient, which is an open subset of  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_X$ . Since  $U_\omega$  is convex all cohomologous symplectic forms in  $U_\omega$  are on the same orbit of  $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ . Thus  $\tilde{s}$  is a homeomorphism from  $\tilde{U}_\omega$  to the open set  $s(U_\omega) \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ . □

Let  $\Gamma(X) = \text{Diff}^+(X)/\text{Diff}_0(X)$  be the mapping class group of  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is the discrete quotient  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}_X/\Gamma(X)$ .

**Corollary 2.10.** *If  $X$  is closed, then the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is a (not necessarily Hausdorff or second countable) manifold of dimension  $b_2(X)$ .*

**2.5. Dimensions other than 4.** For a closed oriented 2-manifold  $\Sigma$ ,  $\bar{\Omega}(\Sigma)$  has only one point. Moreover, two cohomologous symplectic forms which are deformation equivalent are isotopic, and hence strongly isotopic. Therefore  $\mathcal{M}_\Sigma$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

In dimensions higher than 4, pseudo-holomorphic curves techniques introduced in [19] have been used to distinguish symplectic structures.

Ruan ([68]) constructed examples of manifolds in dimension 6 and above with  $\bar{\Omega}$  infinite by demonstrating that  $|\bar{\mathcal{K}}|$  being infinite. There are also cohomologous forms with distinct symplectic canonical classes in dimension 6 and above ([70]).

McDuff [55] constructed examples of cohomologous symplectic forms which are deformation equivalent but not isotopic in dimension 6 and above. There are even such forms which are not symplectomorphic in dimension 8 and above.

Suppose that  $\omega_t$  and  $\omega'_t$  are two families of symplectic forms on  $X$  such that  $\omega_0 = \omega'_0$  and  $\omega_t$  is cohomologous to  $\omega'_t$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then by the existence of a convex neighborhood of  $\omega_0 = \omega'_0$  there is some  $\epsilon > 0$  and an isotopy  $\psi_t$  such that  $\psi_t^* \omega'_t = \omega_t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq \epsilon$ . However, for the examples of

$X$  in [55] there are  $\omega_t$  and  $\omega'_t$  where one can take  $\epsilon$  to be any number less than 1 but not equal to 1. In fact,  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega'_1$  are not even symplectomorphic. In particular, for such an  $X$ , the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is not Hausdorff as pointed out by the referee.

There are no such examples known in dimension 4.

### 3. SPACE OF SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES ON 4-MANIFOLDS

In this section  $X$  is a smooth, closed, oriented 4–manifold with  $\Omega(X)$  non-empty. We would like to emphasize that we do not fix a symplectic structure on  $X$ . Instead we are interested in the space  $\Omega(X)$ . From this point of view, we need to introduce the notions of minimality and the Kodaira dimension for the manifold  $X$  itself (rather for a pair  $(X, \omega)$ ).

#### 3.1. Minimality and the Kodaira dimension $\kappa$ .

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{E}_X$  be the set of cohomology classes whose Poincaré dual are represented by smoothly embedded spheres of self-intersection  $-1$ .  $X$  is said to be (smoothly) minimal if  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is the empty set.*

Equivalently,  $X$  is minimal if it is not the connected sum of another manifold with  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . We say that  $Y$  is minimal model of  $X$  if  $Y$  is a minimal and  $X$  is the connected sum of  $Y$  and a number of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .

We also recall the notion of minimality for a pair  $(X, \omega)$  with  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ .  $(X, \omega)$  is said to be (symplectically) minimal if  $\mathcal{E}_\omega$  is the empty set, where

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega = \{E \in \mathcal{E}_X \mid E \text{ is represented by an embedded } \omega\text{-symplectic sphere}\}.$$

Here is a relevant and important fact:

**Theorem 3.2.** *If  $Y = Z \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ , then  $\Omega(Y)$  is non-empty if and only if  $\Omega(Z)$  is non-empty.*

The if part is the easier part: it follows from the symplectic blow-up construction. The only if part relies on the symplectic blow-down construction and the following result (see [75], [43], [40]): For any  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_\omega$  is empty if and only if  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is empty.

A rational 4–manifold is  $S^2 \times S^2$  or  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# k \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ . A ruled 4–manifold is the connected sum of a number of (possibly zero)  $\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  with an  $S^2$ –bundle over a Riemann surface. A rational or a ruled manifold admits Kähler structure and hence symplectic structures.

**Definition 3.3.**  *$X$  is said to have Kodaira dimension  $\kappa = -\infty$  if  $X$  is rational or ruled.*

*Otherwise, first suppose  $X$  is minimal. Then the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa$  of  $X$  is defined in terms of  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  as follows:*

- $\kappa(X) = 0$  if there is a torsion  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ ;
- $\kappa(X) = 1$  if there is a non-torsion  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  with  $K^2 = 0$ ;

- $\kappa(X) = 2$  if there is a  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  with  $K^2 > 0$ .

For a general  $X$ ,  $\kappa(X)$  is defined to be  $\kappa(Y)$ , where  $Y$  is a minimal model of  $X$  (this makes sense by Theorem 3.2).

For the notion of the Kodaira dimension  $\kappa(X, \omega)$  for a pair  $(X, \omega)$ , see [35], [58], [38]. It is shown in [38] that  $\kappa(X, \omega)$  only depends on the oriented diffeomorphism type of  $X$ . This fact implies that  $\kappa(X)$  in Definition 3.3 is independent of the choice of  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , and hence well-defined.

Finally we mention that it is also useful to consider, for  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ , the following subset of  $\mathcal{E}_X$ ,

$$\mathcal{E}_{(X, K)} = \{E \in \mathcal{E}_X \mid E \cdot K = -1\}.$$

### 3.2. Finiteness of $\bar{\Omega}(X)$ and $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$ .

**Question 3.4.** *Is  $\bar{\Omega}(X)$  finite for every  $X$ ?*

This question asks whether there are only finite number of symplectic structures up to deformations and diffeomorphisms. For complex structures, the corresponding finiteness is true (see [23]). We can answer this question affirmatively only for manifolds with  $\kappa = -\infty$ .

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ , then  $\bar{\Omega}(X)$  has only one element, i.e.  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$  acts transitively on the connected components of  $\Omega(X)$ .*

This is a consequence of several deep results. One key point why such a classification is possible is the existence of embedded spheres with non-negative self-intersections when  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ . See [50] for an excellent account in the case of  $\mathbb{C}P^2$  and  $S^2$ -bundles. For the non-minimal ones, the additional inputs are Theorems 3.7 and 3.2.

In view of Lemma 2.7, the weaker question whether  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  is finite is important regarding Question 3.4. This question was first answered when  $b^+ > 1$ . In this case, it is shown in [75] that every  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$  is a Seiberg-Witten basic class. Together with the finiteness of Seiberg-Witten basic classes in [82], we have

**Theorem 3.6.** *If  $b^+(X) > 1$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is finite.*

The case of  $b^+ = 1$  was later handled in [45].

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $X$  be a 4-manifold with  $b^+ = 1$ . Then  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X)/D(X)$  has at most two elements. And if  $X$  has  $\kappa = -\infty$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  is a one point set. Moreover, if  $X$  is minimal, then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  has at most two elements.*

As an immediate consequence of the two theorems above, we have,

**Corollary 3.8.**  *$\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X)/D(X)$  is finite every any  $X$ .*

Some remarks are in order now. The finiteness actually does not hold for  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ : If  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$  and  $b^-(X) \geq 2$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  is an infinite set. If  $\kappa(X) = 0$ , then  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  is a one point set, and if  $X$  is also minimal, i.e. there

is a torsion element  $K$  in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , then  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  itself is a one point set (see [58], [38]). In the case  $b^+ = 1$ , there are examples of minimal manifolds with  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X) = \mathcal{K}(X)$  having two elements (see [31] for such an example due to Mostow rigidity). When  $b^+ > 1$ , McMullen and Taubes ([60]) constructed  $X$  with  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)/\pm > 1$ , i.e. more than one symplectic canonical classes up to sign and diffeomorphisms (see [72], [34], [78] for more such examples).

Finally we state a speculation,

**Speculation 3.9.**  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  has at most  $2^{b^+}$  elements.

For a 4-manifold  $X$  with no embedded tori of self-intersection zero, this was raised in [25]. For the general case see the discussion in [41].

### 3.3. The symplectic cone $\mathcal{C}_X$ and the $K$ -symplectic cone $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K)}$ .

**Definition 3.10.** The positive cone of  $X$  is

$$\mathcal{P}_X = \{e \in H^2(X; \mathbb{R}) \mid e^2 > 0\}.$$

By the orientation-compatible condition, the symplectic cone  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is contained in the positive cone  $\mathcal{P}_X$ . It is easy to see that the positive cone is  $(b^+ - 2)$ -connected. And  $\pi_{b^+-1}(\mathcal{P}_X) = \mathbb{Z}$  if  $b^+ > 1$ ,  $\pi_{b^+-1}(\mathcal{P}_X) = \mathbb{Z}_2$  if  $b^+ = 1$  (see e.g. [44]). A winding family of symplectic forms is an  $S^{b^+-1}$  family of symplectic forms which represents a generator of  $\pi_{b^+-1}(\mathcal{P}_X)$  ([44]). As previously remarked, such a family would also represent a nonzero element in  $\pi_{b^+-1}(\Omega(X))$ . Every known manifold of  $\kappa = 0$  carries such a family ([38]).

For manifolds with  $b^+ = 1$ , the symplectic cone has been completely determined in [45]. Notice that in this case  $\mathcal{P}_X$  has two path connected components. We start with the  $K$ -symplectic cones.

**Theorem 3.11.** Let  $X$  be a 4-manifold with  $b^+ = 1$  and  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ .

- (1) If  $K$  is a torsion class, then  $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K)} = \mathcal{P}_X$ .
- (2) If  $K$  is not a torsion class, then  $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K)}$  is contained in one of the components of  $\mathcal{P}_X$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_{(X,K)}$ . Moreover,

$$\mathcal{C}_{(X,K)} = \{e \in \mathcal{P}_{(X,K)} \mid 0 < e \cdot E \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}_{(X,K)}\}.$$

- (3) In particular,  $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K)}$  is one connected component of the positive cone if  $X$  is minimal and  $K$  is not a torsion class.
- (4) Moreover, if  $\Omega_\omega(X, \omega)$  is the connected component of  $\Omega(X)$  containing  $\omega$ , then  $s(\Omega_\omega(X, \omega)) = \mathcal{C}_{(X, K_\omega)}$ .
- (5) If  $K \neq K'$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K')}$  are disjoint.

For the so called class  $\mathcal{C}$  manifolds including 4-manifolds with  $b^+ = 1$ ,  $b_1 = 0$  and the ruled manifolds, a slightly weaker version of Theorem 3.11 appeared in [6] and [54] (see also [30] for some partial results on  $S^1$ -equivariant symplectic cones on rational manifolds). One main technique in proving Theorem 3.11 is the following inflation process first appeared in [49] (see also [51]): if  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$  and  $C \subset (X, \omega)$  is an embedded symplectic surface



with  $C \cdot C \geq 0$ , then there exists a closed 2-form  $\rho$  such that for every  $t > 0$ , the form  $\omega_t = \omega + t\rho$  is in  $\Omega(X)$ . In the case  $b^+ = 1$ , the inflation is very effective as there are always infinitely many embedded symplectic surfaces from Taubes'  $\text{SW} \Rightarrow \text{Gr}$ , together with the SW wall crossing formula in [33], [46], [65], [67]. We remark that the idea to use the inflation procedure in order to construct 'new' symplectic forms on 4-manifolds by using symplectic curves first appeared in [6] and [7].

For the symplectic cone itself, we have

**Theorem 3.12.** *If  $X$  has  $b^+ = 1$ , then*

$$\mathcal{C}_X = \{e \in \mathcal{P}_X \mid 0 < |e \cdot E| \text{ for all } E \in \mathcal{E}_X\}.$$

*In particular,  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is the positive cone if  $X$  is minimal.*

Thus the explicit description of  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is reduced to the knowledge of  $\mathcal{E}_X$ . In general  $\mathcal{E}_X$  is easy to describe, and the difficult case of a rational manifold has been solved in [36].

For manifolds with  $b^+ > 1$ , we have the following constraint ([75]).

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $X$  be a 4-manifold with  $b^+ > 1$  and  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$ . Then  $\pm K_\omega$  is a SW basic class, and for any SW basic class  $B \neq -K_\omega \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ ,  $[\omega] \cdot (K_\omega + B) > 0$ . Therefore, for each  $K \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,*

$$(3) \quad \mathcal{C}_{(X,K)} \subset \{e \in \mathcal{P}_X \mid e \cdot (K + B) > 0 \text{ for any SW basic class } B \neq -K\}.$$

It follows from (3) and part 5 of Theorem 3.11 that we have

**Corollary 3.14.** *For any  $X$  and  $K \neq K' \in \mathcal{K}(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K)}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{(X,K')}$  are disjoint.*

In [45] we speculated that (3) is an equality. A counterexample was constructed in [79]. However it is still possible that (3) is an equality with SW basic classes replaced by SW monopole classes, as Theorem 3.13 is still valid for SW monopole classes and the new obstruction in [79] may actually come from a SW monopole class. Here a class  $B \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is said to be a SW monopole class if the SW moduli space for a corresponding  $\text{Spin}^c$  structure is non-empty for any pair of a metric and a 2-form on  $X$ .

There are very few cases where the symplectic cone has been completely determined when  $b^+ > 1$ . For orientable torus bundles over torus, it is shown in [26] that the symplectic cone is the positive cone. For Kähler surfaces see the discussion in section 4.

If we can answer affirmatively the following question, then we would be able to determine the symplectic cone of a manifold in terms of its minimal model.

**Question 3.15.** *Suppose  $X$  has  $b^+ > 1$ ,  $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_X$  and  $e$  is a generator of  $H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z})$ .*

- (1) *Is  $\beta - \lambda e$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{X \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}}$  for every nonzero scalar  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda^2 < \beta^2$ ?*

- (2) More generally, if  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  are generators of  $H^2(\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2; \mathbb{Z})$  for  $k$  copies of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , is  $\beta - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i e_i$  in  $\mathcal{C}_{X\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}}$  for every  $\{\lambda_i\}$  with  $\lambda_i \neq 0$  and  $\sum_i \lambda_i^2 < \beta^2$ ?

Notice that, in the case  $b^+ = 1$  and  $\kappa(X) \neq -\infty$ , an affirmative answer is provided by Theorem 3.12 (see also [7]).

This question is closely related to the symplectic packing problem (see [57]). In fact, if there is an  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$  such that  $\beta = [\omega]$  and  $(X, \omega)$  has a full packing by one standard symplectic ball, then part 1 is true. We can also answer part 1 in the following situation:  $\beta = [\omega]$  integral and  $\beta^2 = 1$ , and there is an embedded surface  $C \subset X$ , symplectic respect to  $\omega$  and representing the Poincaré dual of  $[\omega]$ . To see this, consider a small blow up around a point in  $C$  to get a symplectic form  $\tilde{\omega}$  on  $X\#\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ . The point is that we can construct the proper transform of the  $\omega$ -symplectic surface  $C$ , which is an  $\tilde{\omega}$ -symplectic surface  $\tilde{C}$  Poincaré dual to  $\beta - e$  (see [62]). Since  $\tilde{C}$  has self-intersection zero, we can apply the inflation to get symplectic forms whose classes are arbitrarily close to  $\text{PD}(\tilde{C}) = \tilde{\omega} - e$ . Since  $[\tilde{\omega}]$  can be chosen to be arbitrarily close to  $\beta = [\omega]$ , we are done. This is just a special case of the the following more general result, which is a consequence of the main result in [5] (see also [4]) proved using the symplectic packing of symplectic ruled surfaces.

**Theorem 3.16.** *Suppose  $\beta$  is an integral class in  $\mathcal{C}_X$  and is represented by an embedded  $\omega$ -symplectic surface  $C$  of genus at least 1 for some  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$  with  $[\omega] = \beta$ . Then, for any integer  $N \geq \beta^2$  and any nonzero scalar  $\lambda$  with  $\lambda^2 < \beta^2/k$ , the class*

$$\beta_{k,\lambda} = \beta - \lambda e_1 - \dots - \lambda e_k$$

is in  $\mathcal{C}_{X\#k\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}}$ .

This theorem can also be seen as an application of the normal connected sum construction in [27], [61]: summing  $X$  with a non-minimal ruled manifold. In fact the same is true for the inflation process. We will encounter another such application to constructing symplectic forms in the next section.

**3.4. Cohomologous symplectic forms.** Having determined the image of the map  $s : \Omega_X \rightarrow H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$  in the case  $b^+ = 1$ , we are also able to say something about its inverse image ([54], [45]) by another application of the inflation process.

**Theorem 3.17.** *Let  $X$  be a 4-manifold with  $b^+ = 1$ , and  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  be two cohomologous symplectic forms on  $X$ . If  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  can be joined by a path of symplectic forms, then they can be joined by a path of cohomologous symplectic forms, i.e. if they are deformation equivalent, then they are isotopic.*

The case of  $X = \mathbb{C}P^2\#l\overline{\mathbb{C}P^2}$ ,  $l \leq 6$  was essentially proved in [8]. In terms of the map  $s$ , the result above can be interpreted as saying that, when

restricted to a path connected component of  $\Omega_X$ , the inverse image of a point of  $s$  is path connected.

Recall that, if  $\Omega_{[\omega]}(X, \omega)$  denotes the connected component of  $\Omega_{[\omega]}$  containing  $\omega$ , then

$$\Omega_{[\omega]}(X, \omega) \cong \text{Diff}_0(X)/\text{Symp}(X, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X).$$

Seidel ([71]) has shown that there are many symplectic manifolds  $(X, \omega)$  such that  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X)$  is not connected. It then follows from the associated homotopy exact sequence of the corresponding fibration that  $\pi_1(\Omega_{[\omega]}(X, \omega))$  is non-trivial. Kronheimer ([32]) further constructed directly higher dimensional non-trivial families of cohomologous symplectic forms arising from holomorphic rational curves with negative self-intersections. More precisely, for each positive integer  $n \geq 2$ , a holomorphic rational curve with self-intersection  $-n$  in an algebraic surface sometimes gives rise to an  $S^{2n-3}$ -family of cohomologous symplectic forms representing a non-trivial homology class in degree  $2n - 3$ . For  $n = 1$ , such an example arises from a 2-disc family of quartics  $X_t$  in  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$  with  $X_t$  smooth when  $t \neq 0$  and  $X_0$  singular with a single ordinary double-point. The boundary  $S^1$ -family of smooth quartics is smoothly trivial as the minimal resolution of the nodal quartic is again the K3 surface (with an exceptional holomorphic rational  $-2$  curve). The non-trivial  $S^1$ -family of symplectic forms on the K3 surface then is simply the restriction of the Fubini-Study form on  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^3$ . We remark that all the families of symplectic forms in [32] are null-homologous in  $\Omega(X)$ .

By Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.17, we have

**Theorem 3.18.** *For  $X$  with  $\kappa = -\infty$ , cohomologous symplectic forms are symplectomorphic.*

Thus, for such an  $X$ , if  $\text{Diff}(X, [\omega])$  is the subgroup of  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$  preserving the class  $[\omega]$ , then

$$\Omega_{[\omega]}(X) \cong \text{Diff}(X, [\omega])/\text{Symp}(X, \omega).$$

The group  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega)$  has been extensively studied in [1], [2]. In particular, consider the case  $X = S^2 \times S^2$ , and  $\omega_\lambda$  a product form  $(1 + \lambda)\sigma_0 \times \sigma_0$  with  $\lambda > 0$ <sup>2</sup>. For an odd integer  $l \geq 1$ , the associated homotopy long exact sequence to the corresponding fibre bundle is used in [2] to identify a generator of the  $(2l - 2)$ -th homotopy group of  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega_l)$  with Kronheimer's non-trivial  $(2l - 1)$ -dimensional family in  $\Omega_{[\omega_l]}(X)$  associated to the unique  $-(l + 1)$  rational curve in the Hirzebruch<sup>3</sup> surface  $F_{l+1}$ . There is a similar picture for the non-trivial  $S^2$ -bundle  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# \overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$ .

We would like to point out that Theorem 3.18 may play an important role understanding symplectic 6-manifolds ([47]). We give two examples here.

<sup>2</sup>In this case  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega_\lambda)$  is shown to be connected in [2], thus agreeing with  $\text{Symp}(X, \omega_\lambda) \cap \text{Diff}_0(X)$ . Notice also that in this case  $\text{Diff}(X, [\omega])$  agrees with the subgroup of  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$  acting trivially on the homology of  $X$ .

<sup>3</sup> $F_{l+1}$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^2 \times S^2$ , and  $\omega_l$  is a Kähler form on  $F_{l+1}$ .

The first is a simple characterization of a symplectic blowup at a point: A symplectic 6–manifold  $(N, \omega)$  is a symplectic blowup of another symplectic 6–manifold at a point if and only if it contains an embedded symplectic 4–manifold  $(X, \eta)$  such that  $X$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and has normal bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-1)$ . The second provides a way to recognize a uniruled 6–manifold: If a symplectic 6–manifold  $(N, \omega)$  contains an embedded symplectic 4–manifold  $(X, \eta)$  such that  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$  and  $X$  has trivial normal bundle, then  $(N, \omega)$  is uniruled. Here  $(N, \omega)$  is said to be uniruled if there is a non-trivial one point genus zero GW invariant <sup>4</sup>.

**3.5.  $\Omega(X)$  and the moduli space  $\mathcal{M}_X$ .** We have the following simple description of  $\mathcal{M}_X$  in the case of  $b^+ = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.19.** *Let  $X$  be a 4–manifold with  $b^+ = 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}_X$  consists of  $\frac{|\bar{\Omega}(X)|}{|\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)|}$  number of connected components, each homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}_X/\mathcal{D}(X)$ .*

*In particular, if  $X$  is minimal, then  $\mathcal{M}_X$  consists  $\frac{|\bar{\Omega}(X)|}{|\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)|}$  number of  $\mathcal{P}_X/\mathcal{D}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Pick a connected component  $\Omega^0(X)$  of  $\Omega(X)$  with symplectic canonical class  $K$ . We first consider the action of  $\text{Diff}_0(X)$  on  $\Omega^0(X)$ . By Theorem 3.17, each inverse image of  $s : \Omega^0(X) \rightarrow s(\Omega^0(X))$  is an orbit of  $\text{Diff}_0(X)$ . Together with part 4 of Theorem 3.11, we have

$$\Omega^0(X)/\text{Diff}_0(X) \cong s(\Omega^0(X)) = \mathcal{C}_{(X,K)}.$$

Now let  $E\Omega(X)$  be the orbit of  $\Omega^0(X)$  under the action of  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$ . There are  $|\bar{\Omega}(X)|$  number of such orbits by the definition of  $\bar{\Omega}(X)$ .

Let us first assume that  $\bar{\mathcal{K}}(X)$  is a one point set. It suffices to show that  $E\Omega(X)$  gives rise to a copy of  $\mathcal{C}_X/\mathcal{D}(X)$ . Since  $\text{Diff}^+(X)$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{K}(X)$ , we have, by the preceding discussion,

$$E\Omega(X)/\text{Diff}_0(X) = s(E\Omega(X)) = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{K}(X)} \mathcal{C}_{(X,K)} = \mathcal{C}_X.$$

Now we are done with this case as the quotient group  $\text{Diff}^+(X)/\text{Diff}_0(X)$  acts on  $\mathcal{C}_X \subset H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$  via  $\mathcal{D}(X)$ .

By Theorem 3.7, the remaining case is when there are two elements in  $\mathcal{K}(X)$  represented by  $K$  and  $-K$ . If  $\omega$  is in an orbit  $E\Omega(X)$ , then there is a distinct orbit  $E'\Omega(X)$  containing  $-\omega$ . The argument above then shows that,

$$(E\Omega(X) \cup E'\Omega(X))/\text{Diff}_0(X) = \mathcal{C}_X.$$

The last statement now follows from part 3 of Theorem 3.11. □

In particular, by Theorem 3.5, we have

**Theorem 3.20.** *If  $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ , then  $\mathcal{M}_X$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathcal{C}_X/\mathcal{D}(X)$ .*

---

<sup>4</sup>Notice that, e.g. by [39], it is not meaningful to define this notion by requiring that there is a symplectic sphere in a fixed class through every point, otherwise every simply connected manifold would be uniruled.

In this case  $D(X)$  has been explicitly determined in [22], [36] and [37]<sup>5</sup>.

For  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$ , the reduced moduli space is just a point. For a minimal ruled surface other than  $S^2 \times S^2$ , the reduced moduli space is an open interval, while for  $S^2 \times S^2$  it is a half-open interval because of the diffeomorphism interchanging the factors. A natural question is whether there is a partial geometric compactification of  $\mathcal{M}_X^r$ . We expect that it is given by forms degenerate along spheres of self-intersections 0 or 1 with canonical local models.

Finally we would like to mention two instances where certain ‘geometric’ symplectic forms can be determined up to isotopy.

Firstly, let  $\pi : X \rightarrow B$  be a fibration with  $B$  an oriented surface.  $\omega \in \Omega(X)$  is said to be  $\pi$ -compatible if all the fibers are  $\omega$ -symplectic surfaces. It is shown in [54] all such forms are deformation equivalent. Furthermore, when  $X = F \times B$  with  $g(F) \geq 1$ , if  $\omega$  is  $\pi$ -compatible and cohomologous to a product form with the ratio between the  $\omega$ -area of  $B$  and the  $\omega$ -area of  $F$  being at most  $\frac{g(B)-1}{g(F)-1}$ , then  $\omega$  is isotopic to a split form.

Secondly, if  $X$  has a free circle action, then it is shown in [29] that the space of invariant symplectic forms is homotopic equivalent to a subspace of non-degenerate 1-forms on the quotient 3-manifold<sup>6</sup>. A particular interesting case is, for the linear action on the 4-torus, this space is connected and simply connected, and every invariant form is isotopic to a constant coefficient form. Moreover, for certain cohomology classes  $\alpha$ ,  $\Omega_\alpha(X)$  is shown to be homotopic to a circle.

#### 4. SYMPLECTIC FORMS VERSUS KÄHLER FORMS

Recall that a triple  $(\omega, J, g)$  on a smooth manifold  $X$  is called a Kähler structure if  $\omega$  is a symplectic form,  $J$  is a complex structure,  $g$  is a Riemannian metric such that  $g(u, v) = \omega(u, Jv)$ . There are 4-manifolds admitting complex structures but not admitting Kähler structures, e.g.  $S^3 \times S^1$ . A deep result is that any complex surface admits a Kähler structure if and only if the first Betti number is even (see [12]). For the analogous question when a 4-manifold admitting a symplectic structure also admits a Kähler structure, it has a negative answer for  $\kappa = 1, 2$ : There are many symplectic 4-manifolds with even  $b_1$  (or  $b_1 = 0$ ) admitting no Kaehler structure (see [27]). It does have a positive answer in the case  $\kappa = -\infty$  (see [42]). We might be able to answer this question completely in the case of  $\kappa = 0$  as well (see [38]). This question can also be approached in terms of Lefschetz fibration structures. The existence of such a structure essentially characterizes symplectic structures in dimension 4 (see [15], [28]). It is shown in [74] that all genus 2 Lefschetz fibrations with only irreducible singular fibers<sup>7</sup>

<sup>5</sup>It is also worth to mention that  $D(X)$  is finite when  $X$  is of  $b^+ = 1$  and Kodaira dimension 2 (see [45]).

<sup>6</sup>By [21],  $X/S^1$  is a fibred 3-manifold.

<sup>7</sup>the irreducibility condition is necessary by [64].

and transitive monodromy admit Kähler structures. A recent result in [3] says that, after stably summing sufficiently number of holomorphic Lefschetz fibrations, all Lefschetz fibrations admit Kähler structures.

From now on in this section,  $X$  is a closed, smooth, oriented 4–manifold admitting a Kähler structure. We will compare the spaces of symplectic structures and Kähler structures on  $X$ .

**4.1. Towards a symplectic Nakai-Moishezon criterion.** Let  $\mathcal{C}_J$  be the Kähler cone of the complex surface  $(X, J)$  and  $K_J$  be the canonical class. We will call a complex surface  $(X, J)$  a Kähler surface if  $\mathcal{C}_J$  is non-empty. Let  $H_J^{1,1}$  denote the real part of the  $(1, 1)$ –subspace of  $H^2(X; \mathbb{C})$  determined by  $J$ . Then, by the Hodge index theorem, the cone of classes with positive squares in  $H_J^{1,1}$  has two connected components. The Kähler cone  $\mathcal{C}_J$  is inside one such component, which we call the forward cone of  $H_J^{1,1}$  and denote it by  $FH_J^{1,1}$ . The Kähler Nakai-Moishezon criterion in [11] asserts that, for a complex surface  $(X, J)$ <sup>8</sup> with  $\mathcal{C}_J \neq \emptyset$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_J = \{e \in FH_J^{1,1} \mid e(C) > 0 \text{ for any holomorphic curve } C \text{ with } C \cdot C < 0\}.$$

Thus each holomorphic curve  $C$  with  $C \cdot C < 0$  determines a face of the Kähler cone. Since such a curve in a minimal Kähler surface would disappear after deforming the complex structure to a generic nearby almost complex structure, the following question was raised in [4] and [6].

**Question 4.1.** *For a minimal Kähler surface  $(X, J)$ , is every class of positive square in  $H_J^{1,1}$  realized by a symplectic form?*

We have recently made some progress towards Question 4.1 in [48]. More precisely, the following result is proved using the normal connected sum construction.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Suppose  $C$  is an embedded connected symplectic surface representing a homology class  $E$  with  $E \cdot E = -k < 0$  and  $a = \omega(E)$ . Let  $h = k$  if  $C$  has positive genus or  $C$  is a sphere with  $k$  even, and  $h = k + 1$  if  $C$  is a sphere with  $k$  odd. Then there are symplectic forms  $\omega_t$  representing the classes  $[\omega] + tPD(E)$  for any  $t \in [0, \frac{2a}{h})$ .*

To apply such a construction to Question 4.1 we need to turn holomorphic curves into embedded symplectic surfaces. It is shown in [55] that any irreducible simple pseudo-holomorphic curve can be perturbed to a pseudo-holomorphic immersion, possibly after a  $C^1$ -small change in the almost complex structure. We show how to further perturb such an immersion to an embedding, which is  $J'$ -holomorphic for an almost complex structure arbitrarily  $C^1$ -close to  $J$ . Consequently, we have

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, J)$  be a minimal Kähler surface. Then, inside the symplectic cone, the Kähler cone can be enlarged across any of its open face*

<sup>8</sup>The higher dimensional extension is given in [20].

determined by an irreducible curve with negative self-intersection. In fact, if the curve is not a rational curve with odd self-intersection, then the reflection of the Kähler cone along the corresponding face is in the symplectic cone.

In addition, for a minimal surface of general type, the canonical class  $K_J$  is shown to be in the symplectic cone in [73], [13] (It is in the Kähler cone  $\mathcal{C}_J$  if and only if there are no  $-2$  curves).

**4.2. The case  $p_g = 0$ .** In this case we have  $b^+ = 1$  and  $H_J^{1,1} = H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$ . We have completely determined the symplectic cone in Theorems 3.11 and 3.12. Together with Theorem 3.17, we have

**Theorem 4.4.** *When  $p_g = 0$ , Question 4.1 has an affirmative answer. In addition, a symplectic form deformation equivalent to a Kähler form in the same cohomology class is itself Kähler.*

**Definition 4.5.** *A complex structure  $J$  is called symplectic generic if the Kähler cone  $\mathcal{C}_J$  coincides with the  $K_J$ -symplectic cone  $\mathcal{C}_{(X, K_J)}$ .*

The central question here is

**Question 4.6.** *Does there exist a symplectic generic complex structure?*

For manifolds with  $\kappa = -\infty$ , by Theorem 3.19, a positive answer to this question would imply that every symplectic form is Kähler in this case. It is also worth mentioning that, as first observed in [57], a positive answer for all rational manifolds would imply the following longstanding Nagata conjecture ([63]) on the minimal possible degree of a plane curve with prescribed singularities.

**Conjecture 4.7.** *Let  $p_1, \dots, p_l \in \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  be  $l \geq 9$  very general points. Then for every holomorphic curve  $C \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  the following inequality holds:*

$$\deg(C) \geq \frac{\text{mult}_{p_1} C + \dots + \text{mult}_{p_k} C}{\sqrt{k}}.$$

If we let  $h$  denote the hyperplane class of  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_k$  denote the Poincaré dual to the exceptional divisors. Then, via the Kähler Nakai-Moishezon criterion, the Nagata conjecture is the same as saying that the class

$$\alpha = h - \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(e_1 + \dots + e_k)$$

with  $\alpha^2 = 0$  is in the closure of the Kähler cone for a generic blowup (See [57], [9] and [4] for more extensive discussions). Notice that  $\alpha$  is in the closure of the symplectic cone by Theorem 3.16.

**Proposition 4.8.** *Symplectic generic complex structures exist for minimal ruled manifolds and rational manifolds with  $b^- \leq 9$ .*

For a minimal ruled manifold other than  $S^2 \times S^2$ , any complex structure  $J$  arising from a stable rank 2 bundle is symplectic generic (see [56]). For rational manifolds with  $b^- \leq 8$ , any Fano complex structure is symplectic generic (see [69]). More generally, for rational manifolds with  $b^- \leq 9$ , consider the notion of good generic surfaces (see e.g. [22]). A good generic surface  $(X, J)$  is an algebraic surface such that the anti-canonical divisor  $-K_J$  is effective and smooth, and that any smooth rational curve has self-intersection no less than  $-1$ . All such surfaces are rational surfaces, and for each positive integer  $l$ ,  $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2 \# l\overline{\mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^2}$  admits such a structure. For such a surface, the Kähler cone is a nice subcone of the  $K_J$ -symplectic cone (see [36]),

$$\mathcal{C}_J = \{e \in \mathcal{C}_{(X, K_J)} | e \cdot (-K_J) > 0\}.$$

When  $l \leq 9$ ,  $K_J \cdot K_J \geq 0$ , therefore, the condition  $e \cdot (-K_J) > 0$  is automatically satisfied for any  $e$  in the  $K_J$ -symplectic cone.

For related results on Kähler 3-folds with  $p_g = 0$  see [69], [70] and [81].

**4.3. The case  $p_g > 0$ .** If  $X$  underlies a minimal Kähler surface with  $p_g > 0$ , then all complex structures  $J$  on  $X$  give rise to the same set  $\{K_J, -K_J\}$  by [82]. For our purpose we will denote this set by  $\{K_X, -K_X\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P}^0 = \mathcal{P}$  be the cone of classes of positive squares, and  $\mathcal{P}^\alpha = \{e \in \mathcal{P} | e \cdot \alpha > 0\}$  for  $\alpha \neq 0 \in H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$ . The following question, raised in [45], concerns the (full) symplectic cone of a Kähler surface.

**Question 4.9.** *If  $X$  underlies a minimal Kähler surface with  $p_g > 0$ , by Theorem 3.13, the symplectic cone  $\mathcal{C}_X$  is contained in  $\mathcal{P}^{K_X} \cup \mathcal{P}^{-K_X}$ . Is  $\mathcal{C}_X$  equal to  $\mathcal{P}^{K_X} \cup \mathcal{P}^{-K_X}$ ?*

Notice that this question also makes sense in the case  $p_g = 0$ . Since  $K_X^2 \geq 0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^{K_X} \cup \mathcal{P}^{-K_X}$  coincides with  $\mathcal{P}$  by the light cone lemma. In particular, the question has an affirmative answer in this case. This question is shown to have a positive answer for the product of the torus and a positive genus Riemann surface in [18] via the normal connected sum construction.

A related question, raised in [17], is to compare the cohomology Kähler cone with the symplectic cone, where the cohomology Kähler cone is defined to be the union of Kähler cones over all complex structures. There is a nice answer to both these questions in the case of Kähler surfaces of Kodaira dimension zero.

**Proposition 4.10.** *If  $X$  underlies a minimal Kähler surface with  $K_X = -K_X = 0$  and  $p_g \geq 1$ , then  $\mathcal{C}_X = \mathcal{P}$ . Moreover, the cohomology Kähler cone is the same as the symplectic cone, i.e. every symplectic form is cohomologous to a Kähler form.*

*Proof.* It suffices to show that the cohomology Kähler cone is the positive cone.

By the Kodaira classification of complex surfaces (see e.g. [12]),  $X$  is either the 4-torus  $T^4$  or the K3 surface, and each has  $b^+ = 3$ . One nice



feature for such a manifold is the existence of a hyperkähler metric  $g$ . Such a metric induces a family of complex structures parameterized by the unit sphere of the imaginary quaternions and the corresponding family of Kähler forms. Denote this sphere by  $S^2(g)$ , and for each  $u \in S^2(g)$ , denote the corresponding Kähler form by  $\omega_u$ . The span  $F$  of the  $\omega_u$  is a 3-dimensional positive-definite subspace of  $H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$  (with a basis given by  $\{\omega_I, \omega_J, \omega_K\}$ ). Let  $F^\perp$  be the orthogonal complement of  $F$ , then  $H^2(X; \mathbb{R}) = F \oplus F^\perp$  as  $b^+ = 3$ . The fact we need now is that  $H_u^{1,1} = \mathbb{R}[\omega_u] \oplus F^\perp$ . In particular, each class of positive square  $e$  is in  $H_u^{1,1}$  for some  $u \in S^2(g)$ . By possibly switching  $u$  to  $-u$ , we can assume that  $e$  is in  $FH_u^{1,1}$ . In the case of  $T^4$ , since there are no holomorphic curves of negative self-intersections for any complex structure, we conclude from the Kähler Nakai-Moishezon criterion that  $e$  is in the Kähler cone for  $u$ .

The same argument almost works for the K3 surface, except that there are rational curves with self-intersection  $-2$  for some  $u$ . So one  $S^2$ -family is not enough, and we will need to use all such  $S^2$ -families. According to the surjectivity of the refined period map (see e.g. Theorem 14.1 in [12]), we have

**Lemma 4.11.**  *$e \in \mathcal{P}$  is a Kähler class if and only if there is a positive-definite 2-plane  $U$  in  $H^2(X; \mathbb{R})$  such that  $e \perp U$ , and  $e \cdot d \neq 0$  for any integral class  $d$  in  $H^2(X; \mathbb{Z})$  with  $d^2 = -2$  and  $d \perp U$ .*

Let  $\text{Gr}_e^+$  be the Grassmannian of positive-definite 2-planes which are orthogonal to  $e$ . Let  $\Delta_e$  be the set of  $d$  with  $e \cdot d = 0$ . For  $d \in \Delta_e$ , the 2-planes in  $\text{Gr}_e^+$  which are orthogonal to  $d$  is a sub-Grassmannian. Since the complement of the countable union of these sub-Grassmannians over  $\Delta_e$  is non-empty,  $e$  is Kähler by Lemma 4.11.  $\square$

There has long been a speculation that any symplectic form is still Kähler in this case. Moreover, since the moduli space of complex structures is connected, the space of Kähler forms is also connected.

If every class of positive square of  $X$  is in a  $H_J^{1,1}$  subspace for a complex structure  $J$ , then the cohomology Kähler cone of  $X$  contains an open subset of the symplectic cone  $\mathcal{C}_X$ . The minimal Elliptic surfaces  $E(n)$  are likely to have this property. For a surface with such a property, if Question 4.1 can be answered positively, then its cohomology Kähler cone agrees with  $\mathcal{P}^{K_X} \cup \mathcal{P}^{-K_X}$ . Consequently, for such a surface, Question 4.9 has a positive answer and every symplectic form is cohomologous to a Kähler form.

For minimal Kähler surfaces of general type with  $p_g \geq 1$ , it is observed in [17] that the cohomology Kähler cone is strictly smaller than the symplectic cone. On the one hand, by the Hodge index theorem, the cohomology Kähler cone of  $X$  is contained in the cone

$$\{e \in \mathcal{P} | (e^2)(K_X^2) \leq (e \cdot K_X)^2\} \cup \mathbb{R}K_X.$$

On the other hand, for a given complex structure  $J$ , if  $\omega$  is a Kähler form and  $\phi$  is a holomorphic 2-form, then  $\tau_s = \omega + s\text{Re}\phi$  is a symplectic form for any

$s > 0$  due to the pointwise orthogonality of  $(1, 1)$ -forms and  $(2, 0)$ -forms. But if  $s$  is large enough, then

$$([\tau_s] \cdot K_X)^2 = ([\omega] \cdot K_X)^2 < ([\tau_s]^2)(K_X)^2 = ([\omega]^2 + s^2[\operatorname{Re}(\phi)]^2)(K_X^2),$$

because  $K_X^2 > 0$ . Therefore, for  $s$  large, the class  $[\tau_s]$  is in the symplectic cone, but not in the cohomology Kähler cone.

Nevertheless this ‘negative’ observation can be used to construct examples of many non-holomorphic Lefschetz pencils on Kähler surfaces as follows. Consider a ball quotient  $X$  with  $p_g > 0$ . It has a unique complex structure (hence the cohomology Kähler cone is of lower dimension and does not even contain an open subset of the symplectic cone). Pick an integral symplectic structure whose class is outside the  $H_J^{1,1}$  subspace for the unique complex structure  $J$ . According to [15], there is a Lefschetz pencil whose members are Poincaré dual to large integral multiples of  $[\omega]$ . Such a Lefschetz pencil is not holomorphic since the members of a holomorphic pencil are holomorphic curves.

**4.4. Donaldson’s program.** Recently Donaldson asks the following question in [16],

**Question 4.12.** *Suppose  $X$  is a compact Kähler surface with Kähler form  $\omega_0$ . If  $\omega$  is any other symplectic form on  $X$ , with the same Chern class and with  $[\omega] = [\omega_0]$ , is there a diffeomorphism  $f$  of  $X$  with  $f^*(\omega) = \omega_0$ ?*

Donaldson outlines a line of attack involving an almost Kähler analogue of the famous complex Monge-Ampère equation solved by Yau. Partial existence result is obtained in [80]. This program seems to be very exciting and ties up with many aspects discussed in this survey.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Abreu, *Topology of symplectomorphism groups of  $S^2 \times S^2$* , Invent. Math. 131 (1998), no. 1, 1–23.
- [2] M. Abreu, D. McDuff, *Topology of symplectomorphism groups of rational ruled surfaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), no. 4, 971–1009.
- [3] D. Auroux, *Some open questions about symplectic 4-manifolds, singular plane curves, and braid group factorizations*, preprint math.GT/0410119.
- [4] P. Biran, *From symplectic packings to algebraic geometry and back*, European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Barcelona, 2000), 507–524, Progr. Math., 202, Birkhuser, Basel, 2001.
- [5] P. Biran, *A stability property of symplectic packing*, Inven. Math. 136 (1999), 123–155.
- [6] P. Biran, *Geometry of symplectic packing*, PhD Thesis, Tel-Aviv University (1997).
- [7] P. Biran, *Symplectic packings in dimension 4*, Geom. and Funct. Anal. 7 (1997), no.3, 420–437.
- [8] P. Biran, *Connectedness of Spaces of Symplectic Embeddings*, International Mathematical Research Notices 10 (1996), 487–91, arXiv:dg-ga/9603008.
- [9] P. Biran, *Constructing New Ample Divisors Out of Old Ones*, Duke Math. J. 98 (1999) 113–35.
- [10] S. Bauer, M. Furuta, *A stable cohomotopy refinement of Seiberg-Witten invariants: I*, Invent. Math. 155 (2004), No.1, 1–19.

- [11] N. Buchdahl, *On compact Kähler surfaces*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 49 (1999), no. 1, vii, xi, 287–302.
- [12] W. Barth, C. Peters, A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 4. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984.
- [13] F. Catanese, *Symplectic structures of algebraic surfaces and deformation*, preprint math.AG/0207254.
- [14] S. Donaldson, *Symplectic submanifolds and almost-complex geometry*, J. Differential Geom. 44 (1996), 666–705.
- [15] S. Donaldson, *Lefschetz fibrations in symplectic geometry*, Doc. Math. J. DMV., ICM II (1998), 309–314.
- [16] S. Donaldson, *Two-forms on four-manifolds and elliptic equations*, math.DG/0607083.
- [17] T. Draghici, *The Kähler cone versus the symplectic cone*, Bull. Math. Soc. Sc. Math. Roumanie, 42 (1999), 39–46.
- [18] J. Dorfmeister, T. J. Li, *Symplectic cones of torus fibrations*, in preparation.
- [19] M. Gromov, *Pseudo-holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds*, Invent. Math. 82 (1985), 307–347.
- [20] J. Demailly, M. Paun, *Numerical characterization of the Kähler cone of a compact Kähler manifold*, preprint math.AG/0105176.
- [21] M. Fernández, A. Gray, J. Morgan, *Compact symplectic manifolds with free circle actions, and Massey products*, Michigan Math. J. 38 (1991), 271–283.
- [22] R. Friedman, J. Morgan, *On the diffeomorphism types of certain algebraic surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. 27 (1988), no.3 371–398.
- [23] R. Friedman, J. Morgan, *Smooth 4-manifolds and complex surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], 27. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [24] R. Friedman, J. Morgan, *Algebraic surfaces and Seiberg-Witten invariants*, J. Alg. Geom. 6(3) 1997, 445–479.
- [25] R. Fintushel, R. Stern, *The canonical class of a symplectic 4-manifold*, Turkish J. Math. 25 (2001), 137–145.
- [26] H. Geiges, *Symplectic structures on  $T^2$ -bundles over  $T^2$* , Duke Math. Journal, Vol. 67, no. 3 (1992), 539–555.
- [27] R. Gompf, *A new construction of symplectic manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) 142 (1995), 527–595.
- [28] R. Gompf, *Locally holomorphic maps yield symplectic structures*, Comm. Anal. Geom. 13 (2005) 511–525.
- [29] B. Hajduk, R. Walczak, *Symplectic forms invariant under free circle actions on 4-manifolds*, math.SG/0312465.
- [30] Y. Karshon, L. Kessler *Circle and torus actions on equal symplectic blow-ups of  $CP^2$* , preprint math.SG/0501011.
- [31] V. Kharlamov, V. Kulikov, *Real structures on rigid surfaces*, preprint math.AG/0101098.
- [32] P. Kronheimer, *Some nontrivial families of symplectic forms*, preprint.
- [33] P. Kronheimer and T. Mrowka, *The genus of embedded surfaces in the projective plane*, Math. Res. Letters 1 (1994) 797–808.
- [34] C. LeBrun, *Diffeomorphisms, symplectic forms, and Kodaira fibrations*, Diffeomorphisms, symplectic forms and Kodaira fibrations. Geom. Topol. 4 (2000), 451–456.
- [35] C. LeBrun, *Four-manifolds without Einstein metrics*, Math. Res. Lett. 3. (1996), 133–147, dg-ga/9511015.
- [36] B. H. Li, T. J. Li, *Symplectic genus, minimal genus and diffeomorphisms*, Asian J. Math. Vol. 6 No. 1 (2002) 123–144.

- [37] B. H. Li, T. J. Li, *The structure of diffeomorphism groups of rational and ruled surfaces*, to appear in J. Kyoto Math.
- [38] T. J. Li, *Symplectic 4-manifolds with Kodaira dimension zero*, J. Differential Geometry 74 (2006) 321-352.
- [39] T. J. Li, *Existence of embedded symplectic surfaces*, Geometry and topology of manifolds, 203–217, Fields Inst. Commun., 47, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [40] T. J. Li, *Smoothly embedded spheres in symplectic 4-manifolds*, Proc. AMS. Vol. 127 No. 2 (1999) 609-613.
- [41] T. J. Li, *Kodaira dimensions of symplectic 4-manifolds*, Floer Homology, Gauge Theory and Low Dim. Top., 249-261, Clay Math. Proc. 5, 2006.
- [42] A. Liu, *Some new applications of the general wall crossing formula*, Math. Res. Letters 3 (1996), 569-585.
- [43] T. J. Li, A. Liu, *Symplectic structures on ruled surfaces and a generalized adjunction inequality*, Math. Res. Letters 2 (1995), 453-471.
- [44] T. J. Li, A. Liu, *Family Seiberg-Witten invariants and wall crossing formulas*, Comm. in Analysis and Geometry Vol. 9 No. 4 (2001) 777-823.
- [45] T. J. Li, A. Liu, *Uniqueness of symplectic canonical class, surface cone and symplectic cone of 4-manifolds with  $b^+ = 1$* , J. Differential Geom. Vol. 58 No. 2 (2001) 331-370.
- [46] T. J. Li, A. Liu, *General wall crossing formula*, Math. Res. Lett. 2 (1995), 797-810,
- [47] T. J. Li, Y. Ruan *Symplectic minimal model program in dimension 6*, in preparation
- [48] T. J. Li, M. Usher, *Symplectic forms and surfaces of negative self-intersections*, J. Symplectic Geometry 4 (2006) 71-91.
- [49] F. Lalonde, *Isotopy of symplectic balls, Gromov's radius and the structure of ruled symplectic 4-manifolds*, Math. Ann. 300, no. 2, 273–296, (1994).
- [50] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, *J-curves and the classification of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifolds*, Contact and symplectic geometry Publ. Newton Inst. 8, (Cambridge, 1994), 3–42, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996
- [51] F. Lalonde, D. McDuff, *The classification of ruled symplectic 4-manifolds*, Math. Res. Lett. 3 (1996), 769-778.
- [52] D. McDuff, *The structure of rational and ruled symplectic 4-manifold*, J. AMS.v.1. no.3. (1990), 679-710.
- [53] D. McDuff, *Lectures on Gromov invariants for symplectic 4-manifolds*, NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. C Math. Phys. Sci., 488, Gauge theory and symplectic geometry (Montreal, PQ, 1995) 175-210, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1997. the Proc. NATO Summer School, Montreal.
- [54] D. McDuff, *From symplectic deformation to isotopy*, (Irvine, CA, 1996), 85-99, First Int. Press Lect Ser. I, Internat. Press, Cambridge, MA, 1998.
- [55] D. McDuff, *Examples of symplectic structures*, Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 13-36.
- [56] D. McDuff, *Notes on ruled symplectic 4-manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 345 (1994), no. 2, 623–639.
- [57] D. McDuff, L. Polterovich, *Symplectic packings and algebraic geometry*, Invent. Math. 115 (1994), 405-434.
- [58] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *A survey of symplectic 4-manifolds with  $b^+ = 1$* , Proc. Gokovo conference, 1995, 47-60.
- [59] D. McDuff and D. Salamon, *Introduction to symplectic topology, second edition*, Oxford Math. monographs, Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [60] C. McMullen, C. Taubes, *4-manifolds with inequivalent symplectic forms and 3-manifolds with inequivalent fibrations*, Math. Res. Lett. 6 (1999), no. 5-6, 681–696.
- [61] J. McCarthy, J. Wolfson, *Symplectic normal connected sum*, Topology 33 (1994), 729-764.
- [62] J. McCarthy, J. Wolfson, *Double points and the proper transform in symplectic geometry*, Differential Geom. Appl. 6 (1996), no. 2, 101–107.

- [63] M. Nagata, *Lecture notes on the 14'th problem of Hilbert*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, (1965), Bombay.
- [64] B. Ozbagci, A. Stipsicz, *Noncomplex smooth 4-manifolds with genus-2 Lefschetz fibrations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (2000), no. 10, 3125–3128.
- [65] H. Ohta and K. Ono, Notes on symplectic 4-manifolds with  $b_2^+ = 1$ . II. Internat. J. Math. 7 (1996), no. 6, 755–770.
- [66] A. Tralle, J. Oprea, *Symplectic manifolds with no Kähler structure*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1661. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [67] C. Okonek, A. Teleman, *Seiberg-Witten invariants for manifolds with  $b_+ = 1$  and the universal wall crossing formula*, Internat. J. Math. 7 (1996), no. 6, 811–832.
- [68] Y. Ruan, *Symplectic topology on algebraic 3-folds*, J. Diff. Geom. 39 (1994), 215–227.
- [69] Y. Ruan, *Symplectic topology and extremal rays*, Geom. Funct. Anal. 3 (1993), no. 4, 395–430.
- [70] Y. Ruan, *Topological sigma model and Donaldson-type invariants in Gromov theory*, Duke Math. J. 83 (1996), no. 2, 461–500.
- [71] P. Seidel, *Lagrangian two-spheres can be symplectically knotted*, J. Differential Geom. 52 (1999), no. 1, 145–171.
- [72] I. Smith, *On moduli spaces of symplectic forms*, Math. Res. Lett. 7 (2000), no. 5-6, 779–788.
- [73] I. Smith, R. Thomas, S. T. Yau. *Symplectic conifold transitions*. J. Diff. Geom. 62 (2002), no. 2, 209–242.
- [74] B. Siebert. G. Tian, *On the holomorphicity of genus two Lefschetz fibrations*, Ann. of Math. (2) 161 (2005), no. 2, 959–1020.
- [75] C. Taubes, *The Seiberg-Witten invariants and symplectic forms*, Math. Res. Letters 1 (1994), 809–822.
- [76] C. Taubes, *SW  $\Rightarrow$  Gr: From the Seiberg-Witten equations to pseudo-holomorphic curves*, Jour. Amer. Math. Soc. 9(1996), 845–918.
- [77] C. Taubes, *Counting pseudo-holomorphic submanifolds in dimension four*, J. Diff. Geom. 44 (1996), no. 4, 818–893.
- [78] S. Vidussi, *Homotopy K3's with several symplectic structures*. Geom. Topol. 5 (2001), 267–285
- [79] S. Vidussi, *Norms on the cohomology of a 3-manifold and SW theory*, Pacific J. Math. 208 (2003), no. 1, 169–186.
- [80] B. Weinkove, *The Calabi-Yau equation on almost-Kähler four-manifolds*, math.DG/0604408.
- [81] P. Wilson, *Symplectic deformations of Calabi-Yau threefolds*, J. Differential Geom. 45 (1997), no. 3, 611–637.
- [82] E. Witten, *Monopoles and Four-manifolds*, Math. Res. Letters 1 (1994) 769–796.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55455  
*E-mail address:* [tjli@math.umn.edu](mailto:tjli@math.umn.edu)