

# OVERCROWDING AND HOLE PROBABILITIES FOR RANDOM ZEROS ON COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

BERNARD SHIFFMAN, STEVE ZELDITCH, AND SCOTT ZREBIEC

ABSTRACT. We give asymptotic large deviations estimates for the volume inside a domain  $U$  of the zero set of a random polynomial of degree  $N$ , or more generally, of a random holomorphic section of the  $N$ -th power of a positive line bundle on a compact Kähler manifold. In particular, we show that for all  $\delta > 0$ , the probability that this volume differs by more than  $\delta N$  from its average value is less than  $\exp(-C_{\delta,U}N^{m+1})$ , for some constant  $C_{\delta,U} > 0$ . As a consequence, the “hole probability” that a random section does not vanish in  $U$  has an upper bound of the form  $\exp(-C_U N^{m+1})$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this article is to prove large deviations estimates for probabilities of overcrowding and undercrowding of zeros of random holomorphic sections  $s_N \in H^0(M, L^N)$  of high powers of a positive Hermitian line bundle  $L^N \rightarrow M$  over a compact Kähler manifold. A special case is that of  $SU(m+1)$  polynomials of degree  $N$ . Our main results give rapid exponential decay rates as the degree  $N \rightarrow \infty$  for the probability that the zero set of a random holomorphic section of  $L^N$  is too large or too small in an arbitrary fixed domain, and in particular for the “hole probability” that it misses the domain entirely.

To state our results we need some notation; we follow [SZ3] and review the relevant notation and background in §2. Let  $(L, h)$  be a Hermitian holomorphic line bundle with positive curvature  $\Theta_h$  over an  $m$ -dimensional compact complex manifold  $M$ . Then  $\omega_h := \frac{i}{2}\Theta_h$  is a Kähler form, which induces inner products (see (6)) and associated Gaussian probability measures  $\gamma_N$  (see (7)) on the spaces  $H^0(M, L^N)$  of holomorphic sections of powers of  $L$ .

We denote the zero set of a section  $s_N \in H^0(M, L^N)$  by  $Z_{s_N} = \{z : s_N(z) = 0\}$ . It is a complex  $(m-1)$ -dimensional hypersurface whose  $(2m-2)$ -dimensional volume in an open set  $U$  is given by

$$\text{Vol}_{2m-2}(Z_{s_N} \cap U) = \int_{Z_{s_N} \cap U} \frac{\omega_h^{m-1}}{(m-1)!}. \quad (1)$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $(L, h) \rightarrow M$  be a positive Hermitian line bundle over a compact Kähler manifold  $M$  of dimension  $m$ , and give  $M$  the metric with Kähler form  $\omega_h = \frac{i}{2}\Theta_h$ . Let  $U$  be an open subset of  $M$  such that  $\bar{U}$  has zero measure in  $M$ . Then for all  $\delta > 0$ , there is a constant  $C_{\delta,U} > 0$  such that*

$$\gamma_N \left\{ s_N : \left| \frac{1}{N} \text{Vol}_{2m-2}(Z_{s_N} \cap U) - \frac{m}{\pi} \text{Vol}_{2m}(U) \right| > \delta \right\} \leq e^{-C_{\delta,U}N^{m+1}} \quad \forall N \gg 0.$$

---

*Date:* June 4, 2008.

Research of the first author partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0600982; research of the second author partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0603850.

Here,  $N \gg 0$  means that  $N \geq N_0$  for some  $N_0 = N_0(\delta) \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . In particular, for the case where  $\dim M = 1$ , the volume  $\text{Vol}_{2m-2}(Z_{s_N} \cap U)$  becomes the number  $\mathcal{N}_N^U(s_N)$  of zeros of  $s_N$  in  $U$ , and we have

**COROLLARY 1.2.** *Let  $(L, h) \rightarrow M$  be a positive Hermitian line bundle over a compact Riemann surface  $M$ , and give  $M$  the metric with Kähler form  $\omega_h = \frac{i}{2}\Theta_h$ . Let  $U \subset M$  be an open set in  $M$  such that  $U$  has zero measure in  $M$ . Then for all  $\delta > 0$ , there is a constant  $C_{\delta, U} > 0$  such that*

$$\gamma_N \left\{ s_N : \left| \frac{1}{N} \mathcal{N}_N^U(s_N) - \frac{\text{Area}(U)}{\pi} \right| > \delta \right\} \leq e^{-C_{\delta, U} N^2} \quad \forall N \gg 0.$$

In the case where  $M = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ ,  $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$  and  $h$  is the Fubini-Study metric, the Gaussian ensembles  $(H^0(M, L^N), \gamma_N)$  coincide with the well-known  $\text{SU}(m+1)$  ensembles of degree  $N$  polynomials,

$$f_N = \sum_{|J| \leq N} c_J \binom{N}{J}^{1/2} z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_m^{j_m},$$

where  $J = (j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m$ ,  $z^J = z_1^{j_1} \cdots z_m^{j_m}$ ,  $\binom{N}{J} = \frac{N!}{(N-|J|)!j_1! \cdots j_m!}$  and the  $c_J$  are independent identically distributed complex Gaussian random variables (see [BSZ, SZ1]). Applying Theorem 1.1 to this case, we obtain the same estimate for large deviations of the Fubini-Study volume of  $Z_{f_N} \cap U$ . We also have a similar estimate for the Euclidean volume:

**COROLLARY 1.3.** *Let  $f_N$  be a degree  $N$  Gaussian random  $\text{SU}(m+1)$  polynomial, and let  $U$  be a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  such that  $U$  has Lebesgue measure zero. Then for all  $\delta > 0$ , there is a constant  $C_{\delta, U} > 0$  such that for  $N$  sufficiently large, we have*

$$\text{Prob} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{N} \text{Vol}_{2m-2}^E(Z_{f_N} \cap U) - V_U \right| > \delta \right\} \leq e^{-C_{\delta, U} N^{m+1}}, \quad (2)$$

where  $\text{Vol}^E$  denotes Euclidean volume in  $\mathbb{C}^m$  and

$$V_U = \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \int_U \frac{i}{2\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log(1 + \|z\|^2) \wedge \left( \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \|z\|^2 \right)^{m-1}.$$

In particular, if  $U$  is the ball  $B(r)$  of radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , then (2) becomes

$$\text{Prob} \left\{ \left| \frac{1}{N} n_{f_N}(r, 0) - \frac{r^2}{1+r^2} \right| > \delta \right\} \leq e^{-C_{\delta, B(r)} N^{m+1}}, \quad (3)$$

where

$$n_f(r, 0) = \frac{(m-1)!}{\pi^{m-1} r^{2m-2}} \text{Vol}_{2m-2}^E(Z_f \cap B(r))$$

is the unintegrated Nevanlinna counting function.

Corollary 1.3 follows by modifying the last step of the proof of Theorem 1.1; see §4.2.3.

Letting  $\delta = \frac{m}{\pi} \text{Vol}_{2m}(U)$  in Theorem 1.1, we obtain our estimate for the “hole probability”:

**THEOREM 1.4.** *With the same hypotheses as Theorem 1.1, for any non-empty open set  $U \in M$ , there is a constant  $C_U > 0$  such that*

$$\gamma_N \{s_N : Z_{s_N} \cap U = \emptyset\} \leq e^{-C_U N^{m+1}} \quad \forall N \gg 0.$$

Furthermore, if there exists a section in  $H^0(M, L)$  that does not vanish on  $\overline{U}$ , then there is a constant  $C'_U > 0$  such that

$$\gamma_N \{s_N : Z_{s_N} \cap U = \emptyset\} \geq e^{-C'_U N^{m+1}} \quad \forall N \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

The lower bound in Theorem 1.4 is elementary; see §4.2.4.

Before sketching the novel features of the proof, let us compare these results to prior results on numbers (or volumes) of zeros of random analytic functions of various kinds. Among the earliest results were those of Offord [Of] on excesses or deficiencies of zeros of random entire analytic functions

$$f(z) = \sum_n a_n z^n \tag{4}$$

in disks  $D_r = \{z : |z| < r\}$  of  $\mathbb{C}$ . The Taylor coefficients  $a_n$  are assumed to be independent random variables of several kinds. In [So], M. Sodin used Offord's method to prove that the hole probability that  $\mathcal{N}^{D_r} = 0$ , i.e. the probability that a random analytic function has no zeros in  $D_r$ , decays at least at the rate  $O(e^{-Cr^2})$ . Peres-Virag [PV] gave an exact formula for the probability that  $\mathcal{N}^{D_r} = k$  for a certain special determinantal ensemble of random analytic functions in the unit disk, which suggested that the hole probability should decay faster than  $e^{-Cr^2}$ . Sodin and Tsirelson [ST2] then proved that  $e^{-C'r^4} \leq \text{Prob} \{\mathcal{N}^{D_r} = 0\} \leq e^{-Cr^4}$  for certain  $C, C' > 0$ . Further results on undercrowding and overcrowding were then proved by Krishnapur [Kr] for entire holomorphic functions of type (4) on  $\mathbb{C}$ , and in [Zr1] for entire holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . These articles are based on the properties of the monomials  $z^n$  and the power series (4).

Our results are concerned with analogous over- (and under-) crowding and hole probabilities, but in the different situation where the domain  $U$  is a fixed domain in a general Kähler manifold, and where it is the family of analytic functions  $s_N \in H^0(M, L^N)$  which changes with  $N$ . The change is controlled by the complex Hermitian differential geometry underlying the inner products (6) and the associated Szegő (or Bergman) kernels. In this general setting, there does not exist a useful power series type representation (4) for the analytic functions. The representation  $s_N = \sum_j c_j S_j^N$  in terms of an orthonormal basis  $\{S_j^N\}$  is almost useless for our large deviations estimates, in contrast to the power series representation of entire functions (4) on  $\mathbb{C}$ , since we know almost nothing about the basis elements  $S_j^N$  on a general Kähler manifold. Thus, we must find an alternative to the power series methods of the prior articles [So, ST2, PV, Zr1]. We do this in §3, where we replace the orthonormal basis  $\{S_j^N\}$  by the asymptotically orthonormal coherent states  $\Phi_N^{z_\nu^N}$  centered on the points of a “lattice”  $\{z_\nu^N\}$  of mesh  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}}$  (see (17)). We then rely on our knowledge of the Bergman (or Szegő) kernel for the inner product (6), in particular its off-diagonal asymptotics from [BSZ, SZ2], to prove that inner products with these coherent states define asymptotically almost independent random variables, or equivalently, the values of  $s_N$  at the points  $z_\nu^N$  are almost independent (see §3.2). We use this coherent state analysis to prove a large deviations result for the maximum modulus of  $s_N$  (Theorem 3.1); we expect it will have other applications in complex geometry.

In an earlier posting [Zr2] (which this article supercedes), one of the authors studied the same problems for  $SU(m+1)$  polynomials and obtained (3). In the case of  $SU(m+1)$  polynomials, the monomials  $\binom{N}{j}^{1/2} z^j$  form an orthonormal basis and one can use power

series methods. But there is nothing special about  $SU(m+1)$  ensembles in terms of hole probabilities, and the coherent state (i.e. Szegő kernel) analysis in the present article allows for the generalization from polynomials to sections of all positive holomorphic line bundles over Kähler manifolds.

As in the model case of  $SU(m+1)$  polynomials of degree  $N$  on  $\mathbb{C}^{m+1}$ , the degree  $N$  measures the complexity of the analytic functions (or sections)  $s_N$ . As  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , the zero set  $Z_{s_N}$  of a random  $s_N$  becomes denser and denser, and the probability that it omits an open set  $U$  becomes a very rare event. To be more precise, the random  $Z_{s_N}$  not only becomes denser, but in fact the mean random zero set  $Z_{s_N}$  tends in the sense of currents to the curvature  $(1, 1)$ -form of the line bundle; i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{N} \mathbf{E}_N([Z_{s_N}], \varphi) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_M \omega_h \wedge \varphi + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{m-1, m-1}(M), \quad (5)$$

(see [SZ1]), where  $\mathbf{E}_N$  denotes the expectation of a random variable in the ensemble  $(H^0(M, L^N), \gamma_N)$ , and  $[Z]$  denotes the current of integration over a hypersurface  $Z$ .

We also have a large deviations estimate for the “linear statistics”  $([Z_{s_N}], \varphi) = \int_{Z_{s_N}} \varphi$  of equation (5):

**THEOREM 1.5.** *Let  $(L, h) \rightarrow (M, \omega_h)$  be as in Theorem 1.1, and give  $M$  the metric with Kähler form  $\omega_h = \frac{i}{2}\Theta_h$ . Let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{m-1, m-1}(M)$  be a smooth test form. Then for all  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $C_{\delta, \varphi} > 0$  such that*

$$\gamma_N \left\{ s_N \in H^0(M, L^N) : \left| \frac{1}{N} \int_{Z_{s_N}} \varphi - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_M \omega_h \wedge \varphi \right| > \delta \right\} \leq e^{-C_{\delta, \varphi} N^{m+1}} \quad \forall N \gg 0.$$

Theorem 1.1 follows from Theorem 1.5; see §4.2.2. To prove Theorem 1.5, we use Theorem 3.1 on the large deviations of the maximum modulus, together with an adaptation of the methods of [ST2, Zr1], to obtain a large deviations estimate for the  $L^1$ -norm of  $\log |s_N|$ :

**LEMMA 1.6.** *For all  $\delta > 0$ , there is a positive constant  $C_\delta$  such that*

$$\gamma_N \left\{ \int_M |\log |s_N|_{h^N}| \geq \delta N \right\} \leq e^{-C_\delta N^{m+1}} \quad \forall N \gg 0.$$

Here the integral is with respect to volume measure on  $M$ .

The relevance of this lemma to probability distributions of zero sets is clear from the First Main Theorem of value distribution theory, which says that the growth of a zero set can be controlled by the growth rates of the maximum modulus and the proximity  $m_f(r, 0)$  to zero. This relation was used in [ST2] to obtain hole probabilities for random entire functions from large deviations estimates on maximum moduli and on  $m_f(r, 0)$ , and was then adapted in [Zr1] to holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . A key step is to show that the spherical integrals of  $\log^- |s_N|$  are bounded by  $\delta N$  for all sections  $s_N \in H^0(M, L^N)$  outside a set of measure at most  $e^{-C_\delta N^{m+1}}$  (Lemma 4.1). Theorem 1.5 then follows immediately by an application of the Poincaré-Lelong formula.

We end the introduction by noting two natural questions for further work in this area. The first is whether there exists an exact asymptotic decay rate for the hole probability in Theorem 1.4. Secondly, we are studying the zeros of one holomorphic section and obtain large deviation estimates for the hypersurface volumes of the random complex hypersurfaces

$Z_{s_N} = \{s_N = 0\}$  in open sets  $U$ . It would be interesting to obtain similar results for the point process of simultaneous zeros of  $m$  independent sections.

## 2. BACKGROUND

We review in this section the definition of our probability measures and background on the Szegő kernel from [SZ3].

Throughout this paper,  $(L, h)$  will be a positive Hermitian holomorphic line bundle over a compact Kähler manifold  $M$  of dimension  $m$ . We let  $e_L$  denote a nonvanishing local holomorphic section over an open set  $\Omega \subset M$ . The curvature form of  $(L, h)$  is given locally over  $\Omega$  by

$$\Theta_h = -\partial\bar{\partial} \log |e_L|_h^2.$$

Positivity of  $(L, h)$  means that the curvature  $\Theta_h$  is positive, and we give  $M$  the Kähler form  $\omega_h := \frac{i}{2}\Theta_h$ . (The Chern form of  $L$  is given by  $c_1(L, h) = \frac{1}{\pi}\omega_h = \frac{i}{2\pi}\Theta_h$ .) The Hermitian metric  $h$  on  $L$  induces Hermitian metrics  $h^N$  on the powers  $L^N$  of the line bundle.

We give the space  $H^0(M, L^N)$  of global holomorphic sections of  $L^N$  the Hermitian inner product

$$\langle s_N, s'_N \rangle = \int_M h^N(s_N, \overline{s'_N}) \frac{1}{m!} \omega^m, \quad s_N, s'_N \in H^0(M, L^N), \quad (6)$$

induced by the metrics  $h, \omega$ . This inner product in turn induces the Gaussian probability measure on  $H^0(M, L^N)$ ,

$$d\gamma_N(s_N) := \frac{1}{\pi^m} e^{-|c|^2} dc, \quad s_N = \sum_{j=1}^{d_N} c_j S_j^N, \quad c = (c_1, \dots, c_{d_N}) \in \mathbb{C}^{d_N}, \quad (7)$$

where  $\{S_1^N, \dots, S_{d_N}^N\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $H^0(M, L^N)$ , and  $dc$  denotes  $2d_N$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. The measure  $\gamma_N$  is called the *Hermitian Gaussian measure* on  $H^0(M, L^N)$  and is characterized by the property that the  $2d_N$  real variables  $\operatorname{Re} c_j, \operatorname{Im} c_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, d_N$ ) are independent Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance  $1/2$ ; equivalently,

$$\mathbf{E}_N c_j = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}_N c_j c_k = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}_N c_j \bar{c}_k = \delta_{jk},$$

where  $\mathbf{E}_N$  denotes the expectation with respect to the measure  $\gamma_N$ .

As in [Ze, BSZ, SZ3], we lift sections  $s_N \in H^0(M, L^N)$  to the circle bundle  $X \xrightarrow{\pi} M$  of unit vectors in the dual bundle  $L^{-1} \rightarrow M$  with respect to the dual metric  $h^{-1}$ . (Since  $(L, h)$  is positive,  $X$  is a strictly pseudoconvex CR manifold.) The lift  $\hat{s}_N : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  of the section  $s_N$  is given by

$$\hat{s}_N(\lambda) = (\lambda^{\otimes N}, s_N(z)), \quad \lambda \in \pi^{-1}(z).$$

The sections  $\hat{s}_N$  span the space  $\mathcal{H}_N^2(X)$  of CR holomorphic functions on  $X$  satisfying  $\hat{s}(e^{i\theta}x) = e^{iN\theta}\hat{s}(x)$ . The Szegő projector is the orthogonal projector  $\Pi_N : \mathcal{L}^2(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_N^2(X)$ , which is given by the Szegő kernel

$$\Pi_N(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_N} \widehat{S}_j^N(x) \overline{\widehat{S}_j^N(y)} \quad (x, y \in X).$$

(The lifts  $\widehat{S}_j^N$  of the orthonormal sections  $S_j^N$  form an orthonormal basis for  $\mathcal{H}_N^2(X)$ .) The Szegő kernel is also known as the “two point function,” since

$$\mathbf{E}_N \left( \widehat{s}_N(x) \overline{\widehat{s}_N(y)} \right) = \sum_{j,k} \mathbf{E}_N(c_j \bar{c}_k) \widehat{S}_j^N(x) \overline{\widehat{S}_k^N(y)} = \Pi_N(x, y). \quad (8)$$

It was shown in [Ca, Ze] that the Szegő kernel on the diagonal has the asymptotics:

$$\Pi_N(x, x) = \frac{N^m}{\pi^m} + O(N^{m-1}). \quad (9)$$

We shall apply the following form of the leading part of the off-diagonal asymptotics of the Szegő kernel from [SZ2, SZ3]. We write

$$P_N(z, w) := \frac{|\Pi_N(x, y)|}{\sqrt{\Pi_N(x, x)} \sqrt{\Pi_N(y, y)}}, \quad x \in \pi^{-1}(z), \quad y \in \pi^{-1}(w). \quad (10)$$

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** [SZ2, SZ3] *Let  $b > \sqrt{2k}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then*

$$P_N(z, w) = \begin{cases} [1 + o(1)]e^{-\frac{N}{2} \text{dist}(z, w)^2}, & \text{uniformly for } \text{dist}(z, w) \leq b \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \\ O(N^{-k}), & \text{uniformly for } \text{dist}(z, w) \geq b \sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \end{cases}.$$

Proposition 2.1 comes from a combination of Propositions 2.6–2.7 of [SZ3], which are immediate consequences of the off-diagonal Szegő kernel asymptotics in [BSZ, SZ2]. (For a short derivation of the Szegő kernel asymptotics using local reproducing kernels, see [BBS].)

In the following section, we use Proposition 2.1 to give a lower bound (which holds with “rare” exceptions) for the maximum modulus. For this argument, we need the near-diagonal estimate of Proposition 2.1 for distances of order  $\sqrt{\log N}/\sqrt{N}$ .

### 3. LARGE DEVIATIONS OF THE MAXIMUM MODULUS

For an open set  $U \subset M$ , we define the random variables

$$\mathcal{M}_N^U(s_N) = \sup_{z \in U} \{|s_N(z)|_{h^N}\} = \sup_{\pi^{-1}(U)} |\widehat{s}_N|, \quad s_N \in H^0(M, L^N).$$

The first step in our proof of Theorems 1.5–1.1 is the following estimate of the probability of large deviations of  $\log \mathcal{M}_N^U$ :

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For  $\delta > 0$ , we have*

$$\gamma_N \{ |\log \mathcal{M}_N^U(s_N)| \geq \delta N \} \leq e^{-C_{\delta, U} N^{m+1}} \quad \forall N \gg 0.$$

We give below separate proofs of the estimate of the probabilities that the upper bound  $\delta N$  and the lower bound  $-\delta N$  of  $\log \mathcal{M}_N^U(s_N)$  are violated.

**3.1. Upper bound estimate.** The easy case is the upper bound. We must show that

$$\gamma_N \{ \mathcal{M}_N^U(s_N) > e^{\delta N} \} < e^{-C_{\delta, U} N^{m+1}}. \quad (11)$$

This is a large deviations event since on average  $|s_N(z)|$  has polynomial growth.

We denote by  $\Phi_N = (S_1^N : \dots : S_{d_N}^N) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{d_N-1}$  the Kodaira embedding with respect to an orthonormal basis  $\{S_j^N\}$ , where

$$d_N = \dim H^0(M, L^N) = \frac{c_1(L)^m}{m!} N^m + O(N^{m-1}).$$

The Kodaira map  $\Phi_N$  lifts to the map

$$\widehat{\Phi}_N = (\widehat{S}_1^N, \dots, \widehat{S}_{d_N}^N) : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{d_N}.$$

We recall that

$$\Pi_N(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^{d_N} \widehat{S}_j^N(x) \overline{\widehat{S}_j^N(y)} = \langle \widehat{\Phi}_N(x), \widehat{\Phi}_N(y) \rangle. \quad (12)$$

Let  $s_N = \sum_{j=1}^{d_N} c_j S_j^N$  denote a random element of  $H^0(M, L^N)$ , and write  $c = (c_1, \dots, c_{d_N})$ . Then,

$$\widehat{s}_N = \sum_{j=1}^{d_N} c_j \widehat{S}_j^N = c \cdot \widehat{\Phi}_N, \quad (13)$$

and thus

$$|\widehat{s}_N(x)| = \left| c \cdot \widehat{\Phi}_N(x) \right| \leq \|c\| \|\widehat{\Phi}_N(x)\|. \quad (14)$$

Recalling (9), we have

$$\|\widehat{\Phi}_N(x)\|^2 = \Pi_N(x, x) = \frac{N^m}{\pi^m} + O(N^{m-1}). \quad (15)$$

By (14)–(15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_N \{s_N : \mathcal{M}_N^U(s_N) > e^{\delta N}\} &\leq \gamma_N \left\{ c \in \mathbb{C}^{d_N} : \|c\| \sup \|\widehat{\Phi}_N\| > e^{\delta N} \right\} \\ &\leq \gamma_N \left\{ c \in \mathbb{C}^{d_N} : \|c\| > C N^{-m/2} e^{\delta N} \right\} \\ &\leq \gamma_N \left\{ c \in \mathbb{C}^{d_N} : \max |c_j| > C d_N^{-1/2} N^{-m/2} e^{\delta N} \right\} \\ &\leq e^{-C^2 N^{-m} e^{2\delta N}} \leq e^{-\epsilon^{\delta N}}, \quad \text{for } N \gg 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives a much better upper bound estimate than (11).

**3.2. Lower bound estimate.** We now apply the Szegő kernel asymptotics of Proposition 2.1 to prove the large deviations estimate on the lower bound:

$$\gamma_N \{ \mathcal{M}_N^U(s) < e^{-\delta N} \} < e^{-C_{\delta, U} N^{m+1}}. \quad (16)$$

To verify (16), we choose a point  $z_0 \in U$  and a  $2m$ -cube  $[-t, t]^{2m}$  centered at the origin in  $T_{z_0}M \equiv \mathbb{R}^{2m}$ . We choose  $t$  sufficiently small so that  $\exp_{z_0}([-t, t]^{2m}) \subset U$  and

$$\frac{1}{2} \|v - w\| \leq \text{dist}(\exp_{z_0}(v), \exp_{z_0}(w)) \leq 2 \|v - w\|, \quad \text{for } v, w \in [-t, t]^{2m}.$$

For each  $N > 0$ , we consider the lattice of  $n$  points  $\{z_\nu^N\}$  in  $U$  given by

$$z_\nu^N = \exp_{z_0} \left( \frac{a}{\sqrt{N}} \nu \right), \quad \nu \in \Gamma_N := \left\{ (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{2m}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2m} : |\nu_j| \leq \frac{t\sqrt{N}}{a} \right\}, \quad (17)$$

where  $a$  is to be chosen sufficiently large. The number of points is given by

$$n = \left( 2 \left\lfloor \frac{t\sqrt{N}}{a} \right\rfloor + 1 \right)^{2m} = \left( \frac{2t}{a} \right)^{2m} N^m + O(N^{m-1/2}). \quad (18)$$

Choosing points  $\lambda_\nu^N \in X$  with  $\pi(\lambda_\nu^N) = z_\nu^N$ , we consider the complex Gaussian random variables

$$\xi_\nu = \frac{\hat{s}_N(\lambda_\nu)}{\Pi_N(\lambda_\nu, \lambda_\nu)^{1/2}} = \frac{(\lambda_\nu^{\otimes N}, s_N(z_\nu))}{\Pi_N(\lambda_\nu, \lambda_\nu)^{1/2}},$$

where we omit the superscript  $N$  to simplify notation. We note that  $\xi_\nu = \langle \hat{s}_N, \Phi_N^{\lambda_\nu} \rangle$  where  $\Phi_N^y(x) = \frac{\Pi_N(x, y)}{\sqrt{\Pi_N(y, y)}}$  is the coherent state centered at  $y$ . Since  $\Pi_N(\lambda_\nu, \lambda_\nu)^{1/2} \approx \left(\frac{N}{\pi}\right)^{m/2}$ , it suffices to show that

$$\gamma_N \{ \max_\nu |\xi_\nu| < e^{-\delta N} \} < e^{-C_{\delta, U} N^{m+1}}, \quad \text{for } N \gg 0. \quad (19)$$

We note that  $\mathbf{E}_N(|\xi_\nu|^2) = 1$ , i.e. the  $\xi_\nu$  are standard complex Gaussians. The  $\xi_\nu$  are not independent random variables; instead, we now apply the off-diagonal asymptotics of the Szegő kernel to show that they are ‘‘almost independent’’ in the sense that the covariances  $\mathbf{E}_N(\xi_\mu \bar{\xi}_\nu)$  are sufficiently small (for  $\mu \neq \nu$ ). By (8) and (10), these covariances satisfy

$$|\mathbf{E}_N(\xi_\mu \bar{\xi}_\nu)| = \frac{|\Pi_N(z_\mu, z_\nu)|}{\sqrt{\Pi_N(z_\mu, z_\mu)} \sqrt{\Pi_N(z_\nu, z_\nu)}} = P_N(z_\mu, z_\nu). \quad (20)$$

We now verify (19): Let

$$\Delta = (\Delta_{\mu\nu}), \quad \Delta_{\mu\nu} = \mathbf{E}_N(\xi_\mu \bar{\xi}_\nu), \quad \mu, \nu \in \Gamma_N$$

denote the covariance matrix. Then by Proposition 2.1, for  $N \gg 0$  we have

$$|\Delta_{\mu\nu}| \leq \begin{cases} 2e^{-\frac{N}{2} \text{dist}(z_\mu, z_\nu)^2} & \text{if } \text{dist}(z_\mu, z_\nu) \leq b\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \\ O(N^{-m-1}) & \text{if } \text{dist}(z_\mu, z_\nu) \geq b\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \end{cases}, \quad (21)$$

where  $b = \sqrt{2m+3}$ .

Inspired by the almost independence result of [NSV, Lemma 2.3], we claim that for all  $\eta > 0$ , we can choose the constant  $a$  in (17) such that for each fixed  $\mu \in \Gamma_N$ ,

$$\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} |\Delta_{\mu\nu}| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \text{for } N \gg 0. \quad (22)$$

(Actually, we can make the sum smaller than any positive number.)

Proof of (22): In equation (22) and in the following, we fix  $\mu$ ; all sums are over  $\nu$  only. By (21), we have

$$\sum_{\nu \neq \mu} |\Delta_{\mu\nu}| \leq \sum_{\text{near}} + O(N^{-1}),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{near} &:= \sum \left\{ \Delta_{\mu\nu} : 0 < \text{dist}(z_\mu, z_\nu) \leq b\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \right\} \\ &\leq \sum \left\{ 2e^{-\frac{N}{2} \text{dist}(z_\mu, z_\nu)^2} : 0 < \text{dist}(z_\mu, z_\nu) \leq b\sqrt{\frac{\log N}{N}} \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Since  $\text{dist}(z_\nu, z_\mu) > \frac{a}{2\sqrt{N}}\|\nu - \mu\|$ , we have for  $a \gg 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{near} &\leq \sum_{\nu \neq \mu} 2e^{-a^2\|\nu - \mu\|^2/8} = \sum_{\nu \neq 0} 2e^{-a^2\|\nu\|^2/8} \leq \sum_{\nu \neq 0} C_m \int_{\{\|x - \nu\| \leq 1/3\}} e^{-a^2(\|x\| - 1/3)^2/8} dx \\ &\leq C_m \int_{\{\|x\| \geq 2/3\}} e^{-a^2(\|x\| - 1/3)^2/8} dx \leq C_m \int_{\{\|x\| \geq 2/3\}} e^{-a^2\|x\|^2/32} dx \leq C'_m e^{-a^2/72} < \frac{1}{2} \end{aligned}$$

(where  $C_m, C'_m$  are constants depending only on  $m$ ), which verifies (22).

We consider the  $\ell^\infty$  norm on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$\|v\| := \max_{\mu} |v_\mu|, \quad v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

which is implicit in (19). Write  $\Delta = I + A$ . We note that  $\Delta_{\mu\mu} = \mathbf{E}_N(|\xi_\mu|^2) = 1$  and hence the diagonal entries of  $A$  vanish. By (22), for  $N \gg 0$  we have

$$\left| \sum_{\nu} A_{\mu\nu} v_\nu \right| \leq \sum_{\nu} |A_{\mu\nu}| \|v\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|, \quad v \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

and hence

$$\|Av\| = \max_{\mu} \left| \sum_{\nu} A_{\mu\nu} v_\nu \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|, \quad \therefore \|\Delta v\| \geq \|v\| - \|Av\| \geq \frac{1}{2} \|v\|.$$

It follows that the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix  $\Delta$  are bounded below by  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore,  $\Delta$  is invertible and the eigenvalues of  $\Delta^{-1/2}$  are bounded above by  $\sqrt{2}$ .

We now write  $\xi = (\xi_\nu) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and we consider

$$\zeta = (\zeta_\nu) := \Delta^{-1/2} \xi, \quad (24)$$

so that the  $\zeta_\mu$  are independent standard complex Gaussian random variables. Furthermore, we have

$$\|\zeta\| = \|\Delta^{-1/2} \xi\| \leq \|\Delta^{-1/2} \xi\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\xi\| \leq \sqrt{2n} \|\xi\|.$$

Recalling (18), we let  $C = (2t/a)^{2m}$  so that  $n \approx CN^m$ , and thus

$$\max_{\mu} |\zeta_\mu| \leq \sqrt{2n} \max_{\mu} |\xi_\mu| \leq \sqrt{3C} N^{m/2} \max_{\mu} |\xi_\mu|, \quad (25)$$

for  $N \gg 0$ . Writing  $\varepsilon_N = \sqrt{3C} N^{m/2} e^{-\delta N}$ , we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Prob} \{ \max |\xi_\mu| \leq e^{-\delta N} \} &= \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\max |\xi_\mu| \leq e^{-\delta N}} e^{-\|\zeta\|^2} d\zeta \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{\max |\zeta_\mu| \leq \varepsilon_N} e^{-\|\zeta\|^2} d\zeta \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\pi^n} \int_{|\zeta_1| \leq \varepsilon_N} \cdots \int_{|\zeta_n| \leq \varepsilon_N} d\zeta \\
&= (\varepsilon_N)^{2n} \leq (\varepsilon_N)^{CN^m} \\
&= \exp \left( \left[ -\delta N + \frac{m}{2} \log N + \log \sqrt{3C} \right] CN^m \right) \\
&\leq \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \delta CN^{m+1} \right), \quad \text{for } N \gg 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This verifies the lower bound estimate (16) and completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THE MAIN RESULTS

**4.1. Proof of Lemma 1.6.** In this section we use Theorem 3.1 to prove Lemma 1.6. We recall that

$$\log^+ t = \max(\log t, 0), \quad \log^- t := \log^+ \frac{1}{t} = \max(-\log t, 0),$$

and we use the identity  $|\log t| = \log^+ t + \log^- t$  to split the integrand of the lemma into two parts. Theorem 3.1 immediately yields the bound

$$\gamma_N \left\{ \int_M \log^+ |s_N|_{h^N} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} N \right\} \leq e^{-C_\delta N^{m+1}}. \quad (26)$$

Thus it suffices to show that

$$\gamma_N \left\{ \int_M \log^- |s_N|_{h^N} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} N \right\} \leq e^{-C_\delta N^{m+1}}. \quad (27)$$

To prove (27), we shall show that the integrals of  $\log^- |s_N|_{h^N}$  over spheres are bounded above by  $\delta N$  when  $s_N$  lies outside a small set. Let  $U \subset M$  be a coordinate neighborhood with holomorphic coordinates  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_m) : U \approx B(4)$ , where  $B(r) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \|z\| < r\}$  denotes the ball of radius  $r$  in  $\mathbb{C}^m$ . We have the following bound on the spherical integrals:

**LEMMA 4.1.** *For all  $\delta > 0$ , there exist a positive constant  $C_\delta$  and measurable sets  $E_{N,\delta} \subset H^0(M, L^N)$  such that  $\gamma_N(E_{N,\delta}) < e^{-C_\delta N^{m+1}}$  and*

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^- |s_N|_{h^N} d\sigma_r \leq \delta N \quad \text{for } s_N \in H^0(M, L^N) \setminus E_{N,\delta}, \quad r \in [1, 3], \quad N \gg 0,$$

where  $\sigma_r$  denotes the invariant probability measure on the sphere  $\{\|z\| = r\}$ .

*Proof.* The proof given here mostly follows the proofs in [ST2, Zr1], which implicitly use the radial metric  $h = e^{-r^2}$ . Since our metric is not radial and since we require the exceptional sets  $E_{N,\delta}$  to be independent of  $r$ , we need to modify the arguments of [ST2, Zr1]. For example, we shall subdivide the radial interval  $[1, 3]$ , as well as the spheres, when applying the inequality (29) below.

We begin with a deterministic estimate: Decompose the unit sphere  $\mathbb{B}(1)$  into a disjoint union of sets  $I_1, \dots, I_q$  of diameter  $\leq \delta^{2m+2}$ . (The number  $q$  depends on  $\delta$ ; for an optimal decomposition,  $q \sim \delta^{-(2m-1)(2m+2)}$ , but this estimate for  $q$  is unimportant and any decomposition with this diameter bound will do.) Suppose that  $r \in [1, 3]$  and  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , and let  $\zeta_k \in \mathbb{C}^m$  such that

$$\text{dist}(\zeta_k, (r - \delta)I_k) < \delta^{2m+2}, \quad (28)$$

for  $1 \leq k \leq q$ . Let  $u$  be a subharmonic function on the ball  $B(4)$ . The following estimate is given in the proofs in [ST2, Zr1]:

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} u(z) d\sigma_r(z) \geq \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k u(\zeta_k) - C_m \delta \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} |u(z)| d\sigma_r(z), \quad (29)$$

where  $\mu_k = \sigma_1(I_k)$  (so that  $\sum \mu_k = 1$ ), and  $C_m$  is a constant depending only on  $m$ .

For completeness, we provide a proof of (29) here: Let  $P_r(\zeta, z) = r^{2m-2} \frac{r^2 - \|\zeta\|^2}{\|\zeta - z\|^{2m}}$  denote the Poisson kernel for the  $r$ -ball  $B(r)$ , normalized so that  $\psi(\zeta) = \int P_r(\zeta, z) \psi(z) d\sigma_r(z)$  for harmonic functions  $\psi$ . Since  $u$  is subharmonic, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k u(\zeta_k) &\leq \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k P_r(\zeta_k, z) u(z) d\sigma_r(z) \\ &\leq \int \left| \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k P_r(\zeta_k, z) - 1 \right| |u(z)| d\sigma_r(z) + \int u(z) d\sigma_r(z). \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Next we bound the quantity  $\sum \mu_k P_r(\zeta_k, z) - 1$ . By the  $O(2m)$ -invariance of  $P_r(\zeta, z)$ , we have  $\int P_r(\zeta, z) d\sigma_s(\zeta) = 1$  for  $0 < s < r = \|z\|$ . Since  $\|\zeta_k - \zeta\| < 4\delta^{2m+2}$  for  $\zeta \in (r - \delta)I_k$ , we have for  $\|z\| = r$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k P_r(\zeta_k, z) - 1 \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k P_r(\zeta_k, z) - \int_{\{\|\zeta\|=r-\delta\}} P_r(\zeta, z) d\sigma_{r-\delta}(\zeta) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k \int_{(r-\delta)I_k} |P_r(\zeta_k, z) - P_r(\zeta, z)| d\sigma_{r-\delta}(\zeta) \\ &\leq 4\delta^{2m+2} \sup_{\|\zeta\| \leq r-\delta/2} \|d_\zeta P_r(\zeta, z)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|d_\zeta P_r(\zeta, z)\| \leq C'_m r^{2m} \|\zeta - z\|^{-2m-1}$ , we conclude that

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k P_r(\zeta_k, z) - 1 \right| \leq C_m \delta, \quad \text{for } \|z\| = r. \quad (31)$$

The inequality (29) follows from (30)–(31).

We now use the following notation:  $A(N, r, \delta) \lesssim B(N, r, \delta)$  means that for all  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ , there exist a positive integer  $N_0(\delta)$ , a positive constant  $C_\delta$ , and sets  $E_{N,\delta} \subset H^0(M, L^N)$  of measure  $< e^{-C_\delta N^{m+1}}$  such that  $A(N, r, \delta) \leq B(N, r, \delta)$  whenever  $s_N$  is not in  $E_{N,\delta}$ , for  $N \geq N_0(\delta)$ ,  $r \in [1, 3]$ . (The constants  $N_0(\delta)$ ,  $C_\delta$  and exceptional sets  $E_{N,\delta}$  are independent of  $r$ .) We note that the relation  $\lesssim$  is transitive; furthermore, if  $A_1 \lesssim B_1$  and  $A_2 \lesssim B_2$ , then  $A_1 + A_2 \lesssim B_1 + B_2$ . We also write  $A(N, r, \delta) \gtrsim B(N, r, \delta)$  when  $B(N, r, \delta) \lesssim A(N, r, \delta)$ .

Let  $s_N \in H^0(M, L^N)$  and write  $s_N = f_N e_L^{\otimes N}$ , where  $e_L$  is a local frame over  $U$ . We claim that

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} |\log |f_N(z)|| d\sigma_r(z) \lesssim K_1 N. \quad (32)$$

Here, and in the following,  $K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4$  denote constants independent of  $\delta, N, r$  (but depending on  $M, L, h, U$ ).

We let  $\alpha(z) = \log |e_L(z)|_h^2$  so that

$$\log |s_N|_{h^N} = \log |f_N| + \frac{N}{2} \alpha. \quad (33)$$

To prove (32), we note that it follows from the upper bound estimate (26) that

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^+ |s_N(z)|_{h^N} d\sigma_r(z) \leq \log \mathcal{M}_N^U(s_N) \lesssim \delta N, \quad (34)$$

and therefore

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^+ |f_N| d\sigma_r \leq \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^+ |s_N|_{h^N} d\sigma_r + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} |\alpha| d\sigma_r \lesssim K_2 N. \quad (35)$$

By Theorem 3.1, we can choose a point  $\zeta_0 \in B(1/4)$  such that  $\log |s_N(\zeta_0)|_{h^N} \geq -N$ , and thus by (33),  $\log |f_N(\zeta_0)| \geq -K_3 N$ , unless  $s_N$  lies in a set of measure  $\leq \exp(-C_{1,B(1/4)} N^{m+1})$ . By the Poisson formula,

$$\log |f_N(\zeta_0)| + \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} P_r(\zeta_0, z) \log^- |f_N(z)| d\sigma_r(z) \leq \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} P_r(\zeta_0, z) \log^+ |f_N(z)| d\sigma_r(z).$$

Let  $C \in \mathbb{R}^+$  such that

$$C^{-1} \leq P_r(\zeta_0, z) \leq C, \quad \text{for } \|\zeta_0\| \leq 1/4, \|z\| = r \in [1/2, 3].$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} C^{-1} \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^- |f_N(z)| d\sigma_r(z) &\leq \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} P_r(\zeta_0, z) \log^- |f_N(z)| d\sigma_r(z) \\ &\leq C \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^+ |f_N(z)| d\sigma_r(z) - \log |f_N(\zeta_0)| \\ &\lesssim CK_2 N + K_3 N, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (35) yields the claim (32).

We now construct an open covering  $\{U_{kj}\}$  of the annulus  $\{1/2 \leq \|z\| \leq 3\}$  as follows: Let  $r_j = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{5}{6} \delta^{2m+2} j$ , for  $0 \leq j \leq p := \lceil 3\delta^{-2m-2} \rceil$ . Let  $I_1, \dots, I_q$  be disjoint sets of diameter  $\leq \delta^{2m+2}$  decomposing the unit sphere  $\mathbb{B}(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ , as above. Then the open sets

$$U_{kj} := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : \text{dist}(z, r_j I_k) < \frac{1}{2} \delta^{2m+2}\}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq q, 1 \leq j \leq p,$$

cover the annulus  $\{1/2 \leq \|z\| \leq 3\}$ .

Next we apply Theorem 3.1 to choose points  $\zeta_{kj} \in U_{kj}$  such that  $\log |s_N(\zeta_{kj})|_{h^N} > -\delta N$  for all  $k, j$ , unless  $s_N$  lies in an exceptional set  $E_{N,\delta}$  of measure

$$\gamma_N(E_{N,\delta}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^q \sum_{j=1}^p \exp(-C_{\delta, U_{kj}} N^{m+1}) \leq e^{-C_\delta N^{m+1}} \quad \text{for } N \gg 0.$$

Let  $r \in [1, 3]$ ,  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  be fixed. Choose  $j$  such that  $|r - \delta - r_j| < \frac{1}{2}\delta^{2m+2}$ . Then

$$z \in rI_k \implies |z - \zeta_{kj}| \leq \left| z - \frac{r_j}{r} z \right| + \left| \frac{r_j}{r} z - \zeta_{kj} \right| < |r - r_j| + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{2m+2} + 3\delta^{2m+2} < \delta + 4\delta^{2m+2} < 2\delta.$$

Thus

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \alpha(z) d\sigma_r(z) = \sum_{k=1}^q \int_{rI_k} \alpha d\sigma_r \geq \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k \alpha(\zeta_{kj}) - 2\delta \sup |d\alpha|. \quad (36)$$

Since

$$\text{dist}(\zeta_{kj}, (r - \delta)I_k) \leq \text{dist}(\zeta_{kj}, r_j I_k) + |r - \delta - r_j| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta^{2m+2} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^{2m+2} = \delta^{2m+2},$$

we have by (29),

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log |f_N(z)| d\sigma_r(z) \geq \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k \log |f_N(\zeta_{kj})| - C_m \delta \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} |\log |f_N(z)|| d\sigma_r(z), \quad (37)$$

Recalling (33), we combine (36)–(37) to conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log |s_N|_{h^N} d\sigma_r &= \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log |f_N| d\sigma_r + \frac{N}{2} \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \alpha d\sigma_r \\ &\gtrsim \sum_{k=1}^q \mu_k \log |s_N(\zeta_{kj})|_{h^N} - C_m \delta \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} |\log |f_N|| d\sigma_r - N\delta \sup |d\alpha|, \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (32) and the choice of the  $\zeta_{kj}$ ,

$$\int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} -\log |s_N|_{h^N} d\sigma_r \lesssim \delta N + C_m \delta K_1 N + N\delta \sup |d\alpha| = K_4 \delta N. \quad (38)$$

Therefore by (34) and (38),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^- \|s_N\|_{h^N} d\sigma_r &= \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} -\log \|s_N\|_{h^N} d\sigma_r + \int_{\{\|z\|=r\}} \log^+ \|s_N\|_{h^N} d\sigma_r \\ &\lesssim K_4 \delta N + \delta N. \end{aligned}$$

□

We now use Lemma 4.1 to verify the estimate (27): Since it is difficult to control the exceptional set for the spherical integral in the lemma as the radius  $r \rightarrow 0$ , we cover  $M$  by a finite number of coordinate annuli of the form  $B(3) \setminus B(1)$ . Integrating the inequality of Lemma 4.1 over  $1 \leq r \leq 3$ , we conclude that

$$\int_{B(3) \setminus B(1)} \log^- |s_N|_{h^N} \leq K\delta N, \quad \text{for } s_N \in H^0(M, L^N) \setminus E_{N,\delta}.$$

The estimate (27) follows by summing over the annuli. This completes the proof of Lemma 1.6. □

## 4.2. Completion of the proofs.

4.2.1. *Proof of Theorem 1.5.* We let  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}^{m-1, m-1}(M)$  be an arbitrary test form. By the Poincaré-Lelong formula

$$\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |s_N|_{h^N} = [Z_{s_N}] - \frac{N}{\pi} \omega_h, \quad (39)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{Z_{s_N}} \varphi - \frac{N}{\pi} \int_M \omega_h \wedge \varphi \right| &= \left| \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |s_N|_{h^N}, \varphi \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \left| \int_M \log |s_N|_{h^N} \partial \bar{\partial} \varphi \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_M |\log |s_N|_{h^N}| |\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi| d\text{Vol}_M \\ &\leq \frac{\sup |\partial \bar{\partial} \varphi|}{\pi} \int_M |\log |s_N|_{h^N}| d\text{Vol}_M, \end{aligned} \quad (40)$$

where  $d\text{Vol}_M = \frac{1}{m!} \omega_h^m$  is the volume form on  $M$ . The conclusion of Theorem 1.5 follows by combining Lemma 1.6 and (40).  $\square$

4.2.2. *Proof of Theorem 1.1.* We let  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary, and we choose  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(M)$  such that

$$0 \leq \psi_1 \leq \chi_U \leq \psi_2 \leq 1, \quad \int_M \psi_1 d\text{Vol}_M \geq \text{Vol}_{2m}(U) - \delta, \quad \int_M \psi_2 d\text{Vol}_M \leq \text{Vol}_{2m}(\bar{U}) + \delta.$$

We now let  $\varphi_j = \frac{\psi_j}{(m-1)!} \omega_h^{m-1}$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ . For  $s_N$  not in an exceptional set of measure  $< e^{-C_{\varphi_2} N^{m+1}}$  (note that  $C_{\varphi_2}$  depends on  $\delta$  and  $U$ ), we have by Theorem 1.5,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Vol}_{2m-2}(Z_{s_N} \cap U) &= \int_{Z_{s_N}} \chi_U \frac{\omega_h^{m-1}}{(m-1)!} \leq \int_{Z_{s_N}} \varphi_2 \leq \frac{N}{\pi} \int_M \omega_h \wedge \varphi_2 + \delta N \\ &= \frac{N}{\pi} \int_M m \psi_2 d\text{Vol}_M + \delta N \leq \frac{Nm}{\pi} \text{Vol}_{2m}(\bar{U}) + \left( \frac{m}{\pi} + 1 \right) \delta N. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Using  $\psi_1, \varphi_1$ , we similarly conclude that for  $s_N$  not in an exceptional set of measure  $< e^{-C_{\varphi_2} N^{m+1}}$ ,

$$\text{Vol}_{2m-2}(Z_{s_N} \cap U) \geq \frac{Nm}{\pi} \text{Vol}_{2m}(U) - \left( \frac{m}{\pi} + 1 \right) \delta N. \quad (42)$$

Replacing  $\left( \frac{m}{\pi} + 1 \right) \delta$  by  $\delta$  in the above, we obtain Theorem 1.1. (Alternatively, since  $\text{Vol}(Z_{s_N})$  is constant, we can obtain (42) by applying (41) to  $M \setminus \bar{U}$  and using the fact that  $\text{Vol}(Z_{s_N} \cap \bar{U}) = 0$  a.s.)  $\square$

4.2.3. *Proof of Corollary 1.3.* In terms of the affine coordinates  $z \in \mathbb{C}^m \subset \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^m$ , the Fubini-Study metric  $h = (1 + \|z\|)^{-2}$  induces the Kähler form  $\omega_{\text{FS}} = \frac{i}{2}\Theta_h = \frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\log(1 + \|z\|)^2$ . We modify the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1 in §4.2.2: Let  $\alpha = \frac{1}{(m-1)! \pi} \omega_{\text{FS}} \wedge (\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\|z\|^2)^{m-1}$ , so that  $V_U = \int_U \alpha$ . Let  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary, and choose  $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}}^{\infty}(M)$  such that

$$0 \leq \psi_1 \leq \chi_U \leq \psi_2 \leq 1, \quad \int_M \psi_1 \alpha \geq \int_U \alpha - \delta, \quad \int_M \psi_2 \alpha \leq \int_U \alpha + \delta,$$

and let  $\varphi_j = \frac{\psi_j}{(m-1)!} (\frac{i}{2}\partial\bar{\partial}\|z\|^2)^{m-1}$ , for  $j = 1, 2$ . By Theorem 1.5, for  $f_N$  not in a set of measure  $< e^{-CN^{m+1}}$ , we have

$$\text{Vol}_{2m-2}^E(Z_{f_N} \cap U) \leq \int_{Z_{f_N}} \varphi_2 \leq N \left( \int \psi_2 \alpha + \delta \right) \leq N(V_U + 2\delta),$$

and similarly,

$$\text{Vol}_{2m-2}^E(Z_{f_N} \cap U) \geq N(V_U - 2\delta),$$

which verifies (2). Evaluating the integral for  $V_{B(r)}$ , we obtain (3).  $\square$

4.2.4. *Proof of Theorem 1.4.* The upper bound on the probability is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1.1, so we need only show the lower bound. Choose a section  $\sigma \in H^0(M, L)$  such that  $\sup_M |\sigma|_h = 1$  and  $\sigma$  does not vanish on  $\bar{U}$ . For each  $N \geq 1$ , we let

$$S_1^N = \|\sigma^{\otimes N}\|_{L^2}^{-1} \sigma^{\otimes N} \in H^0(M, L^N).$$

We then complete  $\{S_1^N\}$  to an orthonormal basis  $\{S_1^N, S_2^N, \dots, S_{d_N}^N\}$  for  $H^0(M, L^N)$ .

Since  $\|\sigma^{\otimes N}\|_{L^\infty} = 1$ , we have

$$\|\sigma^{\otimes N}\|_{L^2} \leq \text{Vol}(M)^{1/2}, \quad \forall N > 0.$$

Let

$$s_N = c_1 S_1^N + \dots + c_{d_N} S_{d_N}^N = c_1 S_1^N + s'_N$$

be a random section in  $H^0(M, L^N)$ . Recalling (9), we have

$$|s'_N(z)|_{h^N} = \left| \sum_{j \geq 2} c_j S_j^N(z) \right|_{h^N} \leq \|c'\| \left( \sum_{j \geq 2} |S_j^N(z)|_{h^N}^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|c'\| \Pi_N(z, z)^{1/2} \leq \|c'\| N^{m/2}, \quad (43)$$

for  $z \in M$ ,  $N \gg 0$ , where  $c' = (c_2, \dots, c_{d_N})$ . Write

$$\inf_U |\sigma|_h = e^{-a}.$$

(Note that  $a > 0$  since  $\sup_U |\sigma|_h \leq 1$  and  $i\partial\bar{\partial}\log|\sigma|_h < 0$ .) Therefore,

$$\inf_U |S_1^N|_{h^N} = \frac{e^{-aN}}{\|\sigma^{\otimes N}\|_{L^2}} \geq b e^{-aN}, \quad \text{where } b = \text{Vol}(M)^{-1/2}. \quad (44)$$

Let

$$t_N = \frac{b e^{-aN}}{N^{m/2} \sqrt{d_N}}.$$

Since  $\|c'\| \leq \sqrt{d_N} \max_{j \geq 2} |c_j|$ , it follows from (43)–(44) that for  $N \gg 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ s_N = \sum c_j S_j^N : |c_1| > 1, |c_j| < t_N \text{ for } j \geq 2 \right\} \\ & \subset \left\{ s_N = c_1 S_1^N + s'_N : \inf_U |c_1 S_1^N|_{h^N} > b e^{-aN}, \sup_M |s'_N|_{h^N} \leq b e^{-aN} \right\} \subset \{s_N : Z_{s_N} \cap U = \emptyset\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the estimate  $\text{Prob}\{|c_j| \leq t\} \geq t^2/2$  for  $t < 1$ , we then conclude that

$$\gamma_N \{s_N : Z_{s_N} \cap U = \emptyset\} \geq e^{-1} (t_N^2/2)^{d_N-1} \geq (CN^{-m} e^{-aN})^{2d_N} \geq e^{-C'N^{m+1}},$$

for  $N \gg 0$ , where  $C, C'$  are positive constants independent of  $N$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [BBS] R. Berman, B. Berndtsson and J. Sjöstrand, A direct approach to Bergman kernel asymptotics for positive line bundles, arXiv:math/0506367v2.
- [BSZ] P. Bleher, B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch, Universality and scaling of correlations between zeros on complex manifolds, *Invent. Math.* 142 (2000), 351–395.
- [Ca] D. Catlin, The Bergman kernel and a theorem of Tian, in: *Analysis and Geometry in Several Complex Variables*, G. Komatsu and M. Kuranishi, eds., Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [Kr] M. Krishnapur, Overcrowding estimates for zeroes of planar and hyperbolic Gaussian analytic functions, *J. Stat. Phys.* 124 (2006), 1399–1423.
- [NSV] F. Nazarov, M. Sodin and A. Volberg, Transportation to random zeroes by the gradient flow, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* 17 (2007), 887–935.
- [Of] A. C. Offord, The distribution of zeros of power series whose coefficients are independent random variables, *Indian J. Math.* 9 (1967), 175–196.
- [PV] Y. Peres and B. Virág, Zeros of the i.i.d. Gaussian power series: a conformally invariant determinantal process, *Acta Math.* 194 (2005), 1–35.
- [SZ1] B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch, Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles, *Comm. Math. Phys.* 200 (1999), 661–683.
- [SZ2] B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch, Asymptotics of almost holomorphic sections of ample line bundles on symplectic manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 544 (2002), 181–222.
- [SZ3] B. Shiffman and S. Zelditch, Number variance of random zeros on complex manifolds, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, to appear (arXiv:math/0608743v2).
- [So] M. Sodin, Zeros of Gaussian analytic functions, *Math. Res. Lett.* 7 (2000), 371–381.
- [ST1] M. Sodin and B. Tsirelson, Random complex zeros. I. Asymptotic normality, *Israel J. Math.* 144 (2004), 125–149.
- [ST2] M. Sodin and B. Tsirelson, Random complex zeroes, III. Decay of the hole probability, *Israel J. Math.* 147 (2005), 371–379.
- [Ze] S. Zelditch, Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian, *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* 1998 (1998), 317–331.
- [Zr1] S. Zrebiec, The zeros of flat Gaussian random holomorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and hole probability, *Michigan Math. J.* 55 (2007), 269–284.
- [Zr2] S. Zrebiec, The order of the decay of the hole probability for Gaussian random  $SU(m+1)$  polynomials, arXiv:math/0704.2733v1.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA  
*E-mail address:* shiffman@math.jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MD 21218, USA  
*E-mail address:* zelditch@math.jhu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843, USA  
*E-mail address:* zrebiec@math.tamu.edu