# THE YANG–MILLS STRATIFICATION FOR SURFACES REVISITED

DANIEL A. RAMRAS

ABSTRACT. We revisit Atiyah and Bott's study of Morse theory for the Yang– Mills functional over a Riemann surface. We construct gauge-invariant tubular neighborhoods for the Yang–Mills strata and establish new formulas for the minimum codimension of a (non-semi-stable) stratum. These results yield the exact connectivity of the natural map

$$(\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E))_{h\mathcal{G}(E)} \to \operatorname{Map}^{E}(M, BU(n))$$

from the homotopy orbits of the space of central Yang–Mills connections to the classifying space of the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}(E)$ . All of these results carry over to non-orientable surfaces via Ho and Liu's non-orientable Yang–Mills theory.

Our construction of invariant tubular neighborhoods for locally closed submanifolds of infinite dimensional Riemannian manifolds (with an isometric group action) may be of independent interest.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $M^g$  be a Riemann surface of genus g > 0, and consider a vector bundle Eover M. When E is trivial, the space  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$  of flat connections on E forms the minimum critical set for the Yang–Mills functional  $L : \mathcal{A}(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  is the affine space of all connections, and for  $A \in \mathcal{A}(E)$ ,

$$L(A) = \int_{M} ||F(A)||^2 d\mathrm{vol}_M.$$

Here F(A) is the curvature form of A and the volume of M is normalized to 1. In their seminal paper on Yang–Mills theory [1], Atiyah and Bott showed that by treating L as a gauge-equivariant Morse function, one can learn a great deal about the topology of the critical set  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$  and its stable manifold  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ss}}(E)$ , the space of semi-stable holomorphic structures on E. In particular, Atiyah and Bott provided a framework for computing the gauge-equivariant cohomology of these spaces. When E is non-trivial, the minimum critical set of the Yang–Mills functional consists of *central* Yang–Mills connections and similar methods may be used to study the topology of this space. Again the space  $\mathcal{C}_{\text{ss}}(E)$  of semi-stable structures serves as the stable manifold of this critical set.

This theory has been fleshed out and made rigorous by the work of Daskalopoulos [2] and Råde [9] (building upon Uhlenbeck's famous compactness theorem). In this paper, we consider an issue not discussed by these authors: we establish the existence of gauge-invariant tubular neighborhoods of the Yang–Mills strata (i.e. the stable manifolds of the Yang–Mills critical sets). These tubular neighborhoods provide the Thom isomorphisms required for applications of Yang–Mills theory to

This work was partially supported by an NSF RTG grant, DMS-0353640.

#### DANIEL A. RAMRAS

(co)homological computations. As an application of these results, we prove (Theorem 4.9) that the connectivity of the space  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  of central Yang–Mills connections on a bundle  $E \to M$  of rank n and Chern number k is precisely  $2 \operatorname{gcd}(n, k) - 2$  if the genus g of M is 1, and precisely  $2 \operatorname{(min}([k]_n, [-k]_n) + (g-1)(n-1)) - 2$  if g > 1 (here  $[r]_n$  denotes the unique integer between 1 and n congruent to r modulo n). We provide a similarly explicit formula (Theorem 4.11) in the case of a non-orientable surface M, using Ho and Liu's non-orientable Yang–Mills theory [4]. The same formulas also give the connectivity of the natural map

$$E\mathcal{G}(E) \times_{\mathcal{G}(E)} \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E) = \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)_{h\mathcal{G}(E)} \to \operatorname{Map}^{E}(M, BU(n))$$

from the homotopy orbits of  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  under the gauge group  $\mathcal{G}(E)$  to the classifying space of  $\mathcal{G}(E)$ . These results rely on an interesting combinatorial analysis of the Yang–Mills stratification.

Atiyah and Bott's approach to calculating the gauge-equivariant cohomology of  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  may be seen as a close analogue of finite dimensional Morse theory. In the finite dimensional setting, one describes a manifold as a cell complex built inductively according to the critical-point structure of the Morse function in question. Each critical point corresponds to the addition of a new cell whose dimension equals the index of that critical point, i.e. the codimension of the stable manifold. In the infinite dimensional setting of Yang–Mills theory, one tries to mimic this picture by building up the space of connections one Yang–Mills stratum  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  at a time. At each stage, rather than attaching a finite dimensional cell, we add a new finite codimension submanifold. The effect in gauge-equivariant (co)homology can be analyzed by considering the long exact sequence associated to the pair  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{C}_{\mu_i}, \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_{\mu_i})$ . One then hopes to establish a Thom isomorphism for the relative terms:

$$H^*_{\mathcal{G}(E)}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathcal{C}_{\mu_i}, \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{C}_{\mu_i}; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong H^{*-\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu_m})}_{\mathcal{G}(E)}\left(\mathcal{C}_{\mu_m}; \mathbb{Z}\right).$$

Atiyah and Bott used this method to calculate the gauge-equivariant integral cohomology of the space of semi-stable holomorphic structures.

However, this approach relies on several technical points: first, the strata must be locally closed submanifolds, of finite codimension in the space of all connections. This issue was resolved by Daskalopoulos [2]. Next, one must establish the necessary Thom isomorphisms. In this paper, we will show that the strata  $C_{\mu}$  have gaugeinvariant tubular neighborhoods (neighborhoods equivariantly homeomorphic the *orientable* normal bundles  $\nu(C_{\mu})$ ), and then the desired Thom isomorphisms follow by excising the complements of these tubular neighborhoods and applying the ordinary Thom isomorphism theorem (see Corollaries 3.7 and 2.6). An alternate approach would be to use the fact that after modding out the (based, complex) gauge group, one obtains a smooth algebraic variety, and results of Shatz [11, Section 4] show that the bundles of type  $\mu$  form a smooth subvariety. This algebraic approach involves various technicalities, which we will not attempt to resolve here. Our infinite dimensional approach will also yield tubular neighborhoods (and Thom isomorphisms) in the setting of Ho and Liu's non-orientable Yang-Mills theory.

Orientability of the normal bundles is immediate over Riemann surfaces, because these are naturally *complex* vector bundles. Over a non-orientable surface, these are only *real* vector bundles, and before applying the (integral) Thom isomorphism theorem it is necessary to know that these bundles are orientable. A solution to this problem is given in Ho–Liu–Ramras [5] (for the connectivity calculations in this paper, the mod–2 Thom isomorphism together with the universal coefficient theorem suffice).

Standard constructions of tubular neighborhoods for submanifolds of infinitedimensional (Banach) manifolds [6] relies on delicate point-set topology and works only for closed submanifolds. We show (Section 3) that on Hilbert manifolds one may mimic simpler, finite-dimensional constructions to establish an existence theorem for locally closed submanifolds. Since the gauge group is not compact, one cannot rely on standard averaging methods to make this construction equivariant, and hence we instead prove a general existence theorem for Riemannian manifolds equipped with an isometric group action. The standard Sobolev inner product yields the required gauge-invariant Riemannian metric on the space of connections.

As mentioned above, Atiyah and Bott used the methods described here to establish a recursive formula for the gauge-equivariant cohomology of the space of semi-stable holomorphic structures on a bundle over a Riemann surface. In the non-orientable case, the Yang–Mills stratification is not "equivariantly perfect." One can still hope to prove Morse inequalities in equivariant integral cohomology, and such inequalities are established by the results of this paper together with the orientability result in [5].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we explain Atiyah and Bott's inductive method for calculating gauge-equivariant (co)homology using the Yang–Mills stratification, and establish the necessary combinatorial properties of this stratification (filling in some gaps in the literature). In Section 3, we construct the tubular neighborhoods described above and establish the Thom isomorphisms required for these calculations, and in Section 4 we combine these results with a combinatorial analysis of the Yang–Mills stratification to obtain the connectivity calculations described above.

Acknowledgements: I thank G. Carlsson, C. Groft, G. Helleloid, R. Lipshitz, C.-C. Liu, and C. Woodward for helpful conversations. In particular, the arguments in Section 3 owe much to conversations with Lipshitz and Liu. Additionally, I thank N.-K. Ho for pointing out several misstatements in an earlier draft.

#### 2. The Harder–Narasimhan stratification

In this section we recall and analyze the Harder–Narasimhan stratification on the space of holomorphic structures on a smooth, complex vector bundle over a Riemann surface  $M = M^g$ , as in [1, Section 7] (we suppress the genus g when possible). This stratification agrees with the Morse stratification for the Yang–Mills functional, in the sense that the Yang–Mills flow defines deformation retractions from each Harder–Narasimhan stratum to its subset of Yang–Mills critical points [2, 9].

Let  $\mathcal{C}(E) = \mathcal{C}(n,k)$  denote the space of holomorphic structures on a rank nHermitian bundle E with Chern number k. As shown in Atiyah–Bott [1, Sections 5, 7], this is an affine space, isomorphic to the affine space  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  of Hermitian connections on E. As such, we may equip this space with a Sobolev norm and complete it to a Hilbert space. Throughout this paper,  $\mathcal{C}(n,k)$  will denote such a Hilbert space completion (we will not need to specify the Sobolev regularity). Recall that the unitary gauge group  $\mathcal{G}(E) = \mathcal{G}(n,k)$  of unitary automorphisms of E, and the larger *complex* gauge group  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(E) = \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(n,k)$  of all complex automorphisms of E, act on the space  $\mathcal{C}(n,k)$ . We will always implicitly consider the Hilbert Lie-group completions of these groups, as in [1, Section 14].

To define the Harder–Narasimhan stratification of  $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$ , we must first recall the Harder–Narasimhan filtration on a holomorphic bundle. Given a holomorphic structure  $\mathcal{E}$  on a bundle  $E \to M$  of rank n and Chern number k, there is a unique filtration (the Harder–Narasimhan filtration)

$$0 = \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \cdots \mathcal{E}_r = \mathcal{E}$$

of  $\mathcal{E}$  by holomorphic subbundles with the property that each quotient  $\mathcal{D}_i = \mathcal{E}_i/\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ is semi-stable (i = 1, ..., r) and  $\mu(\mathcal{D}_1) > \mu(\mathcal{D}_2) > \cdots > \mu(\mathcal{D}_r)$ , where the "slope"  $\mu(D_i)$  is defined by  $\mu(D_i) = \frac{\deg(D_i)}{\operatorname{rank}(D_i)}$ . (Recall that a bundle F is semi-stable if for all holomorphic subbundles F' < F,  $\mu(F') \leq \mu(F)$ .)

Letting  $n_i = \operatorname{rank}(D_i)$  and  $k_i = \deg(D_i)$ , we call the sequence

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = ((n_1, k_1), \dots, (n_r, k_r))$$

the type of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu} = \mathcal{C}_{\mu}(n,k) \subset \mathcal{C}(n,k)$  denote the subspace of all holomorphic structures complex gauge-equivalent to a smooth structure of type  $\mu$  (by Atiyah– Bott [1, Section 14], every orbit of the Hilbert Lie group  $\mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(n,k)$  on the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{C}(n,k)$  contains a unique isomorphism class of holomorphic structures). Note that the semi-stable stratum corresponds to  $\mu = ((n,k))$ , and that since degrees add in exact sequences we have  $\sum_i k_i = k$ . With this notation, we now have the following result from [1, Section 7] (see also [2, Theorem B]).

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \dots, (n_r, k_r)) \in \mathcal{C}(n, k)$ . Then the stratum  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  is a locally closed submanifold of  $\mathcal{C}(n, k)$  with complex codimension given by

$$c(\mu) = \sum_{i>j} n_i k_j - n_j k_i + n_i n_j (g-1).$$

Following Atiyah and Bott, we proceed to describe C(n, k) as a colimit over unions of strata. This facilitates the inductive calculation of equivariant homology from [1]. The following definition will be useful.

**Definition 2.2.** A sequence  $((n_1, k_1), \ldots, (n_r, k_r))$  is admissible of total rank nand total degree k if  $n_i > 0$  for each i,  $\sum n_i = n$ ,  $\sum k_i = k$ , and  $\frac{k_1}{n_1} > \cdots > \frac{k_r}{n_r}$ . We denote the set of all admissible sequences of total rank n and total degree kby  $\mathcal{I}(n,k)$ . The set  $\mathcal{I}(n,k)$  has a partial ordering defined as follows: given an admissible sequence  $\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \ldots, (n_r, k_r))$ , let  $\hat{\mu} = (\hat{\mu}_1, \hat{\mu}_2, \ldots, \hat{\mu}_n)$  where the first  $n_1$  terms equal  $k_1/n_1$ , and next  $n_2$  equal  $k_2/n_2$  and so on. Then we say  $\lambda \ge \mu$ if

$$\sum_{j\leqslant i}\hat{\lambda}_j \geqslant \sum_{j\leqslant i}\hat{\mu}_j$$

for i = 1, ..., n.

Following [1], we introduce another way of thinking about the ordering on these strata (due to Shatz [11]). Given an admissible sequence  $\mu$ , we construct a convex path  $P(\mu)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  starting at (0,0) and ending at (n,k) by connecting the points  $(\sum_{j=1}^{i} n_j, \sum_{j=1}^{i} k_j)$  with straight lines  $(i = 1, 2, \dots n)$ . Convexity corresponds precisely to the condition that the slopes decrease, i.e. that

$$\frac{k_1}{n_1} > \frac{k_2}{n_2} > \dots > \frac{k_r}{n_r}.$$

Now, for any  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{I}(n, k)$ , we have  $\lambda \ge \mu$  if and only if  $P(\lambda)$  lies above  $P(\mu)$ . Note that we may recover the sequence  $\mu$  from  $P = P(\mu)$  by reading off the coordinates of the points where P changes slope, and any convex path from (0,0) to (n,k) which changes slope only at points with integer coordinates yields an admissible sequence.

**Remark 2.3.** When g = 0, Grothendieck's theorem states that every holomorphic bundle is a sum of line bundles. Hence in genus zero, the stratum corresponding to  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(n,k)$  may empty. For this reason, we assume g > 0 throughout this paper (and in the non-orientable case we do not consider  $\mathbb{R}P^2$ ).

The necessary fact regarding the Harder–Narasimhan stratification is the following result, essentially due to Atiyah and Bott. Here we will fill in some details of the proof absent from their paper [1], and which do not appear to have been clarified in the literature.

**Proposition 2.4.** The partial ordering  $\leq on \mathcal{I}(n,k)$  can be refined to a linear ordering  $\mu_1 \prec \mu_2 \prec \cdots$  such that for any  $j, C_j = C_j(n,k) = \bigcup_{i=1}^j C_{\mu_i}$  is open in C(n,k).

Let  $E \to M$  be a Hermitian bundle over a non-orientable surface, and let  $\widetilde{E} \to \widetilde{M}$ denote the pullback of E to the orientable double cover of M. Connections on E pull back to connections on  $\widetilde{E}$ , yielding an embedding  $i : \mathcal{A}(E) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}(\widetilde{E})$ , and following Ho and Liu [4] we define the Yang–Mills strata of  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  to be the intersections of  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  with the Harder–Narasimhan strata of  $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{E}) \cong \mathcal{C}(\widetilde{E})$ . Now Proposition 2.4 implies:

**Corollary 2.5.** For any Hermitian bundle E on a non-orientable surface, the linear ordering  $\prec$  on  $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{E})$  induces a linear ordering on the Yang–Mills strata of  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  such that the union of any initial segment  $\{S|S \prec S_0\}$  is open in  $\mathcal{A}(E)$ .

The proof of Proposition 2.4 will require several lemmas, all implicit in [1]. First we note some simple but important corollaries. One would like to compute (co)homology inductively, by analyzing the spectral sequence (i.e. the collection of long exact sequences) associated to the filtration of C(n, k) by the strata. At each stage, one wants to analyze the relative term  $H^*(C_m, C_{m-1})$ . The key result is the following Thom isomorphism.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let  $M^g$  be a Riemann surface of genus g > 0, and let E be a complex vector bundle over M. Let  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_1} \prec \mathcal{C}_{\mu_2} \prec \cdots$  be a linear order on the Harder–Narasimhan strata of  $\mathcal{C}(E)$  as in Proposition 2.4. Then there are Thom isomorphisms

 $H_*(\mathcal{C}_m, \mathcal{C}_{m-1}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{*-\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu_m})}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu_m}; \mathbb{Z})$ 

and similarly for integral cohomology. Moreover, analogous isomorphisms hold for integral gauge-equivariant homology and cohomology. Here  $H_*$  and  $H^*$  are interpreted as zero when \* is negative.

The same results hold in the space of connections on a complex bundle over any non-orientable surface  $\Sigma$ , so long as the genus  $\tilde{g}$  of the orientable double cover  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is at least 2. With  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients, these results hold even when  $\tilde{g} = 1$ .

*Proof.* In the orientable case, this corollary is a simple consequence of the results proven in this paper. In Section 3, we use the fact that  $C_m(E)$  is open, together with

general a result regarding submanifolds of Hilbert manifolds, to construct a  $\mathcal{G}(E)$ invariant tubular neighborhood  $\nu_m \subset \mathcal{C}_m$  of the not-necessarily-closed submanifold  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_m}$ . Excising the complement of  $\nu_m$  in  $\mathcal{C}_m$  and applying the Thom isomorphism theorem to the (complex, hence orientable) normal bundle of  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_m}$  gives the desired isomorphism in the non-equivariant case. In the equivariant case, one simply observes that for any G-equivariant complex vector bundle  $V \to X$ , the homotopy orbit bundle  $V_{hG} \to X_{hG}$  is still a complex vector bundle. Hence we may excise the complement of  $(\nu_m)_{h\mathcal{G}(E)}$  in  $(\mathcal{C}_m)_{h\mathcal{G}(E)}$  and apply the ordinary Thom isomorphism to the bundle  $(\nu_m)_{h\mathcal{G}(E)} \to (\mathcal{C}_{\mu_m})_{h\mathcal{G}(E)}$ .

The same argument works in the non-orientable case, since it is proven in Ho–Liu–Ramras [5] that the normal bundles to the Yang–Mills strata (and the corresponding homotopy orbit bundles) are orientable (real) vector bundles, so long as  $\tilde{g} \ge 2$ .

We now explain how to compute (gauge-equivariant) (co)homology of C(E) inductively via the linear ordering on the set of Harder–Narasimhan strata. For the cohomological case, we need a simple finiteness property of this stratification. As observed by Atiyah and Bott [1, p. 569], this lemma follows quickly from Theorem 2.1; for completeness we provide a proof.

**Lemma 2.7** (Atiyah-Bott). For any  $n, D \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , there are finitely many admissible sequences  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(n, k)$  with  $c(\mu) < D$ .

Proof. Let  $\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \ldots, (n_r, k_r))$  be an admissible sequence with  $c(\mu) < D$ . Since  $\sum n_i = n$ , there are finitely many possibilities for the positive integers  $n_i$ . By convexity, we have  $k_1/n_1 > k/n$ , and hence  $k_1 > \frac{kn_1}{n}$ . When  $k \ge 0$ , this means that  $k_1 > k/n$ ; when k < 0 it means that  $k_1 > k$ . We will check that if  $k \ge 0$ , then  $k_i > -D$  for each i > 1, and if k < 0, then  $k_i > k - D$  for i > 1; since  $\sum k_i = k$  this means there are finitely many possibilities for the integers  $k_i$ .

Since each term in the sum defining  $c(\mu)$  is positive (Theorem 2.1) we know that  $k_1n_i - k_in_1 < D$  for each i, and rearranging gives  $k_i > \frac{k_1n_i - D}{n_1}$ . We now use our bounds on  $k_1$ . When  $k \ge 0$ , we have  $\frac{k_1n_i - D}{n_1} > \frac{(k/n)n_i - D}{n_1} \ge \frac{-D}{n_1} \ge -D$  as desired. When k < 0, we have  $\frac{k_1n_i - D}{n_1} > \frac{(kn_1/n)n_i - D}{n_1} > k - D$ .

**Corollary 2.8.** For any Hermitian bundle E over a Riemann surface, there are isomorphisms

$$H_*(B\mathcal{G}(E)) \cong H^{h\mathcal{G}(E)}_*(\mathcal{C}(E);\mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{colim}_{j \to \infty} H^{h\mathcal{G}(E)}_*(C_j(E);\mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$H_*(B\mathcal{G}(E)) \cong H^*_{h\mathcal{G}(E)}(\mathcal{C}(E);\mathbb{Z}) \cong \lim_{\stackrel{\leftarrow}{j}} H^*_{h\mathcal{G}(E)}(C_j(E);\mathbb{Z})$$

in gauge-equivariant integral (co)homology. The corresponding statements hold for ordinary integral (co)homology as well.

If E is a Hermitian bundle over a non-orientable surface  $\Sigma$ , then the same statements hold for the flitration of  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  induced by the stratification in Corollary 2.5 (although for cohomology we must assume that the genus of the orientable double cover  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  is greater than 1).

*Proof.* The left-hand isomorphisms follow from contractibility of the affine space C(E) (or, in the non-orientable case, A(E)). Proposition 2.4 and Corollary 2.5

immediately yield the right-hand isomorphisms at the level of (equivariant) singular chains and cochains. The homological results follow from the fact that homology commutes with directed limits. In cohomology, Lemma 2.7 and Corollary 2.6 imply that for each p the inverse system  $\{H^p_{\mathcal{G}(n,k)}(\mathcal{C}_j(n,k);\mathbb{Z})\}_j$  is eventually constant, so  $\lim^1$  vanishes and the result follows from [7].

The proof of Proposition 2.4 will require one further finiteness property of the partial ordering on  $\mathcal{I}(n,k)$ , also noted by Atiyah and Bott.

**Lemma 2.9** (Atiyah–Bott, p. 567). If  $I \subset \mathcal{I}(n,k)$  is a finite collection of admissible sequences, then there are finitely many minimal elements in the complement  $I^c = \mathcal{I}(n,k) - I$ .

*Proof.* We will phrase the argument in terms of convex paths. Let I be a finite collection of convex paths from (0,0) to (n,k). If P is a minimal path in the complement of I, then every path beneath P lies in I, so either P is the minimum path, i.e. the line from (0,0) to (n,k), or P is a minimal cover of a path  $Q \in I$ , meaning that Q < P and there is no path P' with Q < P' < P. So to prove the first statement of the lemma, it will suffice to show that each path Q has only finitely many minimal covers. In the course of proving this fact, we will also prove the second statement of the lemma.

Fix a sequence  $\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \dots, (n_r, k_r)) \in I(n, k)$  and let  $P = P(\mu)$  be the associated path. Define

$$s_1(P) = \max\{k_1/n_1, 0\}; \ s_r(P) = \min\{k_r/n_r, 0\}.$$

Consider another path  $Q = P(\nu)$  for some  $\nu \in I(n, k)$  with  $\nu \neq ((n, k))$ . Let  $h(Q) = (h_1(Q), h_2(Q))$  denote the right endpoint of the rightmost line segment in Q with slope at least  $\frac{k}{n}$ . We claim that if  $h_2(Q) \ge n(s_1(P) - s_r(P)) + \max\{k, 0\} + 1$ , and Q' is the path with vertices  $(0, 0), (h_1(Q), h_2(Q) - 1)$  and (n, k), then

(1) 
$$P \leq Q' < Q$$
 and if  $r > 2$  then  $P < Q < Q'$ 

Assuming (1), we now complete the proof. If Q is a minimal cover of P then either r > 2 and  $h_2(Q) \leq n(s_1(P) - s_r(P)) + \max\{k, 0\}$ , or r = 2 and P = Q'. In the former case, Q lies below the line of slope  $\frac{k}{n}$  passing though the point  $h(Q) = (h_1(Q), h_2(Q))$ . Since  $h_1(Q) \leq n$ , this restricts Q to a finite region (depending only on P). The latter conditions can hold for only finitely many paths Q, since if P = Q' then Q passes through  $(n, k_1 + 1)$ .

To prove (1), first note that

$$Q' < ((0,0), (h_1(Q), h_2(Q)), (n,k)) \le Q,$$

so we just need to check that P < Q' when r > 2. If not, then at some time  $x = x_0$ the path P lies above the path Q' (note that since r > 2,  $P \neq Q'$ ). If  $x_0 \leq h_1(Q)$ , then since Q' is just a straight line for  $x \leq h_1(Q)$ , the initial slope of P must be more than the initial slope of Q'. Using our assumption on  $h_2(Q)$  we now have

(2) 
$$s_1(P) \ge \frac{k_1}{n_1} > \frac{h_2(Q) - 1}{h_1(Q)} \ge \frac{(n(s_1(P) - s_r(P)) + \max\{k, 0\} + 1) - 1}{n}$$

Since  $s_r(P) \leq 0$ , (2) yields

$$s_1(P) > \frac{(n(s_1(P) - s_r(P)) + \max\{k, 0\} + 1) - 1}{n} \ge \frac{n(s_1(P) - s_r(P))}{n}$$
  
=  $s_1(P) - s_r(P) \ge s_1(P),$ 

#### DANIEL A. RAMRAS

a contradiction. Similarly, if  $x_0 > h_1(Q)$  then the final slope of P is less than the final slope of Q'. Moreover,  $s_1(P) \ge 0$  and  $s_r(P) \le 0$ , so we have

$$s_{r}(P) \leqslant \frac{k_{r}}{n_{r}} < \frac{k - (h_{2}(Q) - 1)}{n - h_{1}(Q)} \leqslant \frac{k - (n(s_{1}(P) - s_{r}(P)) + \max\{k, 0\})}{n - h_{1}(Q)}$$
$$\leqslant \frac{-ns_{1}(P) + ns_{r}(P)}{n - h_{1}(Q)} \leqslant \frac{ns_{r}(P)}{n - h_{1}(Q)} = \frac{n}{n - h_{1}(Q)}s_{r}(P) \leqslant s_{r}(P),$$
radiciton as before.

a contradiciton as before.

We note that the proof of Lemma 2.9 also shows that for any  $\mu \in I(n,k)$ , all but finitely many  $\lambda \in I(n,k)$  satisfy  $\lambda \ge \mu$ . The final ingredient in the proof of Proposition 2.4 is the following result regarding the closures on the Harder-Narasimhan strata.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $S \subset \mathcal{I}(n,k)$  be a collection of admissible sequences that is upwardly closed, in the sense that if  $\mu > \mu'$  and  $\mu' \in S$ , then  $\mu \in S$  as well. Then the set  $\bigcup_{\mu \in S} C_{\mu}$  is closed.

Atiyah and Bott [1, (7.8)], as well as Daskalopoulos [2, Proposition 2.12], state only the (strictly weaker) fact

(3) 
$$\overline{\mathcal{C}_{\mu}} \subset \bigcup_{\mu' \geqslant \mu} \mathcal{C}_{\mu'},$$

where  $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{\mu}}$  denotes the closure of this stratum (this result originated in the algebrogeometric work of Shatz [11]). To prove the stronger statement in Proposition 2.10, we will apply another result of Atiyah and Bott.

**Proposition 2.11** (Atiyah-Bott). Let  $\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \dots, (n_r, k_r)) \in I(n, k)$  be an admissible sequence. Then for any  $A \in C_{\mu}$ , we have

$$l(\mu) := \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}^{\mathbb{C}}(n,k)} L(g \cdot A) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{k_i^2}{n_i}$$

where L denotes the Yang-Mills functional and the infimum is taken over the complex gauge group.

**Proof of Proposition 2.10.** By (3), we have  $\bigcup_{\mu \in S} C_{\mu} = \bigcup_{\mu \in S} \overline{C_{\mu}}$ . Since the union of a locally finite collection of closed sets is closed, it will suffice to show that the closures of the strata  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  form a locally finite cover of  $\mathcal{C}(n,k)$ . We will check that for each  $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ , only finitely many closures  $\overline{\mathcal{C}_{\mu}}$  contain elements A with L(A) < N.

For any  $M \in \mathbb{R}$  there are finitely many  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(n,k)$  with  $l(\mu) \leq M$ , because  $l(\mu) \leq M$  implies that the path  $P(\mu)$  lies entirely under the line  $y = \sqrt{Mx}$ . It now suffices to check that if L(A) < N for some  $A \in \overline{\mathcal{C}_{\mu}}$ , then  $l(\mu) < N$ . By continuity of L, there exists  $A' \in \mathcal{C}_{\mu}$  with L(A') < N, and Proposition 2.11 implies that  $l(\mu) \leq L(A')$ . 

**Remark 2.12.** It follows from convergence of the Yang–Mills flow (Råde [9]), together with discreteness of the critical values of L [9, Theorem 7.2], that the number  $l(\mu)$  appearing in Proposition 2.11 is in fact the (unique) critical value of the Yang-Mills functional on the stratum  $C_{\mu}$ . We will not need that fact here.

**Proof of Proposition 2.4.** We construct a linear ordering  $\prec$  on  $\mathcal{I}(n, k)$  by setting  $T_0 = \{((n, k))\}$ , and inductively defining

$$T_l = T_{l-1} \cup \{ \mu \in \mathcal{I}(n,k) \mid \mu \text{ is minimal in } \mathcal{I}(n,k) \setminus T_{l-1} \},$$

where we choose any linear ordering  $\prec$  on  $T_l$  which extends the existing ordering  $\prec$  on  $T_{l-1}$  and satisfies  $\mu \prec \eta$  if  $\mu \in T_{l-1}$  and  $\eta \in T_l \setminus T_{l-1}$ . The set  $T = \bigcup_l T_l$  is linearly ordered by  $\prec$ , and if  $\mu \leq \eta$  then  $\mu \prec \eta$ .

We must check that  $T = \mathcal{I}(n, k)$ . If  $\mathcal{I}(n, k) \setminus T$  is non-empty, then we may choose a  $\leq$ -minimal element  $\mu$  from this poset. There are finitely many  $\eta$  with  $\eta < \mu$ , so we may choose a  $\leq$ -maximal element  $\eta_0$  from the finite set  $T_{\mu} = T \cap \{\eta | \eta < \mu\}$  (note that  $T_{\mu}$  necessarily contains the minimum sequence ((n, k)), so  $T_{\mu} \neq \emptyset$ ). Then  $\eta_0 \in T_N$  for some N. If  $\mu$  were a minimal cover of  $\eta_0$  in the poset  $(\mathcal{I}(n, k), \leq)$ , then by definition we would have  $\mu \in T_{N+1}$ , a contradiction. So we may choose a minimal cover  $\eta_1$  of  $\eta_0$  with  $\eta_0 < \eta_1 < \mu$ . Then  $\eta_1 \in T_{N+1}$ , so  $\eta_1 \in T_{\mu}$ , contradicting maximality of  $\eta_0$ . Hence  $\mathcal{I}(n, k) \setminus T$  must be empty.

#### 3. Invariant Tubular Neighborhoods

In this section we construct tubular neighborhoods of not-necessarily-closed submanifolds of infinite-dimensional Riemannian manifolds, in the presence of a group action. The problem of finding tubular neighborhoods for arbitrary (locally closed) submanifolds, invariant under the action of a non-compact group, seems difficult: standard arguments in the non-equivariant case, as in Lang [6], involve delicate point-set topology and work only for closed submanifolds, and standard arguments in the equivariant case involve averages over a compact group. Here we impose the rather strong condition that our manifold has a Riemannian metric for which the group in question acts by isometries. In this situation, we provide a simple construction of the desired neighborhood. The method used here produces tubular neighborhoods that, although homeomorphic to the normal bundle of the submanifold in question, may not be diffeomorphic to that bundle. Since we are only interested in applying the Thom isomorphism theorem, a homeomorphism is sufficient. (In the non-equivariant case, our tubular neighborhoods are in fact diffeomorphic to the corresponding normal bundles.)

For basic definitions and terminology regarding infinite dimensional manifolds, we follow Lang [6]. By smooth we will always mean  $C^{\infty}$ .

We will work in the following setting. Let X be a Hilbert manifold (i.e. X is modeled on some Hilbert space H). We will assume that our manifold has a Riemannian metric; that is, the tangent bundle T(X) is equipped with a fiberwise inner product. We will consider a group G acting on X by  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphisms, so that G preserves the Riemannian metric on X, i.e. for any  $g \in G$  and  $x \in X$  the derivative of the action, namely the map

$$(Dg)_x: T_x(X) \longrightarrow T_{g \cdot x}(X),$$

is an isometry (we will simply say G acts by isometries). Consider a (locally closed) submanifold  $Y \subset X$  invariant under the action of G (i.e.  $g \cdot y \in Y$  for any  $g \in G$ ,  $y \in Y$ ). Since Y is locally closed, the tangent space  $T_y(Y)$  is a closed subspace of  $T_y(X) = H$  and hence has an orthogonal complement  $N_y(Y)$ . Hence the normal bundle  $N(Y) \subset T(X)|_Y$  is well-defined, and since G preserves the metric, it induces

an action on N(Y). We will show (Proposition 3.5) that there exists a *G*-invariant total tubular neighborhood (Definition 3.2) of Y in X.

Associated to the metric on X we have the metric spray, which induces an exponential map  $f : \mathcal{D} \to X$  [6]. Here the domain  $\mathcal{D}$  of f is an open subset of T(X) containing the zero section. These constructions yield:

**Lemma 3.1.** The set  $\mathcal{D}$  is *G*-invariant, and *f* is an equivariant map.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $Y \subset X$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold. A tubular neighborhood of Y in X is an open neighborhood  $\tau(Y)$  of Y in X together with a homeomorphism  $\phi : U \to \tau(Y)$  from an open neighborhood  $U \subset N(Y)$  containing the zero-section  $Y \subset N(Y)$ , such that  $\phi$  restricts to the identity from  $Y \subset N(Y)$  to  $Y \subset X$ . If U = N(X) then we call  $\tau$  total.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let  $Y \subset X$  be a submanifold of a Riemannian manifold, and let G act on X by isometries, leaving Y invariant. Then there exists a G-invariant open neighborhood Z of the zero section of N(Y) with  $Z \subset \mathcal{D} \cap N(Y)$  (where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the domain of the exponential map on X) and the exponential map restricts to an equivariant diffeomorphism  $f : Z \to V$ , where V is an open neighborhood of Y in X. So V is a smooth, G-invariant tubular neighborhood of Y.

*Proof.* We identify Y with the zero section of N(Y), and for  $g \in G$  we will let g denote both the self-map it induces on X and the derivative of this map.

We begin by constructing a G-invariant distance function (i.e. a topological metric) on T(X). This is the geodesic distance associated to a natural G-invariant Riemannian metric on TX. To construct this metric, recall that the metric spray on X is defined by a second order vector field  $F: TX \to T(TX)$  [6, IV.3]. Hence (by definition) F is a splitting of the map  $D\pi: T(TX) \to TX$ , where  $\pi: TX \to X$  is the structure map of the tangent bundle TX and  $D\pi$  is its derivative (the map F also splits the structure map  $T(TX) \to TX$  of the tangent bundle T(TX), but we will not need this). This means that for any  $v \in T_xX$ , we have a natural decomposition

$$T_v(TX) \cong \ker(D_v\pi) \oplus F(T_xX)$$

of  $T_v(TX)$  into a direct sum of closed subspaces. (The subspace  $F(T_xX)$  is essentially the horizontal distribution associated to the Levi-Civita connection on the Riemannian manifold X; see [6, Theorem 4.2, p. 206].) Now F provides an isomorphism  $T_xX \cong F(T_xX)$ , and similarly there is a natural isomorphism between  $\ker(D_v\pi)$  (the vertical double tangent space) and  $T_xX$ . Hence the inner product on  $T_xX$  induces an inner product on  $T_v(TX) \cong T_xX \oplus T_xX$ , and by naturality this gives a Riemannian metric on the manifold TX. Moreover, since the spray F is G-invariant, this Riemannian metric on TX is also G-invariant, and its geodesic distance function d is the desired G-invariant distance in TX. For and  $W \subset T(X)$  and any  $w \in W$ , we write  $B_{\epsilon}(w, W) = \{w' \in W | d(w, w') < \epsilon\}$ . Note that we identify X with the zero section of T(X), so  $B_{\epsilon}(x, X)$  is defined.

Now fix  $y \in Y$ . Since the exponential map f restricts to a local diffeomorphism  $\mathcal{D} \cap N(Y) \to X$  [6, p. 109], we know that for some  $\epsilon_y > 0$ , f restricts to a diffeomorphism  $B_{\epsilon_y}(y, N(Y)) \to f(B_{\epsilon_y}(y, N(Y)))$  (with  $f(B_{\epsilon_y}(y, N(Y)))$  open in X). Let  $\psi : f(B_{\epsilon_y}(y, N(Y))) \to B_{\epsilon_y}(y, N(Y))$  denote the inverse map. Now,  $f(B_{\epsilon_y/2}(y, N(Y)))$  is an open neighborhood of y in X, hence contains  $B_{\epsilon'_y}(y, X)$  for

some  $\epsilon'_y < \epsilon_y/4$ . Letting  $Z_y = \psi(B_{\epsilon'_y}(y, X)) \subset N(Y)$ , we have

(4)  $Z_y \subset B_{\epsilon_y/2}(y, N(Y))$ 

and  $f(Z_y) = B_{\epsilon'_y}(y, X)$ . In fact, for any  $g \in G$ ,

(5) 
$$f(g(Z_y)) = B_{\epsilon'_y}(g \cdot y, X).$$

Define  $Z_{y(\mathcal{O})}$  as above for one point  $y(\mathcal{O})$  from each G-orbit  $\mathcal{O} \subset Y$ . Then we claim that f is injective, and hence restricts to a diffeomorphism, on the set

$$Z = \bigcup_{\mathcal{O} \in Y/G, \ g \in G} g(Z_{y(\mathcal{O})}).$$

Say  $x = f(g_1 \cdot z_1) = f(g_2 \cdot z_2)$  with  $z_1 \in Z_{y_1}$ ,  $z_2 \in Z_{y_2}$  and  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  (here the  $y_i$  are the chosen representatives for some two orbits). Then by (5) we have

(6)  $d(g_1 \cdot y_1, g_2 \cdot y_2) \le d(g_1 \cdot y_1, x) + d(x, g_2 \cdot y_2) < \epsilon'_{y_1} + \epsilon'_{y_2} < \epsilon_{y_1}/4 + \epsilon_{y_2}/4.$ 

We may assume without loss of generality that  $\epsilon_{y_1} \ge \epsilon_{y_2}$ . Then by (4) and (6) we have

(7) 
$$d(g_1 \cdot y_1, g_2 \cdot z_2) \le d(g_1 \cdot y_1, g_2 \cdot y_2) + d(g_2 \cdot y_2, g_2 \cdot z_2) < (\epsilon_{y_1}/4 + \epsilon_{y_2}/4) + \epsilon_{y_2}/2 \le \epsilon_{y_1}.$$

The fact that f is injective on  $B_{\epsilon_{y_1}}(y_1, N(Y))$  implies that it is also injective on  $B_{\epsilon_{y_1}}(g_1 \cdot y_1, N(Y))$ . But  $g_1 \cdot z_1 \in B_{\epsilon_{y_1}}(g_1 \cdot y_1, N(Y))$  by (4), and  $g_2 \cdot z_2 \in B_{\epsilon_{y_1}}(g_1 \cdot y_1, N(Y))$  by (7), so we have a contradiction.

Next we consider the problem of constructing a total tubular neighborhood which is G-invariant. The following lemma follows from the argument in Lang [6, Proposition 4.1, p. 180].

**Lemma 3.4.** Let X, Y, G, and Z be as in Proposition 3.3, and let  $\sigma : Y \to \mathbb{R}$  be a G-invariant function such that  $\sigma(y) > 0$  for all  $y \in Y$ , and  $B_{\sigma(y)}(y, N_y(Y))$  lies inside Z for each  $y \in Y$ .

Then there is a homeomorphism  $N(Y) \to N(Y)_{\sigma}$ , where

$$N(Y)_{\sigma} = \{ v \in N(Y) \mid ||v|| < \sigma(\pi v) \}$$

(here  $\pi : N(Y) \to Y$  is the projection, and  $|| \cdot ||$  is the norm in  $T_x(X)$  induced by the G-invariant inner product). Moreover, this homeomorphism restricts to the identity on the zero section  $Y \subset N(Y)$ .

We can now prove:

**Proposition 3.5.** Let X be a Riemannian manifold, and let G be a group acting on X by diffeomorphisms, such that the derivative  $(Dg)_x$  is an isometry for any  $x \in X$  and  $g \in G$ . Then for any G-invariant (locally closed) submanifold  $Y \subset$ X, there exists a G-invariant total tubular neighborhood  $\tau(Y)$  of Y in X. The homeomorphism  $N(Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \tau(Y)$  is G-equivariant (this map need not be smooth, however).

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.4, it suffices to find a *G*-invariant continuous map  $\sigma : Y \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $N(Y)_{\sigma}$  lies inside the set *Z* constructed in Proposition 3.3. We set

$$\sigma(y) = \sup\{\epsilon > 0 : ||v|| < \epsilon \implies v \in Z\}.$$

Then  $\sigma(y) > 0$  for any  $y \in Y$ ,  $\sigma$  is *G*-invariant, and we have  $N(Y)_{\sigma} \subset Z$ . Continuity of  $\sigma$  follows from the assumption that the inner products defining  $|| \cdot ||$  vary continuously over *X*, together with the fact that *Z* is open.

We now apply the above result to the Morse strata of the Yang–Mills functional. Let  $P \to M$  be a principal U(n)-bundle over a surface, and let  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  denote the affine space of connections on P lying in the Sobolev space  $L^2_{k-1}$  ( $k \ge 1$ ). Similarly, let  $\mathcal{G}^k(P)$  denote the Sobolev completion of the unitary gauge group of P. Note that connections on P correspond precisely to Hermitian connections on the associated Hermitian bundle  $P \times_{U(n)} \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{G}^k(P)$  is isomorphic to the Sobolev gauge group  $\mathcal{G}^k(E)$ . The following lemma will allow us to apply Proposition 3.5.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let G be a compact connected Lie group and let P be a principal G-bundle over a Riemann surface M equipped with a Riemannian metric. The Riemannian metric on M and a G-invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the Lie algebra of G, induce a Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$ , the space of  $L^2_{k-1}$  G-connections on P (we recall the definition below).

This Riemannian metric on  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  is  $\mathcal{G}^k(P)$ -invariant, where  $\mathcal{G}^k(P)$  is the gauge group. (Here we assume k > n/2 so that  $\mathcal{G}^k(P)$  and its action on  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  are well-defined [12].)

*Proof.* Given  $u \in \mathcal{G}^k(P)$ , let  $\Phi_u$  denote the map  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P) \to \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  given by  $A \mapsto u \cdot A$ . We want to show that  $\Phi_u$  is an isometry.

Since  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  is an affine space, its tangent bundle is isomorphic to the trivial bundle  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P) \times L^2_{k-1}(M, \mathrm{ad}P \otimes T^*M)$ . The metric at  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}^{k-1}$  is given by

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{A_0} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_M \langle \nabla^j_{A_0} \alpha, \nabla^j_{A_0} \beta \rangle \mathrm{vol}_{\mathrm{M}}$$

(see [1, Section 3] or [3, p. 421]) where  $\alpha, \beta \in L^2_{k-1}(M, T^*M \otimes \mathrm{ad}P)$ , and

 $\nabla_{A_0} : \mathrm{ad}P \otimes (T^*M)^{\otimes j} \to \mathrm{ad}P \otimes (T^*M)^{\otimes (j+1)}$ 

is the covariant derivative defined by the connection  $A_0$  on P. The gauge group  $\mathcal{G}(P)$  acts on  $\mathrm{ad}P \otimes (T^*M)^{\otimes j}$  by

$$u \cdot (X \otimes \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_j) = \operatorname{Ad}(u)(X) \otimes \theta_1 \otimes \theta_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes \theta_j$$

where  $u \in \mathcal{G}(P)$ , X is a section of adP, and  $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_j$  are 1-forms on M. Then

$$\nabla_{u \cdot A_0}(u \cdot \alpha) = u \cdot \nabla_{A_0} \alpha, \quad \alpha \in L^2_{k-j}(M, \mathrm{ad}P \otimes (T^*M)^{\otimes j}).$$

Given  $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}(P)$ , the map

$$(d\Phi_u)_{A_0}: T_{A_0}\mathcal{A}(P) \to T_{u \cdot A_0}\mathcal{A}(P)$$

can be identified with

$$\Omega^{1}(M, \mathrm{ad}P) \to \Omega^{1}(M, \mathrm{ad}P), \quad \alpha \mapsto u \cdot (A_{0} + \alpha) - u \cdot A_{0} = u \cdot \alpha$$

More explicitly, write  $\alpha = X_1 \otimes \theta_1 + X_2 \otimes \theta_2$ , where  $X_1, X_2 \in \Omega^0(M, \mathrm{ad}P)$  and  $\theta_1, \theta_2$  form a local orthonomal coframe on M. Then

$$u \cdot \alpha = \operatorname{Ad}(u)X_1 \otimes \theta_1 + \operatorname{Ad}(u)X_2 \otimes \theta_2$$

We have

$$\begin{split} \langle u \cdot \alpha, u \cdot \beta \rangle_{u \cdot A_0} &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_M \langle \nabla^j_{u \cdot A_0}(u \cdot \alpha), \nabla^j_{u \cdot A_0}(u \cdot \beta) \rangle \mathrm{vol}_M \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \int_M \langle u \cdot \nabla^j_{A_0} \alpha, u \cdot \nabla^j_{A_0} \beta \rangle \mathrm{vol}_M, \end{split}$$

where

$$\langle u \cdot \nabla^j_{A_0} \alpha, u \cdot \nabla^j_{A_0} \beta \rangle = \langle \nabla^j_{A_0} \alpha, \nabla^j_{A_0} \beta \rangle$$

because the metric on  $\operatorname{ad} P \otimes (T^*M)^{\otimes (j+1)}$  is induced by the Riemannian metric on M and a inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$  invariant under the adjoint action of G. So we have

$$\langle u \cdot \alpha, u \cdot \beta \rangle_{u \cdot A_0} = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{A_0}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in L^2_{k-1}(M, \mathrm{ad}P \otimes T^*M),$$

meaning  $(d\Phi_u)_{A_0}: T_{A_0}\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P) \to T_{u \cdot A_0}\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  is an isometry.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 3.7.** Let M be a surface and let  $P \to M$  be a smooth principal U(n)bundle. Then the Morse strata of the Yang-Mills functional  $L : \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P) \to \mathbb{R}$ admit  $\mathcal{G}^k(P)$ -invariant total tubular neighborhoods. Moreover if we let  $\prec$  denote the (non-unique) linear order on the set of Morse strata constructed in Theorem 2.4, then each stratum S has a tubular neighborhood lying inside the open set  $\bigcup_{T \preceq S} T$ . (Recall here that we may identify  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  with the Sobolev completion  $\mathcal{C}(E)$  of the space of holomorphic structures on the associated vector bundle  $E = P \times_{U(n)} \mathbb{C}^n$ .

Proof. Since  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  is an affine space modeled on the vector space of  $L^2_{k-1}$  sections of  $\mathrm{ad}P \otimes T^*M$ , this space is a Hilbert manifold, as are the open subsets  $\bigcup_{T \prec S} T$ . When M is orientable, the Morse strata for the Yang–Mills functional on P are locally closed submanifolds of  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$  [2] and are invariant under  $\mathcal{G}^k(P)$ . So existence of the desired neighborhoods follows by applying Proposition 3.5 and Lemma 3.6 to the submanifolds  $S \subset \bigcup_{T \prec S} T$ .

When M is non-orientable, pulling back to the orientable double cover  $\widetilde{M}$  yields an embedding of groups  $\mathcal{G}^k(P) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}^k(\widetilde{P})$ , making the embedding  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(\widetilde{P})$  equivariant. In fact, in both cases the image is the set of fixed points of an involution  $\tau$  arising from the deck transformation on  $\widetilde{M}$ . The Morse strata in  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(\widetilde{P})$  are now just intersections of Morse strata in  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(\widetilde{P})$  with  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)$ , and hence are locally closed submanifolds invariant under  $\mathcal{G}^k(P) = \mathcal{G}^k(\widetilde{P})^{\tau}$ . So the  $\mathcal{G}^k(\widetilde{P})$ -invariant metric on  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(\widetilde{P})$  restricts to a  $\mathcal{G}^k(P) = \mathcal{G}^k(\widetilde{P})^{\tau}$ -invariant metric on  $\mathcal{A}^{k-1}(\widetilde{P}) = \mathcal{A}^{k-1}(P)^{\tau}$ , and the result follow as before.

## 4. Connectivity of the space of central Yang-Mills connections

Recall that on a bundle E over a Riemann surface  $M^g$ , the central Yang–Mills connections form the minimum critical set  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  of the Yang–Mills functional  $L: \mathcal{A}(E) \to \mathbb{R}$ . The stable manifold of this critical set is the set  $\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E)$  of semistable holomorphic structures on E, which we refer to as the central stratum, and the Yang–Mills flow provides a deformation retraction  $\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$ .

Using the existence of tubular neighborhoods for Yang–Mills strata, we give a precise formula (Theorem 4.9) for the connectivity of the spaces  $C_{\rm ss}(E) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$ , depending only on the genus of M and the rank and Chern number of E. In most cases, we obtain a similar result (Theorem 4.11) for the space  $\mathcal{A}_{\rm flat}(E)$  of flat connections on a bundle E over non-orientable surfaces  $\Sigma$ . In this case, the connectivity depends only on the genus  $\tilde{g}$  of the orientable double cover  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  and the rank of E. Upon considering the homotopy orbits of these spaces under the actions of the gauge groups, these results lead to precise formulas for the connectivities of the natural maps from these homotopy orbit spaces to the classifying spaces of the gauge groups (Corollary 4.2).

#### DANIEL A. RAMRAS

The starting point for these calculations is a homological argument, which reduces the problem to a combinatorial question about the codimensions of the Yang– Mills strata. It is worth noting that the partial ordering  $\leq$  on our strata does *not* respect codimensions (see Example 4.10); this complicates the argument somewhat.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let  $M^g$  be a Riemann surface of genus g > 0, and let E be a vector bundle over M of rank n and Chern number k. Let d = d(E) denote the minimum (non-zero) codimension of a Harder–Narasimhan stratum in the space C(E) of holomorphic structures on E. Then the space  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  of central Yang–Mills connections on E is precisely (d-2)–connected.

Similarly, let  $\Sigma$  be a non-orientable surface and let E be a complex bundle over  $\Sigma$ . Let d = d(E) denote the minimum positive codimension of a stratum in the space of connections  $\mathcal{A}(E)$ . If  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  contains no strata of codimension d + 1, then  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$  is precisely (d-2)-connected.

*Proof.* The proofs in the orientable and non-orientable case are essentially identical, so we work in the orientable case (the extra hypothesis in the non-orientable case is automatically satisfied in the orientable case because there the codimensions are always even).

We begin by recalling that by the work of Daskalopoulos [2] and Råde [9], the Yang-Mills flow provides a deformation retraction from the space  $C_{ss}(E)$  of semi-stable holomorphic structures on E to its critical set  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$ , and hence we may work with  $\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E)$ . Using transversality arguments, it was shown in [10, Section 4] that  $\pi_i \mathcal{C}_{ss}(E) = 0$  for  $i \leq d-2$  (that argument was stated only for the case k = 0, but works without change in the general case). We must show that  $\pi_{d-1}\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E)$  is non-zero. Since  $\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E)$  is (at least) (d-2)-connected, it suffices, by the Hurewicz Theorem, to prove that  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E);\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ . In fact, we claim that it is enough to show that  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E);\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$ . The Hurewicz Theorem implies that  $H_i(\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E);\mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for i < d-1, and hence  $\operatorname{Tor}(H_{d-2}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E);\mathbb{Z}),\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ . By the Universal Coefficient Theorem, we now have  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E);\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}(E);\mathbb{Z}) \neq 0$  as well. From now on, all homology groups will be taken with  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -coefficients, and we will drop the coefficient group from our notation. <sup>1</sup>

Let  $\prec$  denote the linear ordering on the set of Yang–Mills strata guaranteed by Proposition 2.4; we will denote the strata by  $C_{ss} = C_{\mu_0} \prec C_{\mu_1} \prec \cdots$ . Let  $C_{\mu_m}$  be the first stratum with codimension d. As before, we use the notation

$$\mathcal{C}_j = \bigcup_{i=1}^j \mathcal{C}_{\mu_i}.$$

We claim that  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}) \cong H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m-1})$ . By Corollary 2.6, we have isomorphisms

$$H_*(\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{C}_{j-1}) \cong H_{*-\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu_i})}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu_j}), \ j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$$

By construction,  $\operatorname{codim}(\mathcal{C}_{\mu_j}) > d$  and hence these relative terms are zero in dimensions d-1 and d. Thus the long exact sequences of the pairs  $(\mathcal{C}_j, \mathcal{C}_{j-1})$  provide

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Our reason for working mod 2 is that in the non-orientable case, the normal bundles to the Yang–Mills strata are *real* vector bundles. In genus at least 2, these bundles are in fact orientable by Ho–Liu–Ramras [5], but in genus 1 this is not known.

isomorphisms

$$H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{ss}) = H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_0) \cong H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_1) \cong \cdots \cong H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m-1}).$$

It will now suffice to show that  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m-1}) \neq 0$ . We will argue by contradiction. Note that by Lemma 2.7, there are finitely many strata of codimension d, say  $\mathcal{C}_{\mu_{m_0}}, \ldots, \mathcal{C}_{\mu_{m_l}}$  (with  $m = m_0$ ), and all other strata have codimension at least d+2.

Now assume  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m-1}) = 0$ . We will prove that  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m_i-1}) = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots l$ . The proof is by induction on i; the base case is our initial assumption. Now, assuming  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m_i-1}) = 0$ , consider the long exact sequence in homology for the pair  $(\mathcal{C}_{m_i}, \mathcal{C}_{m_i-1})$ . This sequence has the form

$$0 = H_{d-1}\mathcal{C}_{m_i-1} \longrightarrow H_{d-1}\mathcal{C}_{m_i} \longrightarrow H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m_i}, \mathcal{C}_{m_i-1}) \longrightarrow \cdots,$$

and by Corollary 2.6 the last term is zero. Hence the middle term is zero as well. Now, since the strata between  $C_{\mu_{m_i}}$  and  $C_{\mu_{m_{i+1}}}$  all have codimension greater than d, applying Corollary 2.6 again gives isomorphisms

$$0 = H_{d-1}\mathcal{C}_{m_i} \cong H_{d-1}\mathcal{C}_{m_i+1} \cong \cdots \cong H_{d-1}\mathcal{C}_{m_{i+1}-1},$$

completing the induction. So we conclude that  $H_{d-1}(\mathcal{C}_{m_l-1}) = 0$ . The long-exact sequence for the pair  $\mathcal{C}_{m_l-1} \subset \mathcal{C}_{m_l}$  has the form

ong-exact sequence for the pair 
$$C_{m_l-1} \subset C_{m_l}$$
 has the form

$$\cdots \longrightarrow H_d(\mathcal{C}_{m_l}) \longrightarrow H_d(\mathcal{C}_{m_l}, \mathcal{C}_{m_l-1}) \longrightarrow H_{d-1}\mathcal{C}_{m_l-1} = 0.$$

Since  $C_{\mu m_l}$  has codimension d, Corollary 2.6 implies that the relative term is nonzero. Hence the left-hand term  $H_d(C_{m_l})$  must be non-zero as well. But all the remaining strata have codimension at least d + 2, meaning that Corollary 2.6 and Corollary 2.8 give isomorphisms

$$H_d(\mathcal{C}_{m_l}) \cong H_d(\mathcal{C}_{m_l+1}) \cong \ldots \cong H_d(\mathcal{C}(E)).$$

Since  $\mathcal{C}(E)$  is contractible, this is a contradiction and the proof is complete.  $\Box$ 

The additional hypothesis in the non-orientable case is satisfied in almost all cases, as we will see. Before beginning the computation of d(E), we note an immediate corollary. Recall that a map  $X \to Y$  is *n*-connected if it induces an isomorphism on  $\pi_k$  for  $k \leq n$  and a surjection on  $\pi_{n+1}$ . For a rank *n* bundle *E* over a surface *M*, the spaces  $\operatorname{Map}^E(M, BU(n))$  (the space of classifying maps for *E*) and  $\operatorname{Map}^E_*(M, BU(n))$  (the subspace of based maps) are models for  $B\mathcal{G}(E)$  and  $B\mathcal{G}_0(E)$  respectively [1, Section 2]. Hence we obtain fibration sequences

$$\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)_{h\mathcal{G}(E)} \xrightarrow{q} \operatorname{Map}^{E}(M, BU(n)),$$
  
and  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)_{h\mathcal{G}_{0}(E)} \xrightarrow{q_{0}} \operatorname{Map}_{*}^{E}(M, BU(n)),$ 

and since the quotient map  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E) \to \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)/\mathcal{G}_0(E)$  is a principal bundle [8], we have weak equivalences

$$\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)_{h\mathcal{G}_0(E)} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)/\mathcal{G}_0(E) \text{ and } \mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)_{h\mathcal{G}} \simeq (\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)/\mathcal{G}_0(E))_{hU(n)}.$$

When  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  consists of flat connections, the quotient  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)/\mathcal{G}_0(E)$  is simply the representation space  $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_1 M, U(n))$ . (For details on these issues, we refer the reader to [10, Sections 3 and 5].

By examining the long exact sequences of these fibrations, one sees that since  $\pi_{d-1}\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E) \neq 0$ , the maps q and  $q_0$  cannot induce isomorphisms on  $\pi_{d-1}$  and surjections on  $\pi_d$  (although it is unclear which of these fails). Thus we have:

**Corollary 4.2.** For any complex vector bundle E over a surface M, the maps  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)_{h\mathcal{G}(n)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Map}^{E}(M^{g}, BU(n))$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)_{h\mathcal{G}_{0}(n)} \longrightarrow \operatorname{Map}^{E}_{*}(M^{g}, BU(n))$  and precisely (d(E) - 2)-connected, where d(E) is the connectivity of  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  and is computed (in nearly all cases) in Theorems 4.9 and 4.11.

In the orientable case, the integral (co)homology of  $B\mathcal{G}(E)$  and  $B\mathcal{G}_0(E)$  were computed by Atiyah and Bott and found to be torsion-free [1, Section 2]. For non-orientable surfaces, the rational (co)homology may be computed by the same method. Hence Corollary 4.2 yields computations of the equivariant (co)homology groups  $H^*_{\mathcal{G}(E)}(\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E))$  and  $H^*_{\mathcal{G}_0(E)}(\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)) = H^*(\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)/\mathcal{G}_0(E))$  below dimension d(E) - 2.

We now turn to the question of computing the minimum codimension of a noncentral stratum. The case of a trivial bundle was addressed in Ramras [10, Lemma 4.5]. To handle non-trivial bundles, we will need some definitions and lemmas regarding the codimension of the Harder–Narasimhan strata. This approach will provide an alternate proof in the case k = 0.

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \dots, (n_r, k_r)) \in \mathcal{I}(n, k)$  be an admissible sequence. We define

$$c_1(\mu) = \sum_{i>j} n_i k_j - n_j k_i$$
 and  $c_2(\mu) = (g-1) \sum_{i>j} n_i n_j$ .

Note that the (complex) codimension of the corresponding Harder–Narasimhan stratum is given by  $c(\mu) = c_1(\mu) + c_2(\mu)$ .

We need some lemmas. The first follows immediately from the definitions.

**Lemma 4.4.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \ldots, (n_r, k_r)) \in \mathcal{I}(n, k)$ with r > 2, we have

$$c((n_1, k_1), \dots, (n_r, k_r)) > c\left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} n_i, \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i\right), (n_r, k_r)\right).$$

In particular, any admissible sequence minimizing the function c must be of length 2.

For  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , we let  $\lceil a \rceil$  will denote the smallest integer *strictly greater* than a (so for  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we set  $\lceil a \rceil = a + 1$ ). This convention will simplify our notation.

**Definition 4.5.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $m = 1, \ldots, n-1$ , let

$$\mu_m = \mu_m(n,k) = \left( (m, \lceil \frac{km}{n} \rceil), (n-m, k - \lceil \frac{km}{n} \rceil) \right).$$

We define

$$\mathcal{I}'(n,k) = \{\mu_m : 0 < m < n\} \subset \mathcal{I}(n,k).$$

(The line from (0,0) to (n,k) passes through  $(m,\frac{km}{n})$ , so  $\mu_m$  is admissible.)

**Lemma 4.6.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and any admissible sequence

$$u = ((m, l), (n - m, k - l)) \in \mathcal{I}(n, k)$$

of length two, we have  $c(\mu) \ge c(\mu_m)$ , with equality holding only when  $\mu = \mu_m$ . Hence if  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}(n,k)$  minimizes the function c, then  $\mu \in \mathcal{I}'(n,k)$ .

We now consider what values the function  $c_1$  may take on the set  $\mathcal{I}'(n,k)$ .

**Definition 4.7.** Given an integer r and a natural number n, we let  $[r]_n$  denote the unique integer between 1 and n satisfying  $r \equiv [r]_n \pmod{n}$ .

**Lemma 4.8.** For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we have  $c_1(\mu_m) = [km]_n$ , and

$$c_1(\mathcal{I}'(n,k)) = \{\gcd(n,k), 2\gcd(n,k), \dots, n\}$$

(unless gcd(n,k) = 1, in which case n is not included in this set).

*Proof.* Let  $\lceil \frac{km}{n} \rceil = \frac{km}{n} + \frac{\epsilon_m}{n}$ , and note that  $\epsilon_m = n \lceil \frac{km}{n} \rceil - km \equiv -km \pmod{n}$ . Since  $\epsilon_m$  is an integer between 1 and n, we have  $\epsilon_m = \lfloor -km \rfloor_n$ .

Now, for any sequence  $\mu_m \in \mathcal{I}'(n,k)$ , we have

$$c_1(\mu_m) = c_1((m, \frac{km}{n} + \frac{\epsilon_m}{n}), (n - m, k - \frac{km}{n} - \frac{\epsilon_m}{n}))$$
$$= (n - m)(\frac{km}{n} + \frac{\epsilon_m}{n}) - m(k - \frac{km}{n} - \frac{\epsilon_m}{n})$$
$$= \epsilon_m = [-km]_n,$$

as desired. Now, consider the set  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  as a cyclic group under addition modulo n. Then  $c_1(\mathcal{I}'(n,k)) = \{[-km]_n : m = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ . Since  $[-mk]_n \equiv m[-k]_n \pmod{n}$ ,  $c_1(\mathcal{I}'(n,k))$  is the subgroup of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  generated by  $[-k]_n$ . But gcd(n,k) is the minimal element of this subgroup, so the lemma follows.  $\Box$ 

We can now determine the exact connectivity of the space of central Yang–Mills connections on any bundle E over a Riemann surface. Recall that by Proposition 4.1, it suffices to calculate the minimum codimension of a non-central Harder–Narasimhan stratum in the space C(E).

**Theorem 4.9.** If E is a complex vector bundle over a Riemann surface  $M^g$  (g > 0), then the connectivity of the space  $\mathcal{N}_{ss}(E)$  of central Yang–Mills connections is given by

$$d(E) - 2 = \begin{cases} 2\gcd(n,k) - 2 & \text{if } g = 1\\ 2\min([k]_n, [-k]_n) + 2(g-1)(n-1) - 2 & \text{if } g > 1 \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We know (Lemma 4.6) that c is minimized by  $c(\mu_m)$  for some integer m between 1 and n-1. For g = 1, the function  $c_2$  vanishes, so the result follows from Lemma 4.8. For g > 1, we begin by noting that

(8)  

$$c(\mu_m) - c(\mu_1) = c_1(\mu_m) - c_1(\mu_1) + c_2(\mu_m) - c_2(\mu_1)$$

$$= [-mk]_n - [-k]_n + (g-1)m(n-m) - (g-1)(n-1)$$

$$= [-mk]_n - [-k]_n + (g-1)(mn-m^2 - n + 1).$$

Assuming  $n \ge 6$ , we will show that if  $m \le n/2$  then  $c(\mu_m) \ge c(\mu_1)$ , and if  $m \ge n/2$  then  $c(\mu_m) \ge c(\mu_{n-1})$ . This will suffice to prove the theorem for  $n \ge 6$ , since  $c(\mu_1) = [-k]_n + (g-1)(n-1)$  and  $c(\mu_{n-1}) = [k]_n + (g-1)(n-1)$ . The cases n < 6 can be checked by hand.

We first consider the case  $2 \leq m \leq n/2$ ; we may assume n > 2. The function  $f_n(m) = mn - m^2 - n + 1$  has derivative  $(f_n)'(m) = n - 2m \geq 0$  (since  $m \leq n/2$ ) and hence this function is minimized at m = 2, where we have  $f_n(2) = 2n - 4 - n + 1 = n - 3 \geq 0$ . So  $mn - m^2 - n + 1$  is always positive, and hence

(9) 
$$(g-1)(mn-m^2-n+1) \ge mn-m^2-n+1.$$

Equations (8) and (9) imply that

(10) 
$$c(\mu_m) - c(\mu_1) \ge [-mk]_n - [-k]_n + mn - m^2 - n + 1$$

For later reference, we work with a generic integer r in place of -k. Note that if  $\frac{l}{m}n < [r]_n \leq \frac{l+1}{m}n \ (l = 0, 1, \dots, m-1)$ , then  $0 < m[r]_n - ln \leq n$ , so we have (11)  $[mr]_n = m[r]_n - ln$ .

Furthermore, since  $l \leq m-1$  and  $m \leq n/2$ , (11) implies

(12)  

$$[mr]_{n} - [r]_{n} = (m[r]_{n} - ln) - [r]_{n} = (m-1)[r]_{n} - ln$$

$$> (m-1)\frac{ln}{m} - ln = -\frac{ln}{m}$$

$$\geqslant -\frac{(m-1)n}{m} = -n + n/m$$

$$\geqslant -n + \frac{n}{n/2} = -n + 2.$$

Combining (12) and (10) yields

(13) 
$$c(\mu_m) - c(\mu_1) > -n + 2 + mn - m^2 - n + 1$$
$$= n(m-2) - m^2 + 3.$$

Letting  $h_n(m) = n(m-2) - m^2 + 3$ , we have  $(h_n)'(m) = n - 2m > 0$  (since  $m \leq n/2$ ). On the interval  $3 \leq m \leq n/2$ ,  $h_n(m)$  is minimized at m = 3, so

$$c(\mu_m) - c(\mu_1) > h_n(3) = n - 9 + 3 \ge 0$$

since  $n \ge 6$ . Note that  $h_n(2) = -1$ , so a different estimate is needed when m = 2. When m = 2, we have  $\frac{l}{2}n < [r]_n \le \frac{l+1}{2}n$  for either l = 0 or l = 1. By (11),

(14)  
$$[2r]_n - [r]_n = (2[r]_n - ln) - [r]_n = [r]_n - ln$$
$$> \frac{ln}{2} - ln = -\frac{ln}{2} \ge -\frac{n}{2}$$

Combining (10) and (14) yields (for  $n \ge 6$ )

$$c(\mu_2) - c(\mu_1) > -n/2 + 2n - 4 - n + 1 = n/2 - 3 \ge 0.$$

Thus we have shown that  $c(\mu_m) \ge c(\mu_1)$  for  $2 \le m \le n/2$ . The proof that  $c(\mu_{n-m}) \ge c(\mu_{n-1})$  for  $2 \le m \le n/2$  is symmetrical: as before we have

$$c(\mu_{n-m}) - c(\mu_{n-1}) \ge [mk]_n - [k]_n + mn - m^2 - n + 1.$$

When m = 2 (14) implies that  $[2k]_n - [k]_n \ge -n/2$ , and in general (12) implies that  $[mk]_n - [k]_n \ge -n+2$ . The argument now proceeds identically.

When k = 0,  $[0]_n = n$  and hence the formula given here recovers that found in [10, Lemma 4.5]. We also note that when g = 1 and  $k \neq 0$ , this result shows that the connectivity of the space of central Yang–Mills connections does not tend to infinity with n. The following example shows that the strata of minimal codimension are not, in general, minimal covers of the central stratum.

**Example 4.10.** When n = 6, k = 2, and g > 1, Theorem 4.9 shows that the stratum  $\mu_1 = ((1, 1), (5, 1))$  has minimum (complex) codimension, given in this case by 4 + 5(g - 1). However, this stratum lies above the stratum ((2, 1), (4, 1)), which has complex codimension 2 + 8(g - 1).

Since the critical values of the Yang–Mills functional are given by Proposition 2.11 (see Remark 2.12), one can show by a combinatorial argument that these critical values respect the partial ordering on the strata (and of course one may check this directly in Example 4.10). Hence the Yang–Mills functional is not selfindexing, even after scaling.

We now turn to the case of a complex vector bundle E over a non-orientable surface  $\Sigma$ . Here the minimum critical set of the Yang–Mills functional is the space  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$  of flat connections. A combinatorial argument (simpler than the previous one) allows us to calculate the connectivity of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$  in most cases.

**Theorem 4.11.** Let E be a complex bundle of rank n over a non-orientable surface  $\Sigma$ , and let  $\tilde{g}$  denote the genus of the orientable double cover  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ . If  $n \ge 9$  and  $\tilde{g} \ge 2$ , then the space  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$  of flat connections on E is precisely  $(2n\tilde{g}-3\tilde{g}-1)$ -connected.

If  $\tilde{g} = 1$ , and n > 1, then  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$  is precisely (n-2)-connected if n is even, and (n-1)-connected if n is odd.

*Proof.* We will show that the minimum (positive) codimension of a Yang–Mills stratum in the space  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  of connections on E is precisely two more than the stated connectivity. Moreover, we will show that any other positive-codimension stratum has codimension at least two more than the minimum; the result then follows from Proposition 4.1. We will point out the differences in genus 1.

To begin, recall from Ho and Liu [4] or Ho–Liu–Ramras [5] that  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  embeds as the set of fixed points of an involution on  $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{E})$ , and each Yang–Mills stratum in  $\mathcal{A}(E)$  is the collection of fixed points lying inside some given Yang–Mills stratum of  $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{E})$ . In fact, any stratum in  $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{E})$  containing fixed points corresponds to an admissible sequence of the form

$$\mu = ((n_1, k_1), \dots, (n_r, k_r), (n_0, 0), (n_r, -k_r), \dots, (n_1, -k_1)),$$

where  $\sum n_i = n$  and the bundle  $\widetilde{E}$  is necessarily trivial. We will call such sequences symmetric. The set of fixed points lying inside such a stratum has real codimension  $c(\mu)$  inside  $\mathcal{A}(E)$ , where  $c(\mu)$  is the *complex* codimension of the stratum  $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$  inside  $\mathcal{A}(\widetilde{E})$  and is given by the formula in Definition 4.3.

In analogy with Lemma 4.4, one sees that with  $\mu$  as above,

$$c(\mu) \ge c\left(\left(\sum n_i, \sum k_i\right), (n_0, 0), \left(\sum n_i, -\sum k_i\right)\right) + 2$$

when r > 1, and hence any symmetric stratum minimizing c must be of the form

$$\mu = ((n_1, k_1), (n_0, 0), (n_1, -k_1)).$$

Next, it is again elementary to check that

$$c((n_1, k_1), (n_0, 0), (n_1, -k_1)) \ge c((n_1, 1), (n_0, 0), (n_1, -1)) + 2$$

for  $k_1 > 1$ , so the minimum codimension can only be achieved by the strata

$$\mu_i = ((i, 1), (n_0, 0), (i, -1)),$$

 $i = 1, \ldots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  (here  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor = n/2$  if n is even and  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor = (n-1)/2$  if n is odd). Now  $c(\mu_i) = 2n - 2i + (2ni - 3i^2)(\tilde{g} - 1)$  is quadratic in i with a maximum at  $\frac{n-1/(\tilde{g}-1)}{3}$ , so it suffices to check that for  $\tilde{g} > 1$ ,

$$c(2) \ge c(1) + 2$$
 for  $n \ge 9$  and  $c(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) \ge c(n/2) \ge c(1) + 2$  for  $n \ge 12$ .

Hence when  $\tilde{g} > 1$  and  $n \ge 12$ , the minimum positive codimension of a Harder– Narasimhan stratum is  $c(\mu_1) = 2n\tilde{g} - 3\tilde{g} + 1$ . The cases  $\tilde{g} > 1$ ,  $9 \le n \le 11$  can be checked by hand.

When  $\tilde{g} = 1$ , the function c(i) = 2n - 2i is minimized when  $i = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , and increases by 2 if we decrease *i* by one. The result in genus 1 follows.

Our reduction to the strata  $\mu_i$  did not require  $n \ge 9$ , so the remaining cases may be computed by hand. In most cases, one still obtains a precise formula for the connectivity of  $\mathcal{A}_{\text{flat}}(E)$ . But when n = 5 and  $\tilde{g} = 2$  or 4, there is a stratum of codimension one more than the minimum, so Proposition 4.1 does not apply.

#### References

- M. F. Atiyah and R. Bott. The Yang-Mills equations over Riemann surfaces. *Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A*, 308(1505):523–615, 1983.
- [2] Georgios D. Daskalopoulos. The topology of the space of stable bundles on a compact Riemann surface. J. Differential Geom., 36(3):699–746, 1992.
- [3] S. K. Donaldson and P. B. Kronheimer. The geometry of four-manifolds. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press Oxford University Press, New York, 1990. Oxford Science Publications.
- [4] Nan-Kuo Ho and Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu. Yang-Mills connections on non-orientable surfaces. To appear in Comm. Anal. Geom.; arXiv:math/0605587.
- [5] Nan-Kuo Ho, Chiu-Chu Melissa Liu, and Daniel A. Ramras. Orientability of normal bundles to Morse strata in the space of connections on a non-orientable surface. In preparation.
- [6] Serge Lang. Differential and Riemannian manifolds, volume 160 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, third edition, 1995.
- [7] J. Milnor. On axiomatic homology theory. Pacific J. Math., 12:337-341, 1962.
- [8] P. K. Mitter and C.-M. Viallet. On the bundle of connections and the gauge orbit manifold in Yang-Mills theory. Comm. Math. Phys., 79(4):457-472, 1981.
- [9] Johan Råde. On the Yang-Mills heat equation in two and three dimensions. J. Reine Angew. Math., 431:123-163, 1992.
- [10] Daniel A. Ramras. Yang-Mills theory over surfaces and the Atiyah-Segal theorem. arXiv:math/0710.0681, 2008.
- Stephen S. Shatz. The decomposition and specialization of algebraic families of vector bundles. *Compositio Math.*, 35(2):163–187, 1977.
- [12] Katrin Wehrheim. Uhlenbeck compactness. EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics. European Mathematical Society (EMS), Zürich, 2004.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VANDERBILT UNIVERSITY, NASHVILLE, TN 37240 U.S.A.

 $E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{daniel.a.ramras@vanderbilt.edu}$ 

 $\mathit{URL}: \texttt{http://www.math.vanderbilt.edu/~ramrasda}$