Dissipative or just Nonextensive hydrodynamics? - Nonextensive/Dissipative correspondence -

Takeshi Osada*

Theoretical Physics Lab., Faculty of Knowledge Engineering, Musashi Institute of Technology, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 158-8557, Japan

Grzegorz Wilk[†]

The Andrzej Soltan Institute for Nuclear Studies, Theoretical Physics Department, Hoża 69; 00-681 Warsaw, Poland

We argue that there is correspondence between the perfect nonextensive hydrodynamics and the usual dissipative hydrodynamics, which we call *nonextensive/dissipative correspondence (NexDC)*. It leads to simple expression for dissipative entropy current and allows for predictions for the ratio of bulk and shear viscosities to entropy density, ζ/s and η/s .

Recently there is renewed interest in dissipative hydrodynamical models [1, 2, 3, 4, 5] prompted by the success of perfect hydrodynamics in describing RHIC data [6] and by recent calculations of transport coefficients of strongly interacting quark-gluon system using the AdS/CFT correspondence [7]. The question is whether dissipative hydrodynamics (d-hydrodynamics) is really needed and how it should be implemented regarding problems with its formulation like ambiguities in the form equations used [3], unphysical instability of the equilibrium state in the first order theory [4] or loss of causality in the first order equation approach [5]. We would like to propose a novel view on the dissipative hydrodynamics based on nonextensive formulation of the ideal hydrodynamical model, which we call the q-hydrodynamics [8]. When applied to ideal q-fluid it can be solved exactly, in way similar to the usual ideal hydrodynamics. However, it contains additional terms which can be interpreted as due to dissipative effects expressed by the nonextensivity parameter q - a single parameter here. Therefore, the following nonextensive/dissipative correspondence (NexDC) emerges: ideal q-fluid is apparently equivalent to some viscous fluid with its transport coefficients being (implicit) functions of parameter q. This parameter combines information about all possible intrinsic fluctuations and correlations existing in the collision process (in particular in the QGP being formed). Referring to [8] for more information on q-statistics it is enough to say here that it is based on (indexed by q and nonextensive, see left panel of Fig. 1) Tsallis rather than Boltzmann-Gibbs (BG) entropy to which it converges for $q \to 1$. Characteristic feature here is appearance of q-exponentials, $\exp_q(-X) = [1 - (1 - q)X]^{1/(1-q)} \to \exp(-X)$ for $q \to 1$. Among other things q-1 measures scaled variance of the corresponding intensive quantities like, for example, temperature T, or the amount of nonvanishing in the hydrodynamical limit correlations (see left panel of Fig. 1) [8]). Although q-hydrodynamics does not fully solve the problems of d-hydrodynamics. nevertheless it allows us to extend the usual perfect fluid approach (using only one new parameter q) well behind its usual limits toward the regions reserved for dissipative approach only.

In this note we can only explain main points of our proposition leaving interested reader to [8] and references there for details. Our idea is visualized in Fig. 1. Left panel shows that in the usual hydrodynamics there is some spacial scale L_{hyd} , such that volume L_{hyd}^3 contains enough particles composing our fluid. However, in case when there are some fluctuations and/or correlations in the system characterized by some typical correlation length l and when of $l > L_{hyd}$, taking the usual limit $L_{hyd} \to 0$ removes the explicit dependence on the scale L_{hyd} but the correlation length l leaves its imprint as parameter q and one has to use nonextensive entropy (one can argue that in this case

^{*}Electronic address: osada@ph.ns.musashi-tech.ac.jp

[†]Electronic address: wilk@fuw.edu.pl

 $q \sim l/L_{hyd} \geq 1$). The situation encountered is shown on right panel of Fig. 1. Locally conserved BG entropy current $s^{\mu}(x)$ and expensive entropy S is replaced by locally conserved qentropy current and nonextensive entropy S_q local equilibrium is replaced by a kind of stationary state (or q-equilibrium containing dynamic leading to the assumed intrinsic fluctuations/correlations and summarily characterized by the parameter q).



FIG. 1: Visualization of ideas behind the q-hydrodynamics.

The relativistic q-hydrodynamics is formulated [8] starting from the nonextensive Boltzmann equation [9] leading to nonextensive entropy (q-entropy) current [8, 9]:

$$\sigma_q^{\mu}(x) = -k_{\rm B} \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \frac{p^{\mu}}{p^0} \Big\{ f_q^q \ln_q f_q - f_q \Big\}, \qquad \text{where} \qquad \ln_q f_q \equiv [f_q^{(1-q)} - 1]/(1-q) \quad (1)$$

where $f_q = f_q(x, p)$ is nonextensive version of phase space distribution function in space-time position x and momentum p. One finds that $\partial_{\mu}\sigma_q^{\mu} \ge 0$ at any space-time point, i.e., relativistic local H-theorem is valid [8, 9]. Demanding now that $\partial_{\mu}\sigma_q^{\mu} \equiv 0$ one gets

$$f_q(x,p) = \left[1 - (1-q)\frac{p_\mu u_q^\mu(x)}{k_{\rm B}T_q(x)}\right]^{1/(1-q)},\tag{2}$$

where $T_q(x)$ is the q-temperature [8] and $u_q^{\mu}(x)$ is the q-hydrodynamical flow four-vector. The state characterized by $f_q(x,p)$ is the local q-equilibrium state, i.e., a kind of stationary state which includes already some interactions between particles composing our fluid (see right panel of Fig. 1). The symmetry of collision term in the nonextensive Boltzmann equation [8, 9] and energymomentum conservation in two particle collisions result in the nonextensive version of local energymomentum conservation,

$$T_{q;\nu}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \mathcal{T}_{q}^{\mu\nu}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^3} \int \frac{d^3p}{p^0} p^{\mu} p^{\nu} f_{q}^{q}(x,p).$$
 (3)

Assuming now that this q-energy-momentum tensor can be decomposed in the usual way in terms of the q-modified energy density ε_q and q-pressure P_q by using the q-modified flow u_q^{μ} (such that $u_q^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 0)$ in the rest frame) one obtains the *perfect q-hydrodynamical equation* $(\Delta_q^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - u_q^{\mu}u_q^{\nu})$ (covariant derivative notation is used here, see [8]):

$$\mathcal{T}_{q;\mu}^{\mu\nu} = \left[\varepsilon_q(T_q)u_q^{\mu}u_q^{\nu} - P_q(T_q)\Delta_q^{\mu\nu}\right]_{;\mu} = 0.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

One the other hand one can also decompose $\mathcal{T}_q^{\mu\nu}$, using the usual 4-velocity fluid field u^{μ} and obtain equation

$$\left[\tilde{\varepsilon}(T_q)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - \tilde{P}(T_q)\Delta^{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(\mu}u^{\nu)} + \pi^{\mu\nu}\right]_{;\mu} = 0,$$
(5)

where $(\delta u^{\mu}_q \equiv u^{\mu}_q - u^{\mu} \text{ and } \Delta^{\mu\nu} \equiv g^{\mu\nu} - u^{\mu}u^{\nu})$ whereas

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon_q + 3\Pi; \qquad \tilde{P} = P_q + \Pi; \qquad W^{\mu} = w_q [1+x] \ \Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda} \delta u_q^{\lambda}; \pi^{\mu\nu} = \frac{W^{\mu}W^{\nu}}{w_q [1+x]^2} + \Pi \Delta^{\mu\nu} = w_q \ \delta u_q^{<\mu} \delta u_q^{\nu>}; \qquad \Pi \equiv \frac{1}{3} w_q [x^2 + 2x]$$
(6)

are, respectively, energy density $(\tilde{\varepsilon})$, pressure (\tilde{P}) , energy or heat flow vector (W^{μ}) , shear (symmetric and traceless) pressure tensor $(\pi^{\mu\nu})$ and bulk pressure (II). Notation used is: $A^{(\mu}B^{\nu)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(A^{\mu}B^{\nu} + A^{\nu}B^{\mu}); \quad w_q \equiv \varepsilon_q + P_q; \quad x \equiv u_{\mu}\delta u_q^{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}\delta u_{q\mu}\delta u_q^{\mu}; \quad a^{<\mu}b^{\nu>} \equiv [\frac{1}{2}(\Delta^{\mu}_{\lambda}\Delta^{\nu}_{\sigma} + \Delta^{\mu}_{\sigma}\Delta^{\nu}_{\lambda}) - \frac{1}{3}\Delta^{\mu\nu}\Delta_{\lambda\sigma}]a^{\lambda}b^{\sigma}$. Notice that whereas the time evolution of II is controlled by *q*-hydrodynamics (via the respective time dependencies of ε_q , P_q and x) its form is determined by the assumed constraints which must assure that the local entropy production in the standard 2^{nd} order theory [1, 2] is never negative.

The crucial point of our work is assumption that there exists some temperature T and velocity δu_a^{μ} satisfying the following NexDC relations:

$$P(T) = P_q(T_q), \quad \varepsilon(T) = \varepsilon_q(T_q) + 3\Pi \tag{7}$$

(ε and P are energy density and pressure defined in the usual Boltzmann-Gibbs statistics, i.e., for q = 1). In this case one can transform equation (5) into the following usual d-hydrodynamical equation [8]:

$$\left[\varepsilon(T)u^{\mu}u^{\nu} - (P(T) + \Pi)\Delta^{\mu\nu} + 2W^{(\mu}u^{\nu)} + \pi^{\mu\nu}\right]_{;\mu} = 0.$$
(8)

This completes demonstration of the equivalence of perfect q-hydrodynamics represented by Eq. (4) and its d-hydrodynamics counterpart represented by Eq. (8). We propose to call this equivalence NexDC: nonextensive/dissipative correspondence. In [8] we have successfully applied q-hydrodynamics to description of RHIC data on particle production (in a limited fashion, however; to apply it to flow effects and correlation phenomena, like HBT effect, one must go out the one-dimensional approximation used here - such work is now in progress).

The most important point in in NexDC is the fact that although in ideal q-hydrodynamics the q-entropy is conserved, i.e., $[s_q u_q^{\mu}]_{;\mu} = 0$, we can rewrite it in the form corresponding to dissipative fluid with entropy production: $[su^{\mu}]_{;\mu} = -\frac{u_{\nu}}{T} \delta \mathcal{T}^{\mu\nu}_{;\mu}$. When applied to description of multiparticle production processes this fact is seen in the prediction of q-dependent increase of multiplicity of produced particles [8]. The most general expression for the full order dissipative entropy current in the NexDC approach:

$$\sigma_{\rm full}^{\mu} \equiv su^{\mu} + \frac{W^{\mu}}{T} - \frac{2T}{T_q} \left[1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{3\Pi}{w}} \right] su^{\mu} + \frac{2(T - T_q)}{T_q} \frac{W^{\mu}}{T}.$$
(9)

One can now calculate bulk and shear viscosities emerging from the NexDC. Here we shall present only our main result, namely the sum rule connecting ratios of bulk and shear viscosities over the entropy density s:

$$\frac{1}{\zeta/s} + \frac{3}{\eta/s} = \frac{w\sigma^{\mu}_{\text{full};\mu}}{\Pi^2}.$$
(10)



FIG. 2: The NexDC predictions for the ratios of bulk (left panel) and shear (right panel) viscosities over the entropy density, ζ/s and η/s , as function of temperature *T* calculated for a number of space-time rapidities $y \equiv \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{t+z}{t-z}$ using *q*-hydrodynamical model developed in [8] with q = 1.08. The *q*-initial conditions with initial energy density $\varepsilon^{(in)} = 22.3 \text{ GeV/fm}^3$ and *q*-equation of state for relativistic π gas was used (see [8] for details).

To disentangle it some additional input is needed. Results presented in Fig. 2 are obtained assuming that total entropy is generated by action of the shear viscosity only. This can be confronted with Ads/CFT conjecture [7] that $\eta/s \ge 1/4\pi$.

To summarize: we claim that nonextensive approach to hydrodynamics can be regarded as a new phenomenological way to deal with viscous fluids in which many different dynamical features (already known or yet to be discovered) are summarily represented by a single parameter qdescribing a kind of q-ideal fluid by means of ideal q-hydrodynamics, which is apparently much simpler to handle than the usual dissipative hydrodynamics.

Partial support (GW) of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education under contracts 1P03B02230 and CERN/88/2006 is acknowledged.

References

- W. Israel, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 100 (1976) 310; J.M. Stewart, Proc. R. Soc. London A357 (1977) 59; W. Israel and J.M. Stewart, Ann Phys. (N.Y.) 118 (1979)341.
- [2] A. Muronga, Phys. Rev. C69 (2004) 034903 and Phys. Rev. Lett. 88 (2002) 062302; A. Muronga and D.H. Rischke, arXiv:nucl-th/0407114; H. Song and U. Heinz, arXiv:0712.3715 and references therein; A. Dumitru, E. Molnár and Y. Nara, Phys. Rev. C76 (2007) 024910; P. Romatschke and U. Romatschke, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99 (2007) 17230 and references therein.
- [3] K. Tsumura and T. Kunihiro, arXiv:0709.3645 and references therein.
- W.A. Hiscock and L. Lindblom, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 151 (1983) 466; Phys. Rev. D31 (1985) 725 and D35 (1987) 3723.
- [5] T. Koide, G.S. Denicol, Ph. Mota and T. Kodama, Phys. Rev. C75 (2007) 034909.
- [6] Cf., for example, T. Hirano and K. Tsuda, Phys. Rev. C66 (2002) 054905 (2002) or D. Teaney, Phys. Rev. C68 (2003) 034913.
- [7] P. Kovtun, D.T. Son and A.O. Starinets, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 111601. For most recent reviews of this subject see D.T. Son ans A.O. Starinet, Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. 57 (2007) 95 and references therein.
- [8] T. Osada and G. Wilk, Phys. Rev. C77 (2008) 044903 [arXiv:0710.1905].
- [9] A. Lavagno, Phys. Lett. A301 (2002) 13.