

EXT¹-QUIVERS FOR THE WITT ALGEBRA $W(1,1)$

BRIAN D. BOE, DANIEL K. NAKANO, AND EMILIE WIESNER

ABSTRACT. Let \mathfrak{g} be the finite dimensional Witt algebra $W(1,1)$ over an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p > 3$. It is well known that all simple $W(1,1)$ -modules are finite dimensional. Each simple module admits a character $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. Given $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ one can form the (finite dimensional) reduced enveloping algebra $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$. The simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ are precisely those simple $W(1,1)$ -modules admitting the character χ . In this paper the authors compute Ext^1 between pairs of simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Let \mathfrak{g} be a restricted Lie algebra over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$, and $u(\mathfrak{g})$ its restricted enveloping algebra. Block and Wilson [BW] have shown that all restricted simple Lie algebras over k are either classical or of Cartan type provided that the characteristic of k is larger than 7. Each classical Lie algebra can be realized as the Lie algebra of a reductive connected algebraic group G with associated root system Φ . When p is greater than or equal to the Coxeter number h of Φ there is a famous conjecture of Lusztig [Jan, II 7.20], involving Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials which predicts the dimensions of the simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{g})$. In recent times, a general scheme-theoretic setting has been used to relate the representation theory of the algebraic group G with the representation theories of the infinitesimal Frobenius kernels G_r . In the case when $r = 1$, modules for G_1 are equivalent to modules for $u(\mathfrak{g})$. Cline, Parshall and Scott [CPS2, CPS3] have shown that the Lusztig Conjecture can be equivalently formulated in a graded category (i.e., G_1T -modules) using vanishing criteria for Ext^1 -groups between simple modules.

Lie algebras of Cartan type fall into four infinite classes of algebras: W , S , H and K . In most of the cases these Lie algebras are \mathbb{Z} -graded with $\mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus_{i=t}^s \mathfrak{g}_i$ ($t = -1$ for algebras of types W , S , H and $t = -2$ for algebras of type K) where the Lie subalgebra \mathfrak{g}_0 is a classical Lie algebra. The simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{g})$ have been classified but their precise description depends on knowing the simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ [Sh1, Sh2, Sh3, N1, Ho1, Ho2, Ho3, Hu1, Hu2] (i.e., for p large, this reduces to knowing the Lusztig conjecture). Moreover, composition factors of the projective indecomposable modules of $u(\mathfrak{g})$ depend on understanding the composition factors of the projective modules for $u(\mathfrak{g}_0)$ (cf. [N1, Thm. 3.1.5], [HoN, Thm. 4.1]). Holmes and Nakano [N1, HoN] also proved that the restricted enveloping algebra $u(\mathfrak{g})$ for a Lie algebra of Cartan type has one block.

1.2. From the above discussion, it is natural to ask about extensions between simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{g})$ where \mathfrak{g} is a Lie algebra of Cartan type. Since $u(\mathfrak{g})$ has one block, one would expect that the computation of extensions would be at least as difficult as in the classical case. Lin and Nakano [LN] proved that for the Lie algebras of type W and S there are no self-extensions between simple modules. This result parallels Andersen's [A] earlier work where he showed that self-extensions do not exist for simple G_1 -modules unless $p = 2$ and Φ has a component of type C_n .

Date: November 13, 2018.

Research of the second author partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0654169.

The main results in this paper aim to initiate the study of extensions between simple modules for Cartan type Lie algebras, not just for restricted simple modules but also for the non-restricted ones. Fix $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$. Given $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, one can form the reduced enveloping algebra $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$. The simple modules for these algebras were determined by Chang [Ch]. A complete description of the simple modules with their projective covers is also given in [FN, §§1,2]. The goal of this paper is to calculate $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(S, T)$ where S, T are simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -modules. In Section 2, we begin investigating the restricted case (i.e., when $\chi = 0$). A complete description of Ext^1 groups between the p -dimensional induced modules $Z^+(\lambda)$ is given. With this information, we compute the Ext^1 -quiver for $u(W(1, 1))$ in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to handling the non-restricted case. The height $r(\chi)$ of the character is a useful invariant to delineate the representation theory of $W(1, 1)$. The restricted case coincides with the height $r(\chi) = -1$. The extension information for the $r(\chi) = 0$ and $r(\chi) = 1$ case uses ideas from the computations in the restricted situation. It is interesting to note that when $1 < r(\chi) < p - 1$ there is only one simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -module. The dimensions of the simple module and its projective cover are known. However, we are only able to provide partial results on Ext^1 in this case. It appears that this calculation when $1 < r(\chi) < p - 1$ needs a more detailed understanding of the representation theory which is not yet available. For $r(\chi) = p - 1$, we can use results from [FN, Theorem 2.6] to handle this case completely.

1.3. Notation and Preliminaries. Throughout this paper let k be an algebraically closed field of characteristic $p \geq 5$. The p -dimensional Witt algebra $\mathfrak{g} := W(1, 1)$ is the \mathbb{Z} -graded restricted simple Lie algebra with basis $\{e_i \mid -1 \leq i \leq p - 2\}$; with the bracket operation

$$[e_i, e_j] = (j - i)e_{i+j} \quad -1 \leq i, j \leq p - 2,$$

where $e_{i+j} := 0$ if $i + j \notin \{-1, \dots, p - 2\}$; and with the p -mapping given by $e_i^{[p]} := \delta_{i0}e_i$ for any $-1 \leq i \leq p - 2$. We remark that one could also define this Lie algebra for $p = 3$, but then it would be isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$.

Let $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. The *centralizer* of χ in \mathfrak{g} is defined as

$$\mathfrak{g}^\chi = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \chi([x, \mathfrak{g}]) = 0\}.$$

Consider the p subalgebras

$$\mathfrak{g}_i := ke_i \oplus \dots \oplus ke_{p-2} \quad -1 \leq i \leq p - 2.$$

We set $\mathfrak{b}^+ = \mathfrak{g}_0$, $\mathfrak{t} = ke_0$, and $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \mathfrak{g}_1$. Define the *height* $r(\chi)$ of χ by

$$r(\chi) := \min\{i \mid -1 \leq i \leq p - 2 \text{ and } \chi|_{\mathfrak{g}_i} = 0\}$$

if $\chi(e_{p-2}) = 0$ and $r(\chi) := p - 1$ if $\chi(e_{p-2}) \neq 0$. The height of χ turns out to be good invariant for studying the simple modules for \mathfrak{g} .

If $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, the *reduced enveloping algebra* $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ is a finite dimensional self-injective algebra. The algebra $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ is defined as the quotient of the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ by the ideal generated by (central) elements of the form $x^p - x^{[p]} - \chi(x)^p$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. Any simple module for \mathfrak{g} is finite dimensional and admits a character $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $x^p - x^{[p]}$ acts as $\chi(x)^p$. In this way every simple module for \mathfrak{g} is a simple module for $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ for some χ . If $\chi = 0$ then modules for $u(\mathfrak{g}) := u(\mathfrak{g}, 0)$ correspond to restricted representations for \mathfrak{g} .

2. Extensions Between Verma Modules

2.1. For the remainder of Section 2 and Section 3, we will assume that $\chi = 0$ or equivalently $r(\chi) = -1$.

The simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{t})$ are parametrized by elements in $X := \mathbb{F}_p$. If $\lambda \in X$, we can let \mathfrak{n}^+ act trivially and obtain a one-dimensional $u(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ -module. Let $Z^+(\lambda) = u(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+)} \lambda$, the Verma module of highest weight λ . Then $Z^+(\lambda)$ is p -dimensional and has a basis $\{m_0, \dots, m_{p-1}\}$ where the action of \mathfrak{g} is given by

$$(2.1.1) \quad e_k \cdot m_j = (j + k + 1 + (k + 1)\lambda) m_{j+k}$$

(cf. [N1, Lemma 2.2.1]). Note that m_{p-1} is a highest weight vector of weight λ for \mathfrak{t} .

In order to compute cohomology it will be important to compute spaces of derivations. For our first computation we define

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda)) &= \{d: \mathfrak{n}^+ \rightarrow Z^+(\lambda) \mid d([x, y]) = x.d(y) - y.d(x)\}, \\ \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda)) &= \{d: \mathfrak{n}^+ \rightarrow Z^+(\lambda) \mid \exists m \in Z^+(\lambda) \text{ such that } d(x) = x.m\}, \end{aligned}$$

the set of $Z^+(\lambda)$ -valued derivations (respectively, inner derivations) of \mathfrak{n}^+ . Note that $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda)) \subseteq \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))$. The space $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module with the \mathfrak{g} -action given by $(x.d)(m) = x.(d(m)) - d(x.m)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in Z^+(\lambda)$.

2.2. The following theorem gives a description of $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(\lambda))$ for all $p \geq 5$.

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$.*

(a) *For $p = 5$,*

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 2, 3 \\ & \text{or } \{\mu, \lambda\} = \{0\}, \{4\}, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 4\}, \{3, 4\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) *For $p \geq 7$,*

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(\lambda)) \cong k$$

if

- (i) $\lambda - \mu = 2, 3, 4$;
 - (ii) $(\mu, \lambda) = (0, 0), (-1, -1), (-1, 0), (0, -1), (0, 1), (-2, -1), (-5, 0), (-1, 4)$;
 - (iii) λ is such that $2\lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 3 = 0$ and $\lambda - \mu = 6$.
- Otherwise, $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(\lambda)) = 0$.*

The Ext^1 -quivers between Verma modules for $p = 5, 7$ are shown in Figure 1.


2.3. We will prove the theorem in two main steps. Our first step is to compute the weight spaces $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu$ and $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu$. There exists the following isomorphism relating the (ordinary) Lie algebra cohomology with derivations modulo inner derivations [HS, VII. Proposition 2.2]:

$$H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu \cong \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu / \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu.$$

The second step (in Section 2.4) will be to equate Ext^1 between Verma modules with the weight spaces of the first Lie algebra cohomology. Surprisingly, the verification of the second step will rely on the explicit computation of derivations in the first step.

We first consider $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu$. If $0 < j < p$, then $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j}$ is generated by the derivation d_j defined by

$$d_j(e_k) = e_k \cdot m_{j-1} = \begin{cases} (j + k + (k + 1)\lambda)m_{j+k-1} & \text{if } k \leq p - j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

FIGURE 1. Ext^1 -quivers for $Z^+(\lambda)$ ($p = 5, 7$)

Then $d_j = 0$ if and only if $j = 1$ and $\lambda = p - 1$, or $j = p - 1$ and $\lambda = 0$. If $j = 0$, there is no inner derivation. Therefore,

$$(2.3.1) \quad \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} \cong \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } j = 0; j = 1, \lambda = p - 1; \text{ or } j = p - 1, \lambda = 0, \\ k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To compute $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j}$, we first assume that $p > 7$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let \bar{n} denote the unique integer satisfying $0 \leq \bar{n} < p$ and $\bar{n} \equiv n \pmod{p}$. Given $d \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j}$, we can write $d(e_k) = a_k m_{\overline{j+k-1}}$ for some $a_k \in k$. Since \mathfrak{n}^+ is generated by e_1 and e_2 , all a_k are determined by a_1 and a_2 using the definition of a derivation, and thus $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} \leq 2$. Observe that d will be a derivation for any choice of $a_1, a_2 \in k$ such that

$$(2.3.2) \quad d(e_k) \text{ is well-defined for each } 2 < k \leq p - 2;$$

$$(2.3.3) \quad d([e_i, e_k]) = 0 \text{ for } i + k > p - 2.$$

Suppose $p - 4 \leq j \leq p - 2$. Since e_3 and e_4 are uniquely generated by e_1 and e_2 , $d(e_3)$ and $d(e_4)$ are well-defined for any choice of a_1 and a_2 . Moreover, the action of \mathfrak{n}^+ respects the grading on $Z^+(\lambda)$. This implies that $d(e_k) = 0$ for $k > p - j$, so that (2.3.2) holds, and (2.3.3) holds. (For example, if $j = p - 3$, $d(e_3) = a_2 e_1 \cdot m_{p-1} + a_1 e_2 \cdot m_{p-2} = 0$.) Therefore, for $p - 4 \leq j \leq p - 2$, $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} = 2$. Since $\dim \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} = 1$ in this case, we have

$$\text{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\mu} \cong k \quad \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 2, 3, 4.$$

Suppose $0 \leq j \leq p - 5$. Then for $k > 4$, $d(e_k)$ is not necessarily well-defined. For example,

$$(2.3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} d(e_5) &= d([e_2, e_3]) = d([e_2, [e_1, e_2]]) \\ &= [(j+3+2\lambda)(j+5+3\lambda) - (j+5+4\lambda)] a_2 \\ &\quad - (j+3+3\lambda)(j+5+3\lambda) a_1] m_{j+4} \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} d(e_5) &= \frac{1}{3} d([e_1, e_4]) = \frac{1}{6} d([e_1, [e_1, [e_1, e_2]]]) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} [(j+3+2\lambda)(j+4+2\lambda)(j+5+2\lambda) a_2 \\ &\quad - ((j+3+3\lambda)(j+4+2\lambda)(j+5+2\lambda) + (j+4+4\lambda)(j+5+2\lambda) \\ &\quad + 2(j+5+5\lambda)) a_1] m_{j+4}. \end{aligned}$$

If $d(e_5)$ is well-defined, then

$$(2.3.6) \quad 0 = (2.3.4) - (2.3.5) = (p_{1,5}(j, \lambda) a_1 + p_{2,5}(j, \lambda) a_2) m_{j+4},$$

where

$$(2.3.7) \quad p_{1,5}(j, \lambda) = \frac{1}{6} (10j + 8\lambda + 7j^2 + 27j\lambda + 20\lambda^2 + 16j\lambda^2 + j^3 + 12\lambda^3 + 7j^2\lambda)$$

$$(2.3.8) \quad p_{2,5}(j, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{6} (6j^2 + 5j + 18j\lambda + 4\lambda + 12\lambda^2 + 6j^2\lambda + j^3 + 12j\lambda^2 + 8\lambda^3).$$

Any derivation respects the Jacobi identity, so that $d([e_1, e_5]) = d([e_1, [e_2, e_3]]) = 2d([e_2, e_4])$. Therefore, if $d(e_5)$ is well-defined, then $d(e_6)$ is also well-defined. For $d(e_7)$ to be well-defined we must have

$$(2.3.9) \quad 0 = d([e_3, e_4]) - \frac{1}{3} d([e_2, e_5]) = (p_{1,7}(j, \lambda) a_1 + p_{2,7}(j, \lambda) a_2) m_{j+6},$$

where

$$p_{1,7}(j, \lambda) = \frac{1}{6} (14j + 12\lambda + 9j^2 + 39j\lambda + 30\lambda^2 + 24j\lambda^2 + j^3 + 18\lambda^3 + 9j^2\lambda),$$

$$p_{2,7}(j, \lambda) = -\frac{1}{6} (7j + 6\lambda + 8j^2 + 26j\lambda + 18\lambda^2 + 18j\lambda^2 + j^3 + 12\lambda^3 + 8j^2\lambda).$$

Note that if $j = p - 5$, $p - 6$ then in fact $d(e_7) = 0$ using the grading on $Z^+(\lambda)$. In general, it will be enough to consider $d(e_5)$ and $d(e_7)$ to determine when (2.3.2) holds.

We use the Jacobi identity to reduce (2.3.3) to the cases $d([e_1, e_{p-2}]) = 0$ and $d([e_2, e_k]) = 0$ for $k = p - 2$, $p - 3$. Because the action of \mathfrak{n}^+ respects the grading on $Z^+(\lambda)$, these equations automatically hold for $2 \leq j \leq p - 5$. For $j = 0$, the remaining equations are

$$(2.3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= d([e_2, e_{p-2}]) = \frac{1}{p-4} d([e_2, [e_1, e_{p-3}]]) \\ &= \frac{2}{p-4} \lambda (3(\lambda-1) a_{p-3} + 3(\lambda+1) a_1 - 2a_2) m_{p-1}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= d([e_1, e_{p-2}]) = \frac{1}{p-4} d([e_1, [e_1, e_{p-3}]]) \\ &= \frac{2}{p-4} ((\lambda-1)(2\lambda-1) a_{p-3} + (\lambda+1)(2\lambda-3) a_1) m_{p-2}; \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3.12) \quad 0 = d([e_2, e_{p-3}]) = ((3\lambda-1) a_{p-3} + (2\lambda+1) a_2) m_{p-2}.$$

For $j = 1$,

$$(2.3.13) \quad 0 = d([e_1, e_{p-2}]) = \frac{2}{p-4} \lambda(2\lambda-1)(a_{p-3} + a_1)m_{p-1};$$

$$(2.3.14) \quad 0 = d([e_2, e_{p-3}]) = \lambda(3a_{p-3} + 2a_2)m_{p-1}.$$

If $j = 1$, $d([e_2, e_{p-2}]) = 0$ automatically from the grading.

Let $j = p - 5$. From (2.3.6), we see that $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-5} = 2$ if

$$(2.3.15) \quad 0 = p_{1,5}(p-5, \lambda) = 2\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda-4) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = p_{2,5}(p-5, \lambda) = -\frac{4}{3}\lambda(\lambda-2)(\lambda-4).$$

Otherwise $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-5} \leq 1$. Since $\dim \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-5} = 1$,

$$\text{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-5} \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda = 0, 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If $j = p - 6$, we may apply the same arguments. In this case, $0 = p_{1,5}(p-6, \lambda) = p_{2,5}(p-6, \lambda)$ if and only if λ is such that $2\lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 3 = 0$. Thus

$$\text{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-6} \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } 2\lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 3 = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $0 \leq j \leq p - 7$, $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} = 2$ only if

$$(2.3.16) \quad 0 = p_{1,5}(j, \lambda) = p_{2,5}(j, \lambda) = p_{1,7}(j, \lambda) = p_{2,7}(j, \lambda).$$

Observe that

$$(2.3.17) \quad 2(p_{1,7}(j, \lambda) + 2p_{2,7}(j, \lambda)) - 3(p_{1,5}(j, \lambda) + 2p_{2,5}(j, \lambda)) = j(j+1)(j+\lambda).$$

Therefore, (2.3.16) does not hold for $j \neq 0, p-1, -\lambda$. By checking $j = 0, p-1, -\lambda$ directly, we find that (2.3.16) holds precisely for $(j, \lambda) = (1, p-1), (0, 0), (0, p-1)$. Thus, if $1 \leq j \leq p-7$ and $(j, \lambda) \neq (1, p-1)$, then $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} = 1$, which implies $\text{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} = 0$.

For $(j, \lambda) = (1, p-1)$, there is a derivation $d \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(p-1))_0$ defined by $d(e_k) = (k+1)m_k$. Since (2.3.13) and (2.3.14) are not identically zero for $\lambda = p-1$, there is not another linearly independent solution. Using $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(p-1))_0 = 0$, we have that $\text{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(p-1))_0 \cong k$.

If $j = 0$, (2.3.6) and (2.3.9) are linearly dependent. It is straightforward to check that (2.3.6), (2.3.10), (2.3.11), and (2.3.12) have a common solution only for $\lambda = 0$ (corresponding to the derivation $d(e_k) = \frac{k(k+1)}{2}m_{k-1}$) and for $\lambda = p-1$ (corresponding to the derivation $d(e_1) = m_0, d(e_k) = 0$ for $k \neq 1$). Therefore,

$$\text{H}^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda} \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda = 0, p-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Suppose $j = p - 1$. Then $d : \mathfrak{n}^+ \rightarrow Z^+(\lambda)$ given by $d(e_1) = m_{p-1}, d(e_k) = 0$ for $k > 1$ is a well-defined derivation, and so $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-1} \geq 1$. Now let $d \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-1}$ be arbitrary. Since $d(e_1) = a_1 m_{p-1}$, the grading on $Z^+(\lambda)$ implies that

$$(2.3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} d(e_5) &= d([e_2, [e_1, e_2]]) \\ &= ((j+3+2\lambda)(j+5+3\lambda) - (j+5+4\lambda))a_2 m_3 \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} d(e_5) &= \frac{1}{6}d([e_1, [e_1[e_1, e_2]]]) \\ &= \frac{1}{6}[(j+3+2\lambda)(j+4+2\lambda)(j+5+2\lambda)a_2]m_3. \end{aligned}$$

Note that these equations correspond to the terms containing a_2 in (2.3.4) and (2.3.5). Thus, $d(e_5)$ is well-defined if and only if $0 = p_{2,5}(-1, \lambda)a_2 = -\frac{4}{3}\lambda(\lambda-1)(\lambda+1)a_2$. Similarly, $d(e_7)$ is well-defined

if and only if $0 = p_{2,7}(-1, \lambda)a_2 = -2\lambda(\lambda - 1)(\lambda + 1)a_2$. Thus, for $a_2 \neq 0$, $d(e_k)$ is well-defined for all k only if $\lambda = 0, 1, -1$. Recalling (2.3.3), we observe

$$(2.3.20) \quad 0 = d([e_2, e_{p-2}]) = \frac{1}{p-4} ((3\lambda - 1)(2\lambda - 3)a_{p-3} - 4(\lambda + 1)a_2) m_{p-2};$$

$$(2.3.21) \quad 0 = d([e_1, e_{p-2}]) = \frac{2}{p-4} (\lambda - 1)(2\lambda - 3)a_{p-3}m_{p-3}.$$

When $\lambda = 0$, these equations have no common solution with $a_2 \neq 0$ so that $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(0))_{-1} = 1$. It can be checked that for $\lambda = 1$, $d(e_1) = 0$ and $d(e_k) = \binom{k+1}{3}m_{k-2}$, $k \neq 1$, is a well-defined derivation; and for $\lambda = -1$, $d(e_2) = m_0$, $d(e_k) = 0$, $k \neq 1$, is a well-defined derivation. This implies $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-1} = 2$ if $\lambda = 1, -1$. Recalling that $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(0))_{-1} = 0$, we have

$$H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-1} \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda = 0, 1, -1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now suppose $p = 7$. Then $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j}$ can be computed as above for $2 \leq j \leq 6$ and for $j = 0$. For $j = 1 = 7 - 6$, the reasoning above shows that $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j} \leq 1$ for all λ , since $2\lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 3 \neq 0$, and $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(p-1))_0 \cong k$. This produces the stated results.

Finally, assume $p = 5$. Then $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+j}$ can be computed as above for $2 = 5 - 3 \leq j \leq 3 = 5 - 2$. For $j = 1$ and $d \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+1}$ it is only necessary to check that (2.3.13) and (2.3.14) hold. Note that (2.3.14) always holds, and (2.3.13) holds if $a_1 = -a_2$ or $\lambda = 0, 3$. Combining this with (2.3.1), we have

$$H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda+1} \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda = 0, 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $j = 0$, $d \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\lambda$ if and only if (2.3.10), (2.3.11), and (2.3.12) hold. There is one solution for these equations for $\lambda = 0, 4$ and no solutions for other λ . Since $\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\lambda = 0$,

$$H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\lambda \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda = 0, 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In the case $j = p - 1$, $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-1} = 2$ if and only if (2.3.20) and (2.3.21) hold. This occurs for $\lambda = 1, 4$. For $\lambda = 0$, we have $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-1} = 1$ since $d(e_1) = m_{p-1}$ is a well-defined derivation. Therefore,

$$H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_{\lambda-1} \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda = 0, 1, 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.4. In the following proposition, we identify the restricted Lie algebra cohomology for extensions between Verma modules with the ordinary Lie algebra cohomology.

Proposition. *Let $\lambda, \mu \in X$. Then*

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(\lambda)) \cong H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu \cong (\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda)) / \text{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda)))_\mu.$$

Proof. The second isomorphism was stated earlier so it suffices to verify the first one. First one can apply Frobenius reciprocity to obtain

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(\lambda)) \cong \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^1(\mu, Z^+(\lambda)).$$

Now \mathfrak{n}^+ is an ideal in \mathfrak{b}^+ with $\mathfrak{b}^+/\mathfrak{n}^+ \cong \mathfrak{t}$. By applying the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and the fact that modules over $u(\mathfrak{t})$ are semisimple, one sees that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+)}^1(\mu, Z^+(\lambda)) &\cong \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{n}^+)}^1(\mu, Z^+(\lambda))^{u(\mathfrak{t})} \\ &\cong \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{n}^+)}^1(k, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu \\ &\cong H^1(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), Z^+(\lambda))_\mu. \end{aligned}$$

According to [Jan, I 9.19], there exists an injection

$$0 \rightarrow H^1(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), Z^+(\lambda))_\mu \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu.$$

Moreover, $\varphi \in H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\mu$ will be in the image of this map if and only if $\varphi(x^{[p]}) = x^{p-1}.\varphi(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$. However, $x^{[p]} = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{n}^+$ and the module $Z^+(\lambda)$ is \mathbb{Z} -graded so the aforementioned condition reduces to $e_1^{p-1}.\varphi(e_1) = 0$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\varphi(e_1) = m_0$. From a direct computation with the action, $e_1^{p-1}.m_0 = 0$ unless $\lambda = \frac{p-1}{2}$.

Now in this case $e_0.m_0 = \frac{p+1}{2}m_0$, so φ must be a derivation of weight $-1 + \frac{p+1}{2} = \frac{p-1}{2} = \lambda$. However, the computations in the previous section demonstrate that $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, Z^+(\lambda))_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda = \frac{p-1}{2}$. Thus, the injection is an isomorphism in all cases and the proposition follows. \square

3. Extensions Between Simple Modules

3.1. The following theorem describes first extension groups between all simple modules for the restricted simple modules of $W(1, 1)$. The proof of the theorem will occupy the remainder of Section 3.

Theorem (A). *Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$ and $p = 5$. Then*

- (a) $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong k$ if
 - (i) $\lambda - \mu \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{p}$, $1 \leq \mu \leq p-2$, $1 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$, or
 - (ii) $(\mu, \lambda) = (0, 1), (p-2, 0), (p-1, 2)$, or $(p-1, 3)$
- (b) $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong k \oplus k$ if $\{\mu, \lambda\} = \{0, p-1\}$
- (c) $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) = 0$ otherwise.

Theorem (B). *Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$ and $p \geq 7$. Then*


- (a) $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong k$ if
 - (i) $\lambda - \mu \equiv 2, 3, 4 \pmod{p}$, $1 \leq \mu \leq p-2$, $1 \leq \lambda \leq p-1$, or
 - (ii) $(\mu, \lambda) = (0, 1), (p-2, 0), (p-1, 2), (p-1, 3)$, or $(p-1, 4)$, or
 - (iii) $2\lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, $\lambda - \mu \equiv 6 \pmod{p}$, $1 \leq \mu, \lambda \leq p-2$
- (b) $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong k \oplus k$ if $\{\mu, \lambda\} = \{0, p-1\}$
- (c) $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) = 0$ otherwise.

The Ext^1 -quivers between simple modules for $p = 5, 7$ are shown in Figure 2.

3.2. Extensions not involving $L(0)$ or $L(p-1)$. Since $L(\lambda) = Z^+(\lambda)$ for $1 \leq \lambda \leq p-2$, we have that

$$(3.2.1) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) = \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(\lambda)) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq \mu, \lambda \leq p-2.$$

These extensions were computed in Section 2.2. So it remains to compute the extensions involving $L(0) \cong k$ or $L(p-1)$.

FIGURE 2. Ext^1 -quivvers for $L(\lambda)$ ($p = 5, 7$)

3.3. Extensions involving $L(0)$. As in the proof of Proposition 2.4, we have

$$(3.3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), k) &\cong H^1(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), k)_\mu \\ &\cong H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, k)_\mu \\ &\cong \begin{cases} k, & \mu = p-1, p-2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

For the first two isomorphisms we are using [Fe, (2.7) Proposition] and the fact that p -powers of elements in \mathfrak{n}^+ are zero. The last isomorphism follows because $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, k)$ is spanned by the classes of e_1^* and e_2^* , with weights -1 and -2 . In particular

$$(3.3.2) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(0)) = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq \mu \leq p-3, \\ k, & \mu = p-2. \end{cases}$$

We postpone the case $\mu = p-1$ to Section 3.5.

We can obtain extensions with $L(0)$ in the first variable by using the identity

$$(3.3.3) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\lambda)^*, L(\mu)^*).$$

Note that $L(0)$ and $L(p-1)$ are isomorphic to their own duals, since they are the only simple modules of dimension 1 and $p-1$, respectively. For $\mu \neq 0, p-1$, $L(\mu)$ has dimension p and weights (from highest to lowest)

$$\mu, \mu-1, \dots, \mu-p+1.$$

Therefore $L(\mu)^*$ has weights (from highest to lowest)

$$p-\mu-1, p-\mu-2, \dots, -\mu.$$

In conclusion,

$$(3.3.4) \quad L(\mu)^* \cong \begin{cases} L(\mu), & \mu = 0, p-1, \\ L(p-1-\mu), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Combining (3.3.2), (3.3.3), and (3.3.4) we deduce

$$(3.3.5) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(0), L(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} k, & \lambda = 1, \\ 0, & 2 \leq \lambda \leq p-2. \end{cases}$$

3.4. Extensions involving $L(p-1)$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L(p-1) \rightarrow Z^+(0) \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0.$$

Given any μ we have a corresponding long exact sequence

$$(3.4.2) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}(Z^+(\mu), k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), L(p-1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(0)) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), k) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Assume that $\mu \neq 0$, $p-2$, $p-1$. Then the first term is 0, and the last term is 0 by (3.3.2). So the middle arrow is an isomorphism. Using the results of Section 2.2 we deduce

$$(3.4.3) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(\mu), L(p-1)) = \begin{cases} k, & p-5 \leq \mu \leq p-3 \quad (1 \leq \mu \leq 2 \text{ if } p=5), \\ 0, & 1 \leq \mu \leq p-6. \end{cases}$$

Dually we obtain

$$(3.4.4) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(p-1), L(\lambda)) = \begin{cases} k, & 2 \leq \lambda \leq 4 \quad (2 \leq \lambda \leq 3 \text{ if } p=5), \\ 0, & 5 \leq \lambda \leq p-2. \end{cases}$$

Next consider the short exact sequence

$$(3.4.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow Z^+(p-1) \rightarrow L(p-1) \rightarrow 0.$$

We have a corresponding long exact sequence

$$(3.4.6) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}(Z^+(\mu), L(p-1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), Z^+(p-1)) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(\mu), L(p-1)) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^2(Z^+(\mu), k) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Take $\mu = p-2$ (the cases $\mu = 0$, $p-1$ will be treated in the next subsection). We want to determine the fourth term of (3.4.6). The first term is 0, the second term is k by (3.3.2), and the third term is k by Section 2.2. Arguing similarly to (3.3.1), we have

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^2(Z^+(\mu), k) \cong H^2(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), k)_\mu.$$

There is a spectral sequence constructed in [FP, (1.3) Proposition] (since $p > 2$)

$$E_1^{2i,j} = S^i((\mathfrak{n}^+)^*)^{(1)} \otimes H^j(\mathfrak{n}^+, k) \Rightarrow H^{2i+j}(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), k).$$

Only two terms contribute to $H^2(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), k)$. The term with $i = 1$, $j = 0$ is $S^1((\mathfrak{n}^+)^*)^{(1)} \cong ((\mathfrak{n}^+)^*)^{(1)}$, but all its weights are multiples of p , so there is no contribution to the $p-2$ weight space from this term. The term with $i = 0$, $j = 2$ is $H^2(\mathfrak{n}^+, k)$, a subquotient of $\Lambda^2(\mathfrak{n}^+)^*$. In the case $p = 5$, the $p-2$ weight space is zero. For $p > 5$, an ordered basis for the $p-2$ weight space is

$$(3.4.7) \quad e_4^* \wedge e_{p-2}^*, e_5^* \wedge e_{p-3}^*, \dots, e_{\frac{p-3}{2}}^* \wedge e_{\frac{p-1}{2}}^*.$$

Suppose z is a nonzero linear combination of these terms, and let $e_r^* \wedge e_{p-r+2}^*$ be the first nonzero term appearing. Then d_2 applied to that term will involve a nonzero multiple $e_1^* \wedge e_{r-1}^* \wedge e_{p-r+2}^*$, but this term cannot appear in d_2 of any later basis vector in (3.4.7). Thus z cannot belong to the kernel of d_2 , and so $H^2(\mathfrak{n}^+, k)_{p-2} = 0$ as well. We conclude that $H^2(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), k)_\mu = 0$.

It follows from (3.4.6) that

$$(3.4.8) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(p-2), L(p-1)) = 0$$

and dually that

$$(3.4.9) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(p-1), L(1)) = 0.$$

3.5. Extensions involving only $L(0)$ and $L(p-1)$. There remains to compute the extensions between $L(0)$ and $L(p-1)$. Note that by [LN, Thm. 5.4] there are no self-extensions between simple modules in this category. For $L(0) \cong k$ this can be seen directly, because

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(k, k) \cong H^1(u(\mathfrak{g}), k) \cong \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_p = 0$$

where $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]_p$ is the subalgebra generated by commutators and p th powers. In order to compute $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(p-1), L(0))$ we can use another long exact sequence associated to (3.4.5):

$$(3.5.1) \quad \cdots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}(Z^+(p-1), k) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}(k, k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(p-1), k) \\ \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(Z^+(p-1), k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(k, k) \rightarrow \cdots$$

The first term is 0, the second term is k , the fourth term is k by (3.3.1), and the last term is 0 by the fact just mentioned. Hence the middle term must be $k \oplus k$. That is,

$$(3.5.2) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(p-1), L(0)) \cong k \oplus k$$

and dually

$$(3.5.3) \quad \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g})}^1(L(0), L(p-1)) \cong k \oplus k.$$

4. Ext¹ between simple non-restricted modules

From the definition of the height, one sees that $\chi = 0$ if and only if $r(\chi) = -1$. This case coincides with considering $u(\mathfrak{g})$ -modules. Since we have already dealt with extensions in this setting we can now consider the cases when $0 \leq r(\chi) \leq p-1$.

4.1. $r(\chi) = 0$: In this case there are $p-1$ non-isomorphic simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -modules [Ch, Hauptsatz 2'] each of dimension p . These simple modules can be constructed as follows (cf. [FN, Section 1.3]). Since $\chi(\mathfrak{b}^+) = 0$ we have $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi) = u(\mathfrak{b}^+)$. The simple $u(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ modules are one-dimensional and given by weights $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$. Let $L(\lambda) = u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+)} \lambda$. Then $L(\lambda)$ is a simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -module. Moreover $\{L(\lambda) : \lambda = 0, 1, 2, \dots, p-2\}$ forms a complete set of non-isomorphic $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -modules. We remark that $L(0) \cong L(p-1)$ in our construction.

The action of $u(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ on $L(\lambda)$ is given by (2.1.1). Consequently, we can use the calculations in Section 2.3 to compute $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda))$ for $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$. The same argument as in Proposition 2.4 demonstrates that

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong H^1(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), L(\lambda))_\mu \cong H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda))_\mu.$$

Consequently, the extensions between simple modules for $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ can be obtained by removing the node corresponding to $Z^+(p-1)$, and all edges attached to it, in the Ext¹-quiver for the Verma modules given in Theorem 2.2.

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$ and $r(\chi) = 0$. Assume $0 \leq \lambda, \mu \leq p-2$.*

(a) *For $p = 5$,*

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 2, 3 \\ & \text{or } (\mu, \lambda) = (0, 0), (1, 0), (0, 1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) For $p \geq 7$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong k$$

if

- (i) $\lambda - \mu = 2, 3, 4$;
 - (ii) $(\mu, \lambda) = (0, 0), (0, 1), (-5, 0)$;
 - (iii) λ is such that $2\lambda^2 - 10\lambda + 3 = 0$ and $\lambda - \mu = 6$.
- Otherwise, $\mathrm{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) = 0$.

4.2. $r(\chi) = 1$: From [Ch, Hauptsatz 2'], we have p isomorphism classes of simple modules each of which has dimension p . We may assume that we are working with the character χ where $\chi(e_i) = 0$ for $i \neq 0$ and $\chi(e_0) = 1$ because all height 1 characters are conjugate to this χ under the automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} . Fix ξ such that $\xi^p - \xi - 1 = 0$. The simple modules will be in bijective correspondence with $\Lambda(\chi) = \{\lambda + \xi : \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p\}$. If $\lambda + \xi \in \Lambda(\chi)$, we can construct $L(\lambda) = u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)} (\lambda + \xi)$ where $\lambda + \xi$ is a one-dimensional $u(\mathfrak{t}, \chi)$ -module which is inflated to $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)$ by letting $u(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ act trivially.

In order to apply our techniques, we can construct a basis for the $u(\mathfrak{b}^+)$ -module

$$L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi = \langle m_0, \dots, m_{p-1} \rangle, \quad 0 \leq \lambda < p$$

with relations

$$\begin{aligned} e_0 \cdot m_j &= (\lambda + j + 1)m_j \\ e_k \cdot m_j &= (j + k + 1 + (k + 1)(\lambda + \xi))m_{j+k}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem. Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$ and $r(\chi) = 1$.

(a) For $p = 5$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 2, 3, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) For $p \geq 7$,

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong \begin{cases} k & \text{if } \lambda - \mu = 2, 3, 4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Following the proof of Theorem 2.2, we will first compute $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\mu$. Note that $L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi$ is a restricted module for \mathfrak{b}^+ , so the cohomology is a restricted \mathfrak{t} -module. Write $\mu = \lambda + j$ for some $0 \leq j \leq p - 1$. For $d \in \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j}$, $d(e_k) = a_k m_{j+k-1}$ for some $a_k \in k$, as before.

We begin by analyzing the inner derivations of $L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi$. For $j > 0$, the inner derivation d_j defined by $d_j(e_k) = e_k \cdot m_{j-1}$ is nonzero (of weight $\lambda + j$) since $e_1 \cdot m_{j-1} = (j + 1 + 2(\lambda + \xi))m_j \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathrm{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = \begin{cases} k & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq p - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

We now compute $\mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j}$, using arguments similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2. In particular, the calculations in the proof of Theorem 2.2 can be used in this setting by replacing λ with $\lambda + \xi$.

We first consider $p > 7$. As in the restricted case, for $p - 4 \leq j \leq p - 2$, we have $\dim \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = 2$. Thus $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} \cong k$.

Suppose $0 < j \leq p - 7$. Since $\mathrm{Inn}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = k$, it is enough to check if

$$\dim \mathrm{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = 2.$$

If this is true, we must have

$$0 = p_{1,5}(j, \lambda + \xi) = p_{2,5}(j, \lambda + \xi) = p_{1,7}(j, \lambda + \xi) = p_{2,7}(j, \lambda + \xi),$$

as in (2.3.16). Since $j(j+1)(j+\lambda+\xi) \neq 0$ (cf. (2.3.17)), this condition fails to hold. Thus, $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = 1$ for $0 < j \leq p-7$, and so $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = 0$.

For $j = p-5$, observe that $p_{1,5}(p-5, \lambda + \xi), p_{2,5}(p-5, \lambda + \xi) \neq 0$ from (2.3.15). Similarly, for $j = p-6$ we have that $p_{1,6}(p-6, \lambda + \xi), p_{2,6}(p-6, \lambda + \xi) \neq 0$. In this case, we use the fact that $3-10(\lambda+\xi)+2(\lambda+\xi)^2 \neq 0$ since $\lambda+\xi$ is not a root of any polynomial over \mathbb{F}_p of degree less than p . For $j = p-1$, note that $p_{2,5}(p-1, \lambda + \xi), p_{2,7}(p-1, \lambda + \xi) \neq 0$. Therefore, $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = 1$ for $j = p-5, p-6, p-1$, which implies that $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = 0$.

Suppose $j = 0$, and let $d \in \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\lambda$. Then (2.3.10), (2.3.11), and (2.3.12) become

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= d([e_2, e_{p-2}]) = \frac{2}{p-4}(\lambda + \xi)(3(\lambda + \xi - 1)a_{p-3} + 3(\lambda + \xi + 1)a_1 - 2a_2)m_{p-1}; \\ 0 &= d([e_1, e_{p-2}]) = \frac{2}{p-4}((\lambda + \xi - 1)(2(\lambda + \xi) - 1)a_{p-3} + (\lambda + \xi + 1)(2(\lambda + \xi) - 3)a_1)m_{p-2}; \\ 0 &= d([e_2, e_{p-3}]) = ((3(\lambda + \xi) - 1)a_{p-3} + (2(\lambda + \xi) + 1)a_2)m_{p-2}. \end{aligned}$$

These equations have a common solution only if

$$0 = -3(\lambda + \xi)(\lambda + \xi + 1)(20(\lambda + \xi)^2 - 7(\lambda + \xi) - 11).$$

Since there is no $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p$ that satisfies this equation, we have $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\lambda = 0$.

For $p = 7$, we may carry over the arguments from the proof of Theorem 2.2 to obtain the result. If $p = 5$, $\dim \text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j}$ can be computed as above for $2 \leq j \leq 3$. For $j = 0, 1, 4$, the proof of Theorem 2.2 implies $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_{\lambda+j} = 0$.

One can apply the same argument given in Proposition 2.4 to show that

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L(\mu), L(\lambda)) \cong H^1(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\mu \hookrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\mu.$$

Now from the proof of Proposition 2.4, if φ is in $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\mu$, but not in $H^1(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\mu$ then $e_1^{p-1}.m_0 \neq 0$, and $\varphi(e_1) = m_0$. This can occur for any λ , but $e_0.m_0 = (\lambda+1)m_0$, thus the weight of φ is $-1 + (\lambda+1) = \lambda$. This contradicts our preceding calculation because $H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\lambda = 0$. Therefore, $H^1(u(\mathfrak{n}^+), L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\mu \cong H^1(\mathfrak{n}^+, L(\lambda) \otimes -\xi)_\mu$ for all $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_p$. \square

4.3. $1 < r(\chi) < p-1$: From [Ch, Hauptsatz 1] there is a unique simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -module denoted by L . Let $r = r(\chi)$, $s := \lfloor \frac{r}{2} \rfloor$ and k_χ be the unique one dimensional simple $u(\mathfrak{g}_s, \chi)$ -module. Then the simple module $L \cong u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}_s, \chi)} k_\chi$. Let $S := u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{g}_s, \chi)} k_\chi$. The module S is a simple $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)$ -module because $L \cong u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi) \otimes_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)} S$. Moreover, S is the unique simple $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)$ -module. Viewing $\chi \in (\mathfrak{b}^+)^*$ then we have a bilinear form β_χ on \mathfrak{b}^+ defined by $\beta_\chi(x, y) = \chi([x, y])$. The radical of this form will be denoted by $\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)$.

In the case when r is even, there is only one conjugacy class of characters $\chi \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ of height r under the automorphism group of \mathfrak{g} . A representative of the class is given by $\chi(e_{r-1}) = 1$ and $\chi(e_j) = 0$ for $j \neq r-1$ [FN, Theorem 3.1(a)]. So without loss of generality we may assume for r even that $\text{rad}(\beta_\chi) = \mathfrak{g}_r$, which is an ideal in \mathfrak{b}^+ . Moreover, $\chi(\mathfrak{g}_r) = 0$ in this setting. For r odd there are infinitely many conjugacy classes of characters of height r (cf. [FN, Theorem 3.1(b)]). The following theorem is an application of a result proved by Farnsteiner [Fa, Theorem 3.8] which provides a description of the Ext¹-quiver in $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)$.

Theorem (A). *Suppose that $\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{b}^+ . Then*

$$(a) \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S) \cong H^1(u(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)), k);$$

(b) *If r is even then $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S) \cong (\mathfrak{g}_r / [\mathfrak{g}_r, \mathfrak{g}_r])^*$.*

Proof. Part (a) follows directly from [Fa, Theorem 3.8(2)] and the fact that $\text{Ext}_{u(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi))}^1(k_\chi, k_\chi) \cong H^1(u(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)), k)$. For part (b), we use the fact that $\text{rad}(\beta_\chi) = \mathfrak{g}_r$. Moreover, since $(\mathfrak{g}_r)^{[p]} = 0$ it follows that

$$H^1(u(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)), k) \cong H^1(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi), k) \cong (\mathfrak{g}_r / [\mathfrak{g}_r, \mathfrak{g}_r])^*.$$

□

We next prove that one can find a lower bound for $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L, L)$ in terms of $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S)$.

Proposition (B). *Let $1 < r(\chi) < p - 1$. Then*

$$\dim \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L, L) \geq \dim \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S) - 1.$$

Proof. First observe by Frobenius reciprocity,

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L, L) \cong \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, L).$$

The module $L|_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}$ has p composition factors isomorphic to S . By a direct computation, one can prove that $L|_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}$ is uniserial. We have a short exact sequence of $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

with N uniserial of length $p - 1$. Since $\text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}(S, S) \cong \text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}(S, L) \cong k$, the long exact sequence induced from the short exact sequence is

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}(S, N) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, L) \rightarrow \dots$$

But, $\text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}(S, N) \cong k$ and from the sequence $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S)/k$ embeds into $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, L)$.

□

Suppose that $\chi \in (\mathfrak{b}^+)^*$ and $\chi(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)) = 0$ (recall that we may assume this when r is even). Then one can regard $\chi \in (\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi))^*$ and form the reduced enveloping algebra $u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)$. Under this assumption, we can provide an interpretation of $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^n(L, L)$.

Theorem (C). *Suppose that $\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{b}^+ and $\chi(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)) = 0$. Then*

- (a) $S^{\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)} = S$.
- (b) *The algebra $u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)$ is semisimple.*
- (c) *The module S is a simple and projective $u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)$ -module.*
- (d) $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^n(L, L) \cong \text{Hom}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)}(S, H^n(u(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)), L))$ for all n .

Proof. (a) We apply the constructions in the proof of [Fa, Theorem 3.8]. In the proof it is shown that

$$S \cong u(\mathfrak{g}_s, \chi) \otimes_{u(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi))} k.$$

Since $\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)$ is an ideal of \mathfrak{b}^+ , it is also an ideal of \mathfrak{g}_s . By using the isomorphism above, one sees that $S^{\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)} = S$.

(b), (c) Since $S^{\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)} = S$, one can regard S as a $u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)$ -module. It is simple as $u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)$ -module because it is simple as $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)$ -module.

Next observe that $\dim u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi) = p^a$ where $a = \dim \mathfrak{b}^+ - \dim \text{rad}(\beta_\chi)$. Recall that $\dim S = p^b$ where $b = \dim \mathfrak{b}^+ - \dim \mathfrak{g}_s$. The isomorphism above shows that $\dim S = p^z$ where $z = \dim \mathfrak{g}_s - \dim \text{rad}(\beta_\chi)$. Therefore,

$$\dim \mathfrak{b}^+ - \dim \mathfrak{g}_s = \dim \mathfrak{g}_s - \dim \text{rad}(\beta_\chi).$$

In other words

$$\dim \mathfrak{b}^+ - \dim \text{rad}(\beta_\chi) = 2(\dim \mathfrak{g}_s - \dim \text{rad}(\beta_\chi)).$$

This proves that

$$\dim u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi) = (\dim S)^2.$$

Hence, the algebra $u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)$ is semisimple and S is projective. In this case since there is only one simple module for the algebra the dimension is equal to the dimension of the simple times the dimension of its projective cover (cf. [CR, (6.17) Proposition]).

(d) Recall that $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^n(L, L) \cong \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^n(S, L)$ by Frobenius reciprocity. We can now apply the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence and use part (a):

$$E_2^{i,j} = \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)}^i(S, H^j(u(\text{rad}(\beta_\chi)), L)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^{i+j}(S, L).$$

From part (b), the semisimplicity of $u(\mathfrak{b}^+ / \text{rad}(\beta_\chi), \chi)$ forces the spectral sequence to collapse and yields the statement of (d). \square

We end this section by completing the example $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$ when $p = 5$. In this case $r = 2$ or 3 . In both cases the support variety of L as a $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -module has dimension equal to 1 [FN, Theorem 4.5, Example 4.6]. Therefore, $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ is uniserial and $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L, L) \cong k$. If $r = 2$,

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S) \cong k \oplus k$$

by Theorem 4.3(A). So in this case the lower bound in Proposition 4.3 (B) becomes an equality. We conjecture that this will hold true for r even and any p . On the other hand, if $r = 3$ then the support variety of S over $u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)$ is one-dimensional (cf. [Fa, Theorem 3.8]) and

$$\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{b}^+, \chi)}^1(S, S) \cong k.$$

This shows that the inequality in Proposition 4.3(B) in the odd case can be strict.

4.4. $r(\chi) = p - 1$: In the last case the Ext^1 information can be directly interpreted from [FN, Theorem 2.6].

Theorem. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = W(1, 1)$ and $r(\chi) = p - 1$.*

- (a) *If \mathfrak{g}^χ is a torus then $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ is semisimple. In this case $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L, L) = 0$ for all simple $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ -modules L .*
- (b) *If \mathfrak{g}^χ is p -nilpotent then $u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)$ has only one non-semisimple block. The non-semisimple block has one simple module L whose projective cover is uniserial with two composition factors isomorphic to L . Thus, $\text{Ext}_{u(\mathfrak{g}, \chi)}^1(L, L) = k$.*

REFERENCES

- [A] H.H. Andersen, Extensions of modules for algebraic groups, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 498–504.
- [Ben] D. J. Benson, *Representations and Cohomology. I*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 30, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998, Basic representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras.
- [BW] R.E. Block and R.L. Wilson, Classification of the restricted simple Lie algebras, *J. Algebra* **114** (1988), 115–259.
- [CPS1] E. Cline, B. Parshall, and L. Scott, *Finite-dimensional algebras and highest weight categories*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **391** (1988), 85–99.
- [CPS2] ———, *Abstract Kazhdan-Lusztig theories*, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)* **45** (1993), no. 4, 511–534.
- [CPS3] ———, *Graded and non-graded Kazhdan-Lusztig theories*, *Algebraic groups and Lie groups*, *Austral. Math. Soc. Lect. Ser.*, vol. 9, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, pp. 105–125.
- [Ch] H.-J. Chang, Über Wittsche Lie-Ringe, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg* **14** (1941), 151–184.
- [CR] C.W. Curtis, I. Reiner, *Methods of Representation Theory*, vol. 1, John Wiley & Sons Inc., 1981.

- [Fa] R. Farnsteiner, Representations of blocks associated to induced modules of restricted Lie algebras, *Math. Nachr.* **179** (1996), 57–88.
- [Fe] J. Feldvoss, On the cohomology of restricted Lie algebras, *Comm. Algebra* **19** (10) (1991), 2865–2906.
- [FN] J. Feldvoss, D.K. Nakano, Representation theory of the Witt algebra $W(1, 1)$, *J. Algebra* **203** (1998), 447–469.
- [FP] Eric M. Friedlander and Brian J. Parshall, *Geometry of p -unipotent Lie algebras*, *J. Algebra* **109** (1987), no. 1, 25–45.
- [HS] P.J. Hilton, U. Stambach, *A Course in Homological Algebra*, 2nd ed., Springer Graduate Text in Mathematics, no. 4, Springer-Verlag, NY, 1997.
- [Ho1] R.R. Holmes, Simple restricted modules for the restricted contact Lie algebra, *Proc. AMS*, **116** (1992), 329–337.
- [Ho2] R.R. Holmes, Cartan invariants for the restricted toral rank two contact Lie algebra, *Indag. Math. (N.S.)* **5** (1994), 1–16.
- [Ho3] R.R. Holmes, Dimensions of the simple restricted modules for the restricted contact Lie algebra, *J. Algebra* **170** (1994), 504–525.
- [HoN] R.R. Holmes, D.K. Nakano, Block degeneracy and Cartan invariants for Lie algebras of Cartan type, *J. Algebra* **161** (1993), 155–170.
- [Hu1] N. Hu, The graded modules for the graded contact Cartan algebra, *Comm. Alg.* **22** (1994), 4475–4497.
- [Hu2] N. Hu, Irreducible constituents of graded modules for graded contact Lie algebras of Cartan type, *Comm. Alg.* **22** (1994), 5951–5970.
- [Jan] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups*, American Mathematical Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Vol. 203, 2003.
- [LN] Z. Lin and D.K. Nakano, Extensions of modules over Hopf algebras arising from Lie algebras of Cartan type, *Algebras and Representation Theory*, **3** (2000), 43–80.
- [N1] D.K. Nakano, Projective modules over Lie algebras of Cartan type, *Memoirs of the AMS*, **98**, no 470, (1992).
- [N2] D.K. Nakano, On the cohomology of the Witt algebra: $W(1, 1)$, Proceedings of the III International Conference on Non-associative Algebra and its Applications, *Math Appl*, Kluwer, **303**, (1994), 291–295.
- [Sh1] G. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type I, *Scientia Sinica* **29** (1986), 570–581.
- [Sh2] G. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type II, *Scientia Sinica* **29** (1986), 1009–1019.
- [Sh3] G. Shen, Graded modules of graded Lie algebras of Cartan type III, *Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B* **9** (1988), 404–417.
- [St] H. Strade, Representations of the Witt algebra, *J. Algebra* **49** (1977), 595–605.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS, GEORGIA 30602

E-mail address: brian@math.uga.edu, nakano@math.uga.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ITHACA COLLEGE, ITHACA, NEW YORK 14850

E-mail address: ewiesner@ithaca.edu